

neat

Update on draft-ietf-taps-transport-usage

Michael Welzl, Michael Tuexen and Naeem Khademi

TAPS WG - IETF 95

Buenos Aires - Argentina

4 April 2016



Update Status

- I. Now a WG item
- II. From *draft-welzl-taps-transport-00* to *draft-ietf-taps-transport-usage-00*
- III. Added a “terminology” section that is compliant with the terminology of *draft-ietf-taps-transport*
- IV. Added an appendix on “how to contribute” (explaining the 3-pass process)
- V. Added an appendix with list of RFCs (so far) used to compose the document
- VI. Addressed Gorry Fairhurst’s comments
- VII. Addressed Karen Nielsen’s comments

Future Update Plan

- I. Incorporate more SCTP RFCs (e.g. RFC 6458) in the draft (currently only RFC 4960)

- II. Incorporate these protocols: MPTCP, UDP, UDP-Lite, DCCP, (D)TLS
 - We have potential contributors for all above protocols
 - This includes incorporating *draft-fairhurst-taps-transport-usage-udp*

Discussion Item

- Section 3.7 of *draft-ietf-taps-transport-10* mentions (D)TLS do provide security functions but the API comes from elsewhere
- Our document rules (Appendix B of *draft-ietf-taps-transport-usage-00*) prohibits including stuff from outside the IETF
- *What do we do? E.g. can we just run OpenSSL over a TAPS system and ignore its inclusion for the purpose of this document? Or any other ideas? Authors would like to hear from the WG!*