A Lower Effort Per-Hop-Behavior draft-bless-tsvwg-le-phb-00

Roland Bless,

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

bless@kit.edu

Motivation

- First idea around since 1999 (lower than best-effort PHB draft-bless-diffserv-lbe-phb)

- Main objective: protect best-effort traffic from Lower Effort (LE) traffic
  - LE for low priority background traffic, e.g., backups, web crawler, software updates and OS upgrades
  - Easy to deploy: no parameters, no traffic conditioning necessary, no trust issues
  - Internet-2 came up with QBone Scavenger Service 2001

- After some discussion in DiffServ WG: RFC 3662 „A Lower Effort Per-Domain Behavior for Differentiated Services" (2003)

Why again?

- **Wrong choice of DiffServ Codepoint (DSCP)**
  - RFC 3662 suggested to re-use AF PHB or CS-1 (Class Selector 1) – normally (by RFC 2474) means better than Best-Effort (BE)
  - RFC 4594 recommended to use CS-1
- **Unclear whether CS-1 is actually treated better or worse than BE in a DS domain**
- **Issues came up with**
  - RTCweb QoS Draft: draft-tsvwg-rtcweb-qos
  - DiffServ Intercon Draft: draft-tsvwg-diffserv-intercon

Actions…

- I-D rephrases RFC 3662 as Per-Hop Behavior
- I-D allocates a dedicated DSCP for LE, e.g., 000010
  - Removes ambiguity
- Would update RFCs 3662, 4594
- Maybe very late changes to
  - draft-ietf-tsvwg-rtcweb-qos
  - draft-ietf-tsvwg-diffserv-intercon
- Will correct, revise and submit v01 draft