

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: January 4, 2018

S. Randriamasy  
Nokia Bell Labs  
R. Yang  
Yale University  
Q. Wu  
Huawei  
L. Deng  
China Mobile  
N. Schwan  
Thales Deutschland  
July 3, 2017

ALTO Cost Calendar  
draft-ietf-alto-cost-calendar-02

Abstract

The goal of Application-Layer Traffic Optimization (ALTO) is to bridge the gap between network and applications by provisioning network related information in order to allow applications to make network informed decisions. The present draft extends the ALTO cost information so as to broaden the decision possibilities of applications to not only decide 'where' to connect to, but also 'when'. This is useful to applications that need to schedule their data transfers and connections and have a degree of freedom to do so. ALTO guidance to schedule application traffic can also efficiently help for load balancing and resources efficiency. Besides, the ALTO Cost Calendar allows to schedule the ALTO requests themselves and thus to save a number of ALTO transactions.

This draft proposes new capabilities and attributes on filtered cost maps and endpoint costs enabling an ALTO Server to provide "Cost Calendars". These capabilities are applicable to time-sensitive ALTO metrics. With ALTO Cost Calendars, an ALTO Server exposes ALTO Cost Values in JSON arrays where each value corresponds to a given time interval. The time intervals as well as other Calendar attributes are specified in the IRD and ALTO Server responses.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 4, 2018.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	3
2. Overview of ALTO Cost Calendars . . . . .	4
2.1. ALTO Cost Calendar information features . . . . .	5
2.2. ALTO Calendar design characteristics . . . . .	6
2.2.1. ALTO Cost Calendar for all cost modes . . . . .	6
2.2.2. Compatibility with legacy ALTO Clients . . . . .	7
3. ALTO Calendar specification: IRD extensions . . . . .	7
3.1. Calendar attributes in the IRD resources capabilities . .	7
3.2. Calendars in a delegate IRD . . . . .	9
3.3. Example IRD with ALTO Cost Calendars . . . . .	9
4. ALTO Calendar specification: Service Information Resources .	12
4.1. Calendar extensions for Filtered Cost Maps . . . . .	12
4.1.1. Calendar extensions in Filtered cost map requests . .	13
4.1.2. Calendar extensions in Filtered Cost map responses .	13

4.1.3. Use case and example: FCM with a bandwidth Calendar .	15
4.2. Calendar extensions in the Endpoint Cost Map Service . .	17
4.2.1. Calendar specific input in Endpoint cost map requests . . . . .	17
4.2.2. Calendar attributes in the Endpoint Cost Map response	17
4.2.3. Use case and example: ECS with a routingcost Calendar	18
4.2.4. Use case and example: ECS with a multi-cost calendar for routingcost and latency . . . . .	21
5. IANA Considerations . . . . .	23
5.1. Information for IANA on proposed Cost Types . . . . .	23
5.2. Information for IANA on proposed Endpoint Properties . .	23
6. Acknowledgements . . . . .	23
7. References . . . . .	23
7.1. Normative References . . . . .	23
7.2. Informative References . . . . .	24
Authors' Addresses . . . . .	24

## 1. Introduction

IETF is currently standardizing the ALTO protocol which aims at providing guidance to overlay applications needing to select one or several hosts from a set of candidates able to provide a desired resource. This guidance is based on parameters that affect performance and efficiency of the data transmission between the hosts such as the topological distance. The goal of ALTO is to improve the Quality of Experience (QoE) in the application while optimizing resource usage in the underlying network infrastructure.

The ALTO protocol in [RFC7285] specifies a Network Map which defines groupings of endpoints in provider-defined network regions (called PIDs). The Cost Map Service, Endpoint Cost Service (ECS) and Endpoint Ranking Service then provide ISP-defined costs and rankings for connections among the specified endpoints and PIDs and thus incentives for application clients to connect to ISP preferred locations, e.g. to reduce their costs. ALTO intentionally avoids provisioning realtime information as explained in the ALTO Problem Statement [RFC5693] and ALTO Requirements [RFC5693]. Thus the current Cost Map and Endpoint Cost Service are providing, for a given Cost Type, exactly one path cost value. Applications have to query one of these two services to retrieve the currently valid cost values. They therefore need to plan their ALTO information requests according to their own estimation of the frequency of cost value change.

With [RFC7285], an ALTO client should interpret the returned costs as those at the query moment. However, Network costs can fluctuate, e.g. due to diurnal patterns of traffic demand or planned events such as network maintenance, holidays or highly publicized events. Providing network costs for only the current time thus may not be

sufficient, in particular for applications that can schedule their traffic in a span of time, for example by deferring backup to night during traffic trough.

In case the ALTO Cost value changes are predicable over a certain period of time and the application does not require immediate data transfer, it can save time to get the whole set of cost values over this period in one single ALTO response. Using this set to schedule data transfers allows optimising the network resources usage and QoE. ALTO Clients and Servers can also minimize their workload by reducing and accordingly scheduling their data exchanges.

This document extends RFC7285 to allow an ALTO server to provide network costs for a given duration of time. A sequence of network costs across a time span for a given pair of network locations is named an "ALTO Cost Calendar". The Filtered Cost Map Service and Endpoint Cost Service are extended to provide Cost Calendars. In addition to this functional ALTO enhancement, we expect to further gain on storage and on the wire data exchange by gathering multiple Cost Values for one Cost Type into one single ALTO Server response.

In this draft an "ALTO Cost Calendar" is specified by information resources capabilities that are applicable to time-sensitive ALTO metrics. An ALTO Cost Calendar exposes ALTO Cost Values in JSON arrays where each value corresponds to a given time interval. The time intervals as well as other Calendar attributes are specified in the IRD and in the Server response to allow the ALTO Client to interpret the received ALTO values. Last, the proposed extensions for ALTO Calendars are applicable to any Cost Mode and they ensure backwards compatibility with legacy ALTO clients.

In the rest of this document, Section 2 provides the design characteristics. Sections 3 and 4 define the formal specifications for the IRD and the information resources. Section 5 provides non-normative use cases to illustrate the usage of cost calendars. IANA considerations and security considerations will be completed in further versions.

## 2. Overview of ALTO Cost Calendars

An ALTO Cost calendar provided by the ALTO Server provides 2 information items:

- o an array of values for a given metric, where each value corresponds to a time interval, where the value array can sometimes be a cyclic pattern that repeats a certain number of times.

- o attributes describing the time scope of the calendar such as the size and number of the intervals and the date of the starting point of the calendar, allowing an ALTO Client to properly interpret the values.

An ALTO Cost Calendar can be used like a "time table" to figure out the best time to schedule data transfers and also to proactively manage application traffic given predictable events such as flash crowds, traffic intensive holidays and network maintenance. It may be viewed as a synthetic abstraction of real measurements that can be historic or be a prediction for upcoming time periods.

Most likely, the ALTO Cost Calendar would be used for the Endpoint Cost Service, assuming that a limited set of feasible Endpoints for a non-real time application is already identified, that they do not need to be accessed immediately and that their access can be scheduled within a given time period. The Filtered Cost Map service is also applicable as long as the size of the Map allows it.

#### 2.1. ALTO Cost Calendar information features

The Calendar attributes are provided in the IRD and in ALTO Server responses. The IRD announces attributes with dateless values in its information resources capabilities, where as attributes with time dependent values are provided in the "meta" of Server responses. The ALTO Cost Calendar attributes provide the following information:

- o attributes to interpret the time scope of the Calendar value array:
  - \* generic time zone,
  - \* applicable time interval for each calendar value: combining numbers and time units to reflect for example: 1 hour, 2 minutes, 10 seconds, 1 week, 1 month,
  - \* duration of the Calendar: e.g. the number of intervals provided in the calendar.
- o "calendar-start-date": specifying when the calendar starts, that is to which date the first value of the cost calendar is applicable.
- o "repeated": an optional attribute indicating for how many iterations the provided calendar will have the same values. The server may use it to allow the client to schedule its next request and thus save its own workload by avoiding to process useless requests.

## 2.2. ALTO Calendar design characteristics

The protocol extension placeholders for an ALTO Calendar are: the IRD, the ALTO requests and responses for Cost calendars.

Extensions are designed to be light and ensure backwards compatibility with base protocol ALTO Clients and with other extensions. It uses section 8.3.7 "Parsing of Unknown Fields" of RFC7285 that writes: "Extensions may include additional fields within JSON objects defined in this document. ALTO implementations MUST ignore unknown fields when processing ALTO messages."

The calendar-specific capabilities are integrated in the information resources of the IRD and in the "meta" member of ALTO responses to Cost Calendars requests. A calendar and its capabilities are associated with a given information resource and within this information resource with a given cost type. This design has several advantages:

- o it does not introduce a new mode,
- o it does not introduce new media types,
- o it allows an ALTO Server to offer calendar capabilities on a cost type, with attributes values adapted to each information resource.

The Applicable Calendared information resources are:

- o the Filtered Cost Map,
- o the Endpoint Cost Map.

The ALTO Server can choose in which frequency it provides cost Calendars to ALTO Clients. It may either provide calendar updates starting at the request date, or carefully schedule its updates so as to take profit from a potential repetition/periodicity of calendar values.

### 2.2.1. ALTO Cost Calendar for all cost modes

Calendars are well-suited for values encoded in the 'numerical' mode. However, Calendars can also represent any metric considered as time-varying by an ALTO Server. For example, types of Cost values such as JSONBool can also be expressed as calendars, as states may be "true" or "false" depending on given time periods or likewise, values represented by strings, such as "medium", "high", "low", "blue", "open" .

Note also that a Calendar is applicable as well to time-varying metrics provided in the 'ordinal' mode, if these values are time-varying and their update is carefully managed by the ALTO Server.

#### 2.2.2. Compatibility with legacy ALTO Clients

The ALTO protocol extensions for Cost Calendars have been defined so as to ensure that Calendar capable ALTO Servers can provide legacy ALTO Clients with legacy information resources as well. That is a legacy ALTO Client can request resources and receive responses as specified in RFC7285.

A Calendar-aware ALTO Server MUST implement the base protocol specified in RFC7285.

When a metric is available as a calendar, it MUST be available as a single value as well.

For compatibility with legacy ALTO Clients specified in RFC7285, calendared information resources are not applicable for full Cost Maps for the following reason: a legacy ALTO client would receive a Calendared Cost Map via an HTTP 'GET' command. As specified in section 8.3.7 of RFC7285, it will ignore the Calendar Attributes indicated in the "meta" of the responses. Therefore, lacking information on calendar attributes, it will not be able to correctly interpret and process the values of the received array of calendar cost values.

Therefore, calendared information resources MUST be requested via the Filtered Cost Map Service or the Endpoint Cost Service, using a POST method.

### 3. ALTO Calendar specification: IRD extensions

The Calendar attributes in the IRD information resources capabilities carry constant dateless values. A calendar is associated with an information resource rather than a cost type. For example, a Server can provide a "routingcost" calendar for the Filtered Cost Map Service at a granularity of one day and a "routingcost" calendar for the Endpoint Cost service at a finer granularity but for a limited number of endpoints.

#### 3.1. Calendar attributes in the IRD resources capabilities

When for an applicable resource, an ALTO Server provides a Cost Calendar for a given Cost Type, it MUST indicate this in the IRD capabilities of this resource, by an object of type

'CalendarAttributes', associated with this Cost Type and specified below.

The capabilities of a Calendar aware information resource entry have a member named "calendar-attributes" which is an array of objects of type CalendarAttributes. It is necessary to use an array because of resources such as Filtered Cost Map and Endpoint Cost Map, for which the member "cost-type-names" is an array of 1 or more values.

A member "calendar-attributes" MUST appear only once for each applicable cost type name of a resource entry. If "calendar-attributes" are specified several times for a same "cost-type-name" in the capabilities of a resource entry, the ALTO client SHOULD ignore any calendar capabilities on this "cost-type-name" for this resource entry.

```
CalendarAttributes calendar-attributes <1..*>;
```

```
object{
  JSONString    cost-type-names <1..*>;
  JSONString    time-interval-size;
  JSONNumber    number-of-intervals;
} CalendarAttributes;
```

- o "cost-type-name":

- \* An array of one or more elements indicating the cost-type-names in the IRD entry to which the capabilities apply.

- o "time-interval-size":

- \* is the duration of an ALTO calendar time interval, expressed as a time unit appended to the number of these units. The time unit, ranges from "second" to "year". The number is encoded with an integer. Example values are: "5 minute" , "2 hour", meaning that each calendar value applies on a time interval that lasts respectively 5 minutes and 2 hours.

- o "number-of-intervals":

- \* the integer number of values of the cost calendar array, at least equal to 1.

- Attribute "cost-type-name" , if used, provides a better readability to the calendar attributes specified in the IRD and avoids confusion with calendar attributes of other cost-types.



- Multiplying Attributes 'time-interval-size' and 'number-of-intervals' provides the duration of the provided calendar. For example an ALTO Server may provide a calendar for ALTO values changing every 'time-interval-size' equal to 5 minutes. If 'number-of-intervals' has the value 12, then the duration of the provided calendar is "1 hour".

### 3.2. Calendars in a delegate IRD

One option to clarify IRD resources is that a "root" ALTO Server implementing base protocol resources delegates "specialized" information resources such as the ones providing Cost Calendars to another ALTO Server running in a subdomain specified with its URI in the "root" ALTO Server. This option is described in Section 9.2.4 "Delegation using IRDs" of RFC7285.

This document provides an example, where a "root" ALTO Server runs in a domain called "alto.example.com". It delegates the announcement of Calendars capabilities to an ALTO Server running in a subdomain called "custom.alto.example.com". The location of the "delegate Calendar IRD" is assumed to be indicated in the "root" IRD by the resource entry: "custom-calendared-resources".

Another advantage is that some Cost Types for some resources may be more advantageous as Cost Calendars and it makes few sense to get them as a single value. For example, Cost Types with predictable and frequently changing values, calendared in short time intervals such as a minute.

### 3.3. Example IRD with ALTO Cost Calendars

The cost types in this example are either specified in the base ALTO protocol or may be proposed in other drafts see [draft-ietf-alto-performance-metrics]. In this example, the available cost metrics are indicated in the "meta" field by cost type names "num-routingcost", "num-latency", "num-pathbandwidth" and "string-quality-status". Metrics "routingcost", 'latency' and 'bandwidthscore' are available in the "numerical" Cost Mode. Metric "quality-status" is available in the "string" Cost Mode.

The example IRD includes 2 particular URIs providing calendars:

- o "http://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/costmap/filtered": a filtered cost map in which calendar capabilities are indicated for cost type names: "num-routingcost", "num-pathbandwidth" and "string-service-status",

- o "http://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/endpointcost/lookup": an endpoint cost map in which in which calendar capabilities are indicated for cost type names: "num-routingcost", "num-latency", "num-pathbandwidth", "string-service-status".

The design of the Calendar capabilities allows that some calendars on a cost type name are available in several information resources with different Calendar Attributes. This is the case for calendars on "num-routingcost", "num-pathbandwidth" and "string-service-status", available in both the Filtered Cost map and Endpoint Cost map service, but with different time interval sizes for "num-pathbandwidth" and "string-service-status".

```
GET /calendars-directory HTTP/1.1
Host: custom.alto.example.com
Accept: application/alto-directory+json,application/alto-error+json
-----
```

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: [TODO]
Content-Type: application/alto-directory+json
```

```
{
  "meta" : {
    "cost-types": {
      "num-routingcost": {
        "cost-mode" : "numerical",
        "cost-metric" : "routingcost"
      },
      "num-latency": {
        "cost-mode" : "numerical",
        "cost-metric": "latency"
      },
      "num-pathbandwidth": {
        "cost-mode" : "numerical",
        "cost-metric": "bandwidthscore",
      },
      "string-qual-status": {
        "cost-mode" : "string",
        "cost-metric": "quality-status",
      }
    },
    ... other meta ...
  },

  "resources" : {
    "filtered-cost-map-calendar" : {
      "uri" : "http://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/costmap/filtered",
      "media-type" : "application/alto-costmap+json",
    },
  },
}
```

```

    "accepts" : "application/alto-costmapfilter+json",
    "capabilities" : {
      "cost-constraints" : true,
      "cost-type-names" : [ "num-routingcost", "num-pathbandwidth",
                           "string-service-status" ],
      "calendar-attributes" : [
        { "cost-type-names" : [ "num-routingcost", "num-pathbandwidth" ]
          ,
            "time-interval-size" : "1 hour",
            "number-of-intervals" : 24
          },
        { "cost-type-names" : "string-service-status",
          "time-interval-size" : "30 minute",
          "number-of-intervals" : 48
        }
      ] // end calendar-attributes
    "uses": [ "my-default-network-map" ]
  }
},

"endpoint-cost-calendar-map" : {
  "uri" : "http://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/endpointcost/lookup",
  "media-types" : [ "application/alto-endpointcost+json" ],
  "accepts" : [ "application/alto-endpointcostparams+json" ],
  "capabilities" : {
    "cost-constraints" : true,
    "cost-type-names" : [ "num-routingcost", "num-latency",
                        "num-pathbandwidth", "string-service-status" ],
    "calendar-attributes" : [
      { "cost-type-names" : "num-routingcost",
        "time-interval-size" : "1 hour",
        "number-of-intervals" : 24
      },
      { "cost-type-names" : "latency",
        "time-interval-size" : "5 minute",
        "number-of-intervals" : 12
      },
      { "cost-type-names" : "num-pathbandwidth",
        "time-interval-size" : "1 minute",
        "number-of-intervals" : 60
      },
      { "cost-type-names" : "string-service-status",
        "time-interval-size" : "2 minute",
        "number-of-intervals" : 30
      }
    ]
    "uses": [ "my-default-network-map" ]
  } // ECM capab
} //info resource N

```

```
} // ressources
```

In this example IRD, for the filtered cost map service:

- o the Calendar for 'num-routingcost' and 'num-pathbandwidth' is an array of 24 values each provided on a time interval lasting 1 hour.
- o the Calendar for "string-service-status": "is an array of 48 values each provided on a time interval lasting 30 minutes.

For the endpoint cost map service:

- o the Calendar for 'num-routingcost': is an array of 24 values each provided on a time interval lasting 1 hour.
- o the Calendar for 'latency': is an array of 12 values each provided on a time interval lasting 5 minutes.
- o the Calendar for 'num-pathbandwidth': is an array of 60 values each provided on a time interval lasting 1 minute.
- o the Calendar for "string-service-status": "is an array of 30 values each provided on a time interval lasting 2 minutes.

#### 4. ALTO Calendar specification: Service Information Resources

This section documents the individual information resources defined to provide the Calendared information services defined in this document.

The reference time zone for the provided time values is GMT because the option chosen to express the time format is the HTTP header fields format:

Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2014 08:12:31 GMT

##### 4.1. Calendar extensions for Filtered Cost Maps

A legacy ALTO client requests and gets filtered cost map responses as specified in RFC7285.

#### 4.1.1.1. Calendar extensions in Filtered cost map requests

The input parameters of a "legacy" request for a filtered cost map, defined by object ReqFilteredCostMap in section 11.3.2 of RFC7285, are augmented with one additional member.

A Calendar-aware ALTO client requesting a Calendar on a given Cost Type for a Filtered Cost Map resource having Calendar capabilities MUST add the following field to its input parameters:

```
JSONBoolean    calendared<1..*>;
```

This field is an array of 1 to N boolean values, where N is the number of requested metrics. Each boolean value indicates whether or not the ALTO Server should provide the values for this Cost Type as a calendar. The array MUST contain exactly N boolean values, otherwise the server returns an error.

This field MUST NOT be specified if member "calendar-attributes" is not present for this information resource.

If this field is not present, it MUST be assumed to have only values equal to "false".

A Calendar-aware ALTO client supporting single cost type values, as specified in RFC7285, MUST provide an array of 1 element:

```
"calendared" : [true];
```

A Calendar-aware ALTO client that is also Multi-Cost aware MUST provide an array of N values set to "true" or "false", depending whether it wants the applicable Cost Type values as a single or calendared value.

#### 4.1.1.2. Calendar extensions in Filtered Cost map responses

The calendared costs are JSONArrays instead of JSONNumbers for the legacy ALTO implementation. All arrays have a number of values equal to 'number-of-intervals'.

The "meta" field of a Calendared Filtered Cost map response MUST include at least:

- o if the ALTO Client supports cost values for one Cost Type at a time only: the "meta" fields specified in RFC 7285 for these information service responses:

- \* "dependent-vtags ",

- \* "cost-type" field.
- o if the ALTO Client supports cost values for several Cost Types at a time, as specified in [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost] : the "meta" fields specified in [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost] for these information service responses:
  - \* "dependent-vtags ",
  - \* "cost-type" field with value set to '{}', for backwards compatibility with RFC7285.
  - \* "multi-cost-types" field.
- o If the client request does not provide member "calendared" or if it provides it with a value equal to 'false', for all the requested Cost Types, then the ALTO Server response is exactly as specified in RFC 7285 [ID-alto-protocol] and [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost].
- o If the value of member "calendared" is equal to 'false' for a given requested Cost Type, the ALTO Server must return, for these Cost Types, a single cost value as specified in RFC 7285.

In addition, the "meta" field of a Calendared Filtered Cost map response MUST include the member "calendar-response-attributes" for the requested information resource, together with the values provided by the ALTO Server for these attributes. This member is an array of objects of type "CalendarResponseAttributes", defined as follows:

CalendarResponseAttributes calendar-response-attributes <1..\*>;

```
object{
  JSONString    cost-type-names;
  JSONString    calendar-start-time;
  JSONString    time-interval-size;
  JSONNumber    number-of-intervals;
  [JSONNumber   repeated;]          [OPTIONAL]
} CalendarResponseAttributes;
```

Object CalendarResponseAttributes has the following attributes:

- o "cost-type-names": member indicating the cost-type-names to which the capabilities apply.
- o "calendar-start-time": indicates the date at which the first value of the calendar applies. By default, the value provided for the

"calendar-start-time" attribute SHOULD be no later than the request date.

- o "time-interval-size": as specified in section "Calendar attributes in the IRD resources capabilities",
- o "number-of-intervals": as specified in section "Calendar attributes in the IRD resources capabilities",
- o "repeated": is an optional field provided for Calendars. It is an integer N greater or equal to '1' that indicates how many iterations of the calendar value array starting at the date indicated by "calendar-start-time" have the same values. The number N includes the provided iteration.

Using the member "repeated" helps minimizing on the wire data exchange: by providing it, an ALTO Server will avoid unnecessary processing of requests for Calendars with unchanged values while it allows ALTO Clients to save their resources as well.

For example: if the "calendar-start-time" member has value "Mon, 30 Jun 2014 at 00:00:00 GMT" and if the value of member "repeated" is equal to 4, it means that the calendar values are the same values on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday. The ALTO Client thus may use the same calendar for the next 4 duration periods following "calendar-start-time".

#### 4.1.3. Use case and example: FCM with a bandwidth Calendar

An example of non-real time information that can be provisioned in a 'calendar' is the expected path bandwidth. While the transmission rate can be measured in real time by end systems, the operator of a data center is in the position of formulating preferences for given paths, at given time periods for example to avoid traffic peaks due to diurnal usage patterns. In this example, we assume that an ALTO Client requests a bandwidth calendar as specified in the IRD to schedule its bulk data transfers as described in the use cases.

In the example IRD, calendars for cost type name "num-pathbandwidth" are available for the information resources: "filtered-cost-calendar-map" and "endpoint-cost-calendar-map". The ALTO Client requests a calendar for "num-pathbandwidth" via a POST request for a filtered cost map.

We suppose in this example that the ALTO Client sends its request on Tuesday July 1st 2014 at 13:15

```
POST /calendar/costmap/filtered HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: [TODO]
Content-Type: application/alto-costmapfilter+json
Accept: application/alto-costmap+json,application/alto-error+json

{
  "cost-type" : { "cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "bandwidthscore" },
  "calendared" : [true],

  "pids" : {
    "srcs" : [ "PID1", "PID2" ],
    "dsts" : [ "PID1", "PID2", "PID3" ]
  }
}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: [TODO]
Content-Type: application/alto-costmap+json

{
  "meta" : {
    "dependent-vtags" : [...],
    "cost-type" : { "cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "bandwidthscore"
  },
  "calendar-response-attributes" : [
    "calendar-start-time" : Tue, 1 Jul 2014 13:00:00 GMT,
    "time-interval-size" : "2 hour",
    "numb-intervals" : 12
  ]
},

  "cost-map" : {
    "PID1" : { "PID1": [v1,v2, ... v12],
               "PID2": [v1,v2, ... v12],
               "PID3": [v1,v2, ... v12] },
    "PID2" : { "PID1": [v1,v2, ... v12],
               "PID2": [v1,v2, ... v12],
               "PID3": [v1,v2, ... v12] }
  }
}
```



#### 4.2. Calendar extensions in the Endpoint Cost Map Service

This document extends the Endpoint Cost Service, as defined in {11.5.1} of [RFC7285], by adding new input parameters and capabilities, and by returning JSONArrays instead of JSONNumbers as the cost values. The media type {11.5.1.1} and HTTP method {11.5.1.2} are unchanged.

##### 4.2.1. Calendar specific input in Endpoint cost map requests

The extensions to the requests for calendared Endpoint Cost Maps are the same as for the Filtered Cost Map Service, specified in section XXXX of this draft.

The ReqEndpointCostMap object for a Calendared ECM request will have the following format:

```
object {  
  [CostType cost-type;]  
  [CostType multi-cost-types<1..*>;]  
  [JSONBoolean    calendared<1..*>;]  
  EndpointFilter endpoints;  
} ReqEndpointCostMap;  
  
object {  
  [TypedEndpointAddr srcs<0..*>;]  
  [TypedEndpointAddr dsts<0..*>;]  
} EndpointFilter;
```

##### 4.2.2. Calendar attributes in the Endpoint Cost Map response

The "meta" field of a Calendared Endpoint Cost map response MUST include at least:

- o if the ALTO Client supports cost values for one Cost Type at a time only: the "meta" fields specified in {11.5.1.6} of RFC 7285 for the Endpoint Cost response:
  - \* "cost-type" field.
- o if the ALTO Client supports cost values for several Cost Types at a time, as specified in [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost] : the "meta" fields specified in [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost] for the the Endpoint Cost response:
  - \* "cost-type" field with value set to '{}', for backwards compatibility with RFC7285.

\* "multi-cost-types" field.

If the client request does not provide member "calendared" or if it provides it with a value equal to 'false', for all the requested Cost Types, then the ALTO Server response is exactly as specified in RFC 7285 [ID-alto-protocol] and [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost].

If the ALTO client provides member "calendared" in the input parameters with a value equal to 'true' for given requested Cost Types, the "meta" member of a Calendared Endpoint Cost Map response MUST include, for these Cost Types, the same additional member "calendar-response-attributes", as specified for the Filtered Cost Map Service. The Server response is thus changed as follows, w.r.t RFC 7285 and [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost]:

- o the "meta" member has one additional field "CalendarResponseAttributes", as specified for the Filtered Cost Map Service,
- o the calendared costs are JSONArrays instead of JSONNumbers for the legacy ALTO implementation. All arrays have a number of values equal to 'number-of-intervals'.

If the value of member "calendared" is equal to 'false' for a given requested Cost Type, the ALTO Server must return, for these Cost Types, a single cost value as specified in RFC 7285.

#### 4.2.3. Use case and example: ECS with a routingcost Calendar

Let us assume an Application Client is located in an end system with limited resources and having an access to the network that is either intermittent or provides an acceptable quality in limited but predictable time periods. Therefore, it needs to both schedule its resources greedy networking activities and its ALTO transactions.

The Application Client has the choice to trade content or resources with a set of Endpoints and needs to decide with which one it will connect and at what time. For instance, the Endpoints are spread in different time-zones, or have intermittent access. In this example, the 'routingcost' is assumed to be time-varying, with values provided as ALTO Calendars.

The ALTO Client associated with the Application Client queries an ALTO Calendar on 'routingcost' and will get the Calendar covering the 24 hours time period "containing" the date and time of the ALTO client request.

For Cost Type 'num-routingcost', the solicited ALTO Server has defined 3 different daily patterns each represented by a Calendar, to cover the week of Monday June 30th at 00:00 to Sunday July 6th 23:59:

- C1 for Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, (week days)
- C2 for Saturday, Sunday, (week end)
- C3 for Friday (maintenance outage on July 4, 2014 from 02:00:00 GMT to 04:00:00 GMT, or big holiday such as New Year evening).

In the following example, the ALTO Client sends its request on Tuesday July 1st 2014 at 13:15.

```
POST /calendar/endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: [TODO]
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

{
  "cost-type" : {"cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "routingcost"},
  "calendared" : [true],
  "endpoints" : {
    "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
    "dsts": [
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
      "ipv4:203.0.113.45",
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
    ]
  }
}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: [TODO]
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

{
  "meta" : {
    "cost-type" : {"cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "routingcost"},
    "calendar-response-attributes" : [
      { "calendar-start-time" : Mon, 30 Jun 2014 00:00:00 GMT,
        "time-interval-size" : "1 hour",
        "numb-intervals" : 24,
        "repeated": 4 }
    ],
  } // end meta

  "endpoint-cost-map" : {
    "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89" : [v1, v2, ... v24],
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34" : [v1, v2, ... v24],
      "ipv4:203.0.113.45" : [v1, v2, ... v24],
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd" : [v1, v2, ... v24]
    }
  }
}
```

When the Client gets the Calendar for "routingcost", it sees that the "calendar-start-time" is Monday at 00h00 GMT and member "repeated" is equal to '4'. It understands that the provided values are valid until Thursday included and will only need to get a Calendar update on Friday.

#### 4.2.4. Use case and example: ECS with a multi-cost calendar for routingcost and latency

In this example, it is assumed that the ALTO Server implements multi-cost capabilities, as specified in [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost]. That is, an ALTO client can request and receive values for several cost types in one single transaction. An illustrating use case is a path selection done on the basis of 2 metrics: routing cost and latency.

As in the previous example, the IRD indicates that the ALTO Server provides "routingcost" Calendars in terms of 24 time intervals of 1 hour each.

For metric "latency", the IRD indicates that the ALTO Server provides Calendars in terms of 12 time intervals values lasting each 5 minutes.

In the following example transaction, the ALTO Client sends its request on Tuesday July 1st 2014 at 13:15.

```
POST calendar/endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: [TODO]
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

{
  "cost-type" : {},
  "multi-cost-types" : [
    { "cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "routingcost" },
    { "cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "latency" }
  ],
  "calendared" : [true, true],
  "endpoints" : {
    "srcs" : [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
    "dsts" : [
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
      "ipv4:203.0.113.45",
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
    ]
  }
}
```

```
}
}
```

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Content-Length: [TODO]

Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

```
{
  "meta" : {
    "multi-cost-types" : [
      { "cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "routingcost" },
      { "cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "latency" }
    ],
    "calendar-response-attributes" : [
      { "cost-type-name" : "num-routingcost",
        "calendar-start-time" : "Mon, 30 Jun 2014 00:00:00 GMT",
        "time-interval-size" : "1 hour",
        "numb-intervals" : 24,
        "repeated": 4 },
      { "cost-type-name" : "num-latency",
        "calendar-start-time" : "Tue, 1 Jul 2014 13:00:00 GMT",
        "time-interval-size" : "5 minute",
        "numb-intervals" : 12 }
    ],
  } // end meta

  "endpoint-cost-map" : {
    "ipv4:192.0.2.2" : {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [l1, l2, ... l12]],
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [l1, l2, ... l12]],
      "ipv4:203.0.113.45" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [l1, l2, ... l12]],
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [l1, l2, ... l12]]
    }
  }
}
```

When receiving the response, the client sees that the calendar values for 'routing cost' are repeated for 4 iterations. Therefore, in its next requests until the routing cost calendar is expected to change, the client will only need to request a calendar for "latency".

Without the ALTO Calendar extensions, the ALTO client would have no clue on the dynamicity of the metric value change and would spend needless time requesting values at an inappropriate pace. In addition, without the Multi-Cost ALTO capabilities, the ALTO client

would duplicate this waste of time as it would need to send one request per cost metric.

## 5. IANA Considerations

Information for the ALTO Endpoint property registry maintained by the IANA and related to the new Endpoints supported by the acting ALTO server. These definitions will be formulated according to the syntax defined in Section on "ALTO Endpoint Property Registry" of [RFC7285]

Information for the ALTO Cost Type Registry maintained by the IANA and related to the new Cost Types supported by the acting ALTO server. These definitions will be formulated according to the syntax defined in Section on "ALTO Cost Type Registry" of [RFC7285],

### 5.1. Information for IANA on proposed Cost Types

When a new ALTO Cost Type is defined, accepted by the ALTO working group and requests for IANA registration MUST include the following information, detailed in Section 11.2: Identifier, Intended Semantics, Security Considerations.

### 5.2. Information for IANA on proposed Endpoint Properties

Likewise, an ALTO Endpoint Property Registry could serve the same purposes as the ALTO Cost Type registry. Application to IANA registration for Endpoint Properties would follow a similar process.

## 6. Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Diego Lopez, He Peng, Haibin Song, Dawn Chan, Li Geng and Yichen Qian for fruitful discussions and review feedback.

## 7. References

### 7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5693] Seedorf, J. and E. Burger, "Application-Layer Traffic Optimization (ALTO) Problem Statement", RFC 5693, DOI 10.17487/RFC5693, October 2009, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5693>>.

## 7.2. Informative References

- [draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost]  
S. Randriamasy, W. Roome, N. Schwan, "Multi-Cost ALTO (work in progress), draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost", September 2016.
- [draft-ietf-alto-performance-metrics]  
Q. Wu, Y. Yang, Y. Lee, D. Dhody, S. Randriamasy, "ALTO Performance Cost Metrics (work in progress)", September 2016.
- [draft-yang-alto-topology]  
Y. Yang, "ALTO Topology Considerations (work in progress)", July 2013.
- [ID-alto-protocol]  
R. Alimi, R. Penno, Y. Yang, Eds., "ALTO Protocol, RFC 7285", September 2014.
- [RFC7285] R. Alimi, R. Yang, R. Penno, Eds., "ALTO Protocol", September 2014.
- [sdnrg] "Software Defined Network Research Group,  
<http://trac.tools.ietf.org/group/irtf/trac/wiki/sdnrg>".
- [slides-88-alto-5-topology]  
G. Bernstein, Y. Lee, Y. Yang, , "ALTO Topology Service: Use Cases, Requirements and Framework (presentation slides IETF88 ALTO WG session),  
<http://tools.ietf.org/agenda/88/slides/slides-88-alto-5.pdf>", November 2013.

## Authors' Addresses

Sabine Randriamasy  
Nokia Bell Labs  
Route de Villejust  
NOZAY 91460  
FRANCE

Email: [Sabine.Randriamasy@nokia-bell-labs.com](mailto:Sabine.Randriamasy@nokia-bell-labs.com)



Richard Yang  
Yale University  
51 Prospect st  
New Haven, CT 06520  
USA

Email: yry@cs.yale.edu

Qin Wu  
Huawei  
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District  
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012  
China

Email: sunseawq@huawei.com

Lingli Deng  
China Mobile  
China

Email: denglingli@chinamobile.com

Nico Schwan  
Thales Deutschland

Email: nico.schwan@thalesgroup.com