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Update Status

I. Now a WG item
II. From draft-welzl-taps-transports-00 to draft-ietf-taps-transports-usage-00
III. Added a “terminology” section that is compliant with the terminology of draft-ietf-taps-transports
IV. Added an appendix on “how to contribute” (explaining the 3-pass process)
V. Added an appendix with list of RFCs (so far) used to compose the document
VI. Addressed Gorry Fairhurst’s comments
VII. Addressed Karen Nielsen’s comments
Future Update Plan

I. Incorporate more SCTP RFCs (e.g. RFC 6458) in the draft (currently only RFC 4960)

II. Incorporate these protocols: MPTCP, UDP, UDP-Lite, DCCP, (D)TLS
   • We have potential contributors for all above protocols
   • This includes incorporating draft-fairhurst-taps-transports-usage-udp
Discussion Item

• Section 3.7 of `draft-ietf-taps-transports-10` mentions (D)TLS do provide security functions but the API comes from elsewhere

• Our document rules (Appendix B of draft-ietf-taps-transports-usage-00) prohibits including stuff from outside the IETF

• **What do we do?** E.g. *can we just run OpenSSL over a TAPS system and ignore its inclusion for the purpose of this document? Or any other ideas? Authors would like to hear from the WG!*