



TEAS Working Group

IETF 95

Buenos Aires, AR

Online Agenda and Slide:

<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/teas/agenda>

Data tracker: <http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/teas/>

Tools: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/teas>

IETF Note Well

This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

The brief summary:

- ❖ **By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.**
- ❖ **If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.**
- ❖ **You understand that meetings might be recorded, broadcast, and publicly archived.**

For further information, talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review the following:

BCP 9 (on the Internet Standards Process)

BCP 25 (on the Working Group processes)

BCP 78 (on the IETF Trust)

BCP 79 (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF)

Also see: <http://www.ietf.org/about/note-well.html>:

Administrative

- Audio Streaming/Recording
 - Please speak only using the microphones
 - Please state your name before speaking
- Minute takers & Etherpad
 - <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/teas/minutes>
- Jabber
- Online Agenda and Slides at: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/teas/agenda>

TEAS Working Group Status

Chairs:

Vishnu Pavan Beeram vbeeram@juniper.net

Lou Berger lberger@labn.net

Secretary:

Matt Hartley mhartley@cisco.com

Interim Meeting – Jan 28th 2016

- Meeting Scope:
 - <draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-ingress-protection>
 - Facilitate discussion on the requirements and the 2 alternatives contained in the draft (and gauge WG consensus).
 - <draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-egress-protection>
 - Facilitate further discussion on the draft and gauge WG consensus.
- Agenda/Minutes:
 - <https://www.ietf.org/proceedings/interim/2016/01/28/teas/proceedings.html>

Interim Meeting – Jan 28th 2016 (Cont..)

- <draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-ingress-protection>
 - Conclusions:
 - Interest in ensuring that a particular problem is documented.
 - No support (at this time) for new Standards Track mechanisms.
 - Proposals:
 - Use -03 rev as the foundation for the next rev (Intended Status: Experimental).
 - Have the WG agree on a brief description of the problem to be solved.
 - Add text in the draft summarizing why existing RFC mechanisms do not suffice.
 - Add text in the draft summarizing other rejected non-standard approaches.
- <draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-egress-protection>
 - Discussion deferred (lack of time).

Document Status – RFCs

- Recent RFCs:
 - RFC 7709 <draft-ietf-teas-fast-lsps-requirements>
 - RFC 7823 <draft-ietf-teas-te-express-path>
- RFC-Editor's Queue:
 - draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-te-domain-subobjects
- IESG Processing:
 - draft-ietf-teas-interconnected-te-info-exchange-04
 - draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-te-srlg-collect -04
- New Errata:
 - None

Document Status – Post/Pre WG Last Call

- Pre WG LC
 - draft-ietf-teas-p2mp-loose-path-reopt
(recently updated, on agenda)
 - draft-ietf-teas-te-metric-recording
(recently updated, please review and comment)
 - draft-ietf-teas-lsp-diversity
(ready, per authors)
- In WG LC
 - none

Liaisons and Communications

- Sent 2015-12-02: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/liaison/1454/>
 - *In Response to Broadband Forum Liaison: Achieving Packet Network Optimization using DWDM Interfaces*
 - Response driven by CCAMP chairs
 - To Broadband Forum
- Sent 2016-02-02: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/liaison/1445/>
 - *Response to 18 Dec 2016 liaison concerning: Achieving Packet Network Optimization using DWDM Interfaces*
 - Response driven by CCAMP chairs
 - To Broadband Forum
- General comment
 - Please review incoming documents as received and comment on teas@ietf.org

Reminder: IPR Process

- Process put in place as a result of very late IPR disclosures
- Includes
 - Polling of draft authors & contributors
 - Prior to moving to next step in WG process
 - e.g., before an individual draft becomes a WG document or a WG document goes to last call
 - Requires IPR compliance statement from ***all*** listed in draft

Reminder - Utilizing Mailing List

- We strongly encourage the mailing list to be more actively used for all Working Group discussions
 - Open issues
 - Introducing new drafts
 - Potential new Working Group topics
- Reminder – Working Group Consensus is determined on the mailing list
- Meeting time is scheduled relative to mailing list discussion

Joint Session

- Joint with MPLS/PCE/CCAMP
- YANG focused

Tuesday, April 5th, 2016

17:40 - 19:10 - Tuesday Afternoon Session III

Room: Atlantico B