

YANG Usage Guidelines

draft-ietf-netmod-rfc6087bis-07
NETMOD WG
IETF #96 Berlin

Andy Bierman <andy@yumaworks.com>

v0.1

Agenda

- Issue Tracker Status
- Changes in Draft -07
- Next Steps

Current Issue Tracker Status

<input type="checkbox"/>	 Tree guidelines NEW #39 opened 7 days ago by bclaise
<input type="checkbox"/>	 contact statement REVIEW #38 opened 11 days ago by abierman
<input type="checkbox"/>	 EXAMPLE-BEGINS REVIEW #37 opened 11 days ago by abierman
<input type="checkbox"/>	 add guideline on top-level objects REVIEW #36 opened on Jun 9 by abierman
<input type="checkbox"/>	 add guideline on container parent for each list REVIEW #35 opened on Jun 8 by abierman
<input type="checkbox"/>	 guidelines on union types to be encoding neutral REVIEW #34 opened on May 21 by abierman
<input type="checkbox"/>	 add guideline on avoiding duplicate deviation targets REVIEW #33 opened on Apr 28 by abierman
<input type="checkbox"/>	 add guidelines on open vs. closed system REVIEW #32 opened on Mar 28 by abierman
<input type="checkbox"/>	 guideline against nodes 'reserved for future use' REVIEW #31 opened on Mar 27 by abierman

EXAMPLE BEGINS

- EXAMPLE BEGINS / ENDS removed from draft-07
- Mailing list discussion revealed the extraction tools are buggy and need to be told what to extract
 - Draft-08 will say full example modules MUST use CODE BEGINS / ENDS
- Tools need to be improved so they only extract modules within <CODE BEGINS> <CODE ENDS>

New Issue #39 Tree Guidelines

- There are some guidelines already in the draft. This is good. However, a couple of points.
-
- Section 4.3. I would help the reader and provide "pyang -f tree "
- If the module is composed of groupings only, include the output of `pyang -f tree --tree-print-groupings` . Actually, should we always include the groupings in the draft?
- I'm not clear on the notification guidelines? Include or not? Should pyang be updated to produce the right output?
- What if the YANG tree diagrams guidelines change in the future? Should we include the output of pyang that generate this output?

New Issue: YANG 1.1

- Will add guideline to use YANG 1.1 if needed and OK to use YANG 1.0 if no new features needed
 - Will end up updating everything that needs to import a YANG 1.1 module
 - We will have to repeat this republish effort every time YANG is updated

Ongoing Issue: Data Organization

- Draft DOES NOT SAY USE foo-state and foo containers
 - It says this is allowed, so it one subtree
 - No easy rule: Designer Clue required
 - Data organization is subjective and we will not likely agree on all of it
 - Real classification mechanisms should be used instead
 - Multi-purpose modules cannot be rooted in multiple places

Change Log from Draft-07

- update contact statement guideline
- update example modules guidelines
- add guidelines on top-level data nodes
- add guideline on use of NP containers
- added guidelines on union types
- add guideline on deviations
- added section on open systems considerations
- added guideline about definitions reserved for future use

Next Steps

- Update draft with guidelines for new and open issues
- Stop the feature-creep and start a WGLC already
- WG agreed this draft would Last Call when YANG 1.1 is done
 - YANG 1.1 has been done for months
- YANG Doctors Wiki will be used to capture guidelines from now on