

High level guidance for the meeting policy of the IETF

draft-ietf-mtgvenue-meeting-policy-00

Changes since last version

- Removed “wildcard” wording since some people felt it had a negative connotation
 - Changed it to “exploratory”
- Added LACNIC and AfriNIC to the RIR classifications

Open Issues

- Why do we meet in new places? Is it to attract new participants?
 - Suggestion: There were no indications that this is the case. So unless somebody objects this will not be listed.
- How do we define the regions?
 - Suggestion: Two major tracks possible – RIR based or geographical region based. Either one seems fine but we need to pick one for clarity.
- How do we define success criteria for exploratory meetings?
 - Suggestion: Proponents of exploratory meetings define the reasoning and expected success criteria

Questions?