High level guidance for the meeting policy of the IETF

draft-ietf-mtgvenue-meeting-policy-00
Changes since last version

• Removed “wildcard” wording since some people felt it had a negative connotation
  • Changed it to “exploratory”

• Added LACNIC and AfriNIC to the RIR classifications
Open Issues

• Why do we meet in new places? Is it to attract new participants?
  • Suggestion: There were no indications that this is the case. So unless somebody objects this will not be listed.

• How do we define the regions?
  • Suggestion: Two major tracks possible – RIR based or geographical region based. Either one seems fine but we need to pick one for clarity.

• How do we define success criteria for exploratory meetings?
  • Suggestion: Proponents of exploratory meetings define the reasoning and expected success criteria
Questions?