draft-bchv-rfc6890bis-00.txt

Updating RFC 6890

Ron Bonica Michelle Cotton Brian Haberman Leo Vegoda

Why Update 6890?

- Nebulous definition of "global" has caused implementation issues.
 - * Affects the view of Unique Local Addresses (RFC 4193).
- * Pick up errata filed against 6890.

No Crisp Definition of Global

- * RFC 4291 says most of the IPv6 address space is reserved for Global Unicast addresses.
- * ULAs are drawn from the global unicast address space.
- * RFC 4193 says:
 - ULAs are a globally unique prefix
 - * In practice, applications may treat these addresses like global scoped addresses.
- * 6890 says:
 - * Global A boolean value indicating whether an IP datagram whose destination address is drawn from the allocated special-purpose address block is forwardable beyond a specified administrative domain.
 - * Global = False, Forwardable = True for ULAs

Errata Points Out Inconsistency

- * Other address types affected as well...
 - * Erratum 3921 points out related issue with TEREDO's value of *Global*.

* Primary issue is the subtle difference between globally unique and globally reachable.

Proposed Changes in 6890bis

- * Change column name from Global to Globally Reachable
 - Captures the intent of the column
 - Avoids conflict with ULAs being drawn from global unicast space
- * Mark TEREDO as *Globally Reachable* = N/A and add footnote for more details (matches 6to4)
- * Mark ULAs as *Globally Reachable* = *False* and add footnote for more details (point to routing discussion in RFC 4193).

Next Steps

- Need review and discussion on these proposed changes.
- * This draft is clearly of interest to 6man participants, but scope of 6890 spans many areas.
 - * RFC 6890 was AD-sponsored.
- * Will probably ask intarea WG to host discussion.
- * Solicit an INT AD to sponsor.

* Question?