DNS Operations

1

IETF 96, Berlin 18 July 2016

Welcome to DNSOP

Chairs:

Tim Wicinski <tjw.ietf@gmail.com> Suzanne Woolf <suzworldwide@gmail.com>

Jabber Room:

<u>dnsop@ietf.jabber.org</u>
 Scribe: Dan York

Minutes:

http://tools.ietf.org/wg/dnsop/minutes Minutes: Paul Hoffman

• Note Well.

• Blue Sheets.

• Agenda Bashing.

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other
 list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> (updated by <u>RFC 4879</u>).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Blue Sheets

• Please fill these in.

Agenda

- Agenda Bashing, Blue Sheets, etc
- Updates of Old Work, Chairs
- Current Working Group Business
- New Working Group Business
- Yes, A Special Names Discussion

Document Updates

6

Since Our Last Meeting

- draft-ietf-dnsop-edns-chain-query RFC7901
- draft-ietf-dnsop-cookies RFC7873
- draft-ietf-dnsop-edns-client-subnet RFC7871
 - with ERRATA

Document Status

In IESG Evaluation

- draft-ietf-dnssec-roadblock-avoidance
 - Authors have a small update to move forward.
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-maintain-ds
 - Edits to formalize updates to 1034/2308
 - draft-ietf-nxdomain-cut
 - Now in IETF Last Call
- Waiting
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-isp-ip6rdns
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-no-response-issue

Document Status

- What's next in the WGLC pipeline
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-resolver-priming
 - final updates
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-refuse-any
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-edns-key-tag
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-rfc2317bis
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-attrleaf

Document Status

- Current Call for Adoption:
 - draft-song-dns-wireformat-http

• ZSK and KSK changes to the Root Zone, Matt Larson

- Update on DNS Terminology-bis, Hoffman
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-terminology-bis

What Else Are We Working On?

https://svn.tools.ietf.org/svn/wg/dnsop/doclist.html

Thanks again to Paul Hoffman for keeping us on track

Agenda

Current Working Group Business

draft-ietf-dnsop-nsec-aggressiveuse, Kumari

DNS over TCP/TLS Implementation, Dickson

Agenda

New Working Group Business

draft-bellis-dnsop-session-signal, Bellis

draft-wkumari-dnsop-multiple-responses, Hardaker draft-bellis-dnsext-multi-qtypes, Bellis

draft-woodworth-bulk-rr, Woolworth

draft-muks-dnsop-dns-catalog-zones, Chairs

draft-york-dnsop-deploying-dnssec-crypto-algs (Slides in the meeting materials)

Update: Special Use Names

- After RFC 7686 (".onion") the chairs asked for a problem statement
 - We have two. They've converged, some.
 - Differences in perspective have been hard to reconcile
 - People are tired
- The reasons haven't gone away
 - This work is on our charter
 - We have a use case within the IETF (Homenet)
 - Unpark alt-tld? (draft-ietf-dnsop-alt-tld)

Next Steps

- Today
 - Update on problem statement drafts
 - Update on alt-tld
 - We parked it until we could justify advancing it
 - Do we have a clear enough story?
 - We're going to have to choose a problem statement; chairs will manage that on the list
 - Once there's a WG problem statement
 - Start looking at solutions (what does "good" look like?)
 - Is there follow-on work? Does DNSOP want to do it?