

SPRING

IETF-95

Tuesday, April 5

Note Well

•Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
 - The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
 - Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
 - Any IETF working group or portion thereof
 - Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
 - The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
 - The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Document Status

- draft-ietf-spring-problem-statement-07
 - submitted to IESG
 - many comments: ranging from “not needed”, to “need all the requirements at the same time/before architecture”
 - Discuss: 4 originally, 2 as of today
 - Authors have updated the document.

Document Status

- draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing
 - WGLC Completed
 - Needs improvement before IESG submission:
 - Security considerations
 - Manageability considerations (cf RFC 5706)
 - As per IESG comments on draft-ietf-spring-problem-statement
 - + Eric's comment on PHP.

Document status

- draft-ietf-spring-oam-usecase to be last called this week.

Document status

- IESG guidance to send all requirements before architecture.
- Ready to advance?
 - draft-ietf-spring-ipv6-use-cases
 - draft-ietf-spring-resiliency-use-cases
- Focus on WG Last Call
 - Need active review and support from WG
 - Goal: substantial progress by IETF 96

Agenda

- SR drafts update 20 minutes
Stefano
- Segment Routing Conflict Resolution 20 minutes
[draft-ginsberg-spring-conflict-resolution-01](#)
Les Ginsberg
- A Framework for Computed Multicast applied to MPLS based Segment Routing 15 minutes
[draft-allan-spring-mpls-multicast-framework-00](#)
David Allan
- Packet-Optical Integration in Segment Routing 20 minutes
[draft-anand-spring-poi-sr-00](#)
Sanjoy Bardhan
- Node Protection for SR-TE Paths 10 minutes
[draft-hegde-spring-node-protection-for-sr-te-paths-00](#)
Shraddha Hegde
- Tunnel Segment in Segment Routing 10 minutes
[draft-li-spring-tunnel-segment-01](#)
Xia Chen