Draft Motivation and Goals

• Create a Reference Document for I2NSF Discussions
  – Ensure that I2NSF does not clash with accepted applicable terminology from relevant domains
  – Ensure that terminology is consistent and well-defined

• Provide a Set of Common Terms and Definitions
  – Define what we know now
  – Obtain WG consensus on terms to move forward

• Methodology
  – Created by scanning existing work looking for key terms
  – Ensure that all key terms have consistent definitions

• Homework from IETF95
  – Talk to SACM and come up with shared terminology

• This is an Informational Draft
Key Changes

• Virtualization Terms Have Ceased to Be
  – Inspired by Monty Python!

• Imported Key SACM Terms
  – Assertion, Authentication, Authorization

• Key Terms Based on SACM
  – Controller, Control Plane, I2NSF Capability

• Key SACM Definitions Based on I2NSF Definitions
  – Capability, SACM Component, SACM Interface, SACM Role

• More Work from SACM-I2NSF Coming Soon!
Homework from Our WG Chairs

- **Consumer vs. Client vs. Requester**
  - Draft current uses Consumer, and says that Client points to Consumer
  - Rationale: from I2NSF list discussion, client has unfortunate implications

- **Producer vs Controller**
  - Draft current uses Producer, and says that Controller points to Producer
  - Rationale: from I2NSF list discussion, producer-consumer was preferred; Controller implies SDN only

- **Capability Interface vs. Capability Layer**
  - Draft current uses Capability Interface; Layer > /dev/null

- **Service Interface vs. Service Layer**
  - Draft current uses Capability Interface; Layer > /dev/null
Next Steps

• Update Regularly as Needed
  – Scan new work and ensure that no ambiguities exist

• Encourage WG Participation
  – We welcome suggestions of WG participants
Questions?

“Create like a god. Command like a king. Work like a slave”
- Constantin Brancusi