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Note Well

• Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

  • The IETF plenary session
  • The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
  • Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
  • Any IETF working group or portion thereof
  • Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
  • The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
  • The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

• All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

• Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

• A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

• A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Minutes

• May be collaborative:


• Need 1 minute taker
Thank You
WG Documents Status

• 7 documents submitted to the IESG:
  – draft-ietf-spring-ipv6-use-cases-09
  – draft-ietf-spring-oam-usecase-06
  – draft-ietf-spring-resiliency-use-cases-08
  – draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-11
  – draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls-08
  – draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-central-epe-05
  – draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-msdc-04

• It’s only the beginning ^
Drafts ready for WG LC

1. draft-ietf-spring-mpls-anycast-segments
2. draft-ietf-spring-sr-yang
   possible dependencies with IGP yang models. TBC with IGP chairs
Next steps

• Approaching end of initial milestones.
• Should we re-charter/close/go to sleep mode?
• Candidate new work:
  – SR TE
    • draft-filsfils-spring-segment-routing-policy
  – SRv6
    • draft-filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming
  – SR extensions
    • draft-litkowski-spring-non-protected-paths
    • draft-filsfils-spring-sr-recursing-info
  – others...
Agenda

- Administrativia
  Chairs, 15 minutes, 13:00
  - Note Well
  - Scribe
  - Blue Sheets
  - Document Status

- Implementing non protected paths using SPRING
draft-litkowski-spring-non-protected-paths-01
Stephane Litkowski, 7 minutes, 13:15

- Segment Routing Policy for Traffic Engineering
draft-filsfils-spring-segment-routing-policy-00
Stephane Litkowski, 7 minutes, 13:22

- SRv6 Network Programming
draft-filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming-00
Kamran Raza, 20 minutes, 13:29

- Separating Routing Planes using Segment Routing
draft-gulkhohege-de-routing-planes-using-sr-00
Shraddha Hegde, 10 minutes, 13:49

Speaker Shuffling Time 5 minutes

Total 64 minutes 14:04