Recap on version -00

• Initial version based on terms extracted from current ICNRG working documents (approach decided at Buenos Aires):
  • draft-irtf-icnrg-ccnxmessages
  • draft-irtf-icnrg-ccnxsemantics
  • draft-irtf-icnrg-challenges (now RFC)
  • draft-irtf-icnrg-disaster
  • draft-irtf-icnrg-evaluation-methodology (now RFC)
  • draft-irtf-icnrg-videostreaming (now RFC)
  • RFC 7476 – Baseline Scenarios

• Hence the focus on CCN/NDN specific terms

• Submitted before IETF 96 - Berlin
Progress since Berlin

• Received comments on the list regarding both definitions of terms as well as overall scope of the document
  • So far comments by Dirk, Ravi, Börje, Cedric, Marc

• Discussed initial comments during past weeks and (most) definition related remarks will be handled in next version
Progress since Berlin #2

• F2F yesterday afternoon
  • Marc, Alex, Cedric, Börje, Lixia and Bastiaan
  • Mainly discussed comments regarding scoping:
    • “Should the document focus on CCN/NDN, as appears to be the case with version -00? Or should the document be broader?”

• Agreed that we should have one document describing both general ICN terminology as well as terminology used in different architectures
  • Proposal: rearrange this document to start out with a section on CCN and NDN, add sections for other architectures (e.g. NetInf) and the generic part. => Volunteers 😊?
  • Later on we can link and or shift terms as deemed necessary
Next steps

• Latest version on Github: [https://github.com/icnrg/draft-irtf-icnrg-terminology](https://github.com/icnrg/draft-irtf-icnrg-terminology)
  • Incoperate outcome of the F2F scoping discussion
  • Incoperate individual comments on definitions of terms
• Publish new version for IETF 98

• Bi-weekly confcall on Thursday 7-8pm CET via Google Hangouts

• More contributors for other architectures than CCN and NDN and the generic section?