

Yang Model for PCEP

draft-pkd-pce-pcep-yang-05

Dhruv Dhody

Huawei

Jonathan Hardwick

Metaswitch

Vishnu Pavan Beeram

Juniper

Zafar Ali

Cisco

Jeff Tantsura

Ericsson

Introduction

A YANG data model for
the management of
PCEP

- Includes configuration data
and operational state

Base PCEP specification
as per RFC 5440 and
some extensions

- Stateful PCE

Recent Changes

Last presented in Prague (-03 version);
updated based on feedback -

Description for LSP-DB	Timestamp for session creation	All stats under a container “pcep-stats”	Removed “entity-addr” from all notification
------------------------	--------------------------------	--	---

Thanks Oscar!

Stateful PCE's LSP-DB

```

module: ietf-te
  +-rw te!
    +-ro lsps-state
      +-ro lsp*
        [source destination tunnel-id lsp-id extended-tunnel-id type]
          +-ro source           inet:ip-address
          +-ro destination       inet:ip-address
          +-ro tunnel-id         uint16
          +-ro lsp-id            uint16
          +-ro extended-tunnel-id  inet:ip-address
          +-ro type              identityref
          +-ro oper-status?
          +-ro origin-type?
          +-ro lsp-resource-status?
          +-ro lsp-protection-role?
          +-ro lsp-operational-status?
          +-ro lsp-record-route
            +-ro record-route-subobjects* [subobject-index]
            +-ro subobject-index   uint32
            +-ro (type)?
              +-:(ipv4-address)
                +-ro v4-address?     inet:ipv4-address
                +-ro v4-prefix-length?  uint8
                +-ro v4-flags?        uint8
              +-:(ipv6-address)
                +-ro v6-address?     inet:ipv6-address
                +-ro v6-prefix-length?  uint8
                +-ro v6-flags?        uint8
              +-:(label)
                +-ro value?          uint32
                +-ro flags?          uint8
            +-ro te-dev:lsp-timers
              +-ro te-dev:life-time?  uint32
              +-ro te-dev:time-to-install?  uint32
              +-ro te-dev:time-to-die?   uint32
            +-ro te-dev:downstream-info
              +-ro te-dev:nhop?       inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:outgoing-interface? if:interface-ref
              +-ro te-dev:neighbor?    inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:label?       uint32
            +-ro te-dev:upstream-info
              +-ro te-dev:phop?       inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:neighbor?    inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:label?       uint32

```

```

  +-ro lsp-db {stateful}?
    +-ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id]
      +-ro plsp-id          uint32
      +-ro pcc-id           inet:ip-address
      +-ro admin-state?     boolean
      +-ro operational-state?  operational-state
      +-ro delegated
        +-ro enabled?      boolean
        +-ro pce?          leafref
        +-ro srp-id?       uint32
      +-ro symbolic-path-name? string
      +-ro last-error?     lsp-error

```

- o LSP-DB in PCEP yang with PCEP specific attributes
- o Generic LSP state in ietf-te
- o Device specific LSP state in ietf-te-device
- o add leafref in PCEP yang to ietf-te₄ lsp state

Stateful PCE's LSP-DB - proposed

```
+--ro lsp-db {stateful}?
|  +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id]
|    +--ro plsp-id          uint32
|    +--ro pcc-id           inet:ip-address
|    +--ro lsp-ref
|      |  +--ro source?       leafref
|      |  +--ro destination? leafref
|      |  +--ro tunnel-id?   leafref
|      |  +--ro lsp-id?       leafref
|      |  +--ro extended-tunnel-id? leafref
|      |  +--ro type?         leafref
|      +--ro admin-state?   boolean
|      +--ro operational-state? operational-sta
|      +--ro delegated
|        +--ro enabled?     boolean
|        +--ro pce?          leafref
|        +--ro srp-id?       uint32
|        +--ro symbolic-path-name? string
|        +--ro last-error?   lsp-error
```

leafref to ietf-te

```
container lsp-ref{
  description
    "reference to ietf-te lsp state";
  leaf source {
    type leafref {
      path "/te:te/te:lsp-state/te:lsp/te:source";
    }
    description
      "Tunnel sender address extracted from
      SENDER_TEMPLATE object";
    reference "RFC3209";
  }
  leaf destination {
    type leafref {
      path "/te:te/te:lsp-state/te:lsp/te:destination";
    }
    description
      "Tunnel endpoint address extracted from
      SESSION object";
    reference "RFC3209";
  }
}
```

Open Issue

Mark tunnels to
be delegated at
PCC in config
model

Mark tunnels as
PCE-initiated
tunnel in config
model

***Add to ietf-te
yang config
model?***

Yang Model Arrangement?

PCEP-Yang

- Config container has ‘intended-config’
- State container has both ‘applied-config’ and ‘derived state’

TE-Yang

- Follows the OpenConfig suggestion
- Maintain ‘intended-config’ and ‘applied-config’ together

Is it upto each module author to decide?

Next...

PCEP Security

- Including TLS

Segment Routing

Association

- Request to authors of various extension to help with updating yang model
- Add details in manageability considerations for Yang model for ongoing work
- Yang: <https://github.com/dhruvdhody-huawei/pcep-yang/blob/master/ietf-pcep.yang>
- PCE WG Adoption call?

Thanks!