Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications



Net Neutrality Guidelines

Volker Sypli (BNetzA), Ahmed Aldabbagh (Ofcom), Ben Wallis (Ofcom), Frode Sørensen (Nkom)

96th IETF meeting, Berlin, Transport Area Open Meeting, 18 July 2016

Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications

What is **BEREC**?

- Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications
- Established by Regulation (EC) No 1211/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009, as part of the Telecom Reform package
- Successor to European Regulators Group (ERG), established in 2002 as advisory group to the EC

Main role

- Assists the EC and the national regulatory authorities (NRAs) in implementing the EU regulatory framework for electronic communications
- Provides advice on request and on its own initiative to European institutions

Guidelines background and next steps

- Implementation of European Net Neutrality Rules by National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs)
- Guidelines not about creating new rules; rather, about providing guidance on the regulatory implementation of existing rules
- Draft Guidelines are currently under public consultation (until 18. July)
- Final publication of the BEREC Guidelines by end of August
- In the future BEREC will review and update the Guidelines as and when it considers it to be appropriate
- BEREC workprogram foresees the development of measurement methodologies for monitoring of QoS and NN regulations (NN assessment toolkit)



Transparency requirements

Guidelines set out best practices which NRAs should follow

- Information, for consumers and otherwise, should be easily accessible, accurate, meaningful, comparable
- Information should cover:
 - > Any traffic management measures used, and any impact on the end-user
 - Complaint-handling procedures
 - Data caps
 - Speeds (different metrics depending on fixed and mobile)
- Guidelines provide high-level definitions of speeds (minimum, maximum, normally available, advertised speeds)

Traffic management (TM)

- 'Traffic management' = the way traffic is forwarded in networks
- As long as traffic management is done independently of applications and end-users, the traffic is normally considered to be treated equally
- As a second step, the Regulation allows "reasonable traffic management" which may be used to differentiate between "categories of traffic"
- As a third step, the Regulation describes three specific exceptions which are allowed under stricter conditions

Reasonable and exceptional TM

- Reasonable traffic management
 - Categories of traffic could e.g. be defined by reference to application layer protocol or generic application type, but only in so far as:
 - i. this is linked to objectively different technical QoS requirements
 - ii. applications with equivalent requirements are handled in the same category
 - iii. the justification given is relevant to the category of traffic in question
 - NRAs should ensure such measures do not monitor specific content
- Exceptional traffic management
 - a) Compliance with other laws
 - b) Preservation of network integrity and security
 - c) Congestion management measures



Specialised services

Examples that may be considered specialised services:

- VoLTE (high-quality voice calling on mobile networks)
- Linear (live) broadcasting IPTV services with specific quality requirements
- Real-time remote health services

Specialised services must meet requirements of:

- Necessity are they necessary to meet requirements for a specific level of quality?
- Capacity is network capacity sufficient that quality of internet access services is not degraded?

Role of the regulators

- Supervision
 - Monitoring contract information, commercial practices, traffic management practices and specialised services
 - By means of assessment of practices in the market, technical measurements, information-gathering
- Enforcement, e.g.
 - Requiring ISPs to deal with degradation of Internet access services
 - Requiring ISPs to cease or revise problematic traffic management practices
 - Requiring ISPs to cease providing specialised services in absence of sufficient capacity for Internet access services
 - Imposing fines on ISPs



- Specify common set of measurement methodologies
 - Allowing comparable results
 - Assessment of contracted quality (Internet access as a whole)
 - Measurement parameters and measurement reference points
 - \succ Applicable to any access technology

Technical Challenges for Regulators

- Assessing traffic management methods and possible NN conflicts
 - Detecting deliberate throttling of applications versus temporal congestion
 - Different treatment of categories of traffic within Internet access services, strategies implemented in ISPs' networks
 - \succ Effects on end-to-end quality of applications
 - Influence of different protocols
 - Source of "throttling" client, server, network



Specialised services versus Internet access

- Assessing whether network capacity is sufficient to provide specialised services in addition to any internet access services provided
- Detection of possible degradation of Internet access quality
- Long-term supervision of Internet access versus specialised services



Thank you!

Tomorrow's IPPM WG meeting: BEREC NN QoS overview