# Multi Level/Topology TRILL

Mingui Zhang, Donald Eastlake, Radia Perlman, Margaret Cullen, Hongjun Zhai

zhangmingui@huawei.com, d3e3e3@gmail.com

#### MULTI LEVEL/TOPOLOGY TRILL DRAFT STATUS

#### **Draft Status**

- Past WG Last Call informational
  - draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-multilevel-01
- WG Drafts
  - draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-multi-topology-01
  - draft-ietf-trill-multilevel-single-nickname-01
- New Personal Draft
  - draft-zhang-trill-multilevel-unique-nickname-00

#### **Multi-Level Drafts**

- draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-multilevel-01
  - Informational: Advantages of and options for TRILL support of multi-level (IS-IS) routing.
  - Main option is unique RBridge nicknames across the campus versus aggregated nicknames for Level 1 areas.
    - Unique nicknames simpler for border RBridges. OK for smaller campuses but can exhaust nicknames in a huge campus
    - Aggregated nicknames solve nickname exhaustion but probably requires fast path changes in border Rbridges.
    - This draft recommends supporting hybrid use of both unique and aggregated nicknames in a campus.

#### **Hybrid Multi-level Campus**



#### **Standards Track Drafts**

- draft-ietf-trill-multilevel-single-nickname-01
  - Proposed Standard: Specifies a method of <u>aggregation</u> with Level 1 areas identified by the set of border RBridges.
- draft-zhang-trill-multilevel-unique-nickname-00
  - Proposed Standard: Specifies a multilevel method with <u>unique</u> nicknames across Level 1 areas and the level 2 area.
- draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-multi-topology-01
  - Proposed Standard. Specifies a method of applying IS-IS multi-topology to TRILL.

#### **Standards Track Drafts**

- draft-ietf-trill-multilevel-single-nickname-01
  - Proposed Standard: Specifies a method of <u>aggregation</u> with Level 1 areas identified by the set of border RBridges.
- draft-zhang-trill-multilevel-unique-nickname-00
  - Proposed Standard: Specifies a multilevel method with unique nicknames across Level 1 areas and the level 2 area.
- draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-multi-topology-01
  - Proposed Standard. Specifies a method of applying IS-IS multi-topology to TRILL.

#### MULTI LEVEL/TOPOLOGY TRILL DRAFT-ZHANG-TRILL-MULTILEVEL-UNIQUE-NICKNAME

## **TRILL Multilevel**

- The major issue of TRILL multilevel is how to manage RBridge nicknames.
  - Unique nickname approach
    - No nickname duplication across L1/L2.



#### Nickname announcement

- The border RBridge needs to announce nicknames
  - In L1, as if it owns all nicknames outside this area
    In L2, as if it owns all nicknames inside the area
- A route to a nickname in another area will be calculated "segment by segment".

[L1 Segment] RB27->RBx->RBz->RB2

[L2 Segment] RB2->RBb->RBc->RBd->RBe->RB3

[L1 Segment] RB3->RBk->RB44

#### Unitcast routing



- RB27
  - Learned D is attached to RB44
  - Encapsulates the packet [ingress=27,egress=44]
  - Routes to RB2 since RB2 announced in Area X it also owns RB44
- RB2
  - Routes to RB3 in L2 since RB3 announced in L2 it owns RB44
- RB3
  - Routes to RB44 in Area Y
- RB44
  - Decapsulates and learn S is attached to RB27

## Multicast routing

- Local distribution tree
  - With an L1 root nickname, advertised by the border RBridge with the highest root priority.
  - Multicast routing as specified in RFC 6325
  - A multi-destination packet on a local tree MUST NOT be leaked into Level 2
- Global distribution tree
  - With an L2 root nickname, also advertised by the border RBridge with the highest root priority.
  - The global distribution is calculated "segment by segment".

#### Global distribution tree, different views



## **Multicast forwarding**

- RB27
  - Produces a multi-destination packet [M = 1, ingress=27,egress=3]
  - Floods the packet using the segment in Area X.
- RB2
  - Floods the packet using the segment in Level 2.
- RB3
  - Floods the packet using the segment in Area Y.
- RB44
  - As a multicast listener, RB44 decapsulates the multi-destination packet.
  - Learns that S is attached to nickname 27.

# Mix Unique/Aggregated Areas

- We know
  - Nickname reuse is allowed between aggregated areas.
  - Nickname reuse is NOT allowed between unique areas.
- Question
  - Whether nickname reuse is allowed between unique and aggregated areas?
  - The answer is NO!!



#### Next steps

- Ask for WG adoption f draft-zhang-trillmultilevel-unique-nicknam
- Incorporate comments we receive.



#### Mingui Zhang, Donald Eastlake, Radia Perlman, Margaret Cullen, Hongjun Zhai

zhangmingui@huawei.com, d3e3e3@gmail.com