

# Welcome to Berlin!



IETF 96 July 2016

# Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#)All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and [RFC 3979](#)All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult [RFC 5378](#)Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and [RFC 3979](#) for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

# Agenda

**15:40-15:50 Administrivia: agenda bashing & note well**

**15:50-17:00 ACME issue review and prep for WGLC**

**17:00-17:40 What's next -- WG post-IESG review**

Close down or recharter once ACME v1 is done?

Let this work settle into deployment, and figure out what needs to be done after this has been in deployment for a while. Charter new working group once that is clear.

There are other potential use cases for automated certificate management known now--recharter to handle those and remain available for spec bugs & potential bis.