# HTTP Random access and live content

Craig Pratt, Barbara Stark, Darshak Thakore

#### New WG draft for "live" random access

- Draft: draft-ietf-httpbis-rand-access-live
- "least" evil of all options
- Use existing "bytes" Range Unit with client chosen "very large" numbers
  - Maintains backward compatibility with existing implementations
  - No change to RFC7233 ABNF
  - "client" driven protocol and "server" indicates support

### How it works

Client uses Range semantics to determine accessible bytes

Indicates representation length unknown

**REQUEST** 

HEAD /my\_resource HTTP/1.1

Range: bytes=0-

**RESPONSE** 

HTTP/1.1 206 Partial Content

Content-Range: bytes 0-99408383/\*

Content-Length: 99398384

Client attempts to "discover" live random access support

Provides "large number" to indicate live random access

Supporting server "echoes" back same "large number"

**REQUEST** 

HEAD /my\_resource HTTP/1.1

Range: bytes=99400000-9223372036854775

**RESPONSE** 

HTTP/1.1 206 Partial Content

Content-Range: bytes 99400000-9223372036854775/\*

Transfer-Encoding: chunked

## "backward" compatibility

• "non supporting" server will respond as per RFC7233

Provides "large number" to indicate live random access Non-supporting server sends back what it can support

**REQUEST** 

HEAD /my\_resource HTTP/1.1

Range: bytes=99400000-9223372036854775

**RESPONSE** 

HTTP/1.1 206 Partial Content

Content-Range: bytes 99400000-**99634867**/\*

Transfer-Encoding: chunked

## "magic number" suggestion

- Suggested on mailing list to specify a very large magic number to indicate live random access support
  - Hard to select a "good" value

## Status

• No "issues" currently reported

• Questions ??