XRBLOCK
@ IETF 95
Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dan Romascanu

Shida Schubert

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Administrative Tasks

- Blue sheets
- Two note takers
- Jabber scribe

Agenda

- 1. Note Well, Note Takers, Jabber Scribes, Agenda Bashing - Chairs (5 min)
- 2. XRBLOCK WG Status Update - Chairs (5 min)
- 3. WebRTC Statistics API I-D - Varun (10 min)
- 4, Independent Reporting of Burst/Gap Discard Metric I-D - Varun (10 min)
- 5. Status of Loss Concealment Metrics Reporting on Video Applications I-D - Rachel (10 min)
- 6. Next Steps

WG Progress (Since IETF94)

- **In IESG Processing**
  - draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcp-xr-video-lc-06 – in IESG review, one DISCUSS, IPR Disclosure issue

- **In the WG**
  - draft-ietf-xrblock-rtcweb-rtcp-xr-metrics-03 - revised after WGLC, ready for submission to the IESG?
  - draft-ietf-xrblock-independent-burst-gap-discard-01 - - revised after WGLC, ready for submission to the IESG?

- Adopted as WG draft
- Reviewed by Dan Romascanu, SDP and PM-Dir
  - Thanks for the reviews

- Ready to IETF LC

- Sent liaison statement to W3C
  - Was discussed by the authors, https://github.com/w3c/webrtc-stats/issues/25

  "The extension mechanism needs to ensure that if new stats overlap with what's defined in xr-blocks, we should make sure the definitions (and, if possible, names) match."

- Review by Colin on the status of the metrics. Added to introduction:

  All identifiers proposed in this document are RECOMMENDED to be implemented by an endpoint. An endpoint MAY choose not to expose an identifier if it does not implement the corresponding RTCP Report.

- Comments? If none, send for IETF LC.