Hum: TLS Version No.
aka resolving PR#612

Sean Turner & Joe Salowey
@ IETF 97 TLS WG
Proposal: Hum to Pick TLS Version No.

Lingering PR#612 to rebrand TLS 1.3.

Options we’ve seen on list or heard over Soju:

- TLS 1.3  - sheesh this is silly leave it alone we’re not marketing wizards
- TLS 2.0  - come on! we changed the protocol enough that we ought to call it “2.0” vs “2.0” can be confused with SSL 2.0
- TLS 2  - srsly!? drop the minor version
- TLS 4  - skip 3/3.0 to not confuse people with SSL 3.0

This is ONLY about what we call it; we are suggesting NO changes to the bits on the wire and we are NOT going to resubmit as draft-ietf-tls-tls#.
Questions: Review then Hum

If you understand what we’re on about, then please hum for one and only one of the following choices (and yes we know we can’t enforce the hum restriction):

1. Leave it TLS 1.3
2. Rebrand TLS 2.0
3. Rebrand TLS 2
4. Rebrand TLS 4