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Motivations (1)

• Mixed operation problem of multiple IPv6 address generation mechanisms
  • Diversity and flexibility in address generation mechanisms
    • IEEE EUI-64 [RFC2464], CGA [RFC3972], Temporary [RFC4941], Stable, privacy [RFC7217/RFC7943], ...
  • Multiple requirements of address generation
    • Manageability, privacy, transition, traceback, ...
  • For SLAAC
    • Different OSes have different default address generation mechanisms.
    • Some address generation mechanisms are not fit for particular network scenarios.
  • For DHCPv6
    • IA_NA, IA_TA options
    • Requirements exist for DHCPv6 servers to configure address generation mechanism for different local networks
• Conclusion: Mechanisms for configuring address generation mechanisms on requirements are needed.
Motivations (2)

- Synchronization problem with a change in IPv6 addresses
  - Address-related network entities
    - switches, DHCPv6 servers, auditing server, gateway, ...
  - DHCPv6: Active leasequery\(^1\) solves a part of this problem.
  - SLAAC and manual: remains unresolved.

---

1. Address configuration (SLAAC or Manual)
2. Authentication
3. Access control
4. Address Changes
   - Address 1 → Address 2
5. Address configuration (SLAAC or Manual)

---

Re-authentication?

---

\(^1\) RFC7653-DHCPv6 Active Leasequery
Motivations (3)

- Efficiency problem when processing large-scale concurrent IPv6 address requests
  - Although the size of the current subnet is not very large, the address generation function should be designed to accommodate up to $2^{64}$ concurrent address generation requirements.
- DAD method
  - The current DAD process uses multicast NS messages which are handled and forwarded by routers or switches.
  - For large-scale concurrent requests, the concurrent processing mechanism of server clustering techniques can be taken into consideration.
Motivations (4)

- General model problem in introducing external services into the address assignment process
  - As identifiers, IP addresses can be mapped to other requirement spaces to support multiple functions, such as authentication, traceback, transition, and mobility.
  - Some interoperations between DHCP entities and external service entities are designed to provide precise and fine-grained services.

1 RFC7037-RADIUS Option for the DHCPv6 Relay Agent
Design goals and possible solutions

• Goal 1 and Goal 2 (For motivation 1, 2, 3)
  • Addresses in a network should be generated according to the user or network requirements.
  • All types of address allocation information in a network should be within the central management (SLAAC, DHCPv6, and manual).
  • Solution
    • Define "General Address Generation Type" and "Uniform Address Storage Structure".
    • For DHCPv6, define extensions for new DHCP options and exchange process.
    • For SLAAC, modify Prefix Information Option of RA, and DAD process (also for manual configurations).

• Goal 3 (For motivation 4)
  • General uniform protocol extensions and models for introducing external services into the process of address assignment should be built.
  • Solution
    • Define "External Service Request" and "External Service Reply" between DHCPv6 relay/server and External Service Client/Server.
• See more details in draft-ren-dhc-mredhcpv6-00
• Most of the extensions can be achieved by extending DHCPv6 under the premise of changing the current protocols as little as possible.

Comments?
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Why generating addresses according to requirements?

• Different networks scenarios have different requirements.

• More and more address generation mechanisms are designed.

• Introducing external services responds to new requirements and help design new mechanisms.
Just as RFC7217 says,

It should be noted that temporary addresses can be challenging in a number of areas. For example, from a network-management point of view, they tend to increase the complexity of event logging, troubleshooting, enforcement of access controls, and quality of service, etc. As a result, some organizations disable the use of temporary addresses even at the expense of reduced privacy [BROERSMA]. Temporary addresses may also result in increased implementation complexity, which might not be possible or desirable in some implementations (e.g., some embedded devices).
# General Address Generation Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type (N bits)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Related RFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IEEE EUI-64</td>
<td>RFC2464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CGA</td>
<td>RFC3972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Temporary</td>
<td>RFC4941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stable, privacy</td>
<td>RFC7217/RFC7943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^{N-1}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Uniform Address Storage Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>address</td>
<td>IPv6 address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>duid</td>
<td>DHCP unique identifier, see Section 9 of [RFC3315].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iaid</td>
<td>Identity association, see Section 10 of [RFC3315].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valid_lifetime</td>
<td>Length of the lease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expire</td>
<td>Expiration time of the lease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pref_lifetime</td>
<td>Preferred lifetime.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hwaddr</td>
<td>Hardware/MAC address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Synchronization of SLAAC and Manual Configurations

• Option 1
  • All the hosts should support DHCPv6.

• Option 2
  • Hosts only supporting SLAAC should inform central address management entities of their addresses.
  • DHCPv6 is a good example.
Relationship with CASM

• This draft would like to manage all types of the address allocation information in IPv6 networks.

• CASM aims to manage address spaces (IPv4, IPv6, private, multicast, etc.) and design many kinds of interfaces.
Why efficiency problem is a problem?

• IPv6 is designed to have the ability to support a very large subnet (up to $2^{64}$).

• When there are too many hosts requesting for addresses, routers or switches will use more resources to handle and forward DAD messages.
Hosts not supporting extensions

• This problem should be discussed, and we need to think more about it in the mailing list.
• If some of the motivations survive this meeting, this can be designed in details.