draft-icnrg-terminology-00

https://github.com/icnrg/draft-irtf-icnrg-terminology

Bastiaan Wissingh (TNO), Alex Afanasyev, Lixia Zhang (UCLA), Christopher Wood (PARC Apple), Christian Tschudin (Uni. Of Basel), David Oran

ICNRG – IETF 97 – Seoul

November 18, 2016

Recap on version -00

- Initial version based on terms extracted from current ICNRG working documents (approach decided at Buenos Aires):
 - draft-irtf-icnrg-ccnxmessages
 - draft-irtf-icnrg-ccnxsemantics
 - draft-irtf-icnrg-challenges (now RFC)
 - draft-irtf-icnrg-disaster
 - draft-irtf-icnrg-evaluation-methodology (now RFC)
 - draft-irtf-icnrg-videostreaming (now RFC)
 - RFC 7476 Baseline Scenarios
- Hence the focus on CCN/NDN specific terms
- Submitted before IETF 96 Berlin

Progress since Berlin

- Received comments on the list regarding both definitions of terms as well as overall scope of the document
 - So far comments by Dirk, Ravi, Börje, Cedric, Marc
- Discussed initial comments during past weeks and (most) definition related remarks will be handled in next version

Progress since Berlin

#2

- F2F yesterday afternoon
 - Marc, Alex, Cedric, Börje, Lixia and Bastiaan
 - Mainly discussed comments regarding scoping:
 - "Should the document focus on CCN/NDN, as appears to be the case with version -00? Or should the document be broader?"
 - Agreed that we should have one document describing both general ICN terminology as well as terminology used in different architectures
 - Proposal: rearrange this document to start out with a section on CCN and NDN, add sections for other architectures (e.g. NetInf) and the generic part. => Volunteers ©?
 - Later on we can link and or shift terms as deemed necessary

Next steps

- Latest version on Github: <u>https://github.com/icnrg/draft-irtf-icnrg-terminology</u>
 - Incoperate outcome of the F2F scoping discussion
 - Incoperate individual comments on definitions of terms
- Publish new version for IETF 98
- Bi-weekly confcall on Thursday 7-8pm CET via Google Hangouts
- More contributors for other architectures than CCN and NDN and the generic section?