MTA Strict Transport Security SMTP TLS Reporting IETF 96 Dan Margolis <dmargolis@google.com>

Current Drafts

- SMTP MTA Strict Transport Security
 - o <u>draft-ietf-uta-mta-sts-01</u>
- SMTP TLS Reporting
 - o draft-ietf-uta-smtp-tlsrpt-01

STS in 60 Seconds...

1. TXT record

\$ dig -t txt +short _mta_sts.example.com.

"v=STSv1\; id=20160707T010757\;"

2. HTTPS endpoint with policy

Semantics:

- HTTPS cert validation
- HSTS-style policy cache
- "Report" or "enforce"

\$ curl https://policy.mta-sts.example.com/.wellknown/mta-sts/current

```
"version": "STSv1",
"mode": "report",
"policy_id": "20160707T010757",
"mx": ["*.mail.example.com"],
"max_age": 123456
```

Draft Version 00 - Closed Issues

Draft Version 00 - Closed Issues <u>https://github.com/mrisher/smtp-sts/milestone/1?closed=1</u> Major changes:

1. Standalone spec for reporting ("TLSRPT")

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-uta-smtp-tlsrpt-01

\$ dig -t txt +short _smtp_tlsrpt.example.com.
"v=TLSRPT1\;rua=mailto:sts-reports@example.com

2. Simplified STS TXT record (no policy, only version)
DNS: "v=STSv1\; id=20160707T010757\;"
HTTPS: {/*...*/ "policy id": "20160707T010757" /*...*/ }

3. Eliminated DNSSEC policy validation, TLSA cert validation See https://github.com/mrisher/smtp-sts/wiki/Why-not-support-DNSSEC

Draft Version 01 - Closed Issues

0	pen 🗸 12 Closed	
ŀ	Does DNS record dtext really need to support uppercase? #56 opened 4 days ago by eyeofthenico	Ç 2
ŀ	max_age specified value should not have double quotes #53 opened 4 days ago by eyeofthenico	
ŀ	max_age should be marked as required #52 opened 4 days ago by eyeofthenico	口 1
ŀ	policy_id should be marked as required #51 opened 4 days ago by eyeofthenico	
ľ	Define the 'rua' parameter in section 3.1.1 #49 opened 5 days ago by Ibaudoin	두 1
ľ	_mta_sts ID field is limited to 20 chars, should be 25 #48 opened 6 days ago by mrisher	0

Draft Version 01 - Closed Issues (cont)

• Draft Version 01 - Closed Issues

ľ	mailto uses wrong syntax in example #47 opened 6 days ago by mrisher	
C	inconsistency around .well-known in the spec #46 opened 6 days ago by mrisher	
C	max_age should not be in quotes #45 opened 6 days ago by mrisher	
ľ	The DNS TXT record is underspecified and unparsable. #44 opened 13 days ago by Ibaudoin	
ſ	Incorrect ABNF character range for 'dtext' #42 opened 22 days ago by Ibaudoin	□ 1
ŀ	Editorial fixes for policy syntax #36 opened on May 10 by danmarg	口1

Open Issues

https://github.com/mrisher/smtp-sts/issues

Questions:

- 1. HTTPS location (policy.mta-sts.example.org vs mta-sts. example.org)?
- 2. Smarthost policy (that of the smarthost owner domain, presumably)?
- 3. Follow HTTPS redirects on policy location?
- 4. Move .well-known/.../current -> .well-known/.../\$id.json?

Other feedback? (Clarity, operational, deployment?)

Known Current Efforts

- Google
 - Policy is live (<u>https://policy.mta-sts.gmail.com/.well-known/mta-sts/current</u>)
 - Send-time policy fetching & validation in progress (target Q3)
- Microsoft
 - Policy publication in progress
- Comcast
 - Policy DNS live; HTTPS in progress
 - Report processing planned
- Yahoo
 - DNS record and policy publication target Q3
 - Report-only mode in progress
- 1&1
 - Report-only mode target this summer
- Others?

TLSRPT in 5 seconds...

1. TXT record —

\$ dig -t txt +short _smtp_tlsrpt.example.com.

2. Reports

"v=TLSRPT1\;rua=mailto:stsreports@example.com"

[{

"result-type":
"StarttlsNotSupported",
"sending-mta-ip": "98.22.33.99",
"receiving-mx-hostname": "mx2.mail.
company-y.com",
}]

References

FAQ: https://github.com/mrisher/smtp-sts/wiki/FAQ (https://git. io/vVW6t)

Why not DNSSEC for policy validation? https://github. com/mrisher/smtp-sts/wiki/Why-not-support-DNSSEC