

Yang Model for PCEP

`draft-pkd-pce-pcep-yang-05`

Dhruv Dhody	Huawei
Jonathan Hardwick	Metaswitch
Vishnu Pavan Beeram	Juniper
Zafar Ali	Cisco
Jeff Tantsura	Ericsson

Introduction

A YANG data model
for the
management of
PCEP

- Includes configuration
data and operational
state

Base PCEP
specification as per
RFC 5440 and
some extensions

- Stateful PCE

Recent Changes

Last presented in Prague (-03 version); updated based on feedback -

Description for LSP-DB

Timestamp for session creation

All stats under a container “pcep-stats”

Removed “entity-addr” from all notification

Thanks Oscar!

Stateful PCE's LSP-DB

```
module: ietf-te
++-rw te!
  +-ro lsps-state
    +-ro lsp*
      [source destination tunnel-id lsp-id extended-tunnel-id type]
        +-ro source          inet:ip-address
        +-ro destination     inet:ip-address
        +-ro tunnel-id       uint16
        +-ro lsp-id          uint16
        +-ro extended-tunnel-id  inet:ip-address
        +-ro type            identityref
        +-ro oper-status?
        +-ro origin-type?
        +-ro lsp-resource-status?
        +-ro lsp-protection-role?
        +-ro lsp-operational-status?
        +-ro lsp-record-route
          +-ro record-route-subobjects* [subobject-index]
            +-ro subobject-index  uint32
            +-ro (type)?
              +-:(ipv4-address)
                +-ro v4-address?    inet:ipv4-address
                +-ro v4-prefix-length?  uint8
                +-ro v4-flags?      uint8
              +-:(ipv6-address)
                +-ro v6-address?    inet:ipv6-address
                +-ro v6-prefix-length?  uint8
                +-ro v6-flags?      uint8
              +-:(label)
                +-ro value?         uint32
                +-ro flags?         uint8
            +-ro te-dev:lsp-timers
              +-ro te-dev:life-time?   uint32
              +-ro te-dev:time-to-install?  uint32
              +-ro te-dev:time-to-die?    uint32
            +-ro te-dev:downstream-info
              +-ro te-dev:nhop?        inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:outgoing-interface? if:interface-ref
              +-ro te-dev:neighbor?    inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:label?       uint32
            +-ro te-dev:upstream-info
              +-ro te-dev:phop?        inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:neighbor?    inet:ip-address
              +-ro te-dev:label?       uint32
```

```
+-ro lsp-db {stateful}?
  +-ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id]
    +-ro plsp-id          uint32
    +-ro pcc-id           inet:ip-address
    +-ro admin-state?    boolean
    +-ro operational-state?  operational-state
    +-ro delegated
      +-ro enabled?      boolean
      +-ro pce?          leafref
      +-ro srp-id?       uint32
    +-ro symbolic-path-name?  string
    +-ro last-error?    lsp-error
```

- o LSP-DB in PCEP yang with PCEP specific attributes
- o Generic LSP state in ietf-te
- o Device specific LSP state in ietf-te-device
- o add leafref in PCEP yang to₄ ietf-te lsp state

Stateful PCE's LSP-DB - proposed

```
+--ro lsp-db {stateful}?
|  +-+ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id]
|    +-+ro plsp-id          uint32
|    +-+ro pcc-id          inet:ip-address
|    +-+ro lsp-ref
|      |  +-+ro source?      leafref
|      |  +-+ro destination? leafref
|      |  +-+ro tunnel-id?   leafref
|      |  +-+ro lsp-id?      leafref
|      |  +-+ro extended-tunnel-id? leafref
|      |  +-+ro type?        leafref
|      +-+ro admin-state?   boolean
|      +-+ro operational-state? operational-sta
|      +-+ro delegated
|        |  +-+ro enabled?   boolean
|        |  +-+ro pce?       leafref
|        |  +-+ro srp-id?   uint32
|      +-+ro symbolic-path-name? string
|      +-+ro last-error?    lsp-error
```

leafref to ietf-te

```
container lsp-ref{
  description
    "reference to ietf-te lsp state";
  leaf source {
    type leafref {
      path "/te:te/te:lsp-state/te:lsp/te:source";
    }
    description
      "Tunnel sender address extracted from
      SENDER_TEMPLATE object";
    reference "RFC3209";
  }
  leaf destination {
    type leafref {
      path "/te:te/te:lsp-state/te:lsp/te:destination";
    }
    description
      "Tunnel endpoint address extracted from
      SESSION object";
    reference "RFC3209";
  }
}
```

Open Issue

Mark tunnels
to be
delegated at
PCC in config
model

Mark tunnels
as PCE-
initiated
tunnel in
config model

***Add to ietf-
te yang
config
model?***

Yang Model Arrangement?

PCEP-Yang

- Config container has ‘intended-config’
- State container has both ‘applied-config’ and ‘derived state’

Is it upto each module author to decide?

TE-Yang

- Follows the OpenConfig suggestion
- Maintain ‘intended-config’ and ‘applied-config’ together

Next...

PCEP Security

- Including TLS

Segment Routing

Association

- Request to authors of various extension to help with updating yang model
- Add details in manageability considerations for Yang model for ongoing work
- Yang: <https://github.com/dhruvdhody-huawei/pcep-yang/blob/master/ietf-pce.p.yang>
- **PCE WG Adoption call?**

Thanks!