stir-rfc4474bis

STIR WG / IETF 96 Berlin, July 2016 Jon

Going, going, gone?

- In WGLC at the moment
- Some list discussion, some private correspondence
 - Bad dates
 - "canon" or something else
 - PAI: baseline or an extension
 - Diversion
 - Housekeeping

Bad dates

- How to respond when a Date header is stale
 - Signature is valid, Date is bad
 - Could send, like, a 400 Bad Request with an appropriate reason phrase
 - Really, this is
 - Could make up a new status code
 - Better chance it could be repaired
- Remember some Dates changed in transit
 - If you do that, you are bad and you should feel bad

"canon" or something else

- Some last minute concerns about "canon"
 - Does SIP really even carry a PASSporT object?
 - Or just the data needed to let a verifier make one
- Two other paths:
 - Should we always include the whole PASSporT?
 - Some list discussion
 - If not, should we use the baseline RFC7515 Appendix F mechanism?
 - Seems to only let us omit the claims, not the header
- Whatever we do, we need some motivation text
 - And at least one solid "canon" example

PAI as an extension?

- Today, "orig" can come from From or PAI
 - If PAI is in the request, take it from PAI
- One implementer commented that this might be better as a "ppt" extension
 - It would be explicit then rather than
 - Just have an extra claim for PAI, so we'd cover both it and the
 From
- Why not?
 - Our motto: any environment where you send PAI knows to use
 PAI already 4474bis reflects that
- My proposal: no change

Diversion

- Got one comment about how to handle forwarding and other cases where the effective "To" changes
 - A known limitation in the mechanism
 - In baseline SIP, of course, the Request-URI changes, not the To
- But, this is still worth thinking about
 - Could conceivably have a "ppt" extension
 - Add a new ppt Identity header with an OCN field
 - Possibly even chainable a la History-Info

Housekeeping



- Should we add a terminology section?
- Got some good nits from Olle