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Abstract

The use of centralized mobility management approaches -- such as Mobile IPv6 -- poses some difficulties to operators of current and future networks, due to the expected large number of mobile users and their exigent demands. All this has triggered the need for distributed mobility management alternatives, that alleviate operators’ concerns allowing for cheaper and more efficient network deployments.

This draft describes a possible way of achieving a distributed mobility behavior with Client Mobile IP, based on Mobile IPv6 and the use of Cryptographic Generated Addresses.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 14, 2017.
1. Introduction

Most of the currently standardized IP mobility solutions, like Mobile IPv6 [RFC6275], or Proxy Mobile IPv6 [RFC5213] rely to a certain extent on a centralized mobility anchor entity. This centralized network node is in charge of both the control of the network entities involved in the mobility management (i.e., it is a central point for the control signalling), and the user data forwarding (i.e., it is also a central point for the user plane). This makes centralized
mobility solutions prone to several problems and limitations, as identified in [RFC7333]: longer (sub-optimal) routing paths, scalability problems, signaling overhead (and most likely a longer associated handover latency), more complex network deployment, higher vulnerability due to the existence of a potential single point of failure, and lack of granularity on the mobility management service (i.e., mobility is offered on a per-node basis, not being possible to define finer granularity policies, as for example per-application).

There are basically two main approaches that are being researched now: one aimed at making Mobile IPv6 work in a distributed way, and another one doing the same exercise for Proxy Mobile IPv6 (see the document [RFC7429]). In this draft we describe a solution to achieve a DMM behavior with a CMIP (MIPv6) solution. This document is based on a research paper of the same authors, called "Flat Access and Mobility Architecture: an IPv6 Distributed Client Mobility Management solution" [GOB11].

2. Terminology

The following terms used in this document are defined in the Mobile IPv6 specification [RFC6275]:

- Home Agent (HA)
- Home Link
- Home Address (HoA)
- Care-of Address (CoA)
- Binding Update (BU)
- Binding Acknowledgement (BA)

The following terms are defined and used in this document:

- DAR (Distributed Anchor Router). First hop routers where the mobile nodes attach to. They also play the role of mobility managers for the IPv6 addresses they anchor.
- HDAR (Home Distributed Anchor Router). DAR which plays the role of Home Agent for a particular IPv6 address (i.e., DAR where that IPv6 address is anchored).
3. Description of the solution

Distributed Mobility Management approaches try to overcome the limitations of the traditional centralized mobility management, i.e., Mobile IP, by bringing the mobility anchor closer to the MN. Following this idea, in our approach -- that we call Flat Access and Mobility Architecture (FAMA) -- the MIPv6 centralized home agent is moved to the edge of the network, being deployed in the default gateway of the mobile node. That is, the first elements that provide IP connectivity to a set of MNs are also the mobility managers for those MNs. In the following we will call these access routers Distributed Anchor Routers (DARs).

The diagram in Figure 1 depicts the operations of the proposed solution. When a mobile node attaches to a distributed anchor router, it gets an IPv6 address which is topologically anchored at the DAR (Pref1::addr1 - HoA1). In the scheme we assume the address configuration takes place through a Router Solicitation/Router Advertisement handshake. While attached to this DAR, the mobile can send and receive traffic using HoA1 without traversing any tunneling nor special packet handling.

If the mobile node moves to a different DAR, it gets a new IPv6 address from the new access router (Pref2::addr2 - HoA2). In case the MN wants to keep the reachability of the IPv6 address(es) it obtained from the previous DAR (note that this decision is dynamic and it is out of scope of this document, it can be done on an application basis for example), the host has to involve its MIPv6 stack, by sending a Binding Update to the DAR where the IPv6 address is anchored, using the address obtained from the current DAR as care-of address (in our example the MN binds HoA2 as CoA to DAR1).
In this way, the IPv6 address that the node wants to maintain in use (Pref1::addr1) plays the role of home address (HoA1), and the DAR from where that address was configured plays the role of Home Agent (for that particular address). In this scenario, old flows are anchored to the previous DAR (DAR1), which is in charge to encapsulate the packets and deliver them to the MN’s CoA. The IP tunnel is bi-directional, so the MN does the same when sending packets with the old address (HoA1). Conversely, new IP flows are started using the address configured at the new DAR (HoA2). These flows are handled by the new DAR as a plain IPv6 router.

Note that the FAMA approach basically enables a mobile node to simultaneously handle several IPv6 addresses -- each of them anchored at a different DAR -- ensuring their continuous reachability by using Mobile IPv6 in a distributed fashion (i.e., each access router is a potential home agent for the address it delegates, if required). Figure 2 illustrates the above case in which the MN is connected to
DAR2, but flow1 is anchored at DAR1, because it was started by the MN using the IPv6 address Pref1::addr1, configured when the MN was connected to DAR1. In the same example, the MN starts flow2 using Pref2::addr2, assigned by DAR2.

![Diagram of MN's flows forwarding in FAMA](image)

The same operations take place if the MN moves to another DAR. The MN obtains a new address (Pref3::addr3 - HoA3), which is indicated as CoA in the BU messages sent by the MN to the previous DARs. This distributed address anchoring is enabled on demand and on a per-address granularity, which means that depending on the user needs, it might be the case that all, some or none of the IPv6 addresses that a mobile node configures while moving within a FAMA domain, are kept reachable and used by the mobile. The scheme in Figure 3 depicts the example where the MN updates all the previous DARs, mapping the corresponding HoA with the new CoA.
In traditional Mobile IPv6, the communication between the MN and the HA is secured through IPsec [RFC4877]. Following a similar approach in FAMA is difficult due to the large number of security associations that would be required, since any gateway of the access network can play the role of home agent for any mobile node. In order to overcome this problem and provide authentication between the DAR and the MNs, we propose the use of Cryptographically Generated Addresses [RFC3972] (CGAs), as introduced in [I-D.laganier-mext-cga]. CGAs are a powerful mechanism allowing authentication of the packets and requires no public-key infrastructure, hence it is well-suited for this application.

Following the ideas presented above, every time an MN attaches to a DAR, it configures a CGA from a prefix anchored at the DAR (e.g., by using stateless address auto-configuration mechanisms). This address

**Figure 3: Signalling after a second handover**
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can then be used by the MN to establish a communication with a remote Correspondent Node (CN) while attached to that particular DAR. If the mobile then moves to a new DAR (nDAR), the following two cases are possible: i) there is no need for the address that was configured at the previous DAR (pDAR) to survive the movement: in this case there is no further action required; ii) the mobile wants to keep the reachability of the address configured at pDAR: in this case Mobile IPv6 is triggered, and the MN sends a Binding Update (BU) message to the pDAR, using the address configured at the previous DAR as home address, and the address configured at the new DAR as care-of address. This BU includes the CGA parameters and signature [I-D.laganier-mext-cga], which are used by the receiving DAR to identify the MN as the legitimate owner of the address. Although the use of CGAs does not impose a heavy burden in terms of performance, depending on the number of MNs handled at the DAR, the processing of the CGAs can be problematic. To reduce the complexity of the proposed protocol, we suggest an alternative mechanism to authenticate any subsequent signaling packets exchanged between the MN and the DAR (in case the mobile performs a new attachment to a different DAR). This alternative method relies on the use of a Permanent Home Keygen Token (PHKT), which will be used to generate the Authorization option that the MN has to include in all next Binding Update messages. This token is forwarded to the MN in the Binding Acknowledgment message, sent on reply to the BU. The procedure is depicted in Figure 4. Once the signaling procedure is completed, a bi-directional tunnel is established between the mobile node and the DAR where the IPv6 address is anchored (the "home" DAR -- HDAR -- for that particular address), so the mobile can continue using the IPv6 address.
In case the MN performs any subsequent movements and it requires to maintain the reachability of an address for which it has already sent a BU, the following BU messages can be secured using the PHKT exchanged before, reducing the computational load at the receiving DAR.

Note that on every attachment of a node to a DAR, the terminal also obtains a new IPv6 address which is topologically anchored at that DAR, and that this address can be used for new communications (avoiding in this way the tunneling required when using an address anchored at a different DAR). A mobile can keep multiple IPv6 addresses active and reachable at a given time, and that requires to send -- every time the MN moves -- a BU message to all the previous DARs that are anchoring the IP flows that the MN wish to maintain.

4. IANA Considerations

TBD.

5. Security Considerations

Although the approach documented in this document is attractive for the reduced signaling overhead caused by the mobility support, it can be misused in some particular scenarios by malicious nodes that wish to export an incorrect CoA in the BU message, since it does provide
proof of the MN’s reachability at the visited network. Indeed, the
CGA approach assures that the BU message has been sent by the
legitimate HoA’s owner but it does not make sure that same MN to be
reachable at the CoA indicated. This requires further analysis.

A possible approach to provide a more secure solution is the
following: a Return Routability procedure similar to the one defined
in MIPv6 Route Optimization can be used to mitigate the
aforementioned security issue. The Return Routability procedure
starts after the handoff. Instead of sending the BU message, the MN
sends a Care-of Test Init message (CoTI). This message is replied by
the DAR with a Care-Of Test message containing a CoA Keygen Token.
The MN can now send a BU using both Home and CoA Keygen tokens to
proof its reachability at both the HoA and the CoA. The message and
the knowledge of both tokens is a proof that the MN is the legitimate
node who has sent the BU and also is reachable at the CoA indicated.
As all security improvements, the one proposed incurs in a
performance penalty, in this case an increase in the handover delay.
Specifically this enhanced security approach requires four messages
to be exchanged between the MN and the DAR instead of the two
messages of the original solution. In terms of handover delay, it
increases it by a factor of two, as the new solution requires to two
Round Trip Times (RTTs) to conclude, instead of one.
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Appendix A. Comparison with Requirement document

In this section we describe how our solution addresses the DMM requirements listed in [RFC7333].

A.1. Distributed mobility management

"IP mobility, network access solutions, and forwarding solutions provided by DMM MUST enable traffic to avoid traversing a single mobility anchor far from the optimal route."

In our solution, a DAR is responsible to handle the mobility for those IP flows started when the MN is attached to it. As long as the MN remains connected to the DAR’s access links, the IP packets of such flows can benefit from the optimal path. When the MN moves to another DAR, the path becomes non-optimal for ongoing flows, as they are anchored to the previous DAR, but newly started IP sessions are forwarded by the new DAR through the optimal path.
A.2. Bypassable network-layer mobility support for each application session

"DMM solutions MUST enable network-layer mobility, but it MUST be possible for any individual active application session (flow) to not use it. Mobility support is needed, for example, when a mobile host moves and an application cannot cope with a change in the IP address. Mobility support is also needed when a mobile router changes its IP address as it moves together with a host and, in the presence of ingress filtering, an application in the host is interrupted. However, mobility support at the network layer is not always needed; a mobile node can often be stationary, and mobility support can also be provided at other layers. It is then not always necessary to maintain a stable IP address or prefix for an active application session."

Our DMM solution operates at the IP layer, hence upper layers are totally transparent to the mobility operations. In particular, ongoing IP sessions are not disrupted after a change of access network. The routability of the old address is ensured by the IP tunnel with the old DAR. New IP sessions are started with the new address. From the application’s perspective, those processes which sockets are bound to a unique IP address do not suffer any impact. For the other applications, the sockets bound to the old address are preserved, whereas next sockets use the new address.

A.3. IPv6 deployment

"DMM solutions SHOULD target IPv6 as the primary deployment environment and SHOULD NOT be tailored specifically to support IPv4, particularly in situations where private IPv4 addresses and/or NATs are used."

The DMM solution we propose targets IPv6 only.

A.4. Existing mobility protocols

"A DMM solution MUST first consider reusing and extending IETF standard protocols before specifying new protocols."

This DMM solution is derived from the operations and messages specified in [RFC6275], [RFC3972], and [I-D.laganier-mext-cga].
A.5. Coexistence with deployed networks/hosts and operability across different networks

"A DMM solution may require loose, tight, or no integration into existing mobility protocols and host IP stacks. Regardless of the integration level, DMM implementations MUST be able to coexist with existing network deployments, end hosts, and routers that may or may not implement existing mobility protocols. Furthermore, a DMM solution SHOULD work across different networks, possibly operated as separate administrative domains, when the needed mobility management signaling, forwarding, and network access are allowed by the trust relationship between them"

The proposed solution can provide a fallback mechanism employing legacy Mobile IPv6, for instance forcing the MN to use only one DAR. Moreover, this solution applies when the MN is connected to an administrative domain not supporting trust relationships. Indeed, all the IP sessions can remain anchored to the DARs of the "home" domain. Our solution can be deployed across different domains with trust agreements.

A.6. Operation and management considerations

"A DMM solution needs to consider configuring a device, monitoring the current operational state of a device, and responding to events that impact the device, possibly by modifying the configuration and storing the data in a format that can be analyzed later.

The proposed solution can re-use existing mechanisms defined for the operation and management of Mobile IPv6.

A.7. Security considerations

"A DMM solution MUST support any security protocols and mechanisms needed to secure the network and to make continuous security improvements. In addition, with security taken into consideration early in the design, a DMM solution MUST NOT introduce new security risks or amplify existing security risks that cannot be mitigated by existing security protocols and mechanisms."

The proposed solution uses a CGA-based security system to enable authentication and authorization of mobile hosts.

A.8. Multicast

"DMM SHOULD enable multicast solutions to be developed to avoid network inefficiency in multicast traffic delivery."
This solution does not include multicast traffic in its scope. Nevertheless, it allows combining multicast support solutions, such as local subscription at each DAR, which would result in a flexible distribution scenario.

Appendix B. Open DMM platform

The client-based DMM solution described in this document is available at the Open Distributed Mobility Management (ODMM) project (http://www.odmm.net). The ODMM platform is intended to foster DMM development and deployment, by serving as a framework to host open source implementations.
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Abstract

Distributed Mobility Management solutions allow for setting up networks so that traffic is distributed in an optimal way and does not rely on centralized deployed anchors to provide IP mobility support.

There are many different approaches to address Distributed Mobility Management, as for example extending network-based mobility protocols (like Proxy Mobile IPv6), or client-based mobility protocols (as Mobile IPv6), among others. This document follows the former approach, and proposes a solution based on Proxy Mobile IPv6 in which mobility sessions are anchored at the last IP hop router (called distributed gateway). The distributed gateway is an enhanced access router which is also able to operate as local mobility anchor or mobility access gateway, on a per prefix basis. The draft focuses on the required extensions to effectively support simultaneously anchoring several flows at different distributed gateways.

This draft introduces the concept of distributed logical interface (at the distributed gateway), which is a software construct that allows to easily hide the change of anchor from the mobile node. Additionally, the draft describes how to provide session continuity in inter-domain scenarios in which dynamic tunneling or signaling between distributed gateways from different operators is not allowed.
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1. Introduction

The Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) paradigm aims at minimizing the impact of currently standardized mobility management solutions, which are centralized (at least to a considerable extent).

Centralized mobility solutions, such as Mobile IPv6 or the different macro-level mobility management solutions of 3GPP EPS, base their operation on the existence of a central entity (e.g., HA, LMA, PGW or GGSN) that anchors the IP address used by the mobile node and is in charge of coordinating the mobility management (MM) (sometimes helped by a third entity like the MME or the HSS). This central anchor point is in charge of tracking the location of the mobile and redirecting its traffic towards its current topological location. While this way of addressing mobility management has been fully developed by the Mobile IP protocol family and its many extensions, there are also several limitations that have been identified [RFC7333]. Among them, we can just highlight sub-optimal routing, scalability problems (in the network and in the centralized anchor) and reliability [RFC7333].

Several DMM-based approaches are being proposed and explored now [RFC7429], [commag.dmm-standards]. One of them is based on extending network-based mobility protocols (such as Proxy Mobile IPv6 [RFC5213] or GTP) to operate in distributed fashion. This document proposes a solution that falls in this category, defining a new logical entity, called Distributed Gateway (D-GW) which basically encompasses the functionalities of plain IPv6 access router, MAG and LMA, on a per-IPv6 prefix basis. The main contribution of this draft is the definition of the mechanisms required to support the operation of such a network-based mobility solution when several flows are simultaneously anchored [I-D.ietf-dmm-distributed-mobility-anchoring] at different D-GWs, by introducing the concept of Distributed Logical
Interface (DLIF). The document also defines the required PMIPv6 signaling extensions. Last, but not least, the solution is also extended to provide session continuity across different domains.

2. Terminology

The following terms used in this document are defined in the Proxy Mobile IPv6 specification [RFC5213]:

- Local Mobility Anchor (LMA)
- Mobile Access Gateway (MAG)
- Mobile Node (MN)
- Binding Cache Entry (BCE)
- Proxy Care-of Address (P-CoA)
- Proxy Binding Update (PBU)
- Proxy Binding Acknowledgment (PBA)

The following terms are defined and/or used in this document:

- D-GW (Distributed Gateway). First IP hop router used by the mobile node. It provides an IPv6 prefix (topologically anchored at the D-GW) to each attaching mobile node.
- Anchoring D-GW. A previously visited D-GW anchoring an IPv6 prefix which is still used by a mobile node.
- Serving D-GW. The D-GW the MN is currently attached to.
- DLIF (Distributed Logical Interface). It is a logical interface at the IP stack of the D-GW. For each active prefix used by the mobile node, the serving D-GW has a DLIF configured (associated to the anchoring D-GW). In this way, a serving D-GW exposes itself towards each MN as multiple routers, one per active anchoring D-GW.
- HSS (Home Subscriber Server). In a 3GPP architecture, it is the master user database that contains the subscription-related information (subscriber profiles), performs authentication and authorization of the user, and can provide information about the subscriber’s location and IP information.
3. Solution’s overview

A new logical network entity, called Distributed Gateway (D-GW) is introduced at the edge of the network, close to the MN. It implements the functionality of a plain IPv6 access router (AR), a mobile access gateway (MAG) and a local mobility anchor (LMA), on a per-MN and per-IPv6-prefix, as described later.

The solution basically extends Proxy Mobile IPv6 [RFC5213] to behave in a distributed fashion, similarly as what has been proposed in [I-D.seite-dmm-dma] and [I-D.bernardos-dmm-pmip]. This is achieved by the D-GW logically behaving as a distributed mobility anchor, which comprises the following:

- When a mobile node attaches to a D-GW (initial attachment or handover), the D-GW provides an IPv6 prefix to the MN, acting as a regular IPv6 router (with the only difference that the delegated prefix is only assigned to one single MN, not being shared with any other node). The D-GW that the mobile node is currently attached to is called "serving D-GW".

- When a mobile node performs a handover, it attaches to a new D-GW and configures a new IPv6 address out of the prefix provided and anchored by the new serving D-GW. As before, the serving D-GW behaves as a plain IPv6 router for that particular MN and the delegated (locally anchored) prefix. If the MN has active traffic using addresses anchored by other D-GWs (which are called "anchoring D-GWs") or it just needs to keep the reachability of these addresses, the current serving D-GW also acts as MAG, by sending the required proxy binding update (PBU) to the corresponding anchoring D-GWs. The anchoring D-GWs therefore behave as LMA for this particular MN and the IPv6 prefixes they are anchoring, replying with a PBA.

- Once the PBU/PBA signaling is completed, a bidirectional tunnel is established between the serving D-GW and the anchoring D-GW (one per D-GW anchoring an active prefix used by the MN). These tunnels are used to provide IP address continuity to prefixes that are not anchored at the serving D-GW.

- The means for a serving D-GW to obtain the information about the prefixes that a locally attached mobile node wants to keep reachable, and the associated anchoring D-GWs are out of the scope of this draft. Among the possible mechanisms that can be used to let the D-GW know about the prefixes that should be kept reachable, we can cite for instance layer-2 triggers/signaling. Regarding the mapping of IPv6 prefixes to anchoring D-GWs, there might be either fully distributed mechanisms in place, or the
information can be maintained in a centralized repository (e.g., in the HSS, using a centralized LMA [I-D.bernardos-dmm-pmip], etc.).

The basic operation of the solution is shown with an example in Figure 1. MN1 attaches to D-GW1 (thus becoming its serving D-GW) and configures an IPv6 address (prefA::MN1) out of a prefix locally anchored at D-GW1 (prefA::/64). At this point, MN1 can communicate with any correspondent node of the Internet, being the traffic anchored at D-GW1. If later on MN1 moves to D-GW2, a new IPv6 address (PrefB::MN1) is configured by the mobile node, this time out of prefB::/64, which is anchored at D-GW2 (which becomes the new serving D-GW). D-GW2 also exchanges the required PBU/PBA signaling to ensure that data traffic using prefA::MN1 still reaches the mobile node, by setting up a bidirectional tunnel between D-GW1 (anchoring D-GW) and D-GW2 (serving D-GW).

![Figure 1: Basic operation of the solution](image-url)
The next sections of this draft focus on the detailed operation of the D-GWs when a mobile node has multiple flows anchored at different distributed gateways.

4. Simultaneous anchoring of multiple flows (single operator)

In this section we describe the mechanisms required in the network to enable simultaneous anchoring of several flows at different D-GWs within the same operator.

4.1. The Distributed Logical Interface (DLIF) concept

One of the main challenges of a network-based DMM solution is how to allow a mobile node to simultaneously send/receive traffic which is anchored at different D-GWs, and how to influence on the preference of the mobile selecting the source IPv6 address for a new communication, without requiring special support on the mobile node stack. This document defines the Distributed Logical Interface (DLIF), which is a software construct that allows to easily hide the change of anchor from the mobile node.

+---------------------------------------------------+  
|                      Operator’s                     |  
| (                        core                    |  
| +---------------------------------------------------+  
|               tunnel               |  
| +-------------------+     | +-------------------+  
| | IP stack | ===| IP stack |  
| +-------------------+     | +-------------------+  
| | mnldgw1 | (DLIFs) | mnldgw1 mnldgw2 |  
| +-------------------+     | +-------------------+  
| | phy interface |   | phy interface |  
| +-------------------+     | +-------------------+  
| (o) | (o) | (o)  |  
|    |    |  D-GW1 | D-GW2 |  
| x | x | x      | x |  
| prefA::/64 | prefB::/64 |  
| (AdvPrefLft=0) |  
| (o)  |  
| |  
|      |  
| prefA::MN1 | prefB::MN1 |  
| (deprecated) |  

Figure 2: DLIF: exposing multiple routers (one per active anchoring D-GW)
The basic idea of the DLIF concept is the following. Each serving D-GW exposes itself towards a given MN as multiple routers, one per active anchoring D-GW associated to the MN. Let’s consider the example shown in Figure 2, MN1 initially attaches to D-GW1, configuring an IPv6 address (prefA::MN1) from a prefix locally anchored at D-GW1 (prefA::/64). At this stage, D-GW1 plays both the role of anchoring and serving D-GW, and also it behaves as a plain IPv6 access router. D-GW1 creates a distributed logical interface to communicate (point-to-point link) with MN1, exposing itself as a (logical) router with a specific MAC (e.g., 00:11:22:33:01:01) and IPv6 addresses (e.g., prefA::DGW1/64 and fe80:211:22ff:fe33:101/64) using the DLIF mn1dgw1. As explained below, these addresses represent the "logical" identity of D-GW1 towards MN1, and will "follow" the mobile node while roaming within the domain (note that the place where all this information is maintained and updated is out-of-scope of this draft; potential examples are to keep it on the HSS or the user’s profile).

If MN1 moves and attaches to a different D-GW of the domain (D-GW2 in the example of Figure 2), this D-GW will create a new logical interface (mn1dgw2) to expose itself towards MN1, providing it with a locally anchored prefix (prefB::/64). In this case, since the MN1 has another active IPv6 address anchored at a D-GW1, D-GW2 also needs to create an additional logical interface configured to exactly resemble the one used by D-GW1 to communicate with MN1. In this example, there is only one active anchoring D-GW (in addition to D-GW2, which is the serving one): D-GW1, so only the logical interface mn1dgw1 is created, but the same process would be repeated in case there were more active anchoring D-GWs involved. In order to maintain the prefix anchored at D-GW1 reachable, a tunnel between D-GW1 and D-GW2 is established and the routing is modified accordingly. The PBU/PBA signaling is used to set-up the bi-directional tunnel between D-GW1 and D-GW2, and it might also be used to convey to D-GW2 the information about the prefix(es) anchored at D-GW1 and about the addresses of the associated DLIF (i.e., mn1dgw1).
Figure 3: Distributed Logical Interface concept

Figure 3 shows the logical interface concept in more detail. The figure shows two D-GWs and three MNs. D-GW1 is currently serving MN2 and MN3, while D-GW2 is serving MN1. MN1, MN2 and MN3 have two active anchoring D-GWs: D-GW1 and D-GW2. Note that a serving D-GW always plays the role of anchoring D-GW for the attached (served) MNs. Each D-GW has one single physical wireless interface.

As introduced before, each MN always "sees" multiple logical routers -- one per active anchoring D-GW -- independently of to which serving D-GW the MN is currently attached. From the point of view of the MN, these D-GWs are portrayed as different routers, although the MN is physically attached to one single interface. The way this is achieved is by the serving D-GW configuring different logical interfaces. If we focus on MN1, it is currently attached to D-GW2 (i.e., D-GW2 is its serving D-GW) and, therefore, it has configured an IPv6 address from D-GW2's pool (e.g., prefB::/64). D-GW2 has set-up a logical interface (mn1dgw2) on top of its wireless physical interface (phy if D-GW2) which is used to serve MN1. This interface has a logical MAC address (LMAC6), different from the hardware MAC address (HMAC2) of the physical interface of D-GW2. Over the mn1dgw2 interface, D-GW2 advertises its locally anchored prefix prefB::/64. Before attaching to D-GW2, MN1 visited D-GW1, configuring also an address locally anchored at this D-GW, which is still being used by the MN1 in active communications. MN1 keeps "seeing" an interface connecting to D-GW1, as if it were directly connected to the two
D-GWs. This is achieved by the serving D-GW (D-GW1) configuring an additional distributed logical interface: mn1dgw1, which behaves exactly as the logical interface configured by the actual D-GW1 when MN1 was attached to it. This means that both the MAC and IPv6 addresses configured on this logical interface remain the same regardless of the physical D-GW which is serving the MN. The information required by a serving D-GW to properly configure this logical interfaces can be obtained in different ways: as part of the information conveyed in the PBA, from an external database (e.g., the HSS) or by other means. As shown in the figure, each D-GW may have several logical interfaces associated to each attached MN, having always at least one (since a serving D-GW is also an anchoring D-GW for the attached MN).

In order to enforce the use of the prefix locally anchored at the serving D-GW, the router advertisements sent over those logical interfaces playing the role of anchoring D-GWs (different from the serving one) include a zero prefix lifetime. The goal is to deprecate the prefixes delegated by these D-GWs (which will be no longer serving the MN). Note that on-going communications keep on using those addresses, even if they are deprecated, so this only affects to new sessions.

The distributed logical interface concept also enables the following use case. Suppose that access to a local IP network is provided by a given D-GW (e.g., D-GW1 in the example shown in Figure 2) and that the resources available at that network cannot be reached from outside the local network (e.g., cannot be accessed by an MN attached to D-GW2). This is similar to the LIPA scenario currently being consider by 3GPP. The goal is to allow an MN to be able to roam while still being able to have connectivity to this local IP network. The solution adopted to support this case makes use of RFC 4191 [RFC4191] more specific routes when the MN moves to a D-GW different from the one providing access to the local IP network (D-GW1 in the example). These routes are advertised through the distributed logical interface representing the D-GW providing access to the local network (D-GW1 in this example). In this way, if MN1 moves from D-GW1 to D-GW2, any active session that MN1 may have with a node of the local network connected to D-GW1 will survive, being the traffic forwarded via the tunnel between D-GW1 and D-GW2. Also, any potential future connection attempt towards the local network will be supported, even though MN1 is no longer attached to D-GW1.

4.2. D-GW protocol operation

This section describes the D-GW operation in more detail.

Figure 4 shows an example of the D-GW operation:
1. MN1 attaches to D-GW1. This event is detected by D-GW1 (based on layer 2 signaling/triggers or the reception of a Router Solicitation sent by MN1).

2. An IPv6 prefix from the pool of locally anchored prefixes is selected by D-GW1 to be delegated to MN1 (prefA::/64). D-GW1 sets up a distributed logical interface aimed at interfacing with MN1, called mn1dgw1. D-GW1 starts sending router advertisements to MN1, including the delegated prefix.

3. D-GW1 learns if it is an attachment due to a handover (how this is done is out-of-scope of this draft). In this case it is an initial attachment, so nothing else is required.

4. The DLIF mn1dgw1 is used by D-GW1 to advertise the locally anchored prefix (prefA::/64) to MN1. Using this prefix, MN1 configures an IPv6 address (prefA::MN1/64) that can be used to start new sessions (which will be anchored at D-GW1). Traffic using the address prefA::MN1 is received at the interface mn1dgw1 and directly forwarded by D-GW1 towards its destination. Traffic between MN1 and the local network reachable via D-GW1 (localnet) is handled normally by D-GW1 (as MN1 is locally attached).

5. MN1 performs a handover to D-GW2. This event is detected by D-GW2.

6. An IPv6 prefix from the pool of locally anchored prefixes is selected by D-GW2 to be delegated to MN1 (prefB::/64). D-GW2 sets up a distributed logical interface aimed at interfacing with MN1, called mn1dgw2. D-GW2 starts sending router advertisements to MN1, including the delegated prefix. Traffic using the address prefB::MN1 is received at the interface mn1dgw2 and directly forwarded by D-GW2 towards its destination.

7. D-GW2 learns that this is a handover of MN1, and that it previously visited D-GW1. D-GW2 sends a PBU to D-GW1, which replies with a PBA. This PBA MAY include information about the prefix(es) anchored at D-GW1, the parameters needed by D-GW2 to set-up the DLIF mn1dgw1, and the prefixes of local networks reachable via D-GW (if any). Alternatively, this information MAY be obtained using a different approach (such as storing it in the HSS or some other external repository). A bi-directional tunnel between D-GW1 and D-GW2 is set-up, as well as the required routing entries.

8. D-GW2 sets up the DLIF mn1dgw1, aimed at "logically" resembling D-GW1, so MN1 does not detect any change at layer-3. D-GW2 starts sending router advertisements to MN1 through mn1dgw2,
which include the prefix anchored at D-GW1 (prefA::/64) with zero lifetime to deprecate the prefix (or alternatively it MAY include a low Default Router Preference [RFC4191] if communication to this D-GW is still needed in the future). In this way, prefA::MN1 is not preferred for new communications. The RAs MAY also include a Route Information Option (RIO) [RFC4191] with the prefix of localnet, which is the network that is only locally reachable via D-GW1 (e.g., as in the LIPA scenarios considered by the 3GPP), so MN1 picks D-GW1 (the "logical" version of it portrayed by D-GW2) when sending traffic to that network, including the delegated prefix. Traffic using the address prefA::MN1 is received at the interface mnlidgwl and forwarded via the tunnel with D-GW1, which then forwards it towards its destination. Traffic between MN1 and the network locally reachable via D-GW1 (localnet) is also handled via mnlidgwl and sent through the tunnel.
Figure 4: D-GW protocol operation
4.3. Message format

This section defines extensions to the Proxy Mobile IPv6 [RFC5213] protocol messages.

4.3.1. Proxy Binding Update

A new flag (D) is included in the Proxy Binding Update to indicate that the Proxy Binding Update is coming from a Distributed Gateway and not from a mobile access gateway. The rest of the Proxy Binding Update format remains the same as defined in [RFC5213].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+----------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence #</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+----------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobility options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+----------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distributed Gateway Flag (D)

The Distributed Gateway Flag is set to indicate to the receiver of the message that the Proxy Binding Update is from a Distributed Gateway.

Mobility Options

Variable-length field of such length that the complete Mobility Header is an integer multiple of 8 octets long. This field contains zero or more TLV-encoded mobility options. The encoding and format of defined options are described in Section 6.2 of [RFC6275]. The distributed gateway MUST ignore and skip any options that it does not understand.

4.3.2. Proxy Binding Acknowledgment

A new flag (D) is included in the Proxy Binding Acknowledgment to indicate that the sender supports operating as a distributed gateway. The rest of the Proxy Binding Acknowledgment format remains the same as defined in [RFC5213].
Distributed Gateway Flag (D)

The Distributed Gateway Flag is set to indicate that the sender of the message supports operating as a distributed gateway.

Mobility Options

Variable-length field of such length that the complete Mobility Header is a multiple of 8 octets long. This field contains zero or more TLV-encoded mobility options. The encoding and format of defined options are described in Section 6.2 of [RFC6275]. The distributed gateway MUST ignore and skip any options that it does not understand.

4.3.3. Anchored Prefix Option

A new Anchored Prefix option is defined for use with the Proxy Binding Update and Proxy Binding Acknowledgment messages exchanged between distributed gateways. This option is used for exchanging the mobile node’s prefix anchored at the anchoring D-GW. There can be multiple Anchored Prefix options present in the message.

The Anchored Prefix Option has an alignment requirement of 8n+4. Its format is as follows:
4.3.4. Local Prefix Option

A new Local Prefix option is defined for use with the Proxy Binding Update and Proxy Binding Acknowledgment messages exchanged between distributed gateways. This option is used for exchanging a prefix of a local network that is only reachable via the anchoring D-GW. There can be multiple Local Prefix options present in the message.
The Local Prefix Option has an alignment requirement of 8n+4. Its format is as follows:

```
 0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|      Type     |   Length      |   Reserved    | Prefix Length |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                                                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                         Local Prefix                          |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

**Type**

To be assigned by IANA.

**Length**

8-bit unsigned integer indicating the length of the option in octets, excluding the type and length fields. This field MUST be set to 18.

**Reserved**

This field is unused for now. The value MUST be initialized to 0 by the sender and MUST be ignored by the receiver.

**Prefix Length**

8-bit unsigned integer indicating the prefix length of the IPv6 prefix contained in the option.

**Local Prefix**

A sixteen-byte field containing the IPv6 Local Prefix.

### 4.3.5. DLIF Link-local Address Option

A new DLIF Link-local Address option is defined for use with the Proxy Binding Update and Proxy Binding Acknowledgment messages exchanged between distributed gateways. This option is used for exchanging the link-local address of the DLIF to be configured on the
The DLIF Link-local Address option has an alignment requirement of 8n+6. Its format is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type
To be assigned by IANA.

Length
8-bit unsigned integer indicating the length of the option in octets, excluding the type and length fields. This field MUST be set to 16.

DLIF Link-local Address
A sixteen-byte field containing the link-local address of the logical interface.

4.3.6. DLIF Link-layer Address Option

A new DLIF Link-layer Address option is defined for use with the Proxy Binding Update and Proxy Binding Acknowledgment messages exchanged between distributed gateways. This option is used for exchanging the link-layer address of the DLIF to be configured on the serving D-GW so it resembles the DLIF configured on the anchoring D-GW.

The format of the DLIF Link-layer Address option is shown below. Based on the size of the address, the option MUST be aligned appropriately, as per mobility option alignment requirements specified in [RFC6275].
Type
To be assigned by IANA.

Length
8-bit unsigned integer indicating the length of the option in octets, excluding the type and length fields.

Reserved
This field is unused for now. The value MUST be initialized to 0 by the sender and MUST be ignored by the receiver.

DLIF Link-layer Address
A variable length field containing the link-layer address of the logical interface to be configured on the serving distributed gateway.

The content and format of this field (including byte and bit ordering) is as specified in Section 4.6 of [RFC4861] for carrying link-layer addresses. On certain access links, where the link-layer address is not used or cannot be determined, this option cannot be used.

5. Simultaneous anchoring of multiple flows (multiple operators)
An MN may roam between D-GWs that do not belong to the same operator, and therefore might end up having multiple simultaneous flows, anchored at different operators. Since dynamically setting up tunnels between different operators (i.e., between D-GWs belonging to different operators) is usually not supported, a solution should be devised to ensure session continuity in this scenario, even if it is at the cost of a sub-optimal routing.
In this section we describe the required extensions to support inter-domain operation. The basic solution consists in using a centralized LMA (usually located in the home domain) as top-level anchor to guarantee session continuity when crossing operator borders. We assume that the necessary roaming agreements are in place in order to support setting up tunnels between the LMA located at the home domain of the MN and the visited D-GWs.

Figure 5: Simultaneous anchoring of multiple flows across multiple operators

Figure 5 shows an example of the inter-domain operation. MN1 initially attaches to D-GW1 (which belongs to OperatorA), and configures prefA::MN1 address out of one prefix anchored at D-GW1 (prefA::/64). If MN1 moves to D-GW2, which is managed by OperatorB, tunnels need to be established via the centralized LMA at the MN1’s operators core, since we assume that no direct tunneling is possible between D-GWs belonging to different operators. In this case, D-GW3
establishes one tunnel with the centralized LMA to send/receive traffic using prefA::/64. From the point of view of D-GW2, the operation is just as if the LMA was the D-GW anchoring this prefix. Analogously, the LMA establishes one tunnel with D-GW1 (from the point of view of D-GW1, the LMA is the current serving D-GW of MN1). Regarding the signaling, it is similar to the intra-operator scenario, though in this case the PBU/PBA sequence is performed twice, once between D-GW2 and the LMA, and another one between the LMA and D-GW1 (i.e., because two different tunnels are created).

6. IANA Considerations

This document defines new mobility options that require IANA actions.

7. Security Considerations

The protocol extensions defined in this document share the same security concerns of Proxy Mobile IPv6 [RFC5213]. It is recommended that the signaling messages, Proxy Binding Update and Proxy Binding Acknowledgment, exchanged between the distributed gateways, or between a distributed gateway and a centralized local mobility anchor, are protected using IPsec using the established security association between them. This essentially eliminates the threats related to the impersonation of a distributed gateway or the local mobility anchor.
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Appendix A. Comparison with Requirement document

In this section we describe how our solution addresses the DMM requirements listed in [RFC7333].
A.1. Distributed mobility management

"IP mobility, network access solutions, and forwarding solutions provided by DMM MUST enable traffic to avoid traversing a single mobility anchor far from the optimal route."

In our solution, the anchoring D-GW is responsible to handle the mobility for those IP flows started when the MN is attached to it. As long as the MN remains connected to the anchoring D-GW’s access links, the IP packets of such flows can benefit from the optimal path. When the MN moves to another D-GW, the path becomes non-optimal for ongoing flows, but newly started IP sessions are forwarded by the serving D-GW through the optimal path.

A.2. Bypassable network-layer mobility support for each application session

"DMM solutions MUST enable network-layer mobility, but it MUST be possible for any individual active application session (flow) to not use it. Mobility support is needed, for example, when a mobile host moves and an application cannot cope with a change in the IP address. Mobility support is also needed when a mobile router changes its IP address as it moves together with a host and, in the presence of ingress filtering, an application in the host is interrupted. However, mobility support at the network layer is not always needed; a mobile node can often be stationary, and mobility support can also be provided at other layers. It is then not always necessary to maintain a stable IP address or prefix for an active application session."

The solution operates at the IP layer, hence upper layers are totally transparent to the mobility operations. In particular, ongoing IP sessions are not disrupted after a change of access network. The routability of the old address is ensured by the IP tunnel with the anchoring D-GW. New IP sessions are started with the new address. From the application’s perspective, those processes which sockets are bound to a unique IP address do not suffer any impact. For the other applications, the sockets bound to the old address are preserved, whereas next sockets use the new address.

Additionally, the use of the DLIF makes easier to implement more complex policies regarding how traffic is forwarded at the D-GW.

A.3. IPv6 deployment

"DMM solutions SHOULD target IPv6 as the primary deployment environment and SHOULD NOT be tailored specifically to support IPv4,
particularly in situations where private IPv4 addresses and/or NATs
are used."

The solution targets IPv6 only.

A.4. Existing mobility protocols

"A DMM solution MUST first consider reusing and extending IETF
standard protocols before specifying new protocols."

The is derived from the operations and messages specified in
[RFC5213].

A.5. Coexistence with deployed networks/hosts and operability across
different networks

"A DMM solution may require loose, tight, or no integration into
existing mobility protocols and host IP stacks. Regardless of the
integration level, DMM implementations MUST be able to coexist with
existing network deployments, end hosts, and routers that may or may
not implement existing mobility protocols. Furthermore, a DMM
solution SHOULD work across different networks, possibly operated as
separate administrative domains, when the needed mobility management
signaling, forwarding, and network access are allowed by the trust
relationship between them."

The solution can be extended to provide a fallback mechanism to
operate as legacy Proxy Mobile IPv6. It is necessary to instruct
D-GWs to always establish a tunnel with the same anchoring D-GW,
working as LMA.

A.6. Operation and management considerations

"A DMM solution needs to consider configuring a device, monitoring
the current operational state of a device, and responding to events
that impact the device, possibly by modifying the configuration and
storing the data in a format that can be analyzed later.

The proposed solution can re-use existing mechanisms defined for the
operation and management of Proxy Mobile IPv6.

A.7. Security considerations

"A DMM solution MUST support any security protocols and mechanisms
needed to secure the network and to make continuous security
improvements. In addition, with security taken into consideration
early in the design, a DMM solution MUST NOT introduce new security
The proposed solution does not specify a security mechanism, given that the same mechanism for PMIPv6 can be used.

A.8. Multicast

"DMM SHOULD enable multicast solutions to be developed to avoid network inefficiency in multicast traffic delivery."

This solution in its current version does not specify any support for multicast traffic, which is left for study in future versions.

Appendix B. Implementation experience

The DLIF concept can be easily implemented using features that are usually available on several OSs. Among the possible mechanisms that can be used to do it, the Linux macvlan support allows the creation of different logical interfaces over the same physical one. Each logical interface appears as a regular interface to the Linux OS (which can be configured normally), and it supports configuring the MAC address exposed by the logical interface. The destination MAC address is used by the OS to decide which logical interface (configured on top of a physical interface) is in charge of processing an incoming L2 frame.

The EU FP7 MEDIEVAL project implemented a prototype of the DLIF concept using the Linux macvlan support, the radvd daemon, the Linux Advanced Routing and Traffic Control features and the standard iproute2 collection of utilities:

- The macvlan support enables iproute2 tools to be able to create, destroy and configure DLIFs on demand over a single physical interface. One of the important features that needs to be configured is the logical MAC address exposed by the DLIF, as well as the IPv6 addresses, as they should remain the same regardless of the serving D-GW where the DLIF is configured.

- Since the distributed logical interfaces created using the macvlan support appear as regular network interfaces, they can be used normally in the radvd configuration file. Them, by dynamically modifying the radvd configuration file and reloading it, we can control the router advertisements sent to each MN (e.g., advertising new IPv6 prefixes, deprecating prefixes anchored at other serving D-GWs, announcing RFC 4191 specific routes or changing router preferences).
- Each time a DLIF is created, it is also needed to properly configure source-based IPv6 routes, as well as tunnels (in case of handover). This is supported by the Linux Advanced Routing and Traffic Control features.

- Last, but not least, current Linux kernels support the configuration of RFC 4191 specific routes (by processing Route Information Options contained in RAs). The kernel support can be easily enabled by using the `net.conf.ipv6.*.accept_ra_rt_info_max_plen` kernel configuration parameter.

The DLIF concept is implemented by the Open Distributed Mobility Management (ODMM) project (http://www.odmm.net/), as part of the Mobility Anchors Distribution for PMIPv6 (MAD-PMIPv6). The ODMM platform is intended to foster DMM development and deployment, by serving as a framework to host open source implementations.

Appendix C. Public demonstrations

The DLIF concept has been demonstrated, together with the network-based DMM solution described in [I-D.bernardos-dmm-pmip], during the 83rd IETF in Paris (March 2012) and the 87th IETF in Berlin (August 2013).

The first demo showcased a scenario composed of three "anchor routers", a "centralized LMA" for control plane, a "mobile node" and two "correspondent nodes" (one of them being a legacy IPv6 camera). The mobile node could move between the different anchor routers, getting a different locally anchor IPv6 address at each location, and being the reachability of each address maintained.

In the second demo, integration with content delivery nodes (CDNs) was also shown, showcasing the advantages that the use of a DMM solution brings to this popular scenario. These concepts were further explored in the EU project MEDIEVAL.
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Abstract

The number of mobile users and their traffic demand is expected to be ever-increasing in future years, and this growth can represent a limitation for deploying current mobility management schemes that are intrinsically centralized, e.g., Mobile IPv6 and Proxy Mobile IPv6. For this reason it has been waved a need for distributed and dynamic mobility management approaches, with the objective of reducing operators’ burdens, evolving to a cheaper and more efficient architecture.

This draft describes multiple solutions for network-based distributed mobility management inspired by the well known Proxy Mobile IPv6.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 14, 2017.
1. Introduction

Current IP mobility solutions, standardized with the names of Mobile IPv6 [RFC6275], or Proxy Mobile IPv6 [RFC5213], just to cite the two most relevant examples, offer mobility support at the cost of handling operations at a cardinal point, the mobility anchor, and burdening it with data forwarding and control mechanisms for a great amount of users. As stated in [RFC7333], centralized mobility solutions are prone to several problems and limitations: longer (sub-optimal) routing paths, scalability problems, signaling overhead (and most likely a longer associated handover latency), more complex network deployment, higher vulnerability due to the existence of a potential single point of failure, and lack of granularity on the mobility management service (i.e., mobility is offered on a per-node basis, not being possible to define finer granularity policies, as for example per-application).

The purpose of Distributed Mobility Management is to overcome the limitations of the traditional centralized mobility management [RFC7333] [RFC7429]; the main concept behind DMM solutions is indeed bringing the mobility anchor closer to the MN. Following this idea, in our proposal, the central anchor is moved to the edge of the network, being deployed in the default gateway of the mobile node. That is, the first elements that provide IP connectivity to a set of MNs are also the mobility managers for those MNs. In the following, we will call these entities Mobility Anchor and Access Routers (MAARs).

This document focuses on network-based DMM, hence the starting point is making PMIPv6 working in a distributed manner [RFC7429]. In our proposal, as in PMIPv6, mobility is handled by the network without the MNs involvement, but, differently from PMIP, when the MN moves from one access network to another, it also changes anchor router, hence requiring signaling between the anchors to retrieve the MN’s previous location(s). Also, a key-aspect of network-based DMM, is that a prefix pool belongs exclusively to each MAAR, in the sense that those prefixes are assigned by the MAAR to the MNs attached to it, and they are routable at that MAAR.

In the following, we consider two main approaches to design our DMM solutions:

- Partially distributed schemes, where the data plane only is distributed among access routers similar to MAGs, whereas the control plane is kept centralized towards a cardinal node used as information store, but relieved from any route management and MN’s data forwarding task.
o Fully distributed schemes, where both data and control planes are distributed among the access routers.

2. Terminology

The following terms used in this document are defined in the Proxy Mobile IPv6 specification [RFC5213]:

Local Mobility Anchor (LMA)
Mobile Access Gateway (MAG)
Mobile Node (MN)
Binding Cache Entry (BCE)
Proxy Care-of Address (P-CoA)
Proxy Binding Update (PBU)
Proxy Binding Acknowledgement (PBA)

The following terms are defined and used in this document:

MAAR (Mobility Anchor and Access Router). First hop router where the mobile nodes attach to. It also plays the role of mobility manager for the IPv6 prefixes it anchors, running the functionalities of PMIP’s MAG and LMA.

CMD (Central Mobility Database). Node that stores the BCEs allocated for the MNs in the mobility domain.

P-MAAR (Previous MAAR). MAAR which was previously visited by the MN and is still involved in an active flow using an IPv6 prefix it has advertised to the MN (i.e., MAAR where that IPv6 prefix is anchored). There might be multiple P-MAARs for an MN’s mobility session.

S-MAAR (Serving MAAR). MAAR which the MN is currently attached to.

3. Partially distributed solution

The following solution consists in de-coupling the entities that participate in the data and the control planes: the data plane becomes distributed and managed by the MAARs near the edge of the network, while the control plane, besides on the MAARs, relies on a central entity called Central Mobility Database (CMD). In the
The proposed architecture, the hierarchy present in PMIP between LMA and MAG is preserved, but with the following substantial variations:

- The LMA is relieved from the data forwarding role, only the Binding Cache and its management operations are maintained. Hence the LMA is renamed into Central Mobility Database (CMD). Also, the CMD is able to send and parse both PBU and PBA messages.

- The MAG is enriched with the LMA functionalities, hence the name Mobility Anchor and Access Router (MAAR). It maintains a local Binding Cache for the MNs that are attached to it and it is able to send and parse PBU and PBA messages.

- The binding cache will have to be extended to include information regarding previous MAARs where the mobile node was anchored and still retains active data sessions, see Appendix B for further details.

- Each MAAR has a unique set of global prefixes (which are configurable), that can be allocated by the MAAR to the MNs, but must be exclusive to that MAAR, i.e. no other MAAR can allocate the same prefixes.

The MAARs leverage on the Central Mobility Database (CMD) to access and update information related to the MNs, stored as mobility sessions; hence, a centralized node maintains a global view on the status of the network. The CMD is queried whenever a MN is detected to join/leave the mobility domain. It might be a fresh attachment, a detachment or a handover, but as MAARs are not aware of past information related to a mobility session, they contact the CMD to retrieve the data of interest and eventually take the appropriate action. The procedure adopted for the query and the messages exchange sequence might vary to optimize the update latency and/or the signaling overhead. Here is presented one method for the initial registration, and three different approaches to update the mobility sessions using PBUs and PBAs. Each approach assigns a different role to the CMD:

- The CMD is a PBU/PBA relay;
- The CMD is only a MAAR locator;
- The CMD is a PBU/PBA proxy.
3.1. Initial registration

Upon the MN’s attachment to a MAAR, say MAAR1, if the MN is authorized for the service, an IPv6 global prefix belonging to the MAAR’s prefix pool is reserved for it (Pref1) into a temporal Binding Cache Entry (BCE) allocated locally. The prefix is sent in a [RFC5213] PBU with the MN’s Identifier (MN-ID) to the CMD, which, since the session is new, stores a permanent BCE containing as main fields the MN-ID, the MN’s prefix and MAAR1’s address as Proxy-CoA. The CMD replies to MAAR1 with a PBA including the usual options defined in PMIP/RFC5213, meaning that the MN’s registration is fresh and no past status is available. MAAR1 definitely stores the temporal BCE previously allocated and unicasts a Router Advertisement (RA) to the MN including the prefix reserved before, that can be used by the MN to configure an IPv6 address (e.g., with stateless auto-configuration). The address is routable at the MAAR, in the sense that it is on the path of packets addressed to the MN. Moreover, the MAAR acts as plain router for those packets, as no encapsulation nor special handling takes place. Figure 1 illustrates this scenario.
3.2. The CMD as PBU/PBA relay

When the MN moves from its current access and associates to MAAR2 (now the S-MAAR), MAAR2 reserves another IPv6 prefix (Pref2), it stores a temporal BCE, and it sends a plain PBU to the CMD for registration. Upon PBU reception and BC lookup, the CMD retrieves an already existing entry for the MN, binding the MN-ID to its former location; thus, the CMD forwards the PBU to the MAAR indicated as Proxy CoA (MAAR1), including a new mobility option to communicate the S-MAAR’s global address to MAAR1, defined as Serving MAAR Option in Section 3.6.2. The CMD updates the P-CoA field in the BCE related to the MN with the S-MAAR’s address.

Upon PBU reception, MAAR1 can install a tunnel on its side towards MAAR2 and the related routes for Pref1. Then MAAR1 replies to the CMD with a PBA (including the option mentioned before) to ensure that the new location has successfully changed, containing the prefix anchored at MAAR1 in the Home Network Prefix option. The CMD, after receiving the PBA, updates the BCE populating an instance of the P-MAAR list. The P-MAAR list is an additional field on the BCE that contains an element for each P-MAAR involved in the MN’s mobility session. The list element contains the P-MAAR’s global address and the prefix it has delegated (see Appendix B for further details). Also, the CMD send a PBA to the new S-MAAR, containing the previous Proxy-CoA and the prefix anchored to it embedded into a new mobility option called Previous MAAR Option (defined in Section 3.6.1), so that, upon PBA arrival, a bi-directional tunnel can be established between the two MAARs and new routes are set appropriately to recover the IP flow(s) carrying Pref1.

Now packets destined to Pref1 are first received by MAAR1, encapsulated into the tunnel and forwarded to MAAR2, which finally delivers them to their destination. In uplink, when the MN transmits packets using Pref1 as source address, they are sent to MAAR2, as it is MN’s new default gateway, then tunneled to MAAR1 which routes them towards the next hop to destination. Conversely, packets carrying Pref2 are routed by MAAR2 without any special packet handling both for uplink and downlink. The procedure is depicted in Figure 2.
For next MN’s movements the process is repeated except for the number of P-MAARs involved, that rises accordingly to the number of prefixes that the MN wishes to maintain. Indeed, once the CMD receives the first PBU from the new S-MAAR, it forwards copies of the PBU to all the P-MAARs indicated in the BCE as current P-CoA (i.e., the MAAR prior to handover) and in the P-MAARs list. They reply with a PBA to the CMD, which aggregates them into a single one to notify the S-MAAR, that finally can establish the tunnels with the P-MAARs.

It should be noted that this design separates the mobility management at the prefix granularity, and it can be tuned in order to erase old mobility sessions when not required, while the MN is reachable through the latest prefix acquired. Moreover, the latency associated to the mobility update is bound to the PBA sent by the furthest P-MAAR, in terms of RTT, that takes the longest time to reach the CMD. The drawback can be mitigated introducing a timeout at the CMD, by which, after its expiration, all the PBAs so far collected are transmitted, and the remaining are sent later upon their arrival.
3.3. The CMD as MAAR locator

The handover latency experienced in the approach shown before can be reduced if the P-MAARs are allowed to signal directly their information to the new S-MAAR. This procedure reflects what was described in Section 3.2 up to the moment the P-MAAR receives the PBU with the P-MAAR option. At that point a P-MAAR is aware of the new MN’s location (because of the S-MAAR’s address in the S-MAAR option), and, besides sending a PBA to the CMD, it also sends a PBA to the S-MAAR including the prefix it is anchoring. This latter PBA does not need to include new options, as the prefix is embedded in the HNP option and the P-MAAR’s address OS taken from the message’s source address. The CMD is relieved from forwarding the PBA to the S-MAAR, as the latter receives a copy directly from the P-MAAR with the necessary information to build the tunnels and set the appropriate routes. In Figure 3 is illustrated the new messages sequence, while the data forwarding is unaltered.

Figure 3: Scenario after a handover, CMD as locator
3.4. The CMD as MAAR proxy

A further enhancement of previous solutions can be achieved when the CMD sends the PBA to the new S-MAAR before notifying the P-MAARs of the location change. Indeed, when the CMD receives the PBU for the new registration, it is already in possession of all the information that the new S-MAAR requires to set up the tunnels and the routes. Thus the PBA is sent to the S-MAAR immediately after a PBU is received, including also in this case the P-MAAR option. In parallel, a PBU is sent by the CMD to the P-MAARs containing the S-MAAR option, to notify them about the new MN’s location, so they receive the information to establish the tunnels and routes on their side. When P-MAARs complete the update, they send a PBA to the CMD to indicate that the operation is concluded and the information are updated in all network nodes. This procedure is obtained from the first one re-arranging the order of the messages, but the parameters communicated are the same. This scheme is depicted in Figure 4, where, again, the data forwarding is kept untouched.

---

Figure 4: Scenario after a handover, CMD as proxy
3.5. De-registration

The de-registration mechanism devised for PMIPv6 is no longer valid in the Partial DMM architecture. This is motivated by the fact that each MAAR handles an independent mobility session (i.e., a single or a set of prefixes) for a given MN, whereas the aggregated session is stored at the CMD. Indeed, when a previous MAAR initiates a de-registration procedure, because the MN is no longer present on the MAAR’s access link, it removes the routing state for that (those) prefix(es), that would be deleted by the CMD as well, hence defeating any prefix continuity attempt. The simplest approach to overcome this limitation is to deny an old MAAR to de-register a prefix, that is, allowing only a serving MAAR to de-register the whole MN session. This can be achieved by first removing any layer-2 detachment event, so that de-registration is triggered only when the session lifetime expires, hence providing a guard interval for the MN to connect to a new MAAR. Then, a change in the MAAR operations is required, and at this stage two possible solutions can be deployed:

- A previous MAAR stops the BCE timer upon receiving a PBU from the CMD containing a "Serving MAAR" option. In this way only the Serving MAAR is allowed to de-register the mobility session, arguing that the MN left definitely the domain.
- Previous MAARs can, upon BCE expiry, send de-registration messages to the CMD, which, instead of acknowledging the message with a 0 lifetime, send back a PBA with a non-zero lifetime, hence renewing the session, if the MN is still connected to the domain.

The evaluation of these methods is left for future work.

3.6. Message Format

This section defines two Mobility Options to be used in the PBU and PBA messages:

- Previous MAAR Option;
- Serving MAAR Option.

In the current draft the messages reflect IPv6 format only. IPv4 compatibility will be added in next release.

3.6.1. Previous MAAR Option

This new option is defined for use with the Proxy Binding Acknowledgement messages exchanged by the CMD to a MAAR. This option is used to notify the S-MAAR about the previous MAAR’s global address.
and the prefix anchored to it. There can be multiple Previous MAAR options present in the message. Its format is as follows:

```
  0                   1                   2                   3
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
                        +-------------------------------+
                        |      Type     |     Length    | Prefix Length |
                        +-------------------------------+
                        |                       +       |
                        |                       |       |
                        |                       |       |
                        +-------------------------------------------------+
                        |                       |       |
                        |                       |       |
                        +-------------------------------------------------+
                        |                       |       |
                        |                   Home Network Prefix          |
                        |                       |       |
                        |                       |       |
                        +-------------------------------------------------+
```

Type

To be assigned by IANA.

Length

8-bit unsigned integer indicating the length of the option in octets, excluding the type and length fields. This field MUST be set to 34.

Prefix Length

8-bit unsigned integer indicating the prefix length of the IPv6 prefix contained in the option.

Previous MAAR’s address

A sixteen-byte field containing the P-MAAR’s IPv6 global address.

Home Network Prefix

A sixteen-byte field containing the mobile node’s IPv6 Home Network Prefix.
3.6.2. Serving MAAR Option

This new option is defined for use with the Proxy Binding Update and Proxy Binding Acknowledgement messages exchanged between the CMD and a Previous MAAR. This option is used to notify the P-MAAR about the current Serving MAAR’s global address. Its format is as follows:

```
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-----------------------------------------------+
|              Type               |     Length    |
+-----------------------------------------------+
| +-----------------------------------------------|
| | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | +-----------------------------------------------|
```

- **Type**
  To be assigned by IANA.

- **Length**
  8-bit unsigned integer indicating the length of the option in octets, excluding the type and length fields. This field MUST be set to 16.

- **Serving MAAR’s address**
  A sixteen-byte field containing the S-MAAR’s IPv6 global address.

4. Fully distributed solution

In this section we introduce the guidelines to evolve our partially DMM solution into a fully distributed one. We list the key concepts in the following (some of the points are already enforced in previous sections of this document):

- All MAARs have a pool of global routable IPv6 prefixes to be assigned to MNs on the access link.
o Any central control entity is removed from the architecture and each MAAR will retain its own cache for the mobile nodes directly anchored to it.

o Both control and data planes are now entirely handled by the MAARs.

Because we aim for a fully distributed approach, the lack of knowledge of other MAARs and their advertised prefixes becomes a serious obstacle. In this particular case, when a MN attaches to a MAAR, there are two main pieces of information that this MAAR requires to know, to properly assure a mobile node’s mobility and continuity of its data flows: i) if the node has any P-MAARs and their addresses; ii) if it has P-MAARs, which prefixes were advertised by which MAAR.

There are several methods to achieve this:

o Make before approaches, employing Layer 2 or Layer 3 mechanisms. The target MAAR is known in advance by the current MAAR before handover, hence the mobility context can be transferred.

o Distributed schemes for MAAR discovery: it can based on a peer-to-peer approach; or it can employ a unicast, multicast or broadcast query system.

o Explicit notification by the MN. For example, extending the layer three IP address configuration mechanisms (e.g., ND).

o Other MN to MAAR communication protocol (e.g., IEEE 802.21).

5. IANA Considerations

TBD.

6. Security Considerations

The solution assumes that the nodes are trusted and secure MAAR-to-MAAR communications are in place, for instance re-using the security mechanisms defined for PMIPv6. Thus, the solution does not introduce any new security vulnerability.
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Appendix A. Comparison with Requirement document

In this section we describe how our solution addresses the DMM requirements listed in [RFC7333].

A.1. Distributed mobility management

"IP mobility, network access solutions, and forwarding solutions provided by DMM MUST enable traffic to avoid traversing a single mobility anchor far from the optimal route."
In our solution, a MAAR is responsible to handle the mobility for those IP flows started when the MN is attached to it. As long as the MN remains connected to the MAAR’s access links, the IP packets of such flows can benefit from the optimal path. When the MN moves to another MAAR, the path becomes non-optimal for ongoing flows, as they are anchored to the previous MAAR, but newly started IP sessions are forwarded by the new MAAR through the optimal path.

A.2. Bypassable network-layer mobility support for each application session

"DMM solutions MUST enable network-layer mobility, but it MUST be possible for any individual active application session (flow) to not use it. Mobility support is needed, for example, when a mobile host moves and an application cannot cope with a change in the IP address. Mobility support is also needed when a mobile router changes its IP address as it moves together with a host and, in the presence of ingress filtering, an application in the host is interrupted. However, mobility support at the network layer is not always needed; a mobile node can often be stationary, and mobility support can also be provided at other layers. It is then not always necessary to maintain a stable IP address or prefix for an active application session."

Our DMM solution operates at the IP layer, hence upper layers are totally transparent to the mobility operations. In particular, ongoing IP sessions are not disrupted after a change of access network. The routability of the old address is ensured by the IP tunnel with the old MAAR. New IP sessions are started with the new address. From the application’s perspective, those processes which sockets are bound to a unique IP address do not suffer any impact. For the other applications, the sockets bound to the old address are preserved, whereas next sockets use the new address.

A.3. IPv6 deployment

"DMM solutions SHOULD target IPv6 as the primary deployment environment and SHOULD NOT be tailored specifically to support IPv4, particularly in situations where private IPv4 addresses and/or NATs are used."

The DMM solution we propose targets IPv6 only.

A.4. Existing mobility protocols

"A DMM solution MUST first consider reusing and extending IETF standard protocols before specifying new protocols."
This DMM solution is derived from the operations and messages specified in [RFC5213].

A.5. Coexistence with deployed networks/hosts and operability across different networks

"A DMM solution may require loose, tight, or no integration into existing mobility protocols and host IP stacks. Regardless of the integration level, DMM implementations MUST be able to coexist with existing network deployments, end hosts, and routers that may or may not implement existing mobility protocols. Furthermore, a DMM solution SHOULD work across different networks, possibly operated as separate administrative domains, when the needed mobility management signaling, forwarding, and network access are allowed by the trust relationship between them"

The partially DMM solution can be extended to provide a fallback mechanism to operate as legacy Proxy Mobile IPv6. It is necessary to instruct MAARs to always establish a tunnel with the same MAAR, working as LMA. The fully DMM solution can be extended as well, but it requires more intervention. The partially DMM solution can be deployed across different domains with trust agreements if the CMDs or the operators are enabled to transfer context from one node to another. The fully DMM solution works across multiple domains if both solution apply the same signalling scheme.

A.6. Operation and management considerations

"A DMM solution needs to consider configuring a device, monitoring the current operational state of a device, and responding to events that impact the device, possibly by modifying the configuration and storing the data in a format that can be analyzed later.

The proposed solution can re-use existing mechanisms defined for the operation and management of Proxy Mobile IPv6.

A.7. Security considerations

"A DMM solution MUST support any security protocols and mechanisms needed to secure the network and to make continuous security improvements. In addition, with security taken into consideration early in the design, a DMM solution MUST NOT introduce new security risks or amplify existing security risks that cannot be mitigated by existing security protocols and mechanisms."

The proposed solution does not specify a security mechanism, given that the same mechanism for PMIPv6 can be used.
A.8. Multicast

"DMM SHOULD enable multicast solutions to be developed to avoid network inefficiency in multicast traffic delivery."

This solution in its current version does not specify any support for multicast traffic, which is left for study in future versions.

Appendix B. Implementation experience

The network-based DMM solution described in section Section 3.4 is now available at the Open Distributed Mobility Management (ODMM) project (http://www.odmm.net), under the name of Mobility Anchors Distribution for PMIPv6 (MAD-PMIPv6). The ODMM platform is intended to foster DMM development and deployment, by serving as a framework to host open source implementations.

The MAD-PMIPv6 code is developed in ANSI C from the existing UMIP implementation for PMIP. The most relevant changes with respect to the UMIP original version are related to how to create the CMD and MAAR’s state machines from those of an LMA and a MAG; for this purpose, part of the LMA code was copied to the MAG, in order to send PBA messages and parse PBU. Also, the LMA routing functions were removed completely, and moved to the MAG, because MAARs need to route through the tunnels in downlink (as an LMA) and in uplink (as a MAG).

Tunnel management is hence a relevant technical aspect, as multiple tunnels are established by a single MAAR, which keeps their status directly into the MN’s BCE. Indeed, from the implementation experience it was chosen to create an ancillary data structure as field within a BCE: the data structure is called "MAAR list" and stores the previous MAARs’ address and the corresponding prefix(es) assigned for the MN. Only the CMD and the serving MAAR store this data structure, because the CMD maintains the global MN’s mobility session formed during the MN’s roaming within the domain, and the serving MAAR needs to know which previous MAARs were visited, the prefix(es) they assigned and the tunnels established with them.

Conversely, a previous MAAR only needs to know which is the current Serving MAAR and establish a single tunnel with it. For this reason, a MAAR that receives a PBU from the CMD (meaning that the MN attached to another MAAR), first sets up the routing state for the MN’s prefix(es) it isanchoring, then stop the BCE expiry timer and deletes the MAAR list (if present) since it is no longer useful.

In order to have the MN totally unaware of the changes in the access link, all MAARs implement the Distributed Logical Interface (DLIF) concept devised in [I-D.bernardos-dmm-distributed-anchoring]. Moreover, it should be noted that the protocols designed in the
document work only at the network layer to handle the MNs joining or leaving the domain. This should guarantee a certain independency to a particular access technology. The implementation reflects this reasoning, but we argue that an interaction with lower layers produces a more effective attachment and detachment detection, therefore improving the performance, also regarding de-registration mechanisms.

It was chosen to implement the "proxy" solution because it produces the shortest handover latency, but a slight modification on the CMD state machine can produce the first scenario described ("relay") which guarantees a more consistent request/ack scheme between the MAARS. By modifying also the MAAR’s state machine it can be implemented the second solution ("locator").

An early MAD-PMIPv6 implementation was shown during a demo session at the IETF 83rd, in Paris in March 2012. An enhancement version of the prototype has been presented at the 87th IETF meeting in Berlin, July 2013. The updated demo included a use case scenario employing a CDN system for video delivery. More, MAD-PMIPv6 has been extensively used and evaluated within a testbed employing heterogeneous radio accesses within the framework of the MEDIEVAL EU project. MAD-PMIPv6 software is currently part of a DMM test-bed comprising 3 MAARs, one CMD, one MN and a CN. All the machines used in the demos were Linux UBUNTU 10.04 systems with kernel 2.6.32, but the prototype has been tested also under newer systems. This testbed was also used by the iJOIN EU project.

Authors’ Addresses

Carlos J. Bernardos
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
Av. Universidad, 30
Leganes, Madrid 28911
Spain

Phone: +34 91624 6236
Email: cjbc@it.uc3m.es
URI: http://www.it.uc3m.es/cjbc/
Router Advertisement Prefix Option Extension for On-Demand Mobility
draft-feng-dmm-ra-prefixtype-00

Abstract

Router Advertisement / Router Solicitation is one of the ways for hosts to establish network IPv6 connectivity configuration. This document describes an extension to the router advertisement prefix information option to allow the router to specify mobility service type availability to mobile hosts. Mobile hosts can then configure their IP address to the preferred type of mobile connectivity.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 10, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of
1. Introduction

[I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility] defines different types of mobility related network services provided by access network to mobile hosts. In particular, it defines different types of prefix continuity types as mobile nodes move between different points of attachments.

This document defines extensions to the prefix information option in the router advertisement message ([RFC4861]) to allow the router to convey mobility services associated with an IPv6 prefix.

2. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Router Advertisement Prefix Information Option

IP prefixes are conveyed in Router Advertisement messages through the Prefix Information Option field ([RFC4861]). These prefix information option fields are used to allow hosts to configure their IPv6 addresses.

For distributed mobility management, there is a need for a network to be able to convey different prefixes for different connectivity scenarios. [I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility] defines different service continuity requirements including: Non-Persistent, Session-Lasting, Fixed, and Graceful-replacement. Currently, however, there is no way for a router to specify the continuity type through a router advertisement message.
This document proposes modifying the prefix information option within the router advertisement message to include mobility service options that it is offering to mobile hosts that are attached.

The modified prefix information option fields are shown in the following figure:

```
+-----------------------------------------------+
|   Prefix Length   |  L |  A |  Rsv1 |  SrvTp |
+-----------------------------------------------+
|                |               |     |       |       |
|                |               |     |       |       |
|                |               |     |       |       |
+-----------------------------------------------+
```

- **Type**: 3
- **Length**: 4
- **Prefix Length**: 8-bit unsigned integer. The number of leading bits in the Prefix that are valid. The value ranges from 0 to 128.
- **L**: 1-bit on-link flag. When set, indicates that this prefix can be used for on-link determination.
- **A**: 1-bit autonomous address-configuration flag. When set indicates that this prefix can be used for stateless address configuration.
- **Rsv1**: 3-bit unused field. It MUST be initialized to zero by the sender and MUST be ignored by the receiver.
SrvTp          3-bit field that specifies the service type. The field can have the following values:

Non-Persistent - a non-persistent IP prefix (1)
Session-Lasting - a session-lasting IP prefix (2)
Fixed - a fixed IP prefix (3)
Graceful-replacement - a graceful-replacement IP prefix (4)

The definition of these service types is available in [I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility].

0 is reserved and should not be used. All other values (5-7) are reserved for future use.

The value of the Service Type indicates the type of continuity service committed by the network for the associated IPv6 prefix.

Once an IPv6 prefix type is provided, any subsequent messages involving this prefix (lease renewal – for example) must include the IPv6 Continuity Service option with the same service type that was assigned by the server during the initial allocation.

Given the list of IPv6 prefixes and their associated mobility service type, the mobile host can then configure its IP address to the appropriate service required by the application.

Mobile hosts that do not support this new option should ignore the prefix information option.

Routers should also send an additional prefix information option without the session-type field from time to time for hosts that do not support this new format.

4. Security Considerations

There are no specific security considerations for this option.

5. IANA Considerations

TBD
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Abstract
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1. Introduction

[I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility] defines different types of mobility related network services provided by access network to mobile hosts. In particular, it defines different types of service continuity types as mobile nodes move between different points of attachments.

This document proposes two such options to the router advertisement message ([RFC4861]) to allow the router to convey service continuity types services associated with an IPv6 prefix. The possibilities considered are: (i) creating an extension to the router advertisement prefix information option to allow the router to specify service connectivity types, and (ii) specifying a new RA options that allows the router to specify the service connectivity types.

2. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Router Advertisement Extensions

IP prefixes are conveyed in Router Advertisement messages through the Prefix Information Option field ([RFC4861]). These prefix information option fields are used to allow hosts to configure their IPv6 addresses.
For distributed mobility management, there is a need for a network to be able to convey different prefixes for different connectivity scenarios. [I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility] defines different service continuity requirements including: Non-Persistent, Session-Lasting, Fixed, and Graceful-replacement. Currently, however, there is no way for a router to specify the continuity type through a router advertisement message.

This document proposes two possibilities for modifying the router advertisement message to include service continuity options that it is offering to mobile hosts that are attached: (i) creating an extension to the router advertisement prefix information option (PIO) to allow the router to specify service connectivity types, and (ii) specifying a new RA options that allows the router to specify the service connectivity types.

3.1. Modifying PIO

The first option is to modify the PIO. The advantages of this approach are that it is semantically in line with the intended function. That is, specifying prefix options. This, however, requires the modification of several bits in the existing PIO to support the specification of the service connectivity type.

The modified prefix information option fields are shown in the following figure:
Fields:

Type 3
Length 4
Prefix Length 8-bit unsigned integer. The number of leading bits in the Prefix that are valid. The value ranges from 0 to 128.
L 1-bit on-link flag. When set, indicates that this prefix can be used for on-link determination.
A 1-bit autonomous address-configuration flag. When set indicates that this prefix can be used for stateless address configuration.
Rsv1 3-bit unused field. It MUST be initialized to zero by the sender and MUST be ignored by the receiver.
SrvTp 3-bit field that specifies the service type. The field can have the following values:

- Non-Persistent - a non-persistent IP prefix (1)
- Session-Lasting - a session-lasting IP prefix (2)
- Fixed - a fixed IP prefix (3)
- Graceful-replacement - a graceful-replacement IP prefix (4)

The definition of these service types is available in [I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility].

0 is reserved and should not be used. All other values (5-7) are reserved for future use.

The value of the Service Type indicates the type of continuity service committed by the network for the associated IPv6 prefix.

Once an IPv6 prefix type is provided, any subsequent messages involving this prefix (lease renewal - for example) must include the IPv6 Continuity Service option with the same service type that was assigned by the server during the initial allocation.
Given the list of IPv6 prefixes and their associated service connectivity type, the mobile host can then configure its IP address to the appropriate service required by the application.

Mobile hosts that do not support this new option should ignore the prefix information option.

Routers should also send an additional prefix information option without the session-type field from time to time for hosts that do not support this new format.

3.2. Adding a new RA option

The second approach is to add a new RA option alongside the existing PIO (and other RA options). The advantage of this approach are that it leaves the existing PIO untouched. Furthermore, hosts that receive this option with the type that they do not understand can simply disregard it.

The new RA option specification is shown in the following figure:

```
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|     Type      |    Length     | Prefix Length |L|A| Rsv1|SrvTp|
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                         Valid Lifetime                          |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                        Preferred Lifetime                       |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                             Reserved2                           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                                                               |
|                                                               |
|                              Prefix                              |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Fields:
- **Type**: Need to define new Type #
- **Length**: 4
Prefix Length  8-bit unsigned integer. The number of leading bits in
the Prefix that are valid. The value ranges from 0 to
128.

L              1-bit on-link flag. When set, indicates that this
prefix can be used for on-link determination.

A              1-bit autonomous address-configuration flag. When set
indicates that this prefix can be used for stateless
address configuration.

Rsv1           3-bit unused field. It MUST be initialized to zero by
the sender and MUST be ignored by the receiver.

SrvTp          3-bit field that specifies the service type. The
field can have the following values:

Non-Persistent - a non-persistent IP prefix (1)
Session-Lasting - a session-lasting IP prefix (2)
Fixed -        a fixed IP prefix (3)
Graceful-replacement - a graceful-replacement IP
prefix (4)

The definition of these service types is available in
[I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility].

0 is reserved and should not be used. All other values (5-7) are
reserved for future use.

The value of the Service Type indicates the type of continuity
service committed by the network for the associated IPv6 prefix.

Once an IPv6 prefix type is provided, any subsequent messages
involving this prefix (lease renewal - for example) must include the
IPv6 Continuity Service option with the same service type that was
assigned by the server during the initial allocation.

Given the list of IPv6 prefixes and their associated mobility service
type, the mobile host can then configure its IP address to the
appropriate service required by the application

Mobile hosts that do not support this new option should ignore the
prefix information option.
Routers should also send an additional prefix information option without the session-type field from time to time for hosts that do not support this new format.

4. Security Considerations

There are no specific security considerations for this option.

5. IANA Considerations

TBD
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1. Introduction

The Mobile Node Identifier Option for MIPv6 [RFC4283] has proved to be a popular design tool for providing identifiers for mobile nodes during authentication procedures with AAA protocols such as Diameter [RFC3588]. To date, only a single type of identifier has been specified, namely the MN NAI. Other types of identifiers are in common use, and even referenced in RFC 4283. In this document, we propose adding some basic types that are defined in various telecommunications standards, including types for IMSI [ThreeGPP-IDS], P-TMSI [ThreeGPP-IDS], IMEI [ThreeGPP-IDS], and GUTI [ThreeGPP-IDS]. In addition, we specify the IPv6 address itself and...
IEEE MAC-layer addresses as mobile node identifiers. Defining identifiers that are tied to the physical elements of the device (RFID, MAC address etc.) help in deployment of Mobile IP because in many cases such identifiers are the most natural means for uniquely identifying the device, and will avoid additional look-up steps that might be needed if other identifiers were used.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. New Mobile Node Identifier Types

The following types of identifiers are commonly used to identify mobile nodes. For each type, references are provided with full details on the format of the type of identifier.

The Tag Data standard promoted by Electronic Product Code(TM) (abbreviated EPC) supports several encoding systems or schemes including

- RFID-GID (Global Identifier),
- RFID-SGTIN (Serialized Global Trade Item Number),
- RFID-SSCC (Serial Shipping Container),
- RFID-SGLN (Global Location Number),
- RFID-GRAI (Global Returnable Asset Identifier),
- RFID-DOD (Department of Defense ID), and
- RFID-GIAI (Global Individual Asset Identifier).

For each RFID scheme except GID, there are two variations: a 64-bit scheme (for example, SGLN-64) and a 96-bit scheme (SGLN-96). GID has only a 96-bit scheme. Within each scheme, an EPC identifier can be represented in a binary form or other forms such as URI.

The following list includes the above RFID types as well as various other common identifiers and several different types of DUIDs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobile Node Identifier Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifier Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv6 Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Identifier Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-TMSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUI-48 address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUI-64 address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-LLT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-EN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-UUID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGTIN-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SSCC-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGLN-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GRAI-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-DOD-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GIAI-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GID-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGTIN-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SSCC-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGLN-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GRAI-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-DOD-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GIAI-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GID-URI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGTIN-URI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SSCC-URI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Descriptions of MNID types

In this section descriptions for the various MNID types are provided.

4.1. Description of the IPv6 address type

The IPv6 address [RFC4291] is encoded as a 16 octet string containing the full IPv6 address. The IPv6 address MUST be a unicast routable IPv6 address. Multicast addresses, link-local addresses, and the unspecified IPv6 address MUST NOT be used. IPv6 Unique Local Addresses (ULAs) MAY be used, as long as any security operations making use of the ULA also take into account the domain in which the ULA is guaranteed to be unique.

4.2. Description of the IMSI MNID type

The International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) [ThreeGPP-IDS] is at most 15 decimal digits (i.e., digits from 0 through 9). The IMSI MUST be encoded as a string of octets in network order, where each digit occupies 4 bits. The last digit MUST be zero padded, if needed, for full octet size. For example an example IMSI 123456123456789 would be encoded as follows:

0x12, 0x34, 0x56, 0x12, 0x34, 0x56, 0x78, 0x90

4.3. Description of the EUI-48 address type

The IEEE EUI-48 address [IEEE802-eui48] is encoded as a 6 octet string containing the IEEE EUI-48 address.

4.4. Description of the EUI-64 address type

The IEEE EUI-64 address [IEEE802-eui64] is encoded as a 8 octet string containing the full IEEE EUI-64 address.
4.5. Description of the DUID-LLT type

The DUID-LLT is the DHCPv6 Unique Identifier (DUID) formulated by concatenating the link-layer address plus a timestamp [RFC3315]. This type of DUID consists of a two octet type field containing the value 1, a two octet hardware type code, four octets containing a time value, followed by link-layer address of any one network interface that is connected to the DHCP device at the time that the DUID is generated. The time value is the time that the DUID is generated represented in seconds since midnight (UTC), January 1, 2000, modulo 2^32. Since the link-layer address can be of variable length the DUID-LLT is of variable length.

4.6. Description of the DUID-EN type

The DUID-EN is the DHCPv6 Unique Identifier (DUID) formulated by concatenating the Enterprise Number plus some additional data [RFC3315]. This form of DUID is assigned by the vendor to the device. It consists of a two octet type field containing the value 2, the vendor’s registered Private Enterprise Number as maintained by IANA, followed by a unique identifier assigned by the vendor. Since the vendor’s unique identifier can be of variable length, the DUID-EN is of variable length.

4.7. Description of the DUID-LL type

The DUID-LL is the DHCPv6 Unique Identifier (DUID) formulated by concatenating the network hardware type code and the link-layer address [RFC3315]. This type of DUID consists of two octets containing the DUID type 3, a two octet network hardware type code, followed by the link-layer address of any one network interface that is permanently connected to the client or server device. For example, a host that has a network interface implemented in a chip that is unlikely to be removed and used elsewhere could use a DUID-LL. Since the link-layer address can be of variable length, the DUID-LL is of variable length.

4.8. Description of the DUID-UUID type

The DUID-UUID [RFC6355] is the DHCPv6 Unique Identifier based on the Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) [RFC4122]. This type of DUID consists of two octets containing the DUID type 4, followed by 128-bit UUID.
4.9. Description of the RFID types

The General Identifier (GID) that is used with RFID is composed of three fields - the General Manager Number, Object Class and Serial Number. The General Manager Number identifies an organizational entity that is responsible for maintaining the numbers in subsequent fields. GID encodings include a fourth field, the header, to guarantee uniqueness in the namespace defined by EPC.

Some of the RFID types depend on the Global Trade Item Number (GTIN) code defined in the General EAN.UCC Specifications [EANUCCGS]. A GTIN identifies a particular class of object, such as a particular kind of product or SKU.

The EPC encoding scheme for SGTIN permits the direct embedding of EAN.UCC System standard GTIN and Serial Number codes on EPC tags. In all cases, the check digit is not encoded. Two encoding schemes are specified, SGTIN-64 (64 bits) and SGTIN-96 (96 bits).

The Serial Shipping Container Code (SSCC) is defined by the EAN.UCC Specifications. Unlike the GTIN, the SSCC is already intended for assignment to individual objects and therefore does not require additional fields to serve as an EPC pure identity. Two encoding schemes are specified, SSCC-64 (64 bits) and SSCC-96 (96 bits).

The Global Location Number (GLN) is defined by the EAN.UCC Specifications. A GLN can represent either a discrete, unique physical location such as a warehouse slot, or an aggregate physical location such as an entire warehouse. In addition, a GLN can represent a logical entity that performs a business function such as placing an order. The Serialized Global Location Number (SGLN) includes the Company Prefix, Location Reference, and Serial Number.

The Global Returnable Asset Identifier (GRAI) is defined by the General EAN.UCC Specifications. Unlike the GTIN, the GRAI is already intended for assignment to individual objects and therefore does not require any additional fields to serve as an EPC pure identity. The GRAI includes the Company Prefix, Asset Type, and Serial Number.

The Global Individual Asset Identifier (GIAI) is defined by the General EAN.UCC Specifications. Unlike the GTIN, the GIAI is already intended for assignment to individual objects and therefore does not require any additional fields to serve as an EPC pure identity. The GIAI includes the Company Prefix, and Individual Asset Reference.

The DoD Construct identifier is defined by the United States Department of Defense (DoD). This tag data construct may be used to
encode tags for shipping goods to the DoD by a supplier who has already been assigned a CAGE (Commercial and Government Entity) code.

4.9.1. Description of the RFID-SGTIN-64 type

The RFID-SGTIN-64 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGTIN-64 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value (additional data that is used for fast filtering and pre-selection), Company Prefix Index, Item Reference, and Serial Number. Only a limited number of Company Prefixes can be represented in the 64-bit tag.

4.9.2. Description of the RFID-SGTIN-96 type

The RFID-SGTIN-96 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGTIN-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition (an indication of where the subsequent Company Prefix and Item Reference numbers are divided), Company Prefix Index, Item Reference, and Serial Number.

4.9.3. Description of the RFID-SSCC-64 type

The RFID-SSCC-64 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SCC-64 includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, and Serial Reference. Only a limited number of Company Prefixes can be represented in the 64-bit tag.

4.9.4. Description of the RFID-SSCC-96 type

The RFID-SSCC-96 is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SCC-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, and Serial Reference, as well as 24 bits that remain Unallocated and must be zero.

4.9.5. Description of the RFID-SGLN-64 type

The RFID-SGLN-64 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGLN-64 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, Location Reference, and Serial Number.

4.9.6. Description of the RFID-SGLN-96 type

The RFID-SGLN-96 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The SGLN-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, Location Reference, and Serial Number.
4.9.7.  Description of the RFID-GRAI-64 type

The RFID-GRAI-64 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GRAI-64 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, Asset Type, and Serial Number.

4.9.8.  Description of the RFID-GRAI-96 type

The RFID-GRAI-96 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GRAI-96 includes six fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, Asset Type, and Serial Number.

4.9.9.  Description of the RFID-GIAI-64 type

The RFID-GIAI-64 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GIAI-64 includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Company Prefix Index, and Individual Asset Reference.

4.9.10. Description of the RFID-GIAI-96 type

The RFID-GIAI-96 type is encoded as specified in [EPC-Tag-Data]. The GIAI-96 includes five fields: Header, Filter Value, Partition, Company Prefix, and Individual Asset Reference.

4.9.11. Description of the RFID-DoD-64 type

The RFID-DoD-64 type is encoded as specified in [RFID-DoD-spec]. The DoD-64 type includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Government Managed Identifier, and Serial Number.

4.9.12. Description of the RFID-DoD-96 type

The RFID-DoD-96 type is encoded as specified in [RFID-DoD-spec]. The DoD-96 type includes four fields: Header, Filter Value, Government Managed Identifier, and Serial Number.

4.9.13. Description of the RFID URI types

In some cases, it is desirable to encode in URI form a specific encoding of an RFID tag. For example, an application may prefer a URI representation for report preparation. Applications that wish to manipulate any additional data fields on tags may need some representation other than the pure identity forms.

For this purpose, the fields as represented the previous sections are associated with specified fields in the various URI types. For instance, the URI may have fields such as CompanyPrefix,
ItemReference, or SerialNumber. For details and encoding specifics, consult [EPC-Tag-Data].

5. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce any security mechanisms, and does not have any impact on existing security mechanisms. Insofar as the selection of a security association may be dependent on the exact form of a mobile node identifier, additional specification may be necessary when the new identifier types are employed with the general AAA mechanisms for mobile node authorizations.

Some identifiers (e.g., IMSI) are considered to be private information. If used in the MNID extension as defined in this document, the packet including the MNID extension should be encrypted so that personal information or trackable identifiers would not be inadvertently disclosed to passive observers. Operators can potentially apply IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP) [RFC4303], with confidentiality and integrity protection for protecting the location information.

Moreover, MNIDs containing sensitive identifiers might only be used for signaling during initial network entry. Subsequent binding update exchanges might then rely on a temporary identifier allocated during the initial network entry, perhaps using mechanisms not standardized within the IETF. Managing the association between long-lived and temporary identifiers is outside the scope of this document.

6. IANA Considerations

The new mobile node identifier types defined in the document should be assigned values from the "Mobile Node Identifier Option Subtypes" registry. The following values should be assigned.
New Mobile Node Identifier Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier Type</th>
<th>Identifier Type Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPv6 Address</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMSI</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-TMSI</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUI-48 address</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUI-64 address</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUTI</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-LLT</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-EN</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-LL</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUID-UUID</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGTIN-64</td>
<td>12-15 reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SSCC-64</td>
<td>16 reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGLN-64</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GRAI-64</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-DOD-64</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GIAI-64</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GID-96</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGTIN-96</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SSCC-96</td>
<td>23 reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGLN-96</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GRAI-96</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-DOD-96</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GIAI-96</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GID-URI</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGTIN-URI</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SSCC-URI</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGLN-URI</td>
<td>31 reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GRAI-URI</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-DOD-URI</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GIAI-URI</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GID-URI</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGTIN-URI</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SSCC-URI</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-SGLN-URI</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID-GRAI-URI</td>
<td>39-255 reserved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Section 4 for additional information about the identifier types.
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1. Overview

One of the key aspects of the Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) architecture is the separation of control plane (CP) and data plane (DP) functions of a network element. While data plane elements continue to reside on customized networking hardware, the control plane resides as a software element in the cloud. This is usually referred to as CP-DP separation and is the basis for the IETF’s DMM Architecture. This approach of centralized control plane and distributed data plane allows elastic scaling of control plane and efficient use of common data plane that is agnostic to access architectures.

This document identifies the functions in the DMM architecture and the supported deployment models.

2. Conventions and Terminology

2.1. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2.2. Terminology

All the mobility related terms are to interpreted as defined in [RFC6275], [RFC5213], [RFC5844], [RFC7333], [RFC7429], [I-D.ietf-sfc-nsh] and [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp]. Additionally, this document uses the following terms:

Home Control-Plane Anchor (H-CPA)

The Home-CPA function hosts the mobile node’s mobility session. There can be more than one mobility session for a mobile node [MN] and those sessions may be anchored on the same or different Home-CPA's. The home-CPA will interface with the home-dpa for managing the forwarding state.

Home Data Plane Anchor (Home-DPA)

The Home-DPA is the topological anchor for the mobile node’s IP address/prefix(es). The Home-DPA is chosen by the Home-CPA on a session-basis. The Home-DPA is in the forwarding path for all the mobile node’s IP traffic.

Access Control Plane Node (Access-CPN)
The Access-CPN is responsible for interfacing with the mobile node’s Home-CPA and with the Access-DPN. The Access-CPN has a protocol interface to the Home-CPA.

Access Data Plane Node (Access-DPN)

The Access-DPN function is hosted on the first-hop router where the mobile node is attached. This function is not hosted on a layer-2 bridging device such as an eNode(B) or Access Point.

3. DMM Architectural Overview

Following are the key goals of the Distributed Mobility Management architecture.

1. Separation of control and data Plane
2. Aggregation of control plane for elastic scaling
3. Distribution of the data plane for efficient network usage
4. Elimination of mobility state from the data plane
5. Dynamic selection of control and data plane nodes
6. Enabling the mobile node with network properties
7. Relocation of anchor functions for efficient network usage

3.1. DMM Service Primitives

The functions in the DMM architecture support a set of service primitives. Each of these service primitives identifies a specific service capability with the exact service definition. The functions in the DMM architecture are required to support a specific set of service primitives that are mandatory for that service function. Not all service primitives are applicable to all DMM functions. The below table identifies the service primitives that each of the DMM function SHOULD support. The marking "X" indicates the service primitive on that row needs to be supported by the identified DMM function on the corresponding column; for example, the IP address management must be supported by Home-CPA function.
3.2. DMM Functions and Interfaces

3.2.1. Home Control-Plane Anchor (H-CPA):

The Home-CPA function hosts the mobile node’s mobility session. There can be more than one mobility session for a mobile node and those sessions may be anchored on the same or different Home-CPA’s. The home-CPA will interface with the homd-dpa for managing the forwarding state.

There can be more than one Home-CPA serving the same mobile node at a given point of time, each hosting a different control plane session.

The Home-CPA is responsible for life cycle management of the session, interfacing with the policy infrastructure, policy control and interacting with the Home-DPA functions.

The Home-CPA function typically stays on the same node. In some special use-cases (Ex: Geo-Redundancy), the session may be migrated to a different node and with the new node assuming the Home-CPA role for that session.
3.2.2. Home Data-Plane Anchor (H-DPA):

The Home-DPA is the topological anchor for the mobile node’s IP address/prefix(es). The Home-DPA is chosen by the Home-CPA/MC on a session-basis. The Home-DPA is in the forwarding path for all the mobile node’s IP traffic.

As the mobile node roams in the mobile network, the mobile node’s access-DPN may change, however, the Home-DPA does not change, unless the session is migrated to a new node.

The Home-DPA interfaces with the Home-CPA/MC for all IP forwarding and QoS rules enforcement.

The Home-DPA and the Access-DPN functions may be collocated on the same node.

3.2.3. Access Control Plane Node (Access-CPN)

The Access-CPN is responsible for interfacing with the mobile node’s Home-CPA and with the Access-DPN. The Access-CPN has a protocol interface to the Home-CPA.

The Access-CPN is responsible for the mobile node’s Home-CPA selection based on: Mobile Node’s Attach Preferences, Access and Subscription Policy, Topological Proximity and Other Considerations.

The Access-CPN function is responsible for MN’s service authorization. It will interface with the access network authorization functions.

3.2.4. Access Data Plane Node (Access-DPN)

The Access-DPN function is hosted on the first-hop router where the mobile node is attached. This function is not hosted on a layer-2 bridging device such as a eNode(B) or Access Point.

The Access-DPA will have a protocol interface to the Access-CPA.

The Access-DPN and the Home-DPA functions may be collocated on the same node.

3.2.5. DMM Function Mapping to other Architectures

Following table identifies the potential mapping of DMM functions to protocol functions in other system architectures.
4. Deployment Models

This section identifies the key deployment models for the DMM architecture.

4.1. Model-1: Split Home Anchor Mode

In this model, the control and the data plane functions of the home anchor are separated and deployed on different nodes. The control plane function of the Home anchor is handled by the Home-CPA and where as the data plane function is handled by the Home-DPA. In this model, the access node operates in the legacy mode with the integrated control and user plane functions.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the control plane functions to interact with the data plane for the subscriber’s forwarding state management.
4.2. Model-2: Separated Control and User Plane Mode

In this model, the control and the data plane functions on both the home anchor and the access node are separated and deployed on different nodes. The control plane function of the Home anchor is handled by the Home-CPA and where as the data plane function is handled by the Home-DPA. The control plane function of the access node is handled by the Access-CPN and where as the data plane function is handled by the Access-DPN.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the control plane functions of the home and access nodes to interact with the respective data plane functions for the subscriber's forwarding state management.
4.3. Model-3: Centralized Control Plane Mode

In this model, the control-plane functions of the home and the access nodes are collapsed. This is a flat architecture with no signaling protocol between the access node and home anchors. The interface between the Home-CPA and the Access-DPN is internal to the system.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the mobility controller to interact with the respective data plane functions for the subscriber’s forwarding state management.
4.4. Model-4: Data Plane Abstraction Mode

In this model, the data plane network is completely abstracted from the control plane. There is a new network element, Routing Controller which abstracts the entire data plane network and offers data plane services to the control plane functions. The control plane functions, Home-CPA and the Access-CPN interface with the Routing Controller for the forwarding state management.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the Home-CPA and Access-CPN functions to interface with the Routing Controller for subscriber’s forwarding state management.
4.5. On-Demand Control Plane Orchestration Mode

In this model, there is a new function Mobility Controller which manages the orchestration of Access-CPN and Home-CPA functions. The Mobility Controller allocates the Home-CPA and Access-DPN...
5. IANA Considerations

This document does not require any IANA actions.
6. Security Considerations

The control-plane messages exchanged between a Home-CPA and the Home-DPA must be protected using end-to-end security associations with data-integrity and data-origination capabilities.

IPsec ESP in transport mode with mandatory integrity protection should be used for protecting the signaling messages. IKEv2 should be used to set up security associations between the Home-CPA and Home-DPA.

There are no additional security considerations other than what is presented in the document.
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1. Overview

One of the key aspects of the Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) architecture is the separation of control plane (CP) and data plane (DP) functions of a network element. While data plane elements continue to reside on customized networking hardware, the control plane resides as a software element in the cloud. This is usually referred to as CP-DP separation and is the basis for the IETF’s DMM Architecture. This approach of centralized control plane and distributed data plane allows elastic scaling of control plane and efficient use of common data plane that is agnostic to access architectures.

This document identifies the functions in the DMM architecture and the supported deployment models.
2. Conventions and Terminology

2.1. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2.2. Terminology

All the mobility related terms are to interpreted as defined in [RFC6275], [RFC5213], [RFC5844], [RFC7333], [RFC7665], [RFC7429], [RFC8300] and [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp]. Additionally, this document uses the following terms:

Home Control Plane Anchor (Home-CPA or H-CPA)

The Home-CPA function hosts the mobile node (MN)’s mobility session. There can be more than one mobility session for a mobile node and those sessions may be anchored on the same or different Home-CPA’s. The home-CPA will interface with the home-DPA for managing the forwarding state.

Home Data Plane Anchor (Home-DPA or H-DPA)

The Home-DPA is the topological anchor for the MN’s IP address/prefix(es). The Home-DPA is chosen by the Home-CPA on a session-basis. The Home-DPA is in the forwarding path for all the mobile node’s IP traffic.

Access Control Plane Node (Access-CPN or A-CPN)

The Access-CPN is responsible for interfacing with the mobile node’s Home-CPA and with the Access-DPN. The Access-CPN has a protocol interface to the Home-CPA.

Access Data Plane Node (Access-DPN or A-DPN)

The Access-DPN function is hosted on the first-hop router where the mobile node is attached. This function is not hosted on a layer-2 bridging device such as a eNode(B) or Access Point.

Routing Controller (RC)

The Routing Controller is a centralized control entity, which is able to instruct the forwarding behavior for mobility management in Home-DPA and Access-DPN.
Mobility Controller (MC)

The Mobility Controller is a function entity, which is able to manage the orchestration of Home-CPA and Access-CPN functions.

3. DMM Architectural Overview

Following are the key goals of the Distributed Mobility Management architecture.

1. Separation of control and data Plane
2. Aggregation of control plane for elastic scaling
3. Distribution of the data plane for efficient network usage
4. Elimination of mobility state from the data plane
5. Dynamic selection of control and data plane nodes
6. Enabling the mobile node with network properties
7. Relocation of anchor functions for efficient network usage

3.1. DMM Service Primitives

The functions in the DMM architecture support a set of service primitives. Each of these service primitives identifies a specific service capability with the exact service definition. The functions in the DMM architecture are required to support a specific set of service primitives that are mandatory for that service function. Not all service primitives are applicable to all DMM functions. The below table as shown in Fig. 1 identifies the service primitives that each of the DMM function SHOULD support. The marking "X" indicates the service primitive on that row needs to be supported by the identified DMM function on the corresponding column; for example, the IP address management MUST be supported by Home-CPA function. The NSH Classifier denotes the SFC entity that performs the classification of a service flow, defined in [RFC7665].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Primitive</th>
<th>H-CPA</th>
<th>H-DPA</th>
<th>A-CPN</th>
<th>A-DPN</th>
<th>MC</th>
<th>RC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP Management</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP Anchoring</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN Detection</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunneling</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoS Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC Client</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC Agent</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSH Classifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Role or capability of DMM functions

3.2. DMM Functions and Interfaces

3.2.1. Home Control-Plane Anchor (Home-CPA):

The Home-CPA function hosts the mobile node’s mobility session. There can be more than one mobility session for a mobile node and those sessions may be anchored on the same or different Home-CPA’s. The home-CPA will interface with the home-dpa for managing the forwarding state.

There can be more than one Home-CPA serving the same mobile node at a given point of time, each hosting a different control plane session.

The Home-CPA is responsible for life cycle management of the session, interfacing with the policy infrastructure, policy control and interfacing with the Home-DPA functions.

The Home-CPA function typically stays on the same node. In some special use-cases (Ex: Geo-Redundancy), the session may be migrated to a different node and with the new node assuming the Home-CPA role for that session.
3.2.2. Home Data-Plane Anchor (Home-DPA):

The Home-DPA is the topological anchor for the mobile node’s IP address/prefix(es). The Home-DPA is chosen by the Home-CPA/MC on a session-basis. The Home-DPA is in the forwarding path for all the mobile node’s IP traffic.

As the mobile node roams in the mobile network, the mobile node’s access-DPN may change, however, the Home-DPA does not change, unless the session is migrated to a new node.

The Home-DPA interfaces with the Home-CPA/MC for all IP forwarding and QoS rules enforcement.

The Home-DPA and the Access-DPN functions may be collocated on the same node.

3.2.3. Access Control Plane Node (Access-CPN)

The Access-CPN is responsible for interfacing with the mobile node’s Home-CPA and with the Access-DPN. The Access-CPN has a protocol interface to the Home-CPA.

The Access-CPN is responsible for the mobile node’s Home-CPA selection based on: Mobile Node’s Attach Preferences, Access and Subscription Policy, Topological Proximity and Other Considerations.

The Access-CPN function is responsible for MN’s service authorization. It will interface with the access network authorization functions.

3.2.4. Access Data Plane Node (Access-DPN)

The Access-DPN function is hosted on the first-hop router where the mobile node is attached. This function is not hosted on a layer-2 bridging device such as a eNode(B) or Access Point.

The Access-DPA will have a protocol interface to the Access-CPA.

The Access-DPN and the Home-DPA functions may be collocated on the same node.

3.2.5. DMM Functions Mapping to Other Architectures

Following table identifies the potential mapping of DMM functions to protocol functions in other system architectures.
### Figure 2: Mapping of DMM functions in other system architectures

Mapping from the DMM functions to network components in PMIPv6, MIPv6, IPsec, Broadband Forum (BBF) can be given straight-forward. In the 3GPP System Architecture Evolution (SAE), H-CPA functionality is charged by PGW-CPA and Mobility Management Entity (MME), as MME is the key control-plane node involving in such as location management, handoff management, selection of SGW/PGW as well as authorization of UEs. But PGW-CPA is in charge of tunnel control based on UE’s subscription and policy between SGW and PGW. The rest of the 3GPP SAE network components are as given in Fig. 2.

The 3GPP Release 15 introduces the Service-Based Architecture (SBA) for 5G networks. The 3GPP 5G architecture can be represented by reference point or service-based interfaces [3GPP.23.501]. Allowing the service-based interface provides greater flexibility for updates and extensions of the 5G control plane system by operator’s need or request. The architecture introduces various kinds of network functions granularized in the CP/DP separation concept. In Fig. 2, Access and Mobility Management Function (AMF), Session Management Function (SMF), and User Plane Function (UPF) are picked up among all the network functions introduced in the 5G SBA for mapping to the DMM functions.

AMF and SMF take major roles for mobility management in control plane. AMF manages access control and mobility and includes network slice selection functionality. SMF manages sessions based on UE’s subscription and network policy and is in charge of IP address allocation management. UPF is the data plane node, which works for data packet handling based on forwarding policy regulated by control plane nodes such as AMF and SMF, etc.
4. Deployment Models

This section identifies the key deployment models for the DMM architecture.

4.1. Model-1: Split Home Anchor Mode

In this model, the control and the data plane functions of the home anchor are separated and deployed on different nodes. The control plane function of the Home anchor is handled by the Home-CPA and where as the data plane function is handled by the Home-DPA. In this model, the access node operates in the legacy mode with the integrated control and user plane functions.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the control plane functions to interact with the data plane for the subscriber’s forwarding state management.
4.2. Model-2: Separated Control and User Plane Mode

In this model, the control and the data plane functions on both the home anchor and the access node are separated and deployed on different nodes. The control plane function of the Home anchor is handled by the Home-CPA whereas the data plane function is handled by the Home-DPA. The control plane function of the access node is handled by the Access-CPN and where as the data plane function is handled by the Access-DPN.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the control plane functions of the home and access nodes to interact with the respective data plane functions for the subscriber’s forwarding state management.

```
+-------------+  {PMIPv6/GTP}             +-------------+
| Access-CPN |-- - - - - - - - - - - | Home-CPA |
+-------------+                        +-------------+
          | FPC                              | FPC         |
          +--+-                              +--+-
            | MN                                | MN          |
```

Figure 4: Separated Control and User Plane Mode
4.3. Model-3: Centralized Control Plane Mode

In this model, the control-plane functions of the home and the access nodes are collapsed. This is a flat architecture with no signaling protocol between the access node and home anchors. The interface between the Home-CPA and the Access-DPN is internal to the system.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the mobility controller to interact with the respective data plane functions for the subscriber’s forwarding state management.

```
+=================================+     +==============+
| Home-CPA + Access-CPN |     |  Policy    |
+=================================+     +==============+

FPC . . . . . .  . . . .  FPC

+=================================+     +==============+
| Access-DPN | . . . . . . | Home-DPA |
+=================================+     +==============+

MN
```

Figure 5: Centralized Control Plane Mode

4.4. Model-4: Data Plane Abstraction Mode

In this model, the data plane network is completely abstracted from the control plane. There is a new network element, Routing Controller which abstracts the entire data plane network and offers data plane services to the control plane functions. The control plane functions, Home-CPA and the Access-CPN interface with the Routing Controller for the forwarding state management.

The FPC interface defined in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] allows the Home-CPA and Access-CPN functions to interface with the Routing Controller for subscriber’s forwarding state management.
4.5. Model-5: On-Demand Control Plane Orchestration Mode

In this model, there is a new function Mobility Controller which manages the orchestration of Access-CPN and Home-CPA functions. The Mobility Controller allocates the Home-CPA and Access-DPN...
5. IANA Considerations

This document does not require any IANA actions.
6. Security Considerations

The control-plane messages exchanged between a Home-CPA and the Home-DPA must be protected using end-to-end security associations with data-integrity and data-origination capabilities.

IPsec ESP in transport mode with mandatory integrity protection should be used for protecting the signaling messages. IKEv2 should be used to set up security associations between the Home-CPA and Home-DPA.

There are no additional security considerations other than what is presented in the document.
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1. Introduction

A key requirement in distributed mobility management [RFC7333] is to enable traffic to avoid traversing a single mobility anchor far from an optimal route. This draft defines different configurations, functional operations and parameters for distributed mobility anchoring and explains how to use them to make the route changes to avoid unnecessarily long routes.

Companion distributed mobility management documents are already addressing the architecture and deployment
[I-D.ietf-dmm-deployment-models], source address selection
[I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility], and control-plane data-plane signaling [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp]. A number of distributed mobility solutions have also been proposed, for example, in
[I-D.seite-dmm-dma], [I-D.bernardos-dmm-cmip],
[I-D.bernardos-dmm-pmip], [I-D.sarikaya-dmm-for-wifi],
[I-D.yhkim-dmm-enhanced-anchoring], and
[I-D.matsushima-stateless-uplane-vepc]. Yet in 5G Wireless and beyond, the mobility requirements are diverse, and IP mobility support is no longer by default with a one-size-fit-all solution. In different networks, different kinds of mobility support are possible depending on the needs. In designing mobility solutions, it may not always be obvious on how to best configure and use only the needed mobility functions to provide the specific mobility support. This document aims at filling such background.
Distributed mobility anchoring employs multiple anchors in the data plane. In general, control plane functions may be separate from data plane functions and be centralized but may also be co-located with the data plane functions at the distributed anchors. Different configurations of distributed mobility anchoring are described in Section 3.1. For instance, the configurations for network-based mobility support in a flat network, for network-based mobility support in a hierarchical network, for host-based mobility support, and for network mobility basic support are described respectively in Section 3.1.1, Section 3.1.2, Section 3.1.3 and Section 3.1.4. Required operations and parameters for distributed mobility anchoring are presented in Section 3.2. For instance, location management is described in Section 3.2.1, forwarding management is described in Section 3.2.2.

As an MN attaches to an access router and establishes a link between them, a /64 IPv6 prefix anchored to the router may be assigned to the link for exclusive use by the MN [RFC6459]. The MN may then configure a global IPv6 address from this prefix and use it as the source IP address in a flow to communicate with with its correspondent node (CN). When there are multiple mobility anchors, an address selection for a given flow is first required before the flow is initiated. Using an anchor in an MN’s network of attachment has the advantage that the packets can simply be forwarded according to the forwarding table. However, after the flow has been initiated, the MN may later move to another network, so that the IP address no longer belongs to the current network of attachment of the MN.

Whether the flow needs IP session continuity will determine how to ensure that the IP address of the flow will be anchored to the new network of attachment. If the ongoing IP flow can cope with an IP prefix/address change, the flow can be reinitiated with a new IP address anchored in the new network as shown in Section 4.1. On the other hand, if the ongoing IP flow cannot cope with such change, mobility support is needed as shown in Section 4.2. A network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support will need to distinguish these flows. The guidelines for the network to make such a distinction are described in Section 4.1.1. The general guidelines for such network to provide IP mobility support are described in Section 4.2.1.

Specifically, IP mobility support can be provided by relocating the anchoring of the IP prefix/address of the flow from the home network of the flow to the new network of attachment. The basic case may be with network-based mobility for a flat network configuration described in Section 5.1 with the guidelines described in Section 5.1.1. This case is discussed further with a centralized control plane in Section 5.2 with additional guidelines described in
Section 5.2.1. A level of hierarchy of nodes may then be added to the network configuration as described in Section 5.3 with additional guidelines described in Section 5.3.1. Local Mobility in such hierarchical network is described in Section 5.4 with additional guidelines described in Section 5.4.1. Network mobility example is described in Section 5.5 with additional guidelines described in Section 5.5.1.

2. Conventions and Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All general mobility-related terms and their acronyms used in this document are to be interpreted as defined in the Mobile IPv6 (MIPv6) base specification [RFC6275], the Proxy Mobile IPv6 (PMIPv6) specification [RFC5213], the "Mobility Related Terminologies" [RFC3753], and the DMM current practices and gap analysis [RFC7429]. These include terms such as mobile node (MN), correspondent node (CN), home agent (HA), home address (HoA), care-of-address (CoA), local mobility anchor (LMA), and mobile access gateway (MAG).

In addition, this document uses the following terms:

Home network of an application session or a home address: the network that has assigned the HoA used as the session identifier by the application running in an MN. The MN may be running multiple application sessions, and each of these sessions can have a different home network.

Anchoring (an IP prefix/address): An IP prefix, i.e., Home Network Prefix (HNP), or address, i.e., HoA, assigned for use by an MN is topologically anchored to an anchor node when the anchor node is able to advertise a connected route into the routing infrastructure for the assigned IP prefix.

Location Management (LM) function: that keeps and manages the network location information of an MN. The location information may be a binding of the advertised IP address/prefix, e.g., HoA or HNP, to the IP routing address of the MN or of a node that can forward packets destined to the MN.

When the MN is a mobile router (MR) carrying a mobile network of mobile network nodes (MNN), the location information will also include the mobile network prefix (MNP), which is the aggregate IP
prefix delegated to the MR to assign IP prefixes for use by the MNNs in the mobile network.

In a client-server protocol model, location query and update messages may be exchanged between a Location Management client (LMc) and a Location Management server (LMs), where the location information can be updated to or queried from the LMs. Optionally, there may be a Location Management proxy (LMp) between LMc and LMs.

With separation of control plane and data plane, the LM function is in the control plane. It may be a logical function at the control plane node, control plane anchor, or mobility controller.

It may be distributed or centralized.

Forwarding Management (FM) function: packet interception and forwarding to/from the IP address/prefix assigned for use by the MN, based on the internetwork location information, either to the destination or to some other network element that knows how to forward the packets to their destination.

This function may be used to achieve traffic indirection. With separation of control plane and data plane, the FM function may split into a FM function in the data plane (FM-DP) and a FM function in the control plane (FM-CP).

FM-DP may be distributed with distributed mobility management. It may be a function in a data plane anchor or data plane node.

FM-CP may be distributed or centralized. It may be a function in a control plane node, control plane anchor or mobility controller.

3. Distributed Mobility Anchoring

3.1. Configurations for Different Networks

The mobility functions may be implemented in different configurations of distributed mobility anchoring in architectures separating the control and data planes. The separation described in [I-D.ietf-dmm-deployment-models] has defined the home control plane anchor (Home-CPA), home data plane anchor (Home-DPA), access control plane node (Access-CPN), and access data plane node (Access-DPN), which are respectively abbreviated as CPA, DPA, CPN, and DPN here.
Different networks may have different configurations in distributed mobility anchoring.

The configurations also differ depending on the desired mobility supports: network-based mobility support for a flat network in Section 3.1.1, network-based mobility support for a hierarchical network in Section 3.1.2, host-based mobility support in Section 3.1.3, and NEtwork MObility (NEMO) based support in Section 3.1.4.

3.1.1. Network-based Mobility Support for a Flat Network

Figure 1 show the configurations of network-based distributed mobility management for a flat network.

The features in Figure 1 are:

**dmm:1** There are multiple instances of DPA, each with an FM-DP function.

**dmm:2** The control plane may either be distributed (not shown) or centralized. The CPA and DPA may co-locate or may be separate. When the CPA, each with an FM-CP function, is co-located with the distributed DPA there will be multiple instances of the co-located CPA and DPA (not shown).

**dmm:3** An IP prefix/address IP1, which is anchored to the DPA with the IP prefix/address IPa1, is assigned for use by an MN. The MN uses IP1 to communicate with a CN not shown in the figure. The flow of this communication session is shown as flow(IP1, ...), meaning it uses IP1 and other parameters.
Figure 1. Configurations of network-based mobility management for a flat network to which MN is attached. The mobility management functions in the network are LMs in the control plane, LMc at CPA, and FM-DP at DPA.

In Figure 1, the LM function is split into a separate server LMs and a client LMc at the CPA. Then, the LMs may be centralized whereas the LMc may be distributed or centralized according to whether the CPA is distributed (not shown) or centralized.

In a special case (not shown), LMs and LMc may co-locate.

3.1.2. Network-based Mobility Support for a Hierarchical Network

Figure 2 shows the configurations of network-based mobility management for a hierarchical network.
Figure 2. Configurations of network-based mobility management for a hierarchical network to which MN is attached. The mobility management functions in the network include a separate LMs, FM-CP and LMp at CPA, FM-DP at DPA; FM-CP and LMc at CPN, FM-DP at DPN.

In addition to the dmm feature already described in Figure 1, Figure 2 shows that there may be multiple instances of DPN, each with an FM-DP function, for each DPA in the hierarchy. Also when the CPN, each with an FM-CP function, is co-located with the distributed DPN there will be multiple instances of the co-located CPN and DPN (not shown).
In Figure 2 the LMs is separated out, and a proxy LMp at the CPA is added between the separate LMs and LMc at the CPN. Then, LMs may be centralized whereas the LMp may be distributed or centralized according to whether the CPA is distributed or centralized.

In a particular case (not shown), LMs and LMp may co-locate.

3.1.3. Host-based Mobility Support

Host-based mobility function configurations as variants from Figures 2 is shown in Figure 3 where the role to perform mobility functions by CPN and DPN are now taken by the MN. The MN then needs to possess the mobility functions FM and LMc.

```
+-----+
| LMs  |
+-----+

+--------.---+
| CPA:    .   |
| FM-CP, LMp |
+------------+

+------------+
| DPA(IPa1):  | Distributed |
| anchors IP1 | DPA(IPa2):  |
| FM-DP       | anchors IP2 |
|             | FM-DP       |
|             | etc.        |
+------------+

+------------+
| MN(IP1)    | Mobile node |
| flow(IP1,..)| using IP1   |
| FM, LMc    | anchored to |
+------------+DPA(IPa1) |
```

Figure 3. Configuration of host-based mobility management. The mobility management functions in the network include LMs in control plane, FM-CP and LMp at CPA, FM-DP at DPA. The mobility management functions FM and LMc are also at the host (MN).

Figure 3 shows configurations of host-based mobility management with multiple instances of DPA for a distributed mobility anchoring environment. Figures 3 can be obtained by simply collapsing CPN, DPN and MN from the Figures 2 into the MN in Figure 3 which now possesses the mobility functions FM and LMc that were performed previously by the CPN and the DPN.
3.1.4. NEm work MObi l ity (NEMO) Basic Support

Figure 4 shows the configurations of NEMO basic support for a mobile router.

```
+-----+
| LMs  |
|      |
+------+
CPA:
| FM-CP, LMp |
+------------+

+------------+   +------------+
| CPA:        |   | CPA:        |
| FM-CP, LMp  |   | FM-CP, LMp  |
+------------+   +------------+

+--------------+   +--------------+
| DPA(IPa1):   |   | DPA(IPa2):   |
| anchors IP1  |   | anchors IP2  |
| DHCPv6-PD IPn1 | DHCPv6-PD IPn2 | etc. |
| FM-DP        |   | FM-DP        |
+--------------+   +--------------+

Distributed

+---------------+   +---------------+
| DPA(IPa1):    |   | DPA(IPa2):    |
| anchors IP1   |   | anchors IP2   |
| DHCPv6-PD IPn1 | DHCPv6-PD IPn2 | etc. |
| FM-DP         |   | FM-DP         |
+---------------+   +---------------+
```

Figure 4. Configurations of NEMO basic support for a MR which is attached to a network. The mobility management functions in the network are a separate LMs, FM-CP and LMp at CPA, FM-DP at DPA. The mobility management functions FM and LMc are also at the MR to which MNN is attached.

Figure 4 shows configurations of host-based mobility management for a MR with multiple instances of DPA for a distributed mobility anchoring environment. Figure 4 can be obtained by simply changing the MN from the Figures 3 into the MR carrying a mobile network consisting of mobile network nodes (MNNs) in Figure 4.

An IP prefix/address IPn1 delegated to the MR is assigned for use by the MNN in the mobile network. The MNN uses IPn1 to communicate with
a correspondent node (CN) not shown in the figure. The flow of this
communication session is shown as flow(IPn1, ...), meaning it uses
IPn1 and other parameters.

To enable the MR to assign the IP prefix IPn1, the DPA delegates the
prefix using DHCPv6-PD to the MR.

3.2. Operations and Parameters

The operations of distributed mobility anchoring are defined in order
that they might work together to produce a distributed mobility
solution. The needed information is passed as mobility message
parameters, which must be protected in terms of integrity. Some
parameters may require a means to support privacy of an MN or MR.

The mobility needs in 5G Wireless and beyond are diverse. Therefore
operations needed to enable different distributed mobility solutions
in different distributed mobility anchoring configurations are
extensive as illustrated below. It is however not necessary for
every distributed mobility solution to exhibit all the operations
listed in this section. A given distributed mobility solution may
exhibit only those operations needed.

3.2.1. Location Management

An example LM design consists of a distributed database with multiple
LMs servers. The location information about the prefix/address of an
MN is primarily at a given LMs. Peer LMs may exchange the location
information with each other. LMc may retrieve a given record or send
a given record update to LMs.

Location management configurations:

LM-cfg: As shown in Section 3.1:

LMs may be implemented at CPA, may be co-located with LMc at
CPA, or may be a separate server.

LMc may be at CPA, CPN, or MN.

LMp may proxy between LMs and LMc.

Specifically:

Location management operations and parameters:
LM-cfg:1 LMs and LMc may co-locate or may be separate, whereas LMc is implemented in CPA in a flat network with network-based mobility as shown in Figure 1 in Section 3.1.1.

LM-cfg:2 Either LMs may be a separate server with LMp implemented at CPA, or LMs may be implemented at CPA. LMc is implemented at CPN in a hierarchical network with network-based mobility as shown in Figure 2 in Section 3.1.2, at MN for host-based mobility as shown in Figure 3 in Section 3.1.3, or at MR for network mobility as shown in Figure 4 in Section 3.1.4.

LM-db: LM may manage the location information in a client-server database system.

Example LM database functions are as follows:

LM-db:1 LMc may query LMs about location information for a prefix of MN (pull).
Parameters:
- IP prefix of MN: integrity support required and privacy support may be required.

LM-db:2 LMs may reply to LMc query about location information for a prefix of MN (pull).
Parameters:
- IP prefix of MN: integrity support required and privacy support may be required,
- IP address of FM-DP/DPA/DPN to forward the packets of the flow: integrity support required.

LM-db:3 LMs may inform LMc about location information for a prefix of MN (push).
Parameters:
- IP prefix of MN: integrity support required and privacy support may be required,
- IP address of FM-DP/DPA/DPN to forward the packets of the flow: integrity support required.

This function in the PMIPv6 protocol is the Update Notification (UPN) together with the Update Notification Acknowledgment (UPA) as defined in [RFC7077].
LM-db:4  LMc may inform LMs about update location information for a prefix of MN. Parameters:

- IP prefix of MN: integrity support required and privacy support may be required,
- IP address of FM-DP/DPA/DPN to forward the packets of the flow: integrity support required.

This function in the MIPv6 / PMIPv6 protocol is the Binding Update (BU) / Proxy Binding Update (PBU) together with the Binding Acknowledgment (BA) / Proxy Binding Acknowledgment (PBA) as defined in [RFC6275] / [RFC5213] respectively.

LM-db:5  The MN may be a host or a router. When the MN is an MR, the prefix information may include the IP prefix delegated to the MR. Additional parameters:

- IP prefix delegated to MR: integrity support required and privacy support may be required,
- IP prefix/address of the MR to forward the packets of the prefix delegated to the MR: integrity support required.

LM-svr: The LM may be a distributed database with multiple LMs servers.

For example:

LM-svr:1  A LMs may join a pool of LMs servers. Parameters:

- IP address of the LMs: integrity support required,
- IP prefixes for which the LMs will host the primary location information: integrity support required.

LM-svr:2  LMs may query a peer LMs about location information for a prefix of MN. Parameters:

- IP prefix: integrity support required and privacy support may be required.

LM-svr:3  LMs may reply to a peer LMs about location information for a prefix of MN. Parameters:
- IP prefix of MN: integrity support required and privacy support may be required,
- IP address of FM-DP/DPA/DPN to forward the packets of the flow: integrity support required.

The list above only gives the minimal set of the required parameters. In a specific mobility protocol, additional parameters should be added as needed. Examples of these additional parameters are those passed in the mobility options of the mobility header for MIPv6 [RFC6275] and for PMIPv6 [RFC5213].

3.2.2. Forwarding Management

Forwarding management configurations:

FM-cfg: As shown in Section 3.1:

- FM-CP may be implemented at CPA, CPN, MN depending on the configuration chosen.
- FM-DP may also be implemented at CPA, CPN, MN depending on the configuration chosen.

Specifically:

FM-cfg:1 FM-CP and FM-DP may be implemented at CPA and DPA respectively in a flat network with network-based mobility as shown in Figure 1 in Section 3.1.1.

FM-cfg:2 FM-CP may be implemented at both CPA and CPN and FM-DP is implemented at both DPA and DPN in a hierarchical network with network-based mobility as shown in Figure 2 in Section 3.1.2.

FM-cfg:3 FM-CP and FM-DP may be implemented at CPA and DPA respectively and also both implemented at MN for host-based mobility as shown in Figure 3 in Section 3.1.3.

FM-cfg:4 FM-CP and FM-DP may be implemented at CPA and DPA respectively and also both implemented at MR for network mobility as shown in Figure 4 in Section 3.1.4.

Forwarding management operations and parameters:

FM-find:1 An anchor may discover and be discovered such as through an anchor registration system as follows:
FM-find:2 FM registers and authenticates itself with a centralized mobility controller.
Parameters:
- IP address of DPA and its CPA: integrity support required,
- IP prefix anchored to the DPA: integrity support required.
Registration reply: acknowledge of registration and echo the input parameters.

FM-find:3 FM discovers the FM of another IP prefix by querying the mobility controller based on the IP prefix.
Parameters:
- IP prefix of MN: integrity support required and privacy support may be required.

FM-find:4 When making anchor discovery FM expects the answer parameters:
- IP address of DPA to which IP prefix of MN is anchored: integrity support required,
- IP prefix of the corresponding CPA: integrity support required.

FM-flow:1 The FM may be carried out on the packets to/from an MN up to the granularity of a flow.

FM-flow:2 Example matching parameters are in the 5-tuple of a flow.

FM-path:1 FM may change the forwarding path of a flow upon a change of point of attachment of an MN. Prior to the changes, packets coming from the CN to the MN would traverse from the CN to the home network anchor of the flow for the MN before reaching the MN. Changes are from this original forwarding path or paths to a new forwarding path or paths from the CN to the current AR of the MN and then the MN itself.

FM-path:2 As an incoming packet is forwarded from the CN to the MN, the far end where forwarding path change begins may in general be any node in the original forwarding path from the CN to the home network DPA. The packet is forwarded to the MN for host-based mobility and to a node in the...
network which will deliver the packets to the MN for network-based mobility. The near-end is generally a DPN with a hierarchical network but may also be another node with DPA capability in a flattened network.

The mechanisms to accomplish such changes may include changes to the forwarding table and indirection such as tunneling, rewriting packet header, or NAT.

Note: An emphasis in this document in distributed mobility anchoring is to explain the use of multiple anchors to avoid unnecessarily long route which may be encountered in centralized mobility anchoring. It is therefore not the emphasis of this document on which particular mechanism to choose from.

The objective of forwarding table updates is to change the forwarding path so that the packets in the flow will be forwarded from the CN to the new AR instead of the home network anchor or previous AR. Each of the affected forwarding switches will need appropriate changes to its forwarding table.

Specifically, such forwarding table updates may include: (1) addition of forwarding table entries needed to forward the packets destined to the MN to the new AR; (2) deletion of forwarding table entries to forward the packets destined to the MN to the home network anchor or to the previous AR.

With a centralized control plane, forwarding table updates may be achieved through messaging between the centralized control plane and the distributed forwarding switches as described above (FM-cpdp) in this section.

To reduce excessive signaling, the scope of such updates for a given flow may be confined to only those forwarding switches such that only the packets sent from the "CN" to the MN will go to the new AR. Such confinement may be made when using a centralized control plane possessing a global view of all the forwarding switches.

FM reverts the changes previously made to the forwarding path of a flow when such changes are no longer needed, e.g., when all the ongoing flows using
an IP prefix/address requiring IP session continuity have closed.

FM-path-ind:8 Indirection forwards the incoming packets of the flow from the DPA at the far end to a DPA/DPN at the near end of indirection. Both ends of the indirection need to know the LM information of the MN for the flow and also need to possess FM capability to perform indirection.

FM-path-ind:9 The mechanism of changing the forwarding path in MIPv6 [RFC6275] and FMIPv6 [RFC5213] is tunneling. In the control plane, the FM-CP sets up the tunnel by instructing the FM-DP at both ends of the tunnel. In the data plane, the FM-DP at the start of the tunnel performs packet encapsulation, whereas the FM-DP at the end of the tunnel decapsulates the packet.

Note that in principle the ends of the indirection path can be any pair of network elements with the FM-DP function.

FM-path-ind:10 FM reverts the changes previously made to the forwarding path of a flow when such changes are no longer needed, e.g., when all the ongoing flows using an IP prefix/address requiring IP session continuity have closed. When tunneling is used, the tunnels will be torn down when they are no longer needed.

FM-cpdp: With separation of control plane function and data plane function, FM-CP and FM-DP communicate with each other. Such communication may be realized by the appropriate messages in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp].

For example:

FM-cpdp:1 CPA/FM-CP sends forwarding table updates to DPA/FM-DP. Parameters:

- New forwarding table entries to add: integrity support required,
- Expired forwarding table entries to delete: integrity support required.

FM-cpdp:2 DPA/FM-DP sends to CPA/FM-CP about its status and load. Parameters:
- State of forwarding function being active or not:
  integrity support required,
- Loading percentage: integrity support required.

**FM-CPA:** The CPA possesses FM-CP function to make the changes to the forwarding path as described in FM-path, and the changes may be implemented through forwarding table changes or through indirection as described respectively in FM-path-tbl and FM-path-ind above.

The FM-CP communicates with the FM-DP using the appropriate messages in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] as described in FM-cpdp above so that it may instruct the FM-DP to perform the changed forwarding tasks.

**FM-DPA:** The DPA possesses FM-DP function to forward packets according to the changed forwarding path as described in FM-path, and also FM-path-tbl or FM-path-ind depending on whether forwarding table changes or indirection is used.

The FM-DP communicates with the FM-CP using the appropriate messages in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp] as described in FM-cpdp above so that it may perform the changed forwarding tasks.

The operations and their parameters for the DPA to perform distributed mobility management are described below:

**FM-DPA:1** The DPAs perform the needed functions such that for the incoming packets from the CN, forwarding path change by FM is from the DPA at the far end which may be at any forwarding switch (or even CN itself) in the original forwarding path to the near end DPA/DPN.

**FM-DPA:2** It is necessary that any incoming packet from the CN of the flow must traverse the DPA (or at least one of the DPAs, e.g., in the case of anycast) at the far end in order for the packet to detour to a new forwarding path. Therefore a convenient design is to locate the far end DPA at a unique location which is always in the forwarding path. This is the case in a centralized mobility design where the DPA at the far end is the home network anchor of the flow.

Distributed mobility however may place the far end DPA at other locations in order to avoid unnecessarily long route.
FM-DPA:3 With multiple nodes possessing DPA capabilities, the role of FM to begin path change for the incoming packets of a flow at the home network DPA at the far end may be passed to or added to that of another DPA.

In particular, this DPA role may be moved upstream from the home network DPA in the original forwarding path from CN to MN.

FM-DPA:4 Optimization of the new forwarding path may be achieved when the path change for the incoming packets begins at a DPA where the original path and the direct IPv6 path overlap. Then the new forwarding path will resemble the direct IPv6 path from the CN to the MN.

FM-DPA-ind:5 Another mobility support employs indirection from the far end DPA to the near end DPA. Both DPAs need to be capable to performing indirection. For incoming packets from the CN to the MN, the far end DPA needs to start the indirection towards the near end DPA, which will be the receiving end of indirection. In addition, the near end DPA needs to continue the forwarding of the packet towards the MN, such as through L2 forwarding or through another indirection towards the MN.

FM-DPA-ind:6 With indirection, locating or moving the FM function to begin indirection upstream along the forwarding path from CN to MN again may help to reduce unnecessarily long paths.

FM-DPA-ind:7 Changes made by FM to establish indirection at the DPA and DPN, which are IPv6 nodes, at the ends of the path change for a flow will be reverted when the mobility support for the flow is no longer needed, e.g., when the flows have terminated.

FM-buffer: An anchor can buffer packets of a flow in a mobility event:

FM-buffer:1 CPA/FM-CP informs DPA/FM-DP to buffer packets of a flow.

Trigger:

- MN leaves DPA in a mobility event.

Parameters:
- IP prefix of the flow for which packets need to be buffered: integrity support required

FM-buffer:2 CPA/FM-CP on behalf of a new DPA/FM-DP informs the CPA/FM-CP of the prior DPA/FM-DP that it is ready to receive any buffered packets of a flow.
Parameters:
- Destination IP prefix of the flow’s packets: integrity support required,
- IP address of the new DPA: integrity support required.

FM-mr:1 When the MN is a mobile router (MR) the access router anchoring the IP prefix of the MR will also own the IP prefix or prefixes to be delegated to the MR. The MNNs in the network carried by the MR obtain IP prefixes from the MR.

4. IP Mobility Handling in Distributed Anchoring Environments – Mobility Support Only When Needed

IP mobility support may be provided only when needed instead of being provided by default. The LM and FM functions in the different configurations shown in Section 3.1 are then utilized only when needed.

A straightforward choice of mobility anchoring is for a flow to use the IP prefix of the network to which the MN is attached when the flow is initiated [I-D.seite-dmm-dma].

The IP prefix/address at the MN’s side of a flow may be anchored at the access router to which the MN is attached. For example, when an MN attaches to a network (Net1) or moves to a new network (Net2), an IP prefix from the attached network is assigned to the MN’s interface. In addition to configuring new link-local addresses, the MN configures from this prefix an IP address which is typically a dynamic IP address. It then uses this IP address when a flow is initiated. Packets to the MN in this flow are simply forwarded according to the forwarding table.

There may be multiple IP prefixes/addresses that an MN can select when initiating a flow. They may be from the same access network or different access networks. The network may advertise these prefixes with cost options [I-D.mccann-dmm-prefixcost] so that the mobile node may choose the one with the least cost. In addition, these IP prefixes/addresses may be of different types regarding whether
mobility support is needed [I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility]. A flow will need to choose the appropriate one according to whether it needs IP mobility support.

4.1. No Need of IP Mobility: Changing to New IP Prefix/Address

When IP mobility support is not needed for a flow, the LM and FM functions are not utilized so that the configurations in Section 3.1 are simplified as shown in Figure 5.

```
Net1                                      Net2
+---------------+                                      +---------------+
|AR1            |             AR is changed            |AR2            |
+---------------+               ------->               +---------------+
|CPA:           |                                      |CPA:           |
|---------------|                                      |---------------|
|DPA(IPa1):     |                                      |DPA(IPa2):     |
|anchors IP1    |                                      |anchors IP2    |
+---------------+                                      +---------------+
+...............+                                      +---------------+
.MN(IP1)        .               MN moves               |MN(IP2)        |
.flow(IP1,...)  .               =======>               |flow(IP2,...)  |
+...............+                                      +---------------+
```

Figure 5. Changing to the new IP prefix/address. MN running a flow using IP1 in a network Net1 changes to running a flow using IP2 in Net2.

When there is no need to provide IP mobility to a flow, the flow may use a new IP address acquired from a new network as the MN moves to the new network.

Regardless of whether IP mobility is needed, if the flow has terminated before the MN moves to a new network, the flow may subsequently restart using the new IP address assigned from the new network.

When IP session continuity is needed, even if a flow is ongoing as the MN moves, it may still be desirable for the flow to change to using the new IP prefix configured in the new network. The flow may then close and then restart using a new IP address configured in the new network. Such a change in the IP address of the flow may be enabled using a higher layer mobility support which is not in the scope of this document.
In Figure 5, a flow initiated while the MN was using the IP prefix IP1 anchored to a previous access router AR1 in network Net1 has terminated before the MN moves to a new network Net2. After moving to Net2, the MN uses the new IP prefix IP2 anchored to a new access router AR2 in network Net2 to start a new flow. The packets may then be forwarded without requiring IP layer mobility support.

An example call flow is outlined in Figure 6.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MN attaches to AR1:</th>
<th>AR1</th>
<th>AR2</th>
<th>CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>acquire MN-ID and profile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;---------RS---------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;--------------RA(IP1)---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assigned prefix IP1
IP1 address configuration

<-Flow(IP1,IPcn,...)--------------------------------------------->

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MN detaches from AR1</th>
<th>AR1</th>
<th>AR2</th>
<th>CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MN attaches to AR2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-------------------RS-----------------&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;-------------------RA(IP2)-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assigned prefix IP2
IP2 address configuration

<-new Flow(IP2,IPcn,...)------------------------------->
```

Figure 6. Re-starting a flow to use the IP prefix assigned from the network at which the MN is attached.

4.1.1. Guidelines for IPv6 Nodes: Changing to New IP Prefix/Address

A network may not need IP mobility support. For example, a network for stationary sensors only will never encounter mobility.

The standard functions in IPv6 already include dropping the old IPv6 prefix/address and acquiring new IPv6 prefix/address when the node changes its point of attachment to a new network. Therefore, a network not providing IP mobility support at all will not need any of...
the functions with the mobility operations and messages described in Section 3.2.

On the other hand, a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support will need the mobility functions, which it will invoke or not invoke as needed.

The guidelines for the IPv6 nodes in a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support include the following:

GL-cfg:1 A network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring mobility support may take any of the configurations described in Section 3.1 and need to implement at the appropriate IPv6 nodes the mobility functions LM and FM as described respectively in LM-cfg and FM-cfg in Section 3.2 according to the configuration chosen.

GL-mix:1 These mobility functions perform some of the operations with the appropriate messages as described in Section 3.2 depending on which mobility mechanisms are being used. Yet these mobility functions must not be invoked for a flow that does not need IP mobility support so that it is necessary to be able to distinguish the needs of a flow. The guidelines for the MN and the AR are in the following.

GL-mix:2 Regardless of whether there are flows requiring IP mobility support, when the MN changes its point of attachment to a new network, it needs to configure a new global IP address for use in the new network in addition to configuring the new link-local addresses.

GL-mix:3 The MN needs to check whether a flow needs IP mobility support. This can be performed when the application is initiated. The specific method is not in the scope of this document.

GL-mix:4 The information of whether a flow needs IP mobility support is conveyed to the network such as by choosing an IP address to be provided with mobility support as described in [I-D.ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility]. Then as the MN attaches to a new network, if the MN was using an IP address that is not supposed to be provided with mobility support, the access router will not invoke the mobility functions described in Section 3.2 for this IP address. That is, the IP address from the prior network is simply not used in the new network.
The above guidelines are only to enable distinguishing whether there is need of IP mobility support for a flow that does not. When the flow needs IP mobility support, the list of guidelines will continue in Section 4.2.1.

4.2. Need of IP Mobility

When IP mobility is needed for a flow, the LM and FM functions in Section 3.1 are utilized. The mobility support may be provided by IP prefix anchor switching to the new network to be described in Section 5 or by using other mobility management methods ([Paper-Distributed.Mobility], [Paper-Distributed.Mobility.PMIP] and [Paper-Distributed.Mobility.Review]). Then the flow may continue to use the IP prefix from the prior network of attachment. Yet some time later, the user application for the flow may be closed. If the application is started again, the new flow may not need to use the prior network’s IP address to avoid having to invoke IP mobility support. This may be the case where a dynamic IP prefix/address rather than a permanent one is used. The flow may then use the new IP prefix in the network where the flow is being initiated. Routing is again kept simpler without employing IP mobility and will remain so as long as the MN which is now in the new network has not moved again and left to another new network.

An example call flow in this case is outlined in Figure 7.
### Figure 7. A flow continues to use the IP prefix from its home network after MN has moved to a new network.

#### 4.2.1. Guidelines for IPv6 Nodes: Need of IP Mobility

The configuration guidelines of distributed mobility for the IPv6 nodes in a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring distributed mobility support are as follows:

**GL-cfg:2** Multiple instances of DPAs (at access routers) which are providing IP prefix to the MNs are needed to provide distributed mobility anchoring in an appropriate configuration such as those described in Figure 1 (Section 3.1.1) for network-based distributed mobility or in Figure 3 (Section 3.1.3) for host-based distributed mobility.
The appropriate IPv6 nodes (CPA, DPA, CPN, DPN) have to implement the mobility functions LM and FM as described respectively in LM-cfg and FM-cfg in Section 3.2 according to the configuration chosen.

The guidelines of distributed mobility for the IPv6 nodes in a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring distributed mobility support had begun with those given as GL-mix in Section 4.1.1 and continue as follows:

GL-mix:5  The distributed anchors may need to message with each other. When such messaging is needed, the anchors may need to discover each other as described in the FM operations and mobility message parameters (FM-find) in Section 3.2.2.

GL-mix:6  The anchors may need to provide mobility support on a per-flow basis as described in the FM operations and mobility message parameters (FM-flow) in Section 3.2.2.

GL-mix:7  Then the anchors need to properly forward the packets of the flows in the appropriate FM operations and mobility message parameters depending on the specific mobility mechanism as described in Section 3.2.2.

GL-mix:8  When using a mechanism of changing forwarding table entries, the FM operations and mobility message parameters are described in FM-path, FM-path-tbl, and FM-DPA in Section 3.2.2.

The forwarding table updates will take place at AR1, AR2, the far end DPA, and other affected switches/routers such that the packet from the CN to the MN will traverse from the far end DPA towards AR2 instead of towards AR1.

Therefore new entries to the forwarding table will be added at AR2 and the far end DPA as well as other affected switches/routers between them so that these packets will traverse towards AR2. Meanwhile, changes to the forwarding table entries will also occur at AR1 and the far end DPA as well as other affected switches/routers between them so that if these packets ever reaches any of them, they will not traverse towards AR1 but will traverse towards AR2 (see Section 3.2.2).

GL-mix:9  Alternatively when using a mechanism of indirection, the FM operations and mobility message parameters are described in FM-path, FM-path-ind, FM-DPA, and FM-DPA-ind in Section 3.2.2.
If there are in-flight packets toward the old anchor while the MN is moving to the new anchor, it may be necessary to buffer these packets and then forward to the new anchor after the old anchor knows that the new anchor is ready. Such procedures are described in the FM operations and mobility message parameters (FM-buffer) in Section 3.2.2.

5. IP Mobility Handling in Distributed Mobility Anchoring Environments
   - Anchor Switching to the New Network

   IP mobility is invoked to enable IP session continuity for an ongoing flow as the MN moves to a new network. Here the anchoring of the IP address of the flow is in the home network of the flow, which is not in the current network of attachment. A centralized mobility management mechanism may employ indirection from the anchor in the home network to the current network of attachment. Yet it may be difficult to avoid unnecessarily long route when the route between the MN and the CN via the anchor in the home network is significantly longer than the direct route between them. An alternative is to switch the IP prefix/address anchoring to the new network.

5.1. IP Prefix/Address Anchor Switching for Flat Network

   The IP prefix/address anchoring may move without changing the IP prefix/address of the flow. Here the LM and FM functions in Figure 1 in Section 3.1 are implemented as shown in Figure 8.
Figure 8. IP prefix/address anchor switching to the new network. MN with flow using IP1 in Net1 continues to run the flow using IP1 as it moves to Net2.

As an MN with an ongoing session moves to a new network, the flow may preserve IP session continuity by moving the anchoring of the original IP prefix/address of the flow to the new network. One way to accomplish such move is to use a centralized routing protocol to be described in Section 5.2 with a centralized control plane.

5.1.1. Guidelines for IPv6 Nodes: Switching Anchor for Flat Network

The configuration guideline for a flat network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support is:

GL-cfg:3 Multiple instances of DPAs (at access routers) which are providing IP prefix to the MNs are needed to provide distributed mobility anchoring according to Figure 1 in Section 3.1 for a flat network.

The appropriate IPv6 nodes (CPA, DPA) have to implement the mobility functions LM and FM as described respectively in LM-cfg:1 or LM-cfg:2 and FM-cfg:1 in Section 3.2.

The guidelines (GL-mix) in Section 4.1.1 and in Section 4.2.1 for the IPv6 nodes for a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support apply here. In addition, the following are required.
The location management provides information about which IP prefix from an AR in the original network is being used by a flow in which AR in a new network. Such information needs to be deleted or updated when such flows have closed so that the IP prefix is no longer used in a different network. The LM operations are described in Section 3.2.1.

The anchor operations to properly forward the packets for a flow are described in the FM operations and mobility message parameters in FM-path, FM-path-tbl, FM-cpdp, and FM-DPA in Section 3.2.2. If there are in-flight packets toward the old anchor while the MN is moving to the new anchor, it may be necessary to buffer these packets and then forward to the new anchor after the old anchor knows that the new anchor is ready as are described in FM-buffer in Section 3.2.2. The anchors may also need to discover each other as described also in the FM operations and mobility message parameters (FM-find).

The security policy must allow to assign to the anchor node at the new network the original IP prefix/address used by the mobile node at the previous (original) network. As the assigned original IP prefix/address is to be used in the new network, the security policy must allow the anchor node in the new network to advertise the prefix of the original IP address and also allow the mobile node to send and receive data packets with the original IP address.

The security policy must allow the mobile node to configure the original IP prefix/address used at the previous (original) network when the original IP prefix/address is assigned by the anchor node in the new network. It must also allow the mobile node to use the original IP address for the previous flow in the new network.

5.2. IP Prefix/Address Anchor Switching for Flat Network with Centralized Control Plane

An example of IP prefix anchor switching is in the case where Net1 and Net2 both belong to the same operator network with separation of control and data planes ([I-D.liu-dmm-deployment-scenario] and [I-D.matsushima-stateless-uplane-vepc]), where the controller may send to the switches/routers the updated information of the
forwarding tables with the IP address anchoring of the original IP prefix/address at AR1 moved to AR2 in the new network. That is, the IP address anchoring in the original network which was advertising the prefix will need to move to the new network. As the anchoring in the new network advertises the prefix of the original IP address in the new network, the forwarding tables will be updated so that packets of the flow will be forwarded according to the updated forwarding tables.

The configurations in Figure 1 in Section 3.1 for which the FM-CP and the LM are centralized and the FM-DPs are distributed apply here. Figure 9 shows its implementation where the LM is a binding between the original IP prefix/address of the flow and the IP address of the new DPA, whereas the FM uses appropriate control plane to data plane messages.

![Diagram](image-url)

Figure 9. IP prefix/address anchor switching to the new network with the LM and the FM-CP in a centralized control plane whereas the FM-DPs are distributed.

The example call flow in Figure 10 shows that IP1 is assigned to MN when the MN attaches to the AR1. A flow running in MN and needing IP mobility may continue to use the previous IP prefix by moving the anchoring of the IP prefix to the new network. Yet a new flow to be initiated in the new network may simply use a new IP prefix assigned from the new network.
Figure 10. DMM solution. MN with flow using IP1 in Net1 continues to run the flow using IP1 as it moves to Net2.

As the MN moves from AR1 to AR2, the AR1 may exchange messages with CPA to release the IP1. It is now necessary for AR2 to learn the IP prefix of the MN from the previous network so that it will be possible for Net2 to assign both the previous network prefix and the new network prefix. The network may learn the previous prefix in different methods. For example, the MN may provide its previous network prefix information by including it to the RS message [I-D.jhlee-dmm-dnpp].

Then forwarding tables updates will take place here.
In addition, the MN also needs a new IP in the new network. The AR2 may now send RA to the MN with prefix information that includes IP1 and IP2. The MN may then continue to use IP1. In addition, the prefix IP2 is assigned to the MN which may configure the IP addresses of its interface. Now for flows using IP1, packets destined to IP1 will be forwarded to the MN via AR2.

As such flows have terminated, IP1 goes back to Net1. MN will then be left with IP2 only, which it will use when it now starts a new flow.

5.2.1. Additional Guidelines for IPv6 Nodes: Switching Anchor with Centralized CP

The configuration guideline for a flat network with centralized control plane and supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support is:

GL-cfg:4 Multiple instances of DPAs (at access routers) which are providing IP prefix to the MNs are needed to provide distributed mobility anchoring according to Figure 1 in Section 3.1 with centralized control plane for a flat network.

At the appropriate IPv6 nodes (CPA, DPA) have to implement the mobility functions LM and FM as described respectively in LM-cfg:1 or LM-cfg:2 and FM-cfg:1 in Section 3.2.

The guidelines (GL-mix) in Section 4.1.1 and in Section 4.2.1 for the IPv6 nodes for a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support apply here. The guidelines (GL-mix) in Section 5.1.1 for moving anchoring for a flat network also apply here. In addition, the following are required.

GL-switch:5 It was already mentioned that the anchor operations to properly forward the packets for a flow are described in the FM operations and mobility message parameters in FM-path, FM-path-tbl, FM-cpdp, and FM-DPA in Section 3.2.2 and such changes are reverted later when the application has already closed. Here however, with separation of control and data planes for the anchors and where the LMs and the FM-CP are centralized in the same control plane, messaging between anchors and the discovery of anchors become internal to the control plane.

GL-switch:6 The centralized FM-CP needs to communicate with the distributed FM-DP using the FM operations and mobility
message parameters as described in FM-cpdp in Section 3.2.2. Such may be realized by the appropriate messages in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp].

It was also already mentioned before that, if there are in-flight packets toward the previous anchor while the MN is moving to the new anchor, it may be necessary to buffer these packets and then forward to the new anchor after the old anchor knows that the new anchor is ready. Here however, the corresponding FM operations and mobility message parameters as described in Section 3.2.2 (FM-buffer) can be realized by the internal operations in the control plane together with signaling between the control plane and distributed data plane. These signaling may be realized by the appropriate messages in [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp].

5.3. Hierarchical Network

The configuration for a hierarchical network has been shown in Figure 2 in Section 3.1.2. With centralized control plane, CPA and CPN, with the associated LM and FM-CP are all co-located. There are multiple DPAs (each with FM-DP) in distributed mobility anchoring. In the data plane, there are multiple DPNs (each with FM-DP) hierarchically below each DPA. The DPA at each AR supports forwarding to the DPN at each of a number of forwarding switches (FWs). A mobility event in this configuration belonging to distributed mobility management will be deferred to Section 5.4.

In this distributed mobility configuration, a mobility event involving change of FW only but not of AR as shown in Figure 11 may still belong to centralized mobility management and may be supported using PMIPv6. This configuration of network-based mobility is also applicable to host-based mobility with the modification for the MN directly taking the role of DPN and CPN, and the corresponding centralized mobility event may be supported using MIPv6.

In Figure 11, the IP prefix assigned to the MN is anchored at the access router (AR) supporting indirection to the old FW to which the MN was originally attached as well as to the new FW to which the MN has moved.

The realization of LM may be the binding between the IP prefix/address of the flow used by the MN and the IP address of the DPN to which MN has moved. The implementation of FM to enable change of FW without changing AR may be accomplished using tunneling between the
AR and the FW as described in [I-D.korhonen-dmm-local-prefix] and in [I-D.templin-aerolink] or using some other L2 mobility mechanism.

Figure 11. Mobility without involving change of IP anchoring in a network in which the IP prefix assigned to the MN is anchored at an AR which is hierarchically above multiple FWs to which the MN may connect.

5.3.1. Additional Guidelines for IPv6 Nodes: Hierarchical Network with No Anchor Relocation

The configuration guideline for a hierarchical network with centralized control plane and supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support is:

GL-cfg:5 Multiple instances of DPAs (at access routers) which are providing IP prefix to the MNs are needed to provide distributed mobility anchoring according to Figure 2 in Section 3.1.2 with centralized control plane for a hierarchical network.
The appropriate IPv6 nodes (CPA, DPA) have to implement the mobility functions LM and FM as described respectively in LM-cfg:3 or LM-cfg:4 and FM-cfg:2 in Section 3.2.

Even when the mobility event does not involve change of anchor, it is still necessary to distinguish whether a flow needs IP mobility support.

The GL-mix guidelines in Section 4.1.1 and in Section 4.2.1 for the IPv6 nodes for a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support apply here. In addition, the following are required.

GL-switch:8 Here, the LM operations and mobility message parameters described in Section 3.2.1 provide information of which IP prefix from its FW needs to be used by a flow using which new FW. The anchor operations to properly forward the packets of a flow described in the FM operations and mobility message parameters (FM-path, FM-path-ind, FM-cpdp in Section 3.2.2) may be realized with PMIPv6 protocol [I-D.korhonen-dmm-local-prefix] or with AERO protocol [I-D.templin-aerolink] to tunnel between the AR and the FW.

5.4.  IP Prefix/Address Anchor Switching for a Hierarchical Network

The configuration for the hierarchical network has been shown in Figure 2 in Section 3.1.2. Again, with centralized control plane, CPA and CPN, with the associated LM and FM-CP are all co-located. There are multiple DPAs (each with FM-DP) in distributed mobility anchoring. In the data plane, there are multiple DPNs (each with FM-DP) hierarchically below each DPA. The DPA at each AR supports forwarding to the DPN at each of a number of forwarding switches (FWs).

A distributed mobility event in this configuration involves change from a previous DPN which is hierarchically under the previous DPA to a new DPN which is hierarchically under a new DPA. Such an event involving change of both DPA and DPN is shown in Figure 12.
Figure 12. Mobility involving change of IP anchoring in a network with hierarchy in which the IP prefix assigned to the MN is anchored at an Edge Router supporting multiple access routers to which the MN may connect.

This deployment case involves both a change of anchor from AR1 to AR2 and a network hierarchy AR-FW. It can be realized by a combination of relocating the IP prefix/address anchoring from AR1 to AR2 with the mechanism as described in Section 5.2 and then forwarding the packets with network hierarchy AR-FW as described in Section 5.3.

### 5.4.1. Additional Guidelines for IPv6 Nodes: Switching Anchor with Hierarchical Network

The configuration guideline (GL-cfg) for a hierarchical network with centralized control plane described in Section 5.3.1 applies here.
The GL-mix guidelines in Section 4.1.1 and in Section 4.2.1 for the IPv6 nodes for a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support apply here.

The guidelines (GL-switch) in Section 5.1.1 for anchoring relocation and in Section 5.2.1 for a centralized control plane also apply here.

In addition, the guidelines for indirection between the new DPA and the new DPN as described in Section 5.3.1 apply as well.

5.5. Network Mobility

The configuration for network mobility has been shown in Figure 4 in Section 3.1.4. Again, with centralized control plane, CPA, with the associated LM and FM-CP are all co-located. There are multiple DPAs (each with FM-DP) in the data plane in distributed mobility anchoring. The MR possesses the mobility functions FM and LMc. The IP prefix IPn1 is delegated to the MR, to which an MNN is attached and has an IP address from IPn1 assigned to its interface.

Figure 13 shows a distributed mobility event in a hierarchical network with a centralized control plane involving a change of attachment of the MR from a previous DPA to a new DPA while the MNN is attached to the MR and therefore moves with the MR.
As the MR with source IP prefix IP1 moves from AR1 to AR2, mobility support may be provided by moving the anchoring of IP1 from AR1 to AR2 using the mechanism described in Section 5.2.

The forwarding table updates will take place at AR1, AR2, the aggregate router, and other affected routers such that the packet from the CN to the MNN will traverse from the aggregate router towards AR2 instead of towards AR1.
5.5.1. Additional Guidelines for IPv6 Nodes: Network mobility

The configuration guideline for a network with centralized control plane to provide network mobility is:

GL-cfg:6 Multiple instances of DPAs (at access routers) which are providing IP prefix of the MRs are needed to provide distributed mobility anchoring according to Figure 4 in Section 3.1.

The appropriate IPv6 nodes (CPA, DPA) have to implement the mobility functions LM and FM as described respectively in LM-cfg:3 or LM-cfg:4 and FM-cfg:4 in Section 3.2.

The GL-mix guidelines in Section 4.1.1 and in Section 4.2.1 for the IPv6 nodes for a network supporting a mix of flows both requiring and not requiring IP mobility support apply here.

Here, because the MN is a MR, the following guideline is added:

GL-mix:11 There are no flows requiring network mobility support when there are no MNN attaching to the MR. Here there are also no MNN using a prefix delegated to the MR. Therefore the anchor of the MR may change to a new AR. The new AR may delegate new IP prefix to the MR, so that the MR may support potential MNNs to attach to it. On the other hand the delegation of IP prefix to the MR from the old AR may be deleted.

The guidelines (GL-switch) in Section 5.1.1 for anchoring relocation and in Section 5.2.1 for a centralized control plane also apply here.

Again because the MN is a MR, the following guidelines are added:

GL-switch:9 Network mobility may be provided using the FM operations and mobility message parameters as described in FM-mr in Section 3.2.2.

GL-switch:10 The following changes to forwarding table entries are needed:

New entries to the forwarding tables are added at AR2 and the aggregate router as well as other affected switches/routers between them so that packets from the CN to the MNN destined to IPn1 will traverse towards AR2. Meanwhile, changes to the forwarding table will also occur at AR1 and the aggregate router as well as other affected switches/routers between them so that in
case such packets ever reach any of these switches/routers, the packets will not traverse towards AR1 but will traverse towards AR2.

GL-switch:11 The security policy must allow the MNN to continue to own the IP prefix/address originally delegated to the MR and used by the MNN at the prior network. As this original IP prefix/address is to be used in the new network, the security policy must allow the anchor node to advertise the prefix of the original IP address and also allow the MNN to send and receive data packets with the original IP address.

GL-switch:12 The security policy must allow the mobile router to configure the original IP prefix/address delegated to the MR from the previous (original) network when the original IP prefix/address is being delegated to the MR in the new network. The security policy must also allows to use the original IP address by the MNNs for the previous flow in the new network.

6. Security Considerations

Security protocols and mechanisms are employed to secure the network and to make continuous security improvements, and a DMM solution is required to support them [RFC7333]. In a DMM deployment [I-D.ietf-dmm-deployment-models] various attacks such as impersonation, denial of service, man-in-the-middle attacks need to be prevented. An appropriate security management function as defined in Section 2 controls these security protocols and mechanisms to provide access control, integrity, authentication, authorization, confidentiality, etc.

Security considerations are described in terms of integrity support, privacy support etc. in describing the mobility functions in Section 3.2. Here the mobility message parameters used in DMM must be protected, and some parameters require means to support MN and MR privacy. The security considerations are also described in the guidelines for IPv6 nodes in various subsections in Section 4, and Section 5.

The IP address anchoring of an IP prefix is effectively moved from one network to another network to support IP mobility Section 5.1. As is considered in the guidelines for IPv6 nodes in Section 5.1.1, the security policy needs to enable the use in the new network of attachment the IP prefix assigned from another network. Yet it must do so without compromising on the needed security to prevent the
possible misuse of an IP prefix belonging to another network. A viable solution is likely not a global solution, but is limited in scope to within specific regions with the proper trust relationship.

In network mobility, the MNN using an IP prefix assigned to it from the MR when the MR was in a prior network moves with the MR to a new network Section 5.5. As is considered in the guidelines for IPv6 nodes in Section 5.5.1 to support IP mobility for an ongoing flow, the security management function needs to enable the continued use of this IP prefix by the MNN with MR in the new network of attachment. Yet it must do so without compromising on the needed security to prevent the possible misuse of an IP prefix belonging to another network. Again, a viable solution is likely not be a global solution, but is limited in scope to within specific regions with the proper trust relationship.

7. IANA Considerations

This document presents no IANA considerations.
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1. Introduction

This document describes Forwarding Policy Configuration (FPC), a system for managing the separation of data-plane and control-plane. FPC enables flexible mobility management using FPC agent and FPC client functions. An FPC agent exports an abstract interface to the data-plane. To configure data-plane nodes and functions, the FPC client uses the interface to the data-plane offered by the FPC agent.

Control planes of mobility management systems, or other applications which require data-plane control, can utilize the FPC client at various granularities of operation. The operations are capable of configuring a single Data-Plane Node (DPN) directly, as well as multiple DPNs as determined by abstracted data-plane models on the FPC agent.

A FPC agent provides data-plane abstraction in the following three areas:
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Topology: DPNs are grouped and abstracted according to well-known concepts of mobility management such as access networks, anchors and domains. A FPC agent provides an interface to the abstract DPN-groups that enables definition of a topology for the forwarding plane. For example, access nodes may be assigned to a DPN-group which peers to a DPN-group of anchor nodes.

Policy: A Policy embodies the mechanisms for processing specific traffic flows or packets. This is needed for QoS, for packet processing to rewrite headers, etc. A Policy consists of one or more rules. Each rule is composed of Descriptors and Actions. Descriptors in a rule identify traffic flows, and Actions apply treatments to packets that match the Descriptors in the rule. An arbitrary set of policies can be abstracted as a Policy-group to be applied to a particular collection of flows, which is called the Virtual Port (Vport).

Mobility: A mobility session which is active on a mobile node is abstracted as a Context with associated runtime concrete attributes, such as tunnel endpoints, tunnel identifiers, delegated prefix(es), routing information, etc. Contexts are attached to DPN-groups along with consequence of the control plane. One or multiple Contexts which have same sets of policies are assigned Vports which abstract those policy sets. A Context can belong to multiple Vports which serve various kinds of purpose and policy. Monitors provide a mechanism to produce reports when events regarding Vports, Sessions, DPNs or the Agent occur.

The Agent assembles applicable sets of forwarding policies for the mobility sessions from the data model, and then renders those policies into specific configurations for each DPN to which the sessions attached. The specific protocols and configurations to configure DPN from a FPC Agent are outside the scope of this document.

The data-plane abstractions may be extended to support many different mobility management systems and data-plane functions. The architecture and protocol design of FPC is not tied to specific types of access technologies and mobility protocols.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

DPN: A data-plane node (DPN) is capable of deploying data-plane features. DPNs may be
switches or routers regardless of their realization, i.e. whether they are hardware or software based.

FPC Agent: A functional entity in FPC that manages DPNs and provides abstracted data-plane networks to mobility management systems and/or applications through FPC Clients.

FPC Client: A functional entity in FPC that is integrated with mobility management systems and/or applications to control forwarding policy, mobility sessions and DPNs.

Tenant: An operational entity that manages mobility management systems or applications which require data-plane functions.

Domain: One or more DPNs that form a data-plane network. A mobility management system or an application in a tenant may utilize a single or multiple domains.

Virtual Port (Vport): A set of forwarding policies.

Context: An abstracted endpoint of a mobility session associated with runtime attributes. Vports may apply to Context which instantiates those forwarding policies on a DPN.

3. FPC Architecture

To fulfill the requirements described in [RFC7333], FPC enables mobility control-planes and applications to configure DPNs with various roles of the mobility management as described in [I-D.ietf-dmm-deployment-models].

FPC defines building blocks of FPC Agent and FPC Client, as well as data models for the necessary data-plane abstractions. The attributes defining those data models serve as protocol elements for the interface between the FPC Agent and the FPC Client.

Mobility control-planes and applications integrate the FPC Client function. The FPC Client connects to FPC Agent functions. The Client and the Agent communicate based on information models for the data-plane abstractions described in Section 4. The data models allow the control-plane and the applications to support forwarding policies on the Agent for their mobility sessions.
The FPC Agent carries out the required configuration and management of the DPN(s). The Agent determines DPN configurations according to the forwarding policies requested by the FPC Client. The DPN configurations could be specific to each DPN implementation such that how FPC Agent determines implementation specific configuration for a DPN is outside of the scope of this document. Along with the models, the control-plane and the applications put Policies to the Agent prior to creating their mobility sessions.

Once the Topology of DPN(s) and domains are defined for a data plane on an Agent, the data-plane nodes (DPNs) are available for further configuration. The FPC Agent connects those DPNs to manage their configurations.

This architecture is illustrated in Figure 1. An FPC Agent may be implemented in a network controller that handles multiple DPNs, or there is a simple case where another FPC Agent may itself be integrated into a DPN.

This document does not adopt a specific protocol for the FPC interface protocol and it is out of scope. However it must be capable of supporting FPC protocol messages and transactions described in Section 5.
The FPC architecture supports multi-tenancy; an FPC enabled data-plane supports tenants of multiple mobile operator networks and/or applications. It means that the FPC Client of each tenant connects to the FPC Agent and it MUST partition namespace and data for their data-planes. DPNs on the data-plane may fulfill multiple data-plane roles which are defined per session, domain and tenant.
Note that all FPC models SHOULD be configurable. The FPC interface protocol in Figure 1 is only required to handle runtime data in the Mobility model. The rest of the FPC models, namely Topology and Policy, may be pre-configured, and in that case real-time protocol exchanges would not be required for them. Operators that are tenants in the FPC data-plane could configure Topology and Policy on the Agent through other means, such as Restconf [I-D.ietf-netconf-restconf] or Netconf [RFC6241].

4. Information Model for FPC

This section presents an information model representing the abstract concepts of FPC, which are language and protocol neutral. Figure 2 shows an overview of the FPC data-plane information model.

(Mobile operator tenant that abstracted data-plane is used)

- FPC-Topology
  - DPNs
  - DPN-groups
  - Domains
- FPC-Policy
  - Descriptors
  - Actions
  - Policies
  - Policy-groups
- FPC-Mobility
  - Vports
  - Contexts

Figure 2: FPC Data-plane Information Model
4.1. FPC-Topology

Topology abstraction enables a physical data-plane network to support multiple overlay topologies. An FPC-Topology consists of DPNs, DPN-groups and Domains which abstract data-plane topologies for the Client’s mobility control-planes and applications.

Utilizing a FPC Agent, a mobile operator can create virtual DPNs in an overlay network. Those such virtual DPNs are treated the same as physical forwarding DPNs in this document.

4.1.1. DPNs

The DPNs define all available nodes to a tenant of the FPC data-plane network. FPC Agent defines DPN binding to actual nodes. The role of a DPN in the data-plane is determined at the time the DPN is assigned to a DPN-group.

(FPC-Topology)
  |-----DPNs
  |      |-----DPN-id
  |      |      |-----DPN-name
  |      |      |-----DPN-groups
  |      |      |------Node-reference

Figure 3: DPNs Model Structure

DPN-id: The identifier for the DPN. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

DPN-name: The name of the DPN.

DPN-groups: The list of DPN-groups to which the DPN belongs.

Node-reference: Indicates a physical node, or a platform of virtualization, to which the DPN is bound by the Agent. The Agent SHOULD maintain that node’s information, including IP address of management and control protocol to connect them. In the case of a node as a virtualization platform, FPC Agent directs the platform to instantiate a DPN to which a DPN-group attributes.
4.1.2. DPN-groups

A DPN-group is a set of DPNs which share certain specified data-plane attributes. DPN-groups define the data-plane topology consisting of a DPN-group of access nodes connecting to an anchor node’s DPN-group.

A DPN-group has attributes such as its data-plane role, supported access technologies, mobility profiles, connected peer groups and domain. A DPN may be assigned to multiple DPN-groups in different data-plane roles or different domains.

(FPC-Topology)

---DPN-groups
|   ---DPN-group-id
|   ---Data-plane-role
|   ---Domains
|   ---Access-type
|   ---Mobility-profile
|   ---DPN-group-peers

Figure 4: DPN-groups Model Structure

DPN-group-id: The identifier of the DPN-group. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Data-plane-role: The data-plane role of the DPN-group, such as access-dpn, anchor-dpn.

Domains: The domains to which the DPN-group belongs.

Access-type: The access type supported by the DPN-group such as ethernet(802.3/11), 3gpp cellular(S1, RAB), if any.

Mobility-profile: Identifies a supported mobility profile, such as ietf-pmip, or 3gpp. New profiles may be defined as extensions of this specification. Mobility profiles are defined so that some or all data-plane parameters of the mobility contexts that are part of the profile can be automatically determined by the FPC Agent.
DPN-group-peers: The remote peers of the DPN-group with parameters described in Section 4.1.2.1.

### 4.1.2.1. DPN-group Peers

DPN-group-peers lists relevant parameters of remote peer DPNs as illustrated in Figure 5.

```
(DPN-groups)
  +---DPN-group-peers
    |     +---Remote-DPN-group-id
    |     +---Remote-mobility-profile
    |     +---Remote-data-plane-role
    |     +---Remote-endpoint-address
    |     +---Local-endpoint-address
    +---MTU-size
```

**Figure 5: DPN-groups Peer Model Structure**

Remote-DPN-group-id: The ID of the peering DPN-Group. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Remote-mobility-profile: The mobility-profile for the peering DPN-group. Currently defined profiles are ietf-pmip, or 3gpp. New profiles may be defined as extensions of this specification.

Remote-data-plane-role: The data-plane role of the peering DPN-group.

Remote-endpoint-address: Defines Endpoint address of the peering DPN-group.

Local-endpoint-address: Defines Endpoint address of its own DPN-group to peer the remote DPN-group.

MTU-size: Defines MTU size of traffic between the DPN-Group and this DPN-group-peer.
4.1.3. Domains

A domain is defined by an operator to refer to a particular network, considered as a system of cooperating DPN-groups. Domains may represent services or applications that are resident within an operator’s network.

(FPC-Topology)

+----Domains
  |   +----Domain-id
  |   +----Domain-name
  |   +----Domain-type
  |   +----Domain-reference

Figure 6: Domain Model Structure

Domain-id: Identifier of Domain. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Domain-name: The name of the Domain.

Domain-type: Specifies which address families are supported within the domain.

Domain-reference: Indicates a set of resources for the domain which consists a topology of physical nodes, platforms of virtualization and physical/virtual links with certain bandwidth, etc.,

4.2. FPC-Policy

The FPC-Policy consists of Descriptors, Actions, Policies and Policy-groups. These can be viewed as configuration data, in contrast to Contexts and Vports, which are structures that are instantiated on the Agent. The Descriptors and Actions in a Policy referenced by a Vport are active when the Vport is in an active Context, i.e. they can be applied to traffic on a DPN.
4.2.1. Descriptors

Descriptors defines classifiers of specific traffic flows, such as those based on source and destination addresses, protocols, port numbers of TCP/UDP/SCTP/DCCP, or any way of classifying packets. Descriptors are defined by specific profiles that may be produced by 3gpp, ietf or other SDOs. Many specifications also use the terms Filter, Traffic Descriptor or Traffic Selector [RFC6088]. A packet that meets the criteria of a Descriptor is said to satisfy, pass or be consumed by the Descriptor. Descriptors are assigned an identifier and contain a type and value.

(FPC-Policy)
   |---Descriptors
   |   |---Descriptor-id
   |   |---Descriptor-type
   |   |---Descriptor-value

Figure 7: Descriptor Model Structure

Descriptor-id: Identifier of Descriptor. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Descriptor-type: The descriptor type, which determines the classification of a specific traffic flows, such as source and destination addresses, protocols, port numbers of TCP/UDP/SCTP/DCCP, or any other way of selecting packets.

Descriptor-value: The value of Descriptor such as IP prefix/address, protocol number, port number, etc.

4.2.2. Actions

A Policy defines a list of Actions that are to be applied to traffic meeting the criteria defined by the Descriptors. Actions include traffic management such as shaping, policing based on given bandwidth, and connectivity actions such as pass, drop, forward to given nexthop. Actions may be defined as part of specific profiles which are produced by 3gpp, ietf or other SDOs.
4.2.3. Policies

Policies are collections of Rules. Each Policy has a Policy Identifier and a list of Rule/Order pairs. The Order and Rule values MUST be unique in the Policy. Unlike the AND filter matching of each Rule the Policy uses an OR matching to find the first Rule whose Descriptors are satisfied by the packet. The search for a Rule to apply to packet is executed according to the unique Order values of the Rules. This is an ascending order search, i.e. the Rule with the lowest Order value is tested first and if its Descriptors are not satisfied by the packet the Rule with the next lowest Order value is tested. If a Rule is not found then the Policy does not apply. Policies contain Rules (not references to Rules).
(FPC-Policy)
|   +---Policies
|       +---Policy-id
|       +---Rules
|           +---Order
|           +---Descriptors
|                +---Descriptor-id
|                +---Direction
|           +---Actions
|                +---Action-id
|                +---Action-Order

Figure 9: Model Structure for Policies

Policy-id: Identifier of Policy. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Rules: List of Rules which are a collection of Descriptors and Actions. All Descriptors MUST be satisfied before the Actions are taken. This is known as an AND Descriptor list, i.e. Descriptor 1 AND Descriptor 2 AND ... Descriptor X all MUST be satisfied for the Rule to apply.

Order: Specifies ordering if the Rule has multiple Descriptors and Action sets. Order values MUST be unique within the Rules list.

Descriptors: The list of Descriptors.

Descriptor-id: Identifies each Descriptor in the Rule.

Direction: Specifies which direction applies, such as uplink, downlink or both.

Actions: List of Actions.

Action-id: Indicates each Action in the rule.
Action-Order: Specifies Action ordering if the Rule has multiple actions. Action-Order values MUST be unique within the Actions list.

4.2.4. Policy-groups

List of Policy-groups which are an aggregation of Policies. Common applications include aggregating Policies that are defined by different functions, e.g. Network Address Translation, Security, etc. The structure has an Identifier and references the Policies via their Identifiers.

(FPC-Policy)
   |---Policy-groups
      |---Policy-group-id
      |---Policies

Figure 10: Policy-group Model Structure

Policy-group-id: The identifier of the Policy-group. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Policies: List of Policies in the Policy-group.

4.3. FPC for Mobility Management

The FPC-Mobility consists of Vports and Contexts. A mobility session is abstracted as a Context with its associated runtime concrete attributes, such as tunnel endpoints, tunnel identifiers, delegated prefix(es) and routing information, etc. A Vport abstracts a set of policies applied to the Context.

4.3.1. Vport

A Vport represents a collection of policy groups, that is, a group of rules that can exist independently of the mobility/session lifecycle. Mobility control-plane applications create, modify and delete Vports on FPC Agent through the FPC Client.

When a Vport is indicated in a Context, the set of Descriptors and Actions in the Policies of the Vport are collected and applied to the Context. They must be instantiated on the DPN as forwarding related...
actions such as QoS differentiations, packet processing of encap/decap, header rewrite, route selection, etc.

(FPC-Mobility)

+---Vports
    +---Vport-id
    +---Policy-groups

Figure 11: Vport Model Structure

Vport-id: The identifier of Vport. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Policy-groups: List of references to Policy-groups which apply to the Vport.

4.3.2. Context

An endpoint of a mobility session is abstracted as a Context with its associated runtime concrete attributes, such as tunnel endpoints, tunnel identifiers, delegated prefix(es) and routing information, etc. A mobility control-plane, or other applications, can create, modify and delete contexts on an FPC Agent by using the FPC Client.

FPC Agent SHOULD determine runtime attributes of a Context from the Vport’s policies and the attached DPN’s attributes. A mobility control-plane, or other applications, MAY set some of the runtime attributes directly when they create data-plane related attributes. In the case of that a mobility control-plane assigns tunnel identifiers, for instance.
Context-id: Identifier of the Context. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Vports: List of Vports. When a Context is applied to a Vport, the context is configured by policies at each such Vport. Vport-id references indicate Vports which apply to the Context. Context can be a spread over multiple Vports which have different policies.

DPN-group: The DPN-group assigned to the Context.

Delegated-ip-prefixes: List of IP prefixes to be delegated to the mobile node of the Context.

Parent-context: Indicates a parent context from which this context inherits.

4.3.2.1. Single DPN Agent Case

In the case where a FPC Agent supports only one DPN, the Agent MUST maintain Context data just for the DPN. The Agent does not need to maintain a Topology model. Contexts in single DPN case consists of following parameters for both direction of uplink and downlink.
(Contexts)
  |---UL-Tunnel-local-address
  |---UL-Tunnel-remote-address
  |---UL-MTU-size
  |---UL-Mobility-specific-tunnel-parameters
  |---UL-Nexthop
  |---UL-QoS-profile-specific-parameters
  |---UL-DPN-specific-parameters
  |---UL-Vendor-specific-parameters

Figure 13: Uplink Context Model of Single DPN Structure

UL-Tunnel-local-address: Specifies uplink endpoint address of the DPN.

UL-Tunnel-remote-address: Specifies uplink endpoint address of the remote DPN.

UL-MTU-size: Specifies the uplink MTU size.

UL-Mobility-specific-tunnel-parameters: Specifies profile specific uplink tunnel parameters to the DPN which the agent exists. This may, for example, include GTP/TEID for 3gpp profile, or GRE/Key for ietf-pmip profile.

UL-Nexthop: Indicates next-hop information of uplink in external network such as IP address, MAC address, SPI of service function chain [I-D.ietf-sfc-nsh], SID of segment routing [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header] [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls], etc.

UL-QoS-profile-specific-parameters: Specifies profile specific QoS parameters of uplink, such as QCI/TFT for 3gpp profile, [RFC6089]/[RFC7222] for ietf-pmip, or parameters of new profiles defined by extensions of this specification.

UL-DPN-specific-parameters: Specifies optional node specific parameters needed by uplink such as if-index, tunnel-if-number that must be unique in the DPN.
UL-Vendor-specific-parameters: Specifies a vendor specific parameter space for the uplink.

(Contexts)

+----DL-Tunnel-local-address
|     +----DL-Tunnel-remote-address
|     +----DL-MTU-size
|     +----DL-Mobility-specific-tunnel-parameters
|     |     +----DL-Nexthop
|     |     +----DL-QoS-profile-specific-parameters
|     +----DL-DPN-specific-parameters
|     +----DL-Vendor-specific-parameters

Figure 14: Downlink Context Model of Single DPN Structure

DL-Tunnel-local-address: Specifies downlink endpoint address of the DPN.

DL-Tunnel-remote-address: Specifies downlink endpoint address of the remote DPN.

DL-MTU-size: Specifies the downlink MTU size of tunnel.

DL-Mobility-specific-tunnel-parameters: Specifies profile specific downlink tunnel parameters to the DPN which the agent exists. This may, for example, include GTP/TEID for 3gpp profile, or GRE/Key for ietf-pmip profile.

DL-Nexthop: Indicates next-hop information of downlink in external network such as IP address, MAC address, SPI of service function chain [I-D.ietf-sfc-nsh], SID of segment routing[I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header] [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls], etc.

DL-QoS-profile-specific-parameters: Specifies profile specific QoS parameters of downlink, such as QCI/TFT for 3gpp profile, [RFC6089]/[RFC7222] for ietf-pmip, or parameters of new profiles defined by extensions of this specification.
DL-DPN-specific-parameters: Specifies optional node specific parameters needed by downlink such as if-index, tunnel-if-number that must be unique in the DPN.

DL-Vendor-specific-parameters: Specifies a vendor specific parameter space for the downlink.

4.3.2.2. Multiple DPN Agent Case

Alternatively, a FPC Agent may connect to multiple DPNs. The Agent MUST maintain a set of Context data for each DPN. The Context contains a list of DPNs, where each entry of the list consists of the parameters in Figure 15. A Context data for one DPN has two entries – one for uplink and another for downlink or, where applicable, a direction of ‘both’.

```
(Contexts)
    +---DPNs
       |    +---DPN-id
       |    +---Direction
       |    +---Tunnel-local-address
       |    +---Tunnel-remote-address
       |    +---MTU-size
       |    +---Mobility-specific-tunnel-parameters
       |    +---Nexthop
       |    +---QoS-profile-specific-parameters
       |    +---DPN-specific-parameters
       |    +---Vendor-specific-parameters
```

Figure 15: Multiple-DPN Supported Context Model Structure

DPN-id: Indicates DPN of which the runtime Context data installed.

Direction: Specifies which side of connection at the DPN indicated – uplink, downlink or both.
Tunnel-local-address: Specifies endpoint address of the DPN at the uplink or downlink.

Tunnel-remote-address: Specifies endpoint address of remote DPN at the uplink or downlink.

MTU-size: Specifies the packet MTU size on uplink or downlink.

Mobility-specific-tunnel-parameters: Specifies profile specific tunnel parameters for uplink or downlink to the DPN. This may, for example, include GTP/TEID for 3gpp profile, or GRE/Key for ietf-pmip profile.

Nexthop: Indicates next-hop information for uplink or downlink in external network such as IP address, MAC address, SPI of service function chain [I-D.ietf-sfc-nsh], SID of segment routing[I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header] [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls], etc.

QoS-profile-specific-parameters: Specifies profile specific QoS parameters for uplink or downlink to the DPN, such as QCI/TFT for 3gpp profile, [RFC6089]/[RFC7222] for ietf-pmip, or parameters of new profiles defined by extensions of this specification.

DPN-specific-parameters: Specifies optional node specific parameters needed by uplink or downlink to the DPN such like if-index, tunnel-if-number that must be unique in the DPN.

Vendor-specific-parameters: Specifies a vendor specific parameter space for the DPN.

Multi-DPN Agents will use only the DPNs list of a Context for processing as described in this section. A single-DPN Agent MAY use both the Single Agent DPN model Section 4.3.2.1 and the multi-DPN Agent Context described here.

4.3.3. Monitors

Monitors provide a mechanism to produce reports when events occur. A Monitor will have a target that specifies what is to be watched.

When a Monitor is specified, the configuration MUST be applicable to the attribute/entity monitored. For example, a Monitor using a Threshold configuration cannot be applied to a Context, because Contexts do not have thresholds. But such a monitor could be applied to a numeric threshold property of a Context.
Monitor-id: Name of the Monitor. The ID format MUST conform to Section 4.4.

Target: Target to be monitored. This may be an event, a Context, a Vport or attribute(s) of Contexts. When the type is an attribute(s) of a Context, the target name is a concatenation of the Context-Id and the relative path (separated by ‘/’) to the attribute(s) to be monitored.

Configuration: Determined by the Monitor subtype. Four report types are defined:

* Periodic reporting specifies an interval by which a notification is sent to the Client.

* Event reporting specifies a list of event types that, if they occur and are related to the monitored attribute, will result in sending a notification to the Client.

* Scheduled reporting specifies the time (in seconds since Jan 1, 1970) when a notification for the monitor should be sent to the Client. Once this Monitor’s notification is completed the Monitor is automatically de-registered.

* Threshold reporting specifies one or both of a low and high threshold. When these values are crossed a corresponding notification is sent to the Client.

4.4. Namespace and Format

The identifiers and names in FPC models which reside in the same namespace must be unique. That uniqueness must be kept in agent or data-plane tenant namespace on an Agent. The tenant namespace uniqueness MUST be applied to all elements of the tenant model, i.e. Topology, Policy and Mobility models.
When a Policy needs to be applied to Contexts in all tenants on an Agent, the Agent SHOULD define that policy to be visible from all the tenants. In this case, the Agent assigns an unique identifier in the agent namespace.

The format of identifiers can utilize any format with agreement between data-plane agent and client operators. The formats include but are not limited to Globally Unique IDentifiers (GUIDs), Universally Unique IDentifiers (UUIDs), Fully Qualified Domain Names (FQDNs), Fully Qualified Path Names (FQPNs) and Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs).

The FPC model does not limit the types of format that dictate the choice of FPC protocol. However the choice of identifiers which are used in Mobility model need to be considered to handle runtime parameters in real-time. The Topology and Policy models are not restricted to meet that requirement, as described in Section 3.

4.5. Attribute Application

Attributes in FPC Topology and Policy SHOULD be pre-configured in a FPC Agent prior to Contexts and Vports. The FPC Agent requires those pre-configured attributes to be able to derive a Context’s detailed runtime attributes.

When a FPC Client creates a Context, the FPC Client is then able to indicate specific DPN-group(s) instead of all endpoint addresses of the DPN(s) and MTU-size of the tunnels for example. This is because that the FPC Agent can derive data for those details from the pre-configured DPN-group information in the FPC Topology.

Similarly when a Vport is created for the Context, the FPC Agent can derive detailed forwarding policies from the pre-configured Policy information in the FPC Policy. The FPC Client thereby has no need to indicate those specific policies to all of the Contexts which share the same set of Policy-groups.

This is intentional as it provides FPC Clients the ability to reuse pre-configured FPC Topology and FPC Policy attributes. It helps to minimize over the wire exchanges and reduce system errors by exchanging less information.

The Agent turns those derived data into runtime attributes of UL and DL objects which are in the DPNs list of the Context (multiple-DPNs Agent case) or directly under the Context (single-DPN Agent case). The Agent consequently instantiates forwarding policies on DPN(s) based on those attributes.
When a Context inherits another Context as its parent, missing attributes in the child Context are provided by the Parent Context (for example, IMSI defined in the 3GPP extension).

It is noted that the Agent SHOULD update the Context’s attributes which are instantiated on DPN(s) when the applied attributes of Topology and Policy are changed.

In the case of FPC Client modifying an existing runtime attribute of a Context which the FPC Agent derived, the FPC Agent MUST overwrite that attribute with the value which the Client brings to the Agent. However risks exist, for example, the attributes could be outside of allowable range of DPNs which the FPC Agent managed.

5. Protocol

5.1. Protocol Messages and Semantics

Five message types are supported:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONF</td>
<td>HEADER</td>
<td>Configure processes a single operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMIN_STATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SESSION_STATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OP_TYPE BODY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONF_BUNDLE</td>
<td>1*[HEADER</td>
<td>A Conf-bundle takes multiple operations that are to be executed as a group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMIN_STATE</td>
<td>with partial failures allowed. They are executed according to the OP_ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SESSION_STATE</td>
<td>value in the OP_BODY in ascending order. If a CONF_BUNDLE fails, any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRANS_STRATEGY</td>
<td>entities provisioned in the CURRENT operation are removed. However, any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OP_TYPE BODY</td>
<td>successful operations completed prior to the current operation are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>preserved in order to reduce system load.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REG_MONITOR</td>
<td>HEADER</td>
<td>Register a monitor at an Agent. The message includes information about the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADMIN_STATE*</td>
<td>the attribute to monitor and the reporting method. Note that a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MONITOR ]</td>
<td>MONITOR_CONFIG is required for this operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEREG_MONITOR</td>
<td>HEADER *[</td>
<td>Deregister monitors from an Agent. Monitor IDs are provided. Boolean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MONITOR_ID ]</td>
<td>(optional) indicates if a successful DEREG triggers a NOTIFY with final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBE</td>
<td>HEADER</td>
<td>Probe the status of a registered monitor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MONITOR_ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Client to Agent Messages

Each message contains a header with the Client Identifier, an execution delay timer and an operation identifier. The delay, in ms, is processed as the delay for operation execution from the time the operation is received by the Agent.
The Client Identifier is used by the Agent to associate specific configuration characteristics, e.g. options used by the Client when communicating with the Agent, as well as the association of the Client and tenant in the information model.

Messages that create or update Monitors and Entities, i.e. CONFIG, CONF_BUNDLE and REG_MONITOR, specify an Administrative State which specifies the Administrative state of the message subject(s) after the successful completion of the operation. If the status is set to virtual, any existing data on the DPN is removed. If the value is set to disabled, and if that entity exists on the DPN, then an operation to disable the associated entity will occur on the DPN. If set to ‘active’ the DPN will be provisioned. Values are ‘enabled’, ‘disabled’, and ‘virtual’.

CONF_BUNDLE also has the Transaction Strategy (TRANS_STRATEGY) attribute. This value specifies the behavior of the Agent when an operation fails while processing a CONF_BUNDLE message. The value of ‘default’ uses the default strategy defined for the message. The value ‘all_or_nothing’ will roll back all successfully executed operations within the bundle as well as the operation that failed.

An FPC interface protocol used to support this specification may not need to support CONF_BUNDLE messages or specific TRANS_STRATEGY types beyond ‘default’ when the protocol provides similar semantics. However, this MUST be clearly defined in the specification that defines the interface protocol.

An Agent will respond with an ERROR, OK, or an OK WITH INDICATION that remaining data will be sent via a notify from the Agent to the Client Section 5.1.1.6.2 for CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE requests. When returning an ‘ok’ of any kind, optional data may be present.

Two Agent notifications are supported:
Table 2: Agent to Client Messages (notifications)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY</td>
<td>See Table 15</td>
<td>An asynchronous notification from Agent to Client based upon a previous CONFIG or CONF_BUNDLE request.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTIFY</td>
<td>See Table 16</td>
<td>An asynchronous notification from Agent to Client based upon a registered MONITOR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.1. CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE Messages

CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE specify the following information for each operation in addition to the header information:

SESSION_STATE: sets the expected state of the entities embedded in the operation body after successful completion of the operation. Values can be 'complete', 'incomplete' or 'outdated'. Any operation that is 'incomplete' MAY NOT result in communication between the Agent and DPN. If the result is 'outdated' any new operations on these entities or new references to these entities have unpredictable results.

OP_TYPE: specifies the type of operation. Valid values are 'create' (0), 'update' (1), 'query' (2) or 'delete' (3).

COMMAND_SET: If the feature is supported, specifies the Command Set (see Section 5.1.1.4).

BODY: A list of Clones, if supported, Vports and Contexts when the OP_TYPE is 'create' or 'update'. Otherwise it is a list of Targets for 'query' or 'deletion'. See Section 6.2.2 for details.

5.1.1.1. Agent Operation Processing

The Agent will process entities provided in an operation in the following order:

1. Clone Instructions, if the feature is supported

2. Vports
3. Contexts according to COMMAND_SET order processing

The following Order Processing occurs when COMMAND Sets are present

1. The Entity-specific COMMAND_SET is processed according to its bit order unless otherwise specified by the technology specific COMMAND_SET definition.

2. Operation specific COMMAND_SET is processed upon all applicable entities (even if they had Entity-specific COMMAND_SET values present) according to its bit order unless otherwise specified by the technology specific COMMAND_SET definition.

3. Operation OP_TYPE is processed for all entities.

When deleting objects only their name needs to be provided. However, attributes MAY be provided if the Client wishes to avoid requiring the Agent cache lookups.

When deleting an attribute, a leaf reference should be provided. This is a path to the attributes.

5.1.1.2. Policy RPC Support

This optional feature permits policy elements, (Policy-Group, Policy, Action and Descriptor), values to be in CONFIG or CONF_BUNDLE requests. It enables RPC based policy provisioning.

5.1.1.3. Cloning

Cloning is an optional feature that allows a Client to copy one structure to another in an operation. Cloning is always done first within the operation (see Operation Order of Execution for more detail). If a Client wants to build an object then Clone it, use CONF_BUNDLE with the first operation being the entities to be copied and a second operation with the Cloning instructions. A CLONE operation takes two arguments, the first is the name of the target to clone and the second is the name of the newly created entity. Individual attributes are not clonable; only Vports and Contexts can be cloned.

5.1.1.4. Command Bitsets

The COMMAND_SET is a technology specific bitset that allows for a single entity to be sent in an operation with requested sub-transactions to be completed. For example, a Context could have the Home Network Prefix absent but it is unclear if the Client would like the address to be assigned by the Agent or if this is an error.
Rather than creating a specific command for assigning the IP a bit position in a COMMAND_SET is reserved for Agent based IP assignment. Alternatively, an entity could be sent in an update operation that would be considered incomplete, e.g. missing some required data in for the entity, but has sufficient data to complete the instructions provided in the COMMAND_SET.

5.1.1.5. Reference Scope

The Reference Scope is an optional feature that provides the scope of references used in a configuration command, i.e. CONFIG or CONF_BUNDLE. These scopes are defined as:

- none - all entities have no references to other entities. This implies only Contexts are present. Vports MUST have references to Policy-Groups.
- op - All references are contained in the operation body, i.e. only intra-operation references exist.
- bundle - All references exist in bundle (inter-operation/intra-bundle). NOTE - If this value is present in a CONFIG message it is equivalent to ‘op’.
- storage - One or more references exist outside of the operation and bundle. A lookup to a cache / storage is required.
- unknown - the location of the references are unknown. This is treated as a ‘storage’ type.

If supported by the Agent, when cloning instructions are present, the scope MUST NOT be ‘none’. When Vports are present the scope MUST be ‘storage’ or ‘unknown’.

An agent that only accepts ‘op’ or ‘bundle’ reference scope messages is referred to as ‘stateless’ as it has no direct memory of references outside messages themselves. This permits low memory footprint Agents. Even when an Agent supports all message types an ‘op’ or ‘bundle’ scoped message can be processed quickly by the Agent as it does not require storage access.

5.1.1.6. Operation Response

5.1.1.6.1. Immediate Response

Results will be supplied per operation input. Each result contains the RESULT_STATUS and OP_ID that it corresponds to. RESULT_STATUS values are:
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OK - Success

ERR - An Error has occurred

OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS - The Operation has been accepted by the Agent but further processing is required. A CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY will be sent once the processing has succeeded or failed.

Any result MAY contain nothing or entities created or partially fulfilled as part of the operation as specified in Table 14. For Clients that need attributes back quickly for call processing, the AGENT MUST respond back with an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS and minimally the attributes assigned by the Agent in the response. These situations MUST be determined through the use of Command Sets (see Section 5.1.1.4).

If an error occurs the following information is returned.

ERROR_TYPE_ID (Unsigned 32) - The identifier of a specific error type

ERROR_INFORMATION - An OPTIONAL string of no more than 1024 characters.

5.1.1.6.2. Asynchronous Notification

A CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY occurs after the Agent has completed processing related to a CONFIG or CONF_BUNDLE request. It is an asynchronous communication from the Agent to the Client.

The values of the CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY are detailed in Table 15.

5.1.2. Monitors

When a monitor has a reporting configuration of SCHEDULED it is automatically de-registered after the NOTIFY occurs. An Agent or DPN may temporarily suspend monitoring if insufficient resources exist. In such a case the Agent MUST notify the Client.

All monitored data can be requested by the Client at any time using the PROBE message. Thus, reporting configuration is optional and when not present only PROBE messages may be used for monitoring. If a SCHEDULED or PERIODIC configuration is provided during registration with the time related value (time or period respectively) of 0 a NOTIFY is immediately sent and the monitor is immediately de-registered. This method should, when a MONITOR has not been installed, result in an immediate NOTIFY sufficient for the Client’s needs and lets the Agent realize the Client has no further need for
the monitor to be registered. An Agent may reject a registration if it or the DPN has insufficient resources.

PROBE messages are also used by a Client to retrieve information about a previously installed monitor. The PROBE message SHOULD identify one or more monitors by means of including the associated monitor identifier. An Agent receiving a PROBE message sends the requested information in a single or multiple NOTIFY messages.

5.1.2.1. Operation Response

5.1.2.1.1. Immediate Response

Results will be supplied per operation input. Each result contains the RESULT_STATUS and OP_ID that it corresponds to. RESULT_STATUS values are:

OK - Success

ERR - An Error has occurred

Any OK result will contain no more information.

If an error occurs the following information is returned.

ERROR_TYPE_ID (Unsigned 32) - The identifier of a specific error type

ERROR_INFORMATION - An OPTIONAL string of no more than 1024 characters.

5.1.2.1.2. Asynchronous Notification

A NOTIFY can be sent as part of de-registration, a trigger based upon a Monitor Configuration or a PROBE. A NOTIFY is comprised of unique Notification Identifier from the Agent, the Monitor ID the notification applies to, the Trigger for the notification, a timestamp of when the notification’s associated event occurs and data that is specific to the monitored value’s type.

5.2. Protocol Operation

5.2.1. Simple RPC Operation

An FPC Client and Agent MUST identify themselves using the CLI_ID and AGT_ID respectively to ensure that for all transactions a recipient of an FPC message can unambiguously identify the sender of the FPC message. A Client MAY direct the Agent to enforce a rule in a
particular DPN by including a DPN_ID value in a Context. Otherwise the Agent selects a suitable DPN to enforce a Context and notifies the Client about the selected DPN using the DPN_ID.

All messages sent from a Client to an Agent MUST be acknowledged by the Agent. The response must include all entities as well as status information, which indicates the result of processing the message, using the RESPONSE_BODY property. In case the processing of the message results in a failure, the Agent sets the ERROR_TYPE_ID and ERROR_INFORMATION accordingly and MAY clear the Context or Vport, which caused the failure, in the response.

If based upon Agent configuration or the processing of the request possibly taking a significant amount of time the Agent MAY respond with an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS with an optional RESPONSE_BODY containing the partially completed entities. When an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS is sent, the Agent will, upon completion or failure of the operation, respond with an asynchronous CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY to the Client.

A Client MAY add a property to a Context without providing all required details of the attribute’s value. In such case the Agent SHOULD determine the missing details and provide the completed property description back to the Client. If the processing will take too long or based upon Agent configuration, the Agent MAY respond with an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS with a RESPONSE_BODY containing the partially completed entities.

In case the Agent cannot determine the missing value of an attribute’s value per the Client’s request, it leaves the attribute’s value cleared in the RESPONSE_BODY and sets the RESULT to Error, ERROR_TYPE_ID and ERROR_INFORMATION. As example, the Control-Plane needs to setup a tunnel configuration in the Data-Plane but has to rely on the Agent to determine the tunnel endpoint which is associated with the DPN that supports the Context. The Client adds the tunnel property attribute to the FPC message and clears the value of the attribute (e.g. IP address of the local tunnel endpoint). The Agent determines the tunnel endpoint and includes the completed tunnel property in its response to the Client.

Figure 17 illustrates an exemplary session life-cycle based on Proxy Mobile IPv6 registration via MAG Control-Plane function 1 (MAG-C1) and handover to MAG Control-Plane function 2 (MAG-C2). Edge DPN1 represents the Proxy CoA after attachment, whereas Edge DPN2 serves as Proxy CoA after handover. As exemplary architecture, the FPC Agent and the network control function are assumed to be co-located with the Anchor-DPN, e.g. a Router.
Figure 17: Exemplary Message Sequence (focus on FPC reference point)

After reception of the Proxy Binding Update (PBU) at the LMA Control-Plane function (LMA-C), the LMA-C selects a suitable DPN, which serves as Data-Plane anchor to the mobile node’s (MN) traffic. The LMA-C adds a new logical Context to the DPN to treat the MN’s traffic (1) and includes a Context Identifier (CONTEXT_ID) to the CONFIG command. The LMA-C identifies the selected Anchor DPN by including the associated DPN identifier.
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The LMA-C adds properties during the creation of the new Context. One property is added to specify the forwarding tunnel type and endpoints (Anchor DPN, Edge DPN1) in each direction (as required). Another property is added to specify the QoS differentiation, which the MN’s traffic should experience. At reception of the Context, the FPC Agent utilizes local configuration commands to create the tunnel (tun1) as well as the traffic control (tc) to enable QoS differentiation. After configuration has been completed, the Agent applies a new route to forward all traffic destined to the MN’s HNP specified as a property in the Context to the configured tunnel interface (tun1).

During handover, the LMA-C receives an updating PBU from the handover target MAG-C2. The PBU refers to a new Data-Plane node (Edge DPN2) to represent the new tunnel endpoints in the downlink and uplink, as required. The LMA-C sends a CONFIG message (3) to the Agent to modify the existing tunnel property of the existing Context and to update the tunnel endpoint from Edge DPN1 to Edge DPN2. Upon reception of the CONFIG message, the Agent applies updated tunnel property to the local configuration and responds to the Client (4).

![Diagram of network components and message sequence]

When a teardown of the session occurs, MAG-C1 will send a PBU with a lifetime value of zero. The LMA-C sends a CONFIG message (1) to the...

Agent to modify the existing tunnel property of the existing Context to delete the tunnel information.) Upon reception of the CONFIG message, the Agent removes the tunnel configuration and responds to the Client (2). Per [RFC5213], the PBA is sent back immediately after the PBA is received.

If no valid PBA is received after the expiration of the MinDelayBeforeBCEDelete timer (see [RFC5213]), the LMA-C will send a CONFIG (3) message with a deletion request for the Context. Upon reception of the message, the Agent deletes the tunnel and route on the DPN and responds to the Client (4).

When a multi-DPN Agent is used the DPN list permits several DPNs to be provisioned in a single message.

Figure 19: Exemplary Message Sequence for Multi-DPN Agent
Figure 19 shows how the first 2 messages in Figure 17 are supported when a multi-DPN Agent communicates with both Anchor DPN1 and Edge DPN2. In such a case, the FPC Client sends the downlink and uplink for both DPNs in the "DPNS" list of the same Context. Message 1 shows the DPNS list with all entries. Each entry identifies the DPN and direction (one of 'uplink', 'downlink' or 'both'). Generally, the 'both' direction is not used for normal mobility session processing. It is commonly used for the instantiation of Policies on a specific DPN (see Section 5.2.4).

The Agent responds with an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS while it simultaneously provisions both DPNs. Upon successful completion, the Agent responds to the Client with a CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY indicating the operation status.

5.2.2. Policy And Mobility on the Agent

A Client may build Policy and Topology using any mechanism on the Agent. Such entities are not always required to be constructed in realtime and, therefore, there are no specific messages defined for them in this specification.

The Client may add, modify or delete many Vports and Contexts in a single FPC message. This includes linking Contexts to Actions and Descriptors, i.e. a Rule. As example, a Rule which performs rewriting of an arriving packet's destination IP address from IP_A to IP_B matching an associated Descriptor, can be enforced in the Data-Plane via an Agent to implicitly consider matching arriving packet's source IP address against IP_B and re-write the source IP address to IP_A.

Figure 20 illustrates the generic policy configuration model as used between a FPC Client and a FPC Agent.
Figure 20: Structure of Policies and Vports

As depicted in Figure 20, the Vport represents the anchor of Rules through the Policy-group, Policy, Rule hierarchy configured by any mechanism including RPC or N. A Client and Agent use the identifier of the associated Policy to directly access the Rule and perform modifications of traffic Descriptors or Action references. A Client and Agent use the identifiers to access the Descriptors or Actions to perform modifications. From the viewpoint of packet processing, arriving packets are matched against traffic Descriptors and processed according to the treatment Actions specified in the list of properties associated with the Vport.

A Client complements a rule’s Descriptors with a Rule’s Order (priority) value to allow unambiguous traffic matching on the Data-Plane.

Figure 21 illustrates the generic context configuration model as used between a FPC Client and a FPC Agent.
As depicted in Figure 21, the Context represents a mobility session hierarchy. A Client and Agent directly assigns values such as downlink traffic descriptors, QoS information, etc. A Client and Agent use the context identifiers to access the descriptors, QoS information, etc. to perform modifications. From the viewpoint of packet processing, arriving packets are matched against traffic Descriptors and processed according to the QoS or other mobility profile related Actions specified in the Context’s properties. If present, the final action is to use a Context’s tunnel information to encapsulate and forward the packet.

A second Context also references context1 in the figure. Based upon the technology a property in a parent context MAY be inherited by its descendants. This permits concise over the wire representation. When a Client deletes a parent Context all children are also deleted.

5.2.3. Optimization for Current and Subsequent Messages

5.2.3.1. Bulk Data in a Single Operation

A single operation MAY contain multiple entities. This permits bundling of requests into a single operation. In the example below two PMIP sessions are created via two PBU messages and sent to the Agent in a single CONFIG message (1). Upon receiving the message,
the Agent responds back with an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS (2), completes work on the DPN to activate the associated sessions then responds to the Client with a CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY (3).

5.2.3.2. Configuration Bundles

Bundles provide transaction boundaries around work in a single message. Operations in a bundle MUST be successfully executed in the order specified. This allows references created in one operation to be used in a subsequent operation in the bundle.

The example bundle shows in Operation 1 (OP 1) the creation of a Context 1 which is then referenced in Operation 2 (OP 2) by CONTEXT_ID 2. If OP 1 fails then OP 2 will not be executed. The advantage of the CONF_BUNDLE is preservation of dependency orders in a single message as opposed to sending multiple CONFIG messages and awaiting results from the Agent.
When a CONF_BUNDLE fails, any entities provisioned in the CURRENT operation are removed, however, any successful operations completed prior to the current operation are preserved in order to reduce system load.

```
+-------Router--------+
|                   |
|   FPC   | FPC Agent | Anchor |
|--------+---------+--------|
|  Client|         | DPN    |

|--CONF_BUNDLE(CREATE)----->
| [ OP 1, [VPORT X ]
| [ CONTEXT_ID 1,
| DOWNLINK(QOS/TUN),
| UPLINK(QOS/TUN),
| IP_PREFIX(HNP) ]
| [ OP 2,
| [ CONTEXT_ID 2,
| PARENT_CONTEXT_ID 1,
| UPLINK(QOS/TUN),
| DOWNLINK(QOS/TUN) ] ]
```

Figure 23: Exemplary Bundle Message (focus on FPC reference point)

5.2.3.3. Cloning Feature (Optional)

Cloning provides a high speed copy/paste mechanism. The example below shows a single Context that will be copied two times. A subsequent update will then override copied values. To avoid the accidental activation of the Contexts on the DPN, the CONFIG (1) message with the cloning instruction has a SESSION_STATE with a value of ‘incomplete’ and OP_TYPE of ‘CREATE’. A second CONFIG (2) is sent with the SESSION_STATE of ‘complete’ and OP_TYPE of ‘UPDATE’. The second message includes any differences between the original (copied) Context and its Clones.
Cloning has the added advantage of reducing the over the wire data size required to create multiple entities. This can improve performance if serialization / deserialization of multiple entities incurs some form of performance penalty.

5.2.3.4. Command Bitsets (Optional)

Command Sets permit the ability to provide a single, unified data structure, e.g., CONTEXT, and specify which activities are expected to be performed on the DPN. This has some advantages:

- Rather than sending $N$ messages with a single operation performed on the DPN, a single message can be used with a Command Set that specifies the $N$ DPN operations to be executed.
o Errors become more obvious. For example, if the HNP is NOT provided but the Client did not specify that the HNP should be assigned by the Agent this error is easily detected. Without the Command Set the default behavior of the Agent would be to assign the HNP and then respond back to the Client where the error would be detected and subsequent messaging would be required to remedy the error. Such situations can increase the time to error detection and overall system load without the Command Set present.

o Unambiguous provisioning specification. The Agent is exactly in sync with the expectations of the Client as opposed to guessing what DPN work could be done based upon data present at the Agent. This greatly increases the speed by which the Agent can complete work.

o Permits different technologies with different instructions to be sent in the same message.

As Command Bitsets are technology specific, e.g. PMIP or 3GPP Mobility, the type of work varies on the DPN and the amount of data present in a Context or Port will vary. Using the technology specific instructions allows the Client to serve multiple technologies and MAY result in a more stateless Client as the instructions are transferred the Agent which will match the desired, technology specific instructions with the capabilities and over the wire protocol of the DPN more efficiently.

5.2.3.5. Reference Scope(Optional)

Although entities MAY refer to any other entity of an appropriate type, e.g. Contexts can refer to Vports or Contexts, the Reference Scope gives the Agent an idea of where those references reside. They may be in the same operation, an operation in the same CONF_BUNDLE message or in storage. There may also be no references. This permits the Agent to understand when it can stop searching for reference it cannot find. For example, if a CONF_BUNDLE message uses a Reference Scope of type ‘op’ then it merely needs to keep an operation level cache and consume no memory or resources searching across the many operations in the CONF_BUNDLE message or the data store.

Agents can also be stateless by only supporting the ‘none’, ‘op’ and ‘bundle’ reference scopes. This does not imply they lack storage but merely the search space they use when looking up references for an entity. The figure below shows the caching hierarchy provided by the Reference Scope
Caches are temporarily created at each level and as the scope includes more caches the amount of entities that are searched increases. Figure 25 shows an example containment hierarchy provided for all caches.

```
+---------------+  
| Global Cache  |  
| (storage)     |  
+---------------+  
  
+------------------------+  
| Bundle Cache           |  
| (bundle)               |  
+------------------------+  
  
+--------------------+  
| Operation Cache     |  
| (op)                |  
+--------------------+  
(no cache)
```

Figure 25: Exemplary Hierarchical Cache

5.2.4. Pre-provisioning

Although Contexts are used for Session based lifecycle elements, Vports may exist outside of a specific lifecycle and represent more general policies that may affect multiple Contexts (sessions). The use of pre-provisioning of Vports permits policy and administrative use cases to be executed. For example, creating tunnels to forward traffic to a trouble management platform and dropping packets to a defective web server can be accomplished via provisioning of Vports.

The figure below shows a CONFIG (1) message used to install a Policy-group, policy-group1, using a Context set aside for pre-provisioning on a DPN.
5.2.4.1. Basename Registry Feature (Optional)

The Optional BaseName Registry support feature is provided to permit Clients and tenants with common scopes, referred to in this specification as BaseNames, to track the state of provisioned policy information on an Agent. The registry records the BaseName and Checkpoint set by a Client. If a new Client attaches to the Agent it can query the Registry to determine the amount of work that must be executed to configure the Agent to a BaseName / checkpoint revision. A State value is also provided in the registry to help Clients coordinate work on common BaseNames.

6. Protocol Message Details

6.1. Data Structures And Type Assignment

6.1.1. Policy Structures
### Table 3: Action Fields

Policies contain a list of Rules by their order value. Each Rule contains Descriptors with optional directionality and Actions with order values that specifies action execution ordering if the Rule has multiple actions.

Rules consist of the following fields.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sub-Fields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORDER</td>
<td>[16, INTEGER]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RULE_DESCRIPTORS</td>
<td>*[ DESCRIPTOR_ID DIRECTION ]</td>
<td>DIRECTION [2, unsigned bits] is an ENUMERATION (uplink, downlink or both).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Rule Fields
6.1.2. Mobility Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VPORT_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity (Section 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICIES</td>
<td>*[ POLICY_GROUP_ID ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Vport Fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTEXT_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity (Section 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPORTS</td>
<td>*[ VPORT_ID ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPN_GROUP_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity (Section 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELEGATED IP PREFIXES</td>
<td>*[ IP_PREFIX ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARENT_CONTEXT_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity (Section 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPLINK [NOTE 1]</td>
<td>MOB_FIELDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOWNLINK [NOTE 1]</td>
<td>MOB_FIELDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPNS [NOTE 2]</td>
<td>*[ DPN_ID DPN_DIRECTION MOB_FIELDS ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOB_FIELDS</td>
<td>All parameters from Table 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Context Fields

NOTE 1 - These fields are present when the Agent supports only a single DPN.

NOTE 2 - This field is present when the Agent supports multiple DPNs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type/Structure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TUN_MTU</td>
<td>[32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUN_PAYLOAD_TYPE</td>
<td>[2, bits]</td>
<td>Enumeration: payload_ipv4(0), payload_ipv6(1) or payload_dual (2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUN_TYPE</td>
<td>[8, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>Enumeration: IP-in-IP(0), UDP(1), GRE(2) and GTP(3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUN_IF</td>
<td>[16, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>Input interface index.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOBILITY_SPECIFIC_TUN_PARAMS</td>
<td>[ IETF_PMIP_MOB_PROFILE FILE</td>
<td>[NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3GPP_MOB_PROFILE ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEXTHOP</td>
<td>[ IP Address</td>
<td>MAC Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QOS_PROFILE_PARAMS</td>
<td>[ 3GPP_QOS</td>
<td>PMIP_QOS ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPN_SPECIFIC_PARAMS</td>
<td>[ TUN_IF or Varies]</td>
<td>Specifies optional node specific parameters in need such as if-index, tunnel-if-number that must be unique in the DPN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENDOR_SPECIFIC_PARAM</td>
<td>*[ Varies ]</td>
<td>[NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE 1** - These parameters are extensible. The Types may be extended for Field value by future specifications or in the case of Vendor Specific Attributes by enterprises.
### Table 7: Context Downlink/Uplink Field Definitions

#### 6.1.3. Topology Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPN_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity. See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPN_NAME</td>
<td>[1024, OCTET STRING]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPN_GROUPS</td>
<td>* [ FPC-Identity ] See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NODE_REFERENCE</td>
<td>[1024, OCTET STRING]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8: DPN Fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOMAIN_ID</td>
<td>[1024, OCTET STRING]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMAIN_NAME</td>
<td>[1024, OCTET STRING]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMAIN_TYPE</td>
<td>[1024, OCTET STRING]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMAIN_REFERENCE</td>
<td>[1024, OCTET STRING]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 9: Domain Fields
### Table 10: DPN Groups Fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPN_GROUP_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity. See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATA_PLANE_ROLE</td>
<td>[4, ENUMERATION (data-plane, such as access-dpn, L2/L3 anchor-dpn.)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS_TYPE</td>
<td>[4, ENUMERATION ()ethernet(802.3/11), 3gpp cellular(S1,RAB)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOBILITY_PROFILE</td>
<td>[4, ENUMERATION (ietf-pmip, 3gpp, or new profile)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEER_DPN_GROUPS</td>
<td>* [ DPN_GROUP_ID MOBILITY_PROFILE REMOTE_ENDPOINT_ADDRESS LOCAL_ENDPOINT_ADDRESS TUN_MTU DATA_PLANE_ROLE ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.1.4. Monitors
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MONITOR</td>
<td>MONITOR_ID TARGET [REPORT_CONFIG]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONITOR_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity. See Section 4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT_TYPE_ID</td>
<td>[8, Event Type ID]</td>
<td>Event Type (unsigned integer).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGET</td>
<td>OCTET STRING (See Section 4.3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORT_CONFIG</td>
<td>[8, REPORT-TYPE] [TYPE_SPECIFIC_INFO]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERIODIC_CONFIG</td>
<td>[32, period]</td>
<td>report interval (ms).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THRESHOLD_CONFIG</td>
<td>[32, low] [32, hi]</td>
<td>thresholds (at least one value must be present)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHEDULED_CONFIG</td>
<td>[32, time]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENTS_CONFIG</td>
<td>*[EVENT_TYPE_ID]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Monitor Structures and Attributes

TRIGGERS include but are not limited to the following values:

- Events specified in the Event List of an EVENTS CONFIG
- LOW_THRESHOLD_CROSSED
- HIGH_THRESHOLD_CROSSED
- PERIODIC_REPORT
- SCHEDULED_REPORT
- PROBED
- DEREG_FINAL_VALUE
6.2. Message Attributes

6.2.1. Header

Each operation contains a header with the following fields:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Messages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLIENT_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity (Section 4.4)</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELAY</td>
<td>[32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP_ID</td>
<td>[64, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN_STATE</td>
<td>[8, admin state]</td>
<td>CONFIG, CONF_BUNDLE and REG_MONITOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP_TYPE</td>
<td>[8, op type]</td>
<td>CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Message Header Fields

6.2.2. CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE Attributes and Notifications
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Operation Types Create(C), Update(U), Query(Q) and Delete(D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SESSION_STATE</td>
<td>[8, session state]</td>
<td>C,U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMAND_SET</td>
<td>FPC Command Bitset. See Section 5.1.1.4.</td>
<td>C,U [NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLONES</td>
<td>*[ FPC-Identity FPC-Identity ] (Section 4.4)</td>
<td>C,U [NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPORTS</td>
<td>*[ VPORT ]</td>
<td>C,U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGETS</td>
<td>FPC-Identity (Section 4.4) *{DPN_ID}</td>
<td>Q,D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY_GROUPS</td>
<td>*[ POLICY-GROUP ]</td>
<td>C,U [NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICIES</td>
<td>*[ POLICY ]</td>
<td>C,U [NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTORS</td>
<td>*[ DESCRIPTOR ]</td>
<td>C,U [NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIONS</td>
<td>*[ ACTION ]</td>
<td>C,U [NOTE 1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE 1 - Only present if the corresponding feature is supported by the Agent.

Table 13: CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE OP_BODY Fields
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Operation Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Create(C), Update(U), Query(Q) and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delete(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPORTS</td>
<td>*[ VPORT ]</td>
<td>C, U [NOTE 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGETS</td>
<td>*[ FPC-Identity (Section 4.4) *[DPN_ID] ]</td>
<td>Q, D [NOTE 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR_TYPE_ID</td>
<td>[32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>All [NOTE 3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERROR_INFORMATION</td>
<td>[1024, octet string]</td>
<td>All [NOTE 3]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14: Immediate Response RESPONSE_BODY Fields

Notes:

NOTE 1 - Only present if the corresponding feature is supported by the Agent.

NOTE 2 - Present in OK and OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS for both CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE. MAY also be present in an CONF_BUNDLE Error response (ERR) if one of the operations completed successfully.

NOTE 3 - Present only for Error (ERR) responses.
### Table 15: CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY Asynchronous Notification Fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGENT_ID</td>
<td>FPC-Identity (Section 4.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTIFICATION_ID</td>
<td>[32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>A Notification Identifier used to determine notification order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMESTAMP</td>
<td>[32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>The time that the notification occurred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATA</td>
<td>*[ OP_ID RESPONSE_BODY (Table 14) ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 16: Monitor Notifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOTIFICATION_ID</td>
<td>[32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIGGER</td>
<td>[32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTIFY</td>
<td>NOTIFICATION_ID MONITOR_ID TRIGGER [32, timestamp] [NOTIFICATION_DATA]</td>
<td>Timestamp notes when the event occurred. Notification Data is TRIGGER and Monitor type specific.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Derived and Subtyped Attributes

This section notes derived attributes.
### Table 17: Descriptor Subtypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO_PREFIX</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[IP Address]</td>
<td>Aggregated or per-host destination IP address/prefix descriptor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM_PREFIX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[IP Address]</td>
<td>Aggregated or per-host source IP address/prefix descriptor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 18: Action Subtypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DROP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Drop the associated packets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REWRITE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[in_src_ip] [out_src_ip] [in_dst_ip] [out_dst_ip] [in_src_port] [out_src_port] [in_dst_port] [out_dst_port]</td>
<td>Rewrite IP Address (NAT) or IP Address / Port (NAPT).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPY_FORWARD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>FPC-Identity. See Section 4.4</td>
<td>Copy all packets and forward them to the provided identity. The value of the identity MUST be a port or context.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Matsushima, et al. Expires September 14, 2017
### Table 19: Next Hop Subtypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type Value</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP_ADDR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>IP Address</td>
<td>An IP Address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC_ADDR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAC Address</td>
<td>A MAC Address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVICE_PATH_ID</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[24, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>Service Path Identifier (SPI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPLS_LABEL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[20, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>MPLS Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[SERVICE_PATH_ID] [8, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>Included NSH which is a SPI and Service Index (8 bits).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERFACE_INDEX</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[16, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>Interface Index (an unsigned integer).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEGMENT_ID</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[128, unsigned integer]</td>
<td>Segment Identifier.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 20: QoS Subtypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type Value</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QOS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[qos index type] [index] [DSCP]</td>
<td>Refers to a single index and DSCP to write to the packet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMIP_QOS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Varies by Type</td>
<td>A non-traffic selector PMIP QoS Attribute per [RFC7222]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Type Value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPIP_TUN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>IP in IP Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDP_TUN</td>
<td>1 [src_port] [dst_port]</td>
<td>UDP Tunnel - source and/or destination port</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE_TUN</td>
<td>2 [32, GRE Key]</td>
<td>GRE Tunnel.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21: Tunnel Subtypes

The following COMMAND_SET values are supported for IETF_PMIP.

- assign-ip - Assign the IP Address for the mobile session.
- assign-dpn - Assign the Dataplane Node.
- session - Assign values for the Session Level.
- uplink - Command applies to uplink.
- downlink - Command applies to downlink.

7.1. 3GPP Specific Extensions

3GPP support is optional and detailed in this section. The following acronyms are used:

- APN-AMBR: Access Point Name Aggregate Maximum Bit Rate
- ARP: Allocation of Retention Priority
- EBI: EPS Bearer Identity
- GBR: Guaranteed Bit Rate
- GTP: GPRS (General Packet Radio Service) Tunneling Protocol
- IMSI: International Mobile Subscriber Identity
- MBR: Maximum Bit Rate
- QCI: QoS Class Identifier
- TEID: Tunnel Endpoint Identifier.
TFT: Traffic Flow Template (TFT)

UE-AMBR: User Equipment Aggregate Maximum Bit Rate

NOTE: GTP Sequence Number (SEQ_NUMBER) is used in failover and handover.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Namespace / Type</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LOCAL_TEID REMOTE_TEID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPV1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tunnel Subtypes namespace.</td>
<td>SEQ_NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPV2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tunnel Subtypes namespace.</td>
<td>SEQ_NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL_TEID</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A [32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMOTE_TEID</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A [32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ_NUMBER</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A [32, unsigned integer]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Descriptors Subtypes namespace.</td>
<td>Format per TS 24.008 Section 10.5.6.12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMSI</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Context (new attribute)</td>
<td>[64, unsigned integer]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Context (new attribute)</td>
<td>[4, unsigned integer]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3GPP_QOS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>QoS Subtypes namespace.</td>
<td>[8, qci] [32, gbr] [32, mbr] [32, apn_ambr] [32, ue_ambr] ARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARP</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>See Allocation-Retention-Priority from [RFC7222]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 22: 3GPP Attributes and Structures
The following COMMAND_SET values are supported for 3GPP.

- assign-ip - Assign the IP Address for the mobile session.
- assign-dpn - Assign the Dataplane Node.
- assign-fteid-ip - Assign the Fully Qualified TEID (F-TEID) LOCAL IP address.
- assign-fteid-teid - Assign the Fully Qualified TEID (F-TEID) LOCAL TEID.
- session - Assign values for the Session Level. When this involves 'assign-fteid-ip' and 'assign-fteid-teid' this implies the values are part of the default bearer.
- uplink - Command applies to uplink.
- downlink - Command applies to downlink.

8. Implementation Status

Two FPC Agent implementations have been made to date. The first was based upon Version 03 of the draft and followed Model 1. The second follows Version 04 of the document. Both implementations were OpenDaylight plug-ins developed in Java by Sprint. Version 03 was known as fpcagent and version 04’s implementation is simply referred to as ‘fpc’.

Fpcagent’s intent was to provide a proof of concept for FPC Version 03 Model 1 in January 2016 and research various errors, corrections and optimizations that the Agent could make when supporting multiple DPNs.

As the code developed to support OpenFlow and a proprietary DPN from a 3rd party, several of the advantages of a multi-DPN Agent became obvious including the use of machine learning to reduce the number of Flows and Policy entities placed on the DPN. This work has driven new efforts in the DIME WG, namely Diameter Policy Groups [I-D.bertz-dime-policygroups].

A throughput performance of tens per second using various NetConf based solutions in OpenDaylight made fpcagent undesirable for call processing. The RPC implementation improved throughput by an order of magnitude but was not useful based upon FPC’s Version 03 design using two information models. During this time the features of version 04 and its converged model became attractive and the fpcagent
The project was closed in August 2016. fpcaagent will no longer be developed and will remain a proprietary implementation.

The learnings of fpcaagent has influenced the second project, fpc. Fpc is also an OpenDaylight project but is being prepared for open source release as the Opendaylight FpcAgent plugin (https://wiki.opendaylight.org/view/Project_Proposals:FpcAgent). This project is scoped to be a fully compliant FPC Agent that supports multiple DPNs including those that communicate via OpenFlow.

The following features present in this draft and others developed by the FPC development team have already lead to an order of magnitude improvement.

- Migration of non-realtime provisioning of entities such as topology and policy allowed the implementation to focus only on the rpc.
- Using only 5 messages and 2 notifications has also reduced implementation time.
- Command Sets, an optional feature in this specification, have eliminated 80% of the time spent determining what needs to be done with a Context during a Create or Update operation.
- Op Reference is an optional feature modeled after video delivery. It has reduced unnecessary cache lookups. It also has the additional benefit of allowing an Agent to become cacheless and effectively act as a FPC protocol adapter remotely with multi-DPN support or colocated on the DPN in a single-DPN support model.
- Multi-tenant support allows for Cache searches to be partitioned for clustering and performance improvements. This has not been capitalized upon by the current implementation but is part of the development roadmap.
- Use of Contexts to pre-provision policy has also eliminated any processing of Ports for DPNs which permitted the code for CONFIGURE and CONF_BUNDLE to be implemented as a simple nested FOR loops (see below).

Current performance results without code optimizations or tuning allow 2-5K FPC Contexts processed per second on a 2013 Mac laptop. This results in 2x the number of transactions on the southbound interface to a proprietary DPN API on the same machine.

fpc currently supports the following:

- 1 proprietary DPN API
Policy and Topology as defined in this specification using OpenDaylight North Bound Interfaces such as NetConf and RestConf CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE (all operations)

DPN assignment, Tunnel allocations and IPv4 address assignment by the Agent or Client.

Immediate Response is always an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS.
assignment system (receives rpc call):
perform basic operation integrity check
if CONFIG then
  goto assignments
  if assignments was ok then
    send request to activation system
    respond back to client with assignment data
  else
    send back error
  end if
else if CONF_BUNDLE then
  for each operation in bundles
    goto assignments
    if assignments was ok then
      hold onto data
    else
      return error with the assignments that occurred in
      prior operations (best effort)
    end if
  end for
  send bundles to activation systems
end if

assignments:
assign DPN, IPv4 Address and/or tunnel info as required
if an error occurs undo all assignments in this operation
return result

activation system:
build cache according to op-ref and operation type
for each operation
  for each Context
    for each DPN / direction in Context
      perform actions on DPN according to Command Set
    end for
  end for
end for
commit changes to in memory cache
log transaction for tracking and notification

Figure 27: fpc pseudo code

For further information please contact Lyle Bertz who is also a co-author of this document.

NOTE: Tenant support requires binding a Client ID to a Tenant ID (it is a one to many relation) but that is outside of the scope of this
specification. Otherwise, the specification is complete in terms of providing sufficient information to implement an Agent.

9. Security Considerations

Detailed protocol implementations for DMM Forwarding Policy Configuration must ensure integrity of the information exchanged between an FPC Client and an FPC Agent. Required Security Associations may be derived from co-located functions, which utilize the FPC Client and FPC Agent respectively.

The YANG modules defined in this memo is designed to be accessed via the NETCONF protocol [RFC6241]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is SSH [RFC6242].

The information model defined in the memo is designed to be access by protocols specified in extensions to this document or, if using the YANG modules, as described above.

There are a number of data nodes defined which are writable/creatable/deletable. These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., a NETCONF edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

Nodes under the Policy tree provide generic policy enforcement and traffic classification. They can be used to block or permit traffic. If this portion of the model was to be compromised it may be used to block, identify or permit traffic that was not intended by the Tenant or FPC Client.

Nodes under the Topology tree provide definition of the Tenant’s forwarding topology. Any compromise of this information will provide topology information that could be used for subsequent attack vectors. Removal of topology can limit services.

Nodes under the Mobility Tree are runtime only and manipulated by remote procedure calls. The unwanted deletion or removal of such information would deny users service or provide services to unauthorized parties.

Some of the readable data nodes defined may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to
these data nodes. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- IP address assignments in the Context along with their associated tunnel configurations/identifiers (from the FPC base module)
- International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) and bearer identifiers in the Context when using the optional 3GPP module

Some of the RPC operations defined may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations. These are the operations and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE send Context information which can include information of a sensitive or vulnerable nature in some network environments as described above.
- Monitor related RPC operations do not specifically provide sensitive or vulnerable information but care must be taken by users to avoid identifier values that expose sensitive or vulnerable information.

Notifications MUST be treated with the same level of protection and scrutiny as the operations they correspond to. For example, a CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY notification provides the same information that is sent as part of the input and output of the CONFIG and CONF_BUNDLE RPC operations.

General usage of FPC MUST consider the following:

- FPC Naming Section 4.4 permits arbitrary string values but users MUST avoid placing sensitive or vulnerable information in those values.
- Policies that are very narrow and permit the identification of specific traffic, e.g. that of a single user, SHOULD be avoided.

10. IANA Considerations

This document registers six URIs in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registrations have been made.

Registrant Contact: The DMM WG of the IETF.
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.
This document registers the following YANG modules in the "YANG Module Names" registry [RFC6020].

name:         ietf-dmm-fpc
prefix:       fpc
reference:    TBD1

name:         ietf-dmm-threegpp
prefix:       threegpp
reference:    TBD1

name:         ietf-dmm-pmip-qos
prefix:       qos-pmip
reference:    TBD1

name:         ietf-dmm-traffic-selector-types
prefix:       traffic-selectors
reference:    TBD1

name:         ietf-dmm-traffic-selector-types
prefix:       fpcpolicyext
reference:    TBD1
The document registers the following YANG submodules in the "YANG Module Names" registry [RFC6020].

```
name:         ietf-dmm-fpc-base
parent:       ietf-dmm-fpc
reference:    TBD1
```
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Appendix A.  YANG Data Model for the FPC protocol

These modules define YANG definitions.  Seven modules are defined:

- ietf-dmm-fpc (fpc) - Defines the base model and messages for FPC
- ietf-dmm-fpc-base - An FPC submodule that defines the information model that is specified in this document
- ietf-pmip-qos (pmip-qos) - Defines proxy mobile IPv6 QoS parameters per RFC 7222
- ietf-traffic-selectors-types (traffic-selectors) - Defines Traffic Selectors per RFC 6088
- ietf-dmm-threegpp - Defines the base structures for 3GPP based IP mobility and augments fpcaagent to support these parameters.
- ietf-dmm-fpc-pmip - Augments fpcp-base to include PMIP Traffic Selectors as a Traffic Descriptor subtype and pmip-qos QoS parameters, where applicable, as properties.
- ietf-dmm-fpc-policyext - defines basic policy extensions, e.g. Actions and Descriptors, to fpcbase and as defined in this document.

A.1.  FPC Agent YANG Model

This module defines the information model and protocol elements specified in this document.

This module references [RFC6991] and the fpc-base module defined in this document.
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dmm-fpc@2017-03-08.yang"
module ietf-dmm-fpc {
    prefix fpc;

import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; revision-date 2013-07-15; }

include ietf-dmm-fpc-base;

organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) Working Group";

contact
"WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
<mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>

WG Chair: Jouni Korhonen
<mailto:jouni.nospam@gmail.com>

Editor: Satoru Matsushima
<mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>

Editor: Lyle Bertz
<mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>";

description
"This module contains YANG definition for Forwarding Policy Configuration Protocol (FPCP).

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License."

revision 2017-03-08 {
    description "Version 06 updates."
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-06";
}
revision 2016-08-03 {
    description "Initial Revision.";
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-05";
}

feature fpc-cloning {
    description "An ability to support cloning in the RPC.";
}

feature fpc-basename-registry {
    description "Ability to track Base Names already provisioned on the Agent";
}

feature fpc-bundles {
    description "Ability for Client to send multiple bundles of actions to an Agent";
}

feature fpc-client-binding {
    description "Allows a FPC Client to bind a DPN to a Topology Object";
}

feature fpc-auto-binding {
    description "Allows a FPC Agent to advertise Topology Objects that could be DPNs";
}

feature instruction-bitset {
    description "Allows the expression of instructions (bit sets) over FPC.";
}

feature operation-ref-scope {
    description "Provides the scope of references in an operation. Used to optimize the Agent processing.";
}

feature policy-rpc-provisioning {
    description "Enables the ability to send policy elements (Policy Groups, Policies, Descriptors and Actions) to be sent in CONF or CONF_BUNDLE operations.";
}

typedef agent-identifier {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "Agent Identifier";
}

typedef client-identifier {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "Client Identifier";
}
grouping basename-info {
    leaf basename {
        if-feature fpc:fpc-basename-registry;
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Rules Basename";
    }
    leaf base-state {
        if-feature fpc:fpc-basename-registry;
        type string;
        description "Current State";
    }
    leaf base-checkpoint {
        if-feature fpc:fpc-basename-registry;
        type string;
        description "Checkpoint";
    }
    description "Basename Information";
}

// Top Level Structures
container tenants {
    list tenant {
        key "tenant-id";
        leaf tenant-id {
            type fpc:fpc-identity;
            description "Tenant ID";
        }
    }
    container fpc-policy {
        list policy-groups {
            key "policy-group-id";
            uses fpc:fpc-policy-group;
            description "Policy Groups";
        }
        list policies {
            key "policy-id";
            uses fpc:fpc-policy;
            description "Policies";
        }
        list descriptors {
            key descriptor-id;
            uses fpc:fpc-descriptor;
            description "Descriptors";
        }
        list actions {
            key action-id;
            uses fpc:fpc-action;
            description "Actions";
        }
    }
}
description "Policy";
}

container fpc-mobility {
  config false;
  list contexts {
    key context-id;
    uses fpc:fpc-context;
    description "Contexts";
  }
  list vports {
    key vport-id;
    uses fpc:fpc-vport;
    description "Ports";
  }
  list monitors {
    uses fpc:monitor-config;
    description "Monitors";
  }
  description "Mobility";
}

container fpc-topology {
  // Basic Agent Topology Structures
  list domains {
    key domain-id;
    uses fpc:fpc-domain;
    uses fpc:basename-info;
    description "Domains";
  }

  leaf dpn-id {
    if-feature fpc:fpc-basic-agent;
    type fpc:fpc-dpn-id;
    description "DPN ID";
  }

  leaf-list control-protocols {
    if-feature fpc:fpc-basic-agent;
    type identityref {
      base "fpc:fpc-dpn-control-protocol";
    }
    description "Control Protocols";
  }

  list dpn-groups {
    if-feature fpc:fpc-multi-dpn;
    key dpn-group-id;
    uses fpc:fpc-dpn-group;
  }
}
list domains {
  key domain-id;
  uses fpc:fpc-domain;
  uses fpc:basename-info;
  description "Domains";
} 
description "DPN Groups";
}

list dpns {
  if-feature fpc:fpc-multi-dpn;
  key dpn-id;
  uses fpc:fpc-dpn;
  description "DPNs";
} 
description "Topology";
}
description "Tenant";
}
description "Tenant List";
}

container fpc-agent-info {
  // General Agent Structures
  leaf-list supported-features {
    type string;
    description "Agent Features";
  }

  // Common Agent Info
  list supported-events {
    key event;
    leaf event {
      type identityref {
        base "fpc:event-type";
      }
      description "Event Types";
    }
    leaf event-id {
      type fpc:event-type-id;
      description "Event ID";
    }
    description "Supported Events";
  }

  list supported-error-types {
    key error-type;
    leaf error-type {
      type identityref {

base "fpc:error-type";
}
description "Error Type";
}
leaf error-type-id {
  type fpc:error-type-id;
  description "Error Type ID";
}
description "Supported Error Types";
}
description "General Agent Information";
}

// Multi-DPN Agent Structures
grouping fpc-dpn-group {
  leaf dpn-group-id {
    type fpc:fpc-dpn-group-id;
    description "DPN Group ID";
  }
  leaf data-plane-role {
    type identityref {
      base "fpc:fpc-data-plane-role";
    }
    description "Dataplane Role";
  }
  leaf access-type {
    type identityref {
      base "fpc:fpc-access-type";
    }
    description "Access Type";
  }
  leaf mobility-profile {
    type identityref {
      base "fpc:fpc-mobility-profile-type";
    }
    description "Mobility Profile";
  }
  list dpn-group-peers {
    key "remote-dpn-group-id";
    uses fpc:fpc-dpn-peer-group;
    description "Peer DPN Groups";
  }
  
  description "FPC DPN Group";
}

// RPC
// RPC Specific Structures
//Input Structures
typedef admin-status {
    type enumeration {
        enum enabled {
            value 0;
            description "enabled";
        }
        enum disabled {
            value 1;
            description "disabled";
        }
        enum virtual {
            value 2;
            description "virtual";
        }
    }
    description "Administrative Status";
}

typedef session-status {
    type enumeration {
        enum complete {
            value 0;
            description "complete";
        }
        enum incomplete {
            value 1;
            description "incomplete";
        }
        enum outdated {
            value 2;
            description "outdated";
        }
    }
    description "Session Status";
}

typedef op-delay {
    type uint32;
    description "Operation Delay (ms)";
}

typedef op-identifier {
    type uint64;
    description "Operation Identifier";
}
typedef ref-scope {
    type enumeration {
        enum none {
            value 0;
            description "no references";
        }
        enum op {
            value 1;
            description "op - All references are contained in the
            operation body (intra-op)";
        }
        enum bundle {
            value 2;
            description "bundle - All references in exist in bundle
            (inter-operation/intra-bundle).
            NOTE - If this value comes in CONFIG call it is
            equivalent to 'op'.";
        }
        enum storage {
            value 3;
            description "storage - One or more references exist outside
            of the operation and bundle. A lookup to a cache /
            storage is required.";
        }
        enum unknown {
            value 4;
            description "unknown - the location of the references are
            unknown. This is treated as a 'storage' type.";
        }
    }
    description "Search scope for references in the operation.";
}

grouping instructions {
    container instructions {
        if-feature instruction-bitset;
        choice instr-type {
            description "Instruction Value Choice";
        }
        description "Instructions";
    }
    description "Instructions Value";
}

grouping op-header {
    leaf client-id {
        type fpc:client-identifier;
        description "Client ID";
    }
}
leaf delay {
    type op-delay;
    description "Delay";
}

leaf session-state {
    type session-status;
    description "Session State";
}

leaf admin-state {
    type admin-status;
    description "Admin State";
}

leaf op-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum create {
            value 0;
            description "create";
        }
        enum update {
            value 1;
            description "update";
        }
        enum query {
            value 2;
            description "query";
        }
        enum delete {
            value 3;
            description "delete";
        }
    }
    description "Type";
}

leaf op-ref-scope {
    if-feature operation-ref-scope;
    type fpc:ref-scope;
    description "Reference Scope";
}

uses fpc:instructions;
description "Operation Header";
}

grouping clone-ref {
    leaf entity {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Clone ID";
    }
}
leaf source {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "Source";
}

description "Clone Reference";

identity command-set {
    description "protocol specific commands";
}

grouping context-operation {
    uses fpc:fpc-context;
    uses fpc:instructions;
    description "Context Operation";
}

// Output Structure

grouping payload {
    list ports {
        uses fpc:fpc-vport;
        description "Ports";
    }
    list contexts {
        uses fpc:context-operation;
        description "Contexts";
    }
    list policy-groups {
        if-feature fpc:policy-rpc-provisioning;
        key "policy-group-id";
        uses fpc:fpc-policy-group;
        description "Policy Groups";
    }
    list policies {
        if-feature fpc:policy-rpc-provisioning;
        key "policy-id";
        uses fpc:fpc-policy;
        description "Policies";
    }
    list descriptors {
        if-feature fpc:policy-rpc-provisioning;
        key descriptor-id;
        uses fpc:fpc-descriptor;
        description "Descriptors";
    }
    list actions {
        if-feature fpc:policy-rpc-provisioning;
        key action-id;
    }
}
uses fpc:fpc-action;
description "Actions";
}
description "Payload";
}

grouping op-input {
uses fpc:op-header;
leaf op-id {
    type op-identifier;
description "Operation ID";
}
choice op_body {
case create_or_update {
    list clones {
        if-feature fpc-cloning;
        key entity;
        uses fpc:clone-ref;
description "Clones";
    }
    uses fpc:payload;
description "Create/Update input";
}
case delete_or_query {
    uses fpc:targets-value;
description "Delete/Query input";
}
description "Operation Input value";
}
description "Operation Input";
}
typedef result {
type enumeration {
    enum ok {
        value 0;
description "OK";
    }
    enum err {
        value 1;
description "Error";
    }
    enum ok-notify-follows {
        value 2;
description "OK with NOTIFY following";
    }
}
description "Result Status";
identity error-type {
    description "Base Error Type";
}
identity name-already-exists {
    description "Notification that an entity of the same name already exists";
}
typedef error-type-id {
    type uint32;
    description "Integer form of the Error Type";
}
grouping op-status-value {
    leaf op-status {
        type enumeration {
            enum ok {
                value 0;
                description "OK";
            }
            enum err {
                value 1;
                description "Error";
            }
        }
        description "Operation Status";
    }
    description "Operation Status Value";
}
grouping error-info {
    leaf error-type-id {
        type fpc:error-type-id;
        description "Error ID";
    }
    leaf error-info {
        type string {
            length "1..1024";
        }
        description "Error Detail";
    }
    description "Error Information";
}
grouping result-body {
    leaf op-id {
        description "Operation ID";
    }
}
type op-identifier;
  description "Operation Identifier";
}

choice result-type {
  case err {
    uses fpc:error-info;
    description "Error Information";
  }
  case create-or-update-success {
    uses fpc:payload;
    description "Create/Update Success";
  }
  case delete_or_query-success {
    uses fpc:targets-value;
    description "Delete/Query Success";
  }
  case empty-case {
    description "Empty Case";
  }
  description "Result Value";
  description "Result Body";
}

// Common RPCs
rpc configure {
  description "CONF message";
  input {
    uses fpc:op-input;
  }
  output {
    leaf result {
      type result;
      description "Result";
    }
    uses fpc:result-body;
  }
}

rpc configure-bundles {
  if-feature fpc:fpc-bundles;
  description "CONF_BUNDLES message";
  input {
    leaf highest-op-ref-scope {
      if-feature operation-ref-scope;
      type fpc:ref-scope;
      description "Highest Op-Ref used in the input";
    }
  }
}
list bundles {
  key op-id;
  uses fpc:op-input;
  description "List of operations";
}
}
output {
  list bundles {
    key op-id;
    uses fpc:result-body;
    description "Operation Identifier";
  }
}

// Notification Messages & Structures
typedef notification-id {
  type uint32;
  description "Notification Identifier";
}
grouping notification-header {
  leaf notification-id {
    type fpc:notification-id;
    description "Notification ID";
  }
  leaf timestamp {
    type uint32;
    description "timestamp";
  }
  description "Notification Header";
}
notification config-result-notification {
  uses fpc:notification-header;
  choice value {
    case config-result {
      uses fpc:op-status-value;
      uses fpc:result-body;
      description "CONF Result";
    }
    case config-bundle-result {
      list bundles {
        uses fpc:op-status-value;
        uses fpc:result-body;
        description "Operation Results";
      }
      description "CONF_BUNDLES Result";
    }
  }
}

description "Config Result value";
}
description "CONF/CONF_BUNDLES Async Result";
}

rpc event_register {
    description "Used to register monitoring of parameters/events";
    input {
        uses fpc:monitor-config;
    }
    output {
        leaf monitor-result {
            type fpc:result;
            description "Result";
        }
        uses fpc:error-info;
    }
}

rpc event_deregister {
    description "Used to de-register monitoring of parameters/events";
    input {
        list monitors {
            uses fpc:monitor-id;
            description "Monitor ID";
        }
    }
    output {
        leaf monitor-result {
            type fpc:result;
            description "Result";
        }
        uses fpc:error-info;
    }
}

rpc probe {
    description "Probe the status of a registered monitor";
    input {
        uses fpc:targets-value;
    }
    output {
        leaf monitor-result {
            type fpc:result;
            description "Result";
        }
    }
}
notification notify {
  uses fpc:notification-header;
  choice value {
    case dpn-candidate-available {
      if-feature fpc:fpc-auto-binding;
      leaf node-id {
        type inet:uri;
        description "Topology URI";
      }
      leaf-list access-types {
        type identityref {
          base "fpc:fpc-access-type";
        }
        description "Access Types";
      }
      leaf-list mobility-profiles {
        type identityref {
          base "fpc:fpc-mobility-profile-type";
        }
        description "Mobility Profiles";
      }
      leaf-list forwarding-plane-roles {
        type identityref {
          base "fpc:fpc-data-plane-role";
        }
        description "Forwarding Plane Role";
      }
      description "DPN Candidate Availability";
    }
    case monitor-notification {
      choice monitor-notification-value {
        case monitoring-suspension {
          leaf monitoring-suspended {
            type empty;
            description "Indicates that monitoring has suspended";
          }
          leaf suspension-note {
            type string;
            description "Indicates the monitoring suspension reason";
          }
        }
        ...
      }
    }
  }
}
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case monitoring-resumption {
  leaf monitoring-resumed {
    type empty;
    description "Indicates that monitoring has resumed";
  }
}
case simple-monitor {
  uses fpc:report;
  description "Report";
}
case bulk-monitors {
  list reports {
    uses fpc:report;
    description "Reports";
  }
  description "Bulk Monitor Response";
}
description "Monitor Notification value";
}
description "Monitor Notification";
}
description "Notify Value";
}
description "Notify Message";
}

A.2.  YANG Models

A.2.1.  FPC YANG Model

This module defines the base data elements specified in this document.

This module references [RFC6991].

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dmm-fpc-base@2017-03-08.yang"
submodule ietf-dmm-fpc-base {
  belongs-to ietf-dmm-fpc {
    prefix fpc;
  }
}
import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; revision-date 2013-07-15; }
import ietf-yang-types { prefix ytypes;
  revision-date 2013-07-15; }

This module contains YANG definition for Forwarding Policy Configuration Protocol (FPCP).

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

revision 2017-03-08 {
    description "Version 06 updates.";
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-06";
}

revision 2016-08-03 {
    description "Initial Revision.";
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-05";
}

feature fpc-basic-agent {
    description "This is an agent co-located with a DPN. In this
In the case of DPN Peer Groups, the DPN ID and Control Protocols are exposed along with the core structures.

feature fpc-multi-dpn {
    description "The agent supports multiple DPNs.";
}

typedef fpc-identity {
    type union {
        type uint32;
        type string;
        type instance-identifier;
    }
    description "FPC Identity";
}

grouping target-value {
    leaf target {
        type fpc-identity;
        description "Target Identity";
    }
    description "FPC Target Value";
}

grouping targets-value {
    list targets {
        key "target";
        leaf target {
            type fpc-identity;
            description "Target Id";
        }
        leaf dpn-id {
            type fpc:fpc-dpn-id;
            description "DPN Id";
        }
    }
    description "List of Targets";
}

declaration targets-value;

// Descriptor Structure
typedef fpc-descriptor-id-type {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "Descriptor-ID";
}

identity fpc-descriptor-type {
    description "A traffic descriptor";
}
grouping fpc-descriptor-id {
    leaf descriptor-id {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Descriptor Id";
    }
    description "FPC Descriptor ID value";
}
grouping fpc-descriptor {
    uses fpc:fpc-descriptor-id;
    leaf descriptor-type {
        type identityref {
            base "fpc-descriptor-type";
        }
        mandatory true;
        description "Descriptor Type";
    }
    choice descriptor-value {
        case all-traffic {
            leaf all-traffic {
                type empty;
                description "Empty Value";
            }
        }
        description "Descriptor Value";
    }
    description "FPC Descriptor";
}

// Action Structure
typedef fpc-action-id-type {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "Action-ID";
}
identity fpc-action-type {
    description "Action Type";
}
grouping fpc-action-id {
    leaf action-id {
        type fpc:fpc-action-id-type;
        description "Action Identifier";
    }
    description "FPC Action ID";
}
grouping fpc-action {
    uses fpc:fpc-action-id;
    leaf action-type {
        type identityref {
            base "fpc-action-type";
        }
    }
}

mandatory true;
description "Action Type";
}
choice action-value {
case drop {
    leaf drop {
        type empty;
description "Empty Value";
    }
}
description "FPC Action Value";
}
description "FPC Action";
// Rule Structure
grouping fpc-rule {
    list descriptors {
        key descriptor-id;
        uses fpc:fpc-descriptor-id;
        leaf direction {
            type fpc:fpc-direction;
description "Direction";
        }
        description "Descriptors";
    }
    list actions {
        key action-id;
        leaf action-order {
            type uint32;
description "Action Execution Order";
        }
        uses fpc:fpc-action-id;
description "Actions";
    }
    description
"FPC Rule. When no actions are present the action is DROP.
When no Descriptors are empty the default is 'all traffic'.";
}
// Policy Structures
typedef fpc-policy-id {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
description "Policy Identifier";
}
grouping fpc-policy {

leaf policy-id {
  type fpc:fpc-policy-id;
  description "Policy Id";
}

list rules {
  key order;
  leaf order {
    type uint32;
    description "Rule Order";
  }
  uses fpc:fpc-rule;
  description "Rules";
}

description "FPC Policy";

// Policy Group
typedef fpc-policy-group-id {
  type fpc:fpc-identity;
  description "Policy Group Identifier";
}
grouping fpc-policy-group {
  leaf policy-group-id {
    type fpc:fpc-policy-group-id;
    description "Policy Group ID";
  }
  leaf-list policies {
    type fpc:fpc-policy-id;
    description "Policies";
  }
  description "FPC Policy Group";
}

// Mobility Structures
// Port Group
typedef fpc-vport-id {
  type fpc:fpc-identity;
  description "FPC Port Identifier";
}
grouping fpc-vport {
  leaf vport-id {
    type fpc:fpc-vport-id;
    description "Port ID";
  }
  leaf-list policy-groups {
    type fpc:fpc-policy-group-id;
    description "Policy Groups";
  }
}
typedef fpc-context-id {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "FPC Context Identifier";
}  

grouping fpc-context-profile {
    leaf tunnel-local-address {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "endpoint address of the DPN which an agent exists.";
    }
    leaf tunnel-remote-address {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "endpoint address of the DPN which agent exists.";
    }
    leaf mtu-size {
        type uint32;
        description "MTU size";
    }  
    container mobility-tunnel-parameters {
        uses fpc:mobility-info;
        description "Specifies profile specific tunnel parameters to the DPN which the agent exists. The profiles includes GTP/TEID for 3gpp profile, GRE/Key for ietf-pmip profile, or new profile if anyone will define it.";
    }
    container nexthop {
        uses fpc:fpc-nexthop;
        description "Next Hop";
    }
    container qos-profile-parameters {
        uses fpc:fpc-qos-profile;
        description "QoS Parameters";
    }
    container dpn-parameters {
        description "DPN Parameters";
    }
    list vendor-parameters {
        key "vendor-id vendor-type";
        uses fpc:vendor-attributes;
        description "Vendor Parameters";
    }
}
typedef fpc-direction {
  type enumeration {
    enum lylebe551144 {
      description "lylebe551144";
    }
    enum downlink {
      description "Downlink";
    }
    enum both {
      description "Both";
    }
  }
  description "FPC Direction";
}

grouping fpc-context {
  leaf context-id {
    type fpc:fpc-context-id;
    description "Context ID";
  }
  leaf-list vports {
    type fpc:fpc-vport-id;
    description "Vports";
  }
  leaf dpn-group {
    type fpc:fpc-dpn-group-id;
    description "DPN Group";
  }
  leaf-list delegated-ip-prefixes {
    type inet:ip-prefix;
    description "Delegated Prefix(es)";
  }
  container ul {
    if-feature fpc:fpc-basic-agent;
    uses fpc:fpc-context-profile;
    description "lylebe551144";
  }
  container dl {
    if-feature fpc:fpc-basic-agent;
    uses fpc:fpc-context-profile;
    description "Downlink";
  }
  list dpns {
    if-feature fpc:fpc-multi-dpn;
    key "dpn-id direction";
  }
}
leaf dpn-id {
    type fpc:fpc-dpn-id;
    description "DPN";
}
leaf direction {
    type fpc:fpc-direction;
    mandatory true;
    description "Direction";
}
uses fpc:fpc-context-profile;
    description "DPNs";
}
leaf parent-context {
    type fpc:fpc-context-id;
    description "Parent Context";
}
    description "FCP Context";
}

// Mobility (Tunnel) Information
grouping mobility-info {
    choice profile-parameters {
        case nothing {
            leaf none {
                type empty;
                description "Empty Value";
            }
            description "No Parameters Case";
        }
        description "Mobility Profile Parameters";
    }
    description "Mobility Information";
}

// Next Hop Structures
typedef fpc-service-path-id {
    type uint32 {
        range "0..33554431";
    }
    description "SERVICE_PATH_ID";
}
typedef fpc-mpls-label {
    type uint32 {
        range "0..1048575";
    }
    description "MPLS label";
}
identity fpc-nexthop-type {
    description "Next Hop Type";
}
identity fpc-nexthop-ip {
    base "fpc:fpc-nexthop-type";
    description "Nexthop IP";
}
identity fpc-nexthop-servicepath {
    base "fpc:fpc-nexthop-type";
    description "Nexthop Service Path";
}
identity fpc-nexthop-mac {
    base "fpc:fpc-nexthop-type";
    description "Nexthop MAC-Address";
}
identity fpc-nexthop-mpls {
    base "fpc:fpc-nexthop-type";
    description "Nexthop MPLS";
}
identity fpc-nexthop-if {
    base "fpc:fpc-nexthop-type";
    description "Nexthop If index";
}
grouping fpc-nexthop {
    leaf nexthop-type {
        type identityref {
            base "fpc:fpc-nexthop-type";
        }
        description "Nexthop Type";
    }
    choice nexthop-value {
        case ip-nexthop {
            leaf ip {
                type inet:ip-address;
                description "IP Value";
            }
            description "IP Case";
        }
        case macaddress-nexthop {
            leaf macaddress {
                type ytypes:mac-address;
                description "MAC Address Value";
            }
        }
        case servicepath-nexthop {
            leaf servicepath {
                type fpc:fpc-service-path-id;
                description "Service Path Value";
            }
        }
    }
}
case mplslabel-nexthop {
    leaf lsp {
        type fpc:fpc-mpls-label;
        description "MPLS Value";
    } 
    description "Service Path Case";
}

case if-nexthop {
    leaf if-index {
        type uint16;
        description "If (interface) Value";
    } 
    description "Service Path Case";
}

description "Value";

description "Nexthop Value";

// QoS Information
identity fpc-qos-type {
    description "Base identity from which specific uses of QoS types are derived.";
}

grouping fpc-qos-profile {
    leaf qos-type {
        type identityref {
            base fpc:fpc-qos-type;
        } 
        description "the profile type";
    } 
    choice value {
        description "QoS Value";
    } 
    description "QoS Profile";
}

// Vendor Specific Attributes
identity vendor-specific-type {
    description "Vendor Specific Attribute Type";
}

grouping vendor-attributes {
    leaf vendor-id {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Vendor ID";
    }
}

leaf vendor-type {
    type identityref {
        base "fpc:vendor-specific-type";
    }
    description "Attribute Type";
}
choice value {
    case empty-type {
        leaf empty-type {
            type empty;
            description "Empty Value";
        }
        description "Empty Case";
    }
    description "Attribute Value";
}
description "Vendor Specific Attributes";

// Topology
typedef fpc-domain-id {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "Domain Identifier";
}
grouping fpc-domain {
    leaf domain-id {
        type fpc:fpc-domain-id;
        description "Domain ID";
    }
    leaf domain-name {
        type string;
        description "Domain Name";
    }
    leaf domain-type {
        type string;
        description "Domain Type";
    }
    leaf domain-reference {
        type instance-identifier;
        description "Indicates a set of resources for the domain";
    }
    description "FPC Domain";
}
typedef fpc-dpn-id {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "DPN Identifier";
}
identity fpc-dpn-control-protocol {
    description "DPN Control Protocol";
}

grouping fpc-dpn {
    leaf dpn-id {
        type fpc:fpc-dpn-id;
        description "DPN ID";
    }
    leaf dpn-name {
        type string;
        description "DPN Name";
    }
    leaf-list dpn-groups {
        type fpc:fpc-dpn-group-id;
        description "DPN Groups";
    }
    leaf node-reference {
        type instance-identifier;
        description "DPN => Node (Topology) Mapping";
    }
    description "FPC DPN";
}

typedef fpc-dpn-group-id {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "DPN Group Identifier";
}

identity fpc-data-plane-role {
    description "Role of DPN Group in the Forwarding Plane";
}

identity fpc-access-dpn-role {
    base "fpc:fpc-data-plane-role";
    description "Access DPN Role";
}

identity fpc-anchor-dpn-role {
    base "fpc:fpc-data-plane-role";
    description "Anchor DPN Role";
}

identity fpc-access-type {
    description "Access Type of the DPN Group";
}

identity fpc-mobility-profile-type {
    description "Mobility Profile Type";
}

grouping fpc-dpn-peer-group {

}
leaf remote-dpn-group-id {
    type fpc:fpc-dpn-group-id;
    description "Remote DPN Group ID";
}

leaf remote-mobility-profile {
    type identityref {
        base "fpc:fpc-mobility-profile-type";
    }
    description "Mobility Profile";
}

leaf remote-data-plane-role {
    type identityref {
        base "fpc:fpc-data-plane-role";
    }
    description "Forwarding Plane Role";
}

leaf remote-endpoint-address {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description "Remote Endpoint Address";
}

leaf local-endpoint-address {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description "Local Endpoint Address";
}

leaf mtu-size {
    type uint32;
    description "MTU Size";
}

description "FPC DPN Peer Group";

// Events, Probes & Notifications
identity event-type {
    description "Base Event Type";
}
typedef event-type-id {
    type uint32;
    description "Event ID Type";
}

grouping monitor-id {
    leaf monitor-id {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Monitor Identifier";
    }
    description "Monitor ID";
}
identity report-type {
    description "Type of Report";
}
identity periodic-report {
    base "fpc:report-type";
    description "Periodic Report";
}
identity threshold-report {
    base "fpc:report-type";
    description "Threshold Report";
}
identity scheduled-report {
    base "fpc:report-type";
    description "Scheduled Report";
}
identity events-report {
    base "fpc:report-type";
    description "Events Report";
}

grouping report-config {
    choice event-config-value {
        case periodic-config {
            leaf period {
                type uint32;
                description "Period";
            }
            description "Periodic Config Case";
        }
        case threshold-config {
            leaf lo-thresh {
                type uint32;
                description "lo threshold";
            }
            leaf hi-thresh {
                type uint32;
                description "hi threshold";
            }
            description "Threshold Config Case";
        }
        case scheduled-config {
            leaf report-time {
                type uint32;
                description "Reporting Time";
            }
            description "Scheduled Config Case";
        }
        case events-config-ident {
            description "Events Config Case";
        }
    }
}

leaf-list event-identities {
  type identityref {
    base "fpc:event-type";
  }
  description "Event Identities";
}
description "Events Config Identities Case";

case events-config {
  leaf-list event-ids {
    type uint32;
    description "Event IDs";
  }
  description "Events Config Case";
}
description "Event Config Value";

description "Report Configuration";

grouping monitor-config {
  uses fpc:monitor-id;
  uses fpc:target-value;
  uses fpc:report-config;
  description "Monitor Configuration";
}

grouping report {
  uses fpc:monitor-config;
  choice report-value {
    leaf trigger {
      type fpc:event-type-id;
      description "Trigger Identifier";
    }
    case simple-empty {
      leaf nothing {
        type empty;
        description "Empty Value";
      }
      description "Empty Case";
    }
    case simple-val32 {
      leaf val32 {
        type uint32;
        description "Unsigned 32 bit value";
      }
      description "Simple Value Case";
    }
    description "Report Configuration";
  }
}
description "Report Value";
}  
    description "Monitor Report";
}

</CODE ENDS>

A.2.2. PMIP QoS Model

This module defines the base protocol elements specified in this document.

This module references [RFC6991] and the traffic-selector-types module defined in this document.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-pmip-qos@2016-02-10.yang"
module ietf-pmip-qos {
    yang-version 1;

    namespace

    prefix "qos-pmip";

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
        revision-date 2013-07-15;
    }

    import ietf-traffic-selector-types { prefix traffic-selectors; }  

    organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM)
    Working Group";

    contact
        "WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
        WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
        WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
                  <mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>
        WG Chair: Jouni Korhonen
                  <mailto:jouni.nospam@gmail.com>
        Editor: Satoru Matsushima
                  <mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>
        Editor: Lyle Bertz
                  <mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>";
description

"This module contains a collection of YANG definitions for
quality of service parameters used in Proxy Mobile IPv6.

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the
document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal
Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of
publication of this document. Please review these documents
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with
respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this
document must include Simplified BSD License text as described
in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided
without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License."

revision 2016-02-10 {
  description "Initial revision";
  reference
    "RFC 7222: Quality-of-Service Option for Proxy Mobile IPv6";
}

// Type Definitions

// QoS Option Field Type Definitions
typedef sr-id {
  type uint8;
  description "An 8-bit unsigned integer used for identifying
    the QoS Service Request. Its uniqueness is within
    the scope of a mobility session. The local mobility
    anchor always allocates the Service Request Identifier.
    When a new QoS Service Request is initiated by a mobile
    access gateway, the Service Request Identifier in the
    initial request message is set to a value of (0), and the local
    mobility anchor allocates a Service Request Identifier and
    includes it in the response. For any new QoS Service
    Requests initiated by a local mobility anchor, the
    Service Request Identifier is set to the allocated value."
}

typedef traffic-class {
  type inet:dscp;
  description "Traffic Class consists of a 6-bit DSCP field followed by a
    2-bit reserved field.";
  reference
}
typedef operational-code {
  type enumeration {
    enum RESPONSE {
      value 0;
      description "Response to a QoS request";
    }
    enum ALLOCATE {
      value 1;
      description "Request to allocate QoS resources";
    }
    enum DE-ALLOCATE {
      value 2;
      description "Request to de-allocate QoS resources";
    }
    enum MODIFY {
      value 3;
      description "Request to modify QoS parameters for a
previously negotiated QoS Service Request";
    }
    enum QUERY {
      value 4;
      description "Query to list the previously negotiated QoS
Service Requests that are still active";
    }
    enum NEGOTIATE {
      value 5;
      description "Response to a QoS Service Request with a
counter QoS proposal";
    }
  }
}

description
"1-octet Operational code indicates the type of QoS request. Reserved values: (6) to (255)
Currently not used. Receiver MUST ignore the option received with any value in this range.";

// QoS Attribute Types

// The enumeration value for mapping - don’t confuse with the
/ identities
typedf qos-attrubite-type-enum {
    type enumeration {
        enum Reserved {
            value 0;
            description "This value is reserved and cannot be used";
        }
        enum Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate {
            value 1;
            description "Per-Mobile-Node Aggregate Maximum Downlink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate {
            value 2;
            description "Per-Mobile-Node Aggregate Maximum Uplink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum Per-Session-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate {
            value 3;
            description "Per-Mobility-Session Aggregate Maximum Downlink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate {
            value 4;
            description "Per-Mobility-Session Aggregate Maximum Uplink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum Allocation-Retention-Priority {
            value 5;
            description "Allocation and Retention Priority.";
        }
        enum Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate {
            value 6;
            description "Aggregate Maximum Downlink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate {
            value 7;
            description "Aggregate Maximum Uplink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate {
            value 8;
            description "Guaranteed Downlink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate {
            value 9;
            description "Guaranteed Uplink Bit Rate.";
        }
        enum QoS-Traffic-Selector {

value 10;
description "QoS Traffic Selector.";
}
enum QoS-Vendor-Specific-Attribute {
    value 11;
description "QoS Vendor-Specific Attribute.";
}
description
"8-bit unsigned integer indicating the type of the QoS attribute. This specification reserves the following reserved values.
(12) to (254) - Reserved
These values are reserved for future allocation.
(255) Reserved
This value is reserved and cannot be used.";
}

// Attribute Type as Identities
// Added for convenience of inclusion and extension in
//    other YANG modules.
identity qos-attribute-type {
    description
    "Base type for Quality of Service Attributes";
}

identity Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description
    "Per-Mobile-Node Aggregate Maximum Downlink Bit Rate.";
}

identity Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description
    "Per-Mobile-Node Aggregate Maximum Uplink Bit Rate";
}

identity Per-Session-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description
    "Per-Mobility-Session Aggregate Maximum Downlink Bit Rate.";
}

identity Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description

"Per-Mobility-Session Aggregate Maximum Uplink Bit Rate."

identity Allocation-Retention-Priority-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description
    "Allocation and Retention Priority.";
}

identity Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description "Aggregate Maximum Downlink Bit Rate.";
}

identity Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description "Aggregate Maximum Uplink Bit Rate.";
}

identity Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description "Guaranteed Downlink Bit Rate.";
}

identity Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description "Guaranteed Uplink Bit Rate.";
}

identity QoS-Traffic-Selector-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description "QoS Traffic Selector.";
}

identity QoS-Vendor-Specific-Attribute-type {
    base qos-attribute-type;
    description "QoS Vendor-Specific Attribute.";
}

//value definitions
typedef Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value {
    type uint32;
    description
    "This is a 32-bit unsigned integer that
    indicates the aggregate maximum downlink bit rate that is
    requested/allocated for all the mobile node's IP flows.
    The measurement units for Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate are
    bits per second.";
}
typedef Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value {
    type uint32;
    description
    "This is a 32-bit unsigned integer that
    indicates the aggregate maximum uplink bit rate that is
    requested/allocated for the mobile node’s IP flows. The
    measurement units for Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate are bits
    per second.";
}

// Generic Structure for the uplink and downlink
grouping Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate-Value {
    leaf max-rate {
        type uint32;
        mandatory true;
        description
        "This is a 32-bit unsigned integer
        that indicates the aggregate maximum bit rate that is
        requested/allocated for all the IP flows associated with
        that mobility session. The measurement units for
        Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate are bits per second.";
    }
    leaf service-flag {
        type boolean;
        mandatory true;
        description
        "This flag is used for extending the scope of the
        target flows for Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate
        from(UL)/to(DL) the mobile node’s other mobility sessions
        sharing the same Service Identifier. 3GPP Access Point Name
        (APN) is an example of a Service Identifier, and that
        identifier is carried using the Service Selection mobility
        option [RFC5149].

        - When the (S) flag is set to a value of (1), then the
          Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate is measured as an
          aggregate across all the mobile node’s other mobility
          sessions sharing the same Service Identifier associated
          with this mobility session.

        - When the (S) flag is set to a value of (0), then the
          target flows are limited to the current mobility
          session.

        - The (S) flag MUST NOT be set to a value of (1) when there
          is no Service Identifier associated with the mobility
        "}
leaf exclude-flag {
  type boolean;
  mandatory true;
  description "This flag is used to request that the uplink/downlink flows for which the network is providing Guaranteed-Bit-Rate service be excluded from the target IP flows for which Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate is measured.

- When the (E) flag is set to a value of (1), then the request is to exclude the IP flows for which Guaranteed-UL/DL-Bit-Rate is negotiated from the flows for which Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate is measured.

- When the (E) flag is set to a value of (0), then the request is not to exclude any IP flows from the target IP flows for which Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate is measured.

- When the (S) flag and (E) flag are both set to a value of (1), then the request is to exclude all the IP flows sharing the Service Identifier associated with this mobility session from the target flows for which Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate is measured."
}

description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Value";


relative timeliness of a resource request.

Values 1 to 15 are defined, with value 1 as the highest level of priority.

Values 1 to 8 should only be assigned for services that are authorized to receive prioritized treatment within an operator domain. Values 9 to 15 may be assigned to resources that are authorized by the home network and thus applicable when a mobile node is roaming.

```
leaf preemption-capability {
    type enumeration {
        enum enabled {
            value 0;
            description "enabled";
        }
        enum disabled {
            value 1;
            description "disabled";
        }
        enum reserved1 {
            value 2;
            description "reserved1";
        }
        enum reserved2 {
            value 3;
            description "reserved2";
        }
    }
    mandatory true;
    description "This is a 2-bit unsigned integer value. It defines whether a service data flow can get resources that were already assigned to another service data flow with a lower priority level. The following values are defined:

    Enabled (0): This value indicates that the service data flow is allowed to get resources that were already assigned to another IP data flow with a lower priority level.

    Disabled (1): This value indicates that the service data flow is not allowed to get resources that were already assigned to another IP data flow with a lower priority level. The values (2) and (3) are reserved.";
}
leaf preemption-vulnerability {
    type enumeration {

enum enabled {
  value 0;
  description "enabled";
}
enum disabled {
  value 1;
  description "disabled";
}
enum reserved1 {
  value 2;
  description "reserved1";
}
enum reserved2 {
  value 3;
  description "reserved2";
}
mandatory true;
description "This is a 2-bit unsigned integer value. It defines whether a service data flow can lose the resources assigned to it in order to admit a service data flow with a higher priority level. The following values are defined:

Enabled (0): This value indicates that the resources assigned to the IP data flow can be preempted and allocated to a service data flow with a higher priority level.

Disabled (1): This value indicates that the resources assigned to the IP data flow shall not be preempted and allocated to a service data flow with a higher priority level. The values (2) and (3) are reserved.";
description "Allocation-Retention-Priority Value";
}
typedef Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value {
  type uint32;
description "This is a 32-bit unsigned integer that indicates the aggregate maximum downlink bit rate that is requested/allocated for downlink IP flows. The measurement units for Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate are bits per second.";
}
typedef Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value {
  type uint32;
}
typedef Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate-Value {
  type uint32;
  description
  "This is a 32-bit unsigned integer that indicates the guaranteed bandwidth in bits per second for downlink IP flows. The measurement units for Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate are bits per second.";
}

typedef Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate-Value {
  type uint32;
  description
  "This is a 32-bit unsigned integer that indicates the guaranteed bandwidth in bits per second for uplink IP flows. The measurement units for Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate are bits per second.";
}

grouping QoS-Vendor-Specific-Attribute-Value-Base {
  leaf vendorid {
    type uint32;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "The Vendor ID is the SMI (Structure of Management Information) Network Management Private Enterprise Code of the IANA-maintained ‘Private Enterprise Numbers’ registry.”;
    reference
  }
  leaf subtype {
    type uint8;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "An 8-bit field indicating the type of vendor-specific information carried in the option. The namespace for this sub-type is managed by the vendor identified by the Vendor ID field.”;
  }
}
description
"QoS Vendor-Specific Attribute."
}

//NOTE - We do NOT add the Status Codes or other changes in
// PMIP in this module

//Primary Structures (groupings)
grouping qosattribute {
  leaf attributetype {
    type identityref {
      base qos-attribute-type;
    } mandatory true;
    description "the attribute type";
  }
}

//All of the sub-types by constraint
choice attribute-choice {
  case per-mn-agg-max-dl-case {
    when "./attributetype = " + '"Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-type’";
    leaf per-mn-agg-max-dl {
      type qos-pmip:Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value;
      description "Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate Value";
    }
    description "Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate Case";
  }
  case per-mn-agg-max-ul-case {
    when "./attributetype = " + '"Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-type’";
    leaf per-mn-agg-max-ul {
      type qos-pmip:Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value;
      description "Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate Value";
    }
    description "Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate Case";
  }
  case per-session-agg-max-dl-case {
    when "./attributetype = " + '"Per-Session-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-type’";
    container per-session-agg-max-dl {
      uses qos-pmip:Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate-Value;
      description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Value";
    }
    description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Case";
  }
  case per-session-agg-max-ul-case {
    when "./attributetype = "

+ "Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-type’");
container per-session-agg-max-ul {
  uses qos-pmip:Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate-Value;
  description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Value"
}
  description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Case"
}
case allocation-retention-priority-case {
  when "./attributetype = "
    + "Allocation-Retention-Priority-type’");
  uses qos-pmip:Allocation-Retention-Priority-Value;
  description "Allocation-Retention-Priority Case"
}
case agg-max-dl-case {
  when "./attributetype = "
    + "Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-type’");
  leaf agg-max-dl {
    type qos-pmip:Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate Value"
  }
  description "Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate Case"
}
case agg-max-ul-case {
  when "./attributetype = "
    + "Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-type’");
  leaf agg-max-ul {
    type qos-pmip:Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate Value"
  }
  description "Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate Case"
}
case gbr-dl-case {
  when "./attributetype = ‘Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate-type’");
  leaf gbr-dl {
    type qos-pmip:Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate Value"
  }
  description "Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate Case"
}
case gbr-ul-case {
  when "./attributetype = ‘Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate-type’");
  leaf gbr-ul {
    type qos-pmip:Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate Value"
  }
  description "Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate Case"
}
when "./attributetype = 'QoS-Traffic-Selector-type'";
container traffic-selector {
    uses traffic-selectors:traffic-selector;
    description "traffic selector";
}

description "traffic selector Case";
}

description "Attribute Value";
}

description "PMIP QoS Attribute";
}


grouping qosoption {
    leaf srid {
        type sr-id;
        mandatory true;
        description "Service Request Identifier";
    }

    leaf trafficclass {
        type traffic-class;
        mandatory true;
        description "Traffic Class";
    }

    leaf operationcode {
        type operational-code;
        mandatory true;
        description "Operation Code";
    }

    list attributes {
        unique "attributetype";
        uses qosattribute;
        min-elements 1;
        description "Attributes";
    }
}

description "PMIP QoS Option";

}<CODE ENDS>

A.2.3. Traffic Selectors YANG Model

This module defines traffic selector types commonly used in Proxy Mobile IP (PMIP).

This module references [RFC6991].

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-traffic-selector-types@2016-01-14.yang"
module ietf-traffic-selector-types {
    yang-version 1;

    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-traffic-selector-types";

    prefix "traffic-selectors";

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
        revision-date 2013-07-15;
    }

    organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM)
    Working Group";

    contact "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
    <mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>
    WG Chair: Jouni Korhonen
    <mailto:jouni.nospam@gmail.com>
    Editor: Satoru Matsushima
    <mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>
    Editor: Lyle Bertz
    <mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>">

    description "This module contains a collection of YANG definitions for
    traffic selectors for flow bindings.

    Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the
document authors. All rights reserved.

    This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal
Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of
publication of this document. Please review these documents
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with
respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this
document must include Simplified BSD License text as described
in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided
without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.";
revision 2016-01-14 {
    description "Updated for IETF-PACKET-FIELDS module alignment";
    reference
        "draft-ietf-netmod-acl-model-06";
}

revision 2016-01-12 {
    description "Initial revision";
    reference
        "RFC 6088: Traffic Selectors for Flow Bindings";
}

// Identities
identity traffic-selector-format {
    description
        "The base type for Traffic-Selector Formats";
}

identity ipv4-binary-selector-format {
    base traffic-selector-format;
    description
        "IPv4 Binary Traffic Selector Format";
}

identity ipv6-binary-selector-format {
    base traffic-selector-format;
    description
        "IPv6 Binary Traffic Selector Format";
}

// Type definitions and groupings
typedef ipsec-spi {
    type uint32;
    description
        "This type defines the first 32-bit IPsec Security Parameter Index (SPI) value on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. This field is defined in [RFC4303].";
    reference
        "RFC 4303: IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)";
}

grouping traffic-selector-base {
    description "A grouping of the common leaves between the v4 and v6 Traffic Selectors";
    container ipsec-spi-range {

presence "Enables setting ipsec spi range";
description
"Inclusive range representing IPSec Security Parameter Indices to be used. When only start-spi is present, it represents a single spi.";
leaf start-spi {
type ipsec-spi;
mandatory true;
description
"This field identifies the first 32-bit IPsec SPI value, from the range of SPI values to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. This field is defined in [RFC4303].";
}
leaf end-spi {
type ipsec-spi;
must ". >= ../start-spi" {
error-message
"The end-spi must be greater than or equal to start-spi";
}
description
"If more than one contiguous SPI value needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start SPI field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start SPI field is included and has a value less than or equal to this field.

When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the SPI values between fields start-spi and end-spi, inclusive of start-spi and end-spi.";
}
}
container source-port-range {
presence "Enables setting source port range";
description
"Inclusive range representing source ports to be used. When only start-port is present, it represents a single port.";
leaf start-port {
type inet:port-number;
mandatory true;
description
"This field identifies the first 16-bit source port number, from the range of port numbers to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node
as seen by the home agent.
This is from the range of port numbers defined by IANA
(http://www.iana.org)."
}
leaf end-port {
  type inet:port-number;
  must ". >= ../start-port" {
    error-message
    "The end-port must be greater than or equal to start-port";
  }
  description
  "If more than one contiguous source port number needs to be
matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end
value of a range starting from the value of the Start
Port field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the
Start Port field is included and has a value less than
or equal to this field.

When this field is included, the receiver will match
all of the port numbers between fields start-port and
end-port, inclusive of start-port and end-port.";
}
}

container destination-port-range {
  presence "Enables setting destination port range";
  description
  "Inclusive range representing destination ports to be used.
When only start-port is present, it represents a single
port.";
  leaf start-port {
    type inet:port-number;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "This field identifies the first 16-bit destination port
number, from the range of port numbers to be matched, on
data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile
node as seen by the home agent.";
  }
  leaf end-port {
    type inet:port-number;
    must ". >= ../start-port" {
      error-message
      "The end-port must be greater than or equal to
      start-port";
    }
    description
    "If more than one contiguous destination port number needs
to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate

the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start Destination Port field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start Port field is included and has a value less than or equal to this field.

When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the port numbers between fields start-port and end-port, inclusive of start-port and end-port.

grouping ipv4-binary-traffic-selector {
  container source-address-range-v4 {
    presence "Enables setting source IPv4 address range";
    description
    "Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used. When only start-address is present, it represents a single address.";
    leaf start-address {
      type inet:ipv4-address;
      mandatory true;
      description
      "This field identifies the first source address, from the range of 32-bit IPv4 addresses to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. In other words, this is one of the addresses of the correspondent node.";
    }
    leaf end-address {
      type inet:ipv4-address;
      description
      "If more than one contiguous source address needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start Address field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start Address field is included. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the addresses between fields start-address and end-address, inclusive of start-address and end-address.";
    }
  }
  container destination-address-range-v4 {
    presence "Enables setting destination IPv4 address range";
    description
    "Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used. When only start-address is present, it represents a single address.";

leaf start-address {
  type inet:ipv4-address;
  mandatory true;
  description
  "This field identifies the first destination address, from the range of 32-bit IPv4 addresses to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. In other words, this is one of the registered home addresses of the mobile node.";
}
leaf end-address {
  type inet:ipv4-address;
  description
  "If more than one contiguous destination address needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start Destination Address field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start Address field is included. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the addresses between fields start-address and end-address, inclusive of start-address and end-address.";
}
}
container ds-range {
  presence "Enables setting dscp range";
  description
  "Inclusive range representing DiffServ Codepoints to be used. When only start-ds is present, it represents a single Codepoint.";
  leaf start-ds {
    type inet:dscp;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "This field identifies the first differential service value, from the range of differential services values to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. Note that this field is called a ‘Type of Service field’ in [RFC0791]. [RFC3260] then clarified that the field has been redefined as a 6-bit DS field with 2 bits reserved, later claimed by Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) [RFC3168]. For the purpose of this specification, the Start DS field is 8 bits long, where the 6 most significant bits indicate the DS field to be matched and the 2 least significant bits’ values MUST be ignored in any comparison.";
  }
  leaf end-ds {
    type inet:dscp;
  }
}
must "\. \>= \../start-ds" {  
  error-message  
    "The end-ds must be greater than or equal to start-ds";
}

description  
"If more than one contiguous DS value needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start DS field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start DS field is included. When this field is included, it MUST be coded the same way as defined for start-ds. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the values between fields start-ds and end-ds, inclusive of start-ds and end-ds."
}

container protocol-range {
  presence "Enables setting protocol range";
  description  
    "Inclusive range representing IP protocol(s) to be used. When only start-protocol is present, it represents a single protocol."
  leaf start-protocol {
    type uint8;
    mandatory true;
    description  
      "This field identifies the first 8-bit protocol value, from the range of protocol values to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent."
  }
  leaf end-protocol {
    type uint8;
    must "\. \>= \../start-protocol" {  
      error-message  
        "The end-protocol must be greater than or equal to start-protocol";
    }
    description  
      "If more than one contiguous protocol value needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start Protocol field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start Protocol field is included. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the values between fields start-protocol and end-protocol, inclusive of start-protocol and end-protocol."
  }
}

description "ipv4 binary traffic selector";

grouping ipv6-binary-traffic-selector {
    container source-address-range-v6 {
        presence "Enables setting source IPv6 address range";
        description "Inclusive range representing IPv6 addresses to be used.
            When only start-address is present, it represents a single address.";
        leaf start-address {
            type inet:ipv6-address;
            mandatory true;
            description "This field identifies the first source address, from the range of 128-bit IPv6 addresses to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. In other words, this is one of the addresses of the correspondent node.";
        }
        leaf end-address {
            type inet:ipv6-address;
            description "If more than one contiguous source address needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start Address field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start Address field is included. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the addresses between fields start-address and end-address, inclusive of start-address and end-address.";
        }
    }
}

container destination-address-range-v6 {
    presence "Enables setting destination IPv6 address range";
    description "Inclusive range representing IPv6 addresses to be used.
        When only start-address is present, it represents a single address.";
    leaf start-address {
        type inet:ipv6-address;
        mandatory true;
        description "This field identifies the first destination address, from the range of 128-bit IPv6 addresses to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. In other words, this is one of the registered home addresses of the mobile node.";
    }
}
leaf end-address {
  type inet:ipv6-address;
  description
  "If more than one contiguous destination address needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start Address field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start Address field is included. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the addresses between fields start-address and end-address, inclusive of start-address and end-address."
}

container flow-label-range {
  presence "Enables setting Flow Label range";
  description
  "Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used. When only start-flow-label is present, it represents a single flow label.";
  leaf start-flow-label {
    type inet:ipv6-flow-label;
    description
    "This field identifies the first flow label value, from the range of flow label values to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. According to [RFC2460], the flow label is 24 bits long. For the purpose of this specification, the sender of this option MUST prefix the flow label value with 8 bits of '0' before inserting it in the start-flow-label field. The receiver SHOULD ignore the first 8 bits of this field before using it in comparisons with flow labels in packets.";
  }
  leaf end-flow-label {
    type inet:ipv6-flow-label;
    must ". >= ../start-flow-label" {
      error-message
      "The end-flow-label must be greater than or equal to start-flow-label";
    }
    description
    "If more than one contiguous flow label value needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start Flow Label field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start Flow Label field is included. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the flow label values between fields start-flow-label and end-flow-label,";
inclusive of start-flow-label and end-flow-label. When this field is included, it MUST be coded the same way as defined for end-flow-label.

}]
}
container traffic-class-range {
presence "Enables setting the traffic class range";
description
"Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used. When only start-traffic-class is present, it represents a single traffic class."
leaf start-traffic-class {
type inet:dscp;
description
"This field identifies the first traffic class value, from the range of traffic class values to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent. This field is equivalent to the Start DS field in the IPv4 traffic selector in Figure 1. As per RFC 3260, the field is defined as a 6-bit DS field with 2 bits reserved, later claimed by Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) RFC 3168. For the purpose of this specification, the start-traffic-class field is 8 bits long, where the 6 most significant bits indicate the DS field to be matched and the 2 least significant bits' values MUST be ignored in any comparison.";
reference
"RFC 3260: New Terminology and Clarifications for Diffserv
RFC 3168: The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) to IP";
}
leaf end-traffic-class {
type inet:dscp;
must ". >= ../start-traffic-class" {
error-message
"The end-traffic-class must be greater than or equal to start-traffic-class";
}
description
"If more than one contiguous TC value needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start TC field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start TC field is included. When this field is included, it MUST be coded the same way as defined for start-traffic-class. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the values between fields start-traffic-class and end-traffic-class, inclusive of start-traffic-class and end-traffic-class.";
container next-header-range {
    presence "Enables setting Next Header range";
    description "Inclusive range representing Next Headers to be used. When only start-next-header is present, it represents a single Next Header."
    leaf start-next-header {
        type uint8;
        description "This field identifies the first 8-bit next header value, from the range of next header values to be matched, on data packets sent from a corresponding node to the mobile node as seen by the home agent."
    }
    leaf end-next-header {
        type uint8;
        must ". >= ../start-next-header" {
            error-message
            "The end-next-header must be greater than or equal to start-next-header"
        }
        description "If more than one contiguous next header value needs to be matched, then this field can be used to indicate the end value of a range starting from the value of the Start NH field. This field MUST NOT be included unless the Start next header field is included. When this field is included, the receiver will match all of the values between fields start-next-header and end-next-header, inclusive of start-next-header and end-next-header."
    }
    description "ipv6 binary traffic selector";
}

grouping traffic-selector {
    leaf ts-format {
        type identityref {
            base traffic-selector-format;
        }
        description "Traffic Selector Format";
    }
    uses traffic-selector-base {
        when "boolean(../ts-format/text() =" + "’ipv6-binary-selector-format’) |"
        + " boolean(../ts-format/text() ="
    }
+ " ipv4-binary-selector-format’");
}
uses ipv4-binary-traffic-selector {
  when "boolean(../ts-format/text() = "ipv4-binary-selector-format’");
}
uses ipv6-binary-traffic-selector {
  when "boolean(../ts-format/text() = "ipv6-binary-selector-format’");
}
description
"The traffic selector includes the parameters used to match packets for a specific flow binding."
reference
"RFC 6089: Flow Bindings in Mobile IPv6 and Network Mobility (NEMO) Basic Support";
}
grouping ts-list {
  list selectors {
    key index;
    leaf index {
      type uint64;
      description "index";
    }
    uses traffic-selector;
    description "traffic selectors";
  }
  description "traffic selector list";
}

A.2.4. FPC 3GPP Mobility YANG Model

This module defines the base protocol elements of 3GPP mobility.

This module references [RFC6991], the fpc-base, fpc-agent, ietf-traffic-selector and pmip-qos modules defined in this document.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dmm-threegpp@2017-03-08.yang"
module ietf-dmm-threegpp {
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dmm-threegpp";
  prefix threegpp;

    import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; revision-date 2013-07-15; } import ietf-dmm-fpc { prefix fpc; revision-date 2017-03-08; } import ietf-traffic-selector-types { prefix traffic-selectors;
Internet-Draft              DMM FPC Protocol                  March 2017

import ietf-pmip-qos { prefix pmipqos;
    revision-date 2016-02-10; }

organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) Working Group";

contact
"WG Web:   <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/> 
WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
<mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>

WG Chair: Jouni Korhonen
<mailto:jouni.nospam@gmail.com>

Editor: Satoru Matsushima
<mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>

Editor: Lyle Bertz
<mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>"

description
"This module contains YANG definition for 3GPP Related Mobility Structures.

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.";

revision 2017-03-08 {
    description "Version 06 updates.";
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-06";
}

revision 2016-08-03 {
    description "Initial";
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-04";
}
identity threeGPP-access-type {
    base "fpc:fpc-access-type";
    description "3GPP Access Type";
}

// Profile Type
identity threeGPP-mobility {
    base "fpc:fpc-mobility-profile-type";
    description "3GPP Mobility Profile";
}

// Tunnel Types
identity threeGPP-tunnel-type {
    description "3GPP Base Tunnel Type";
}

identity gtpv1 {
    base "threegpp:threeGPP-tunnel-type";
    description "GTP version 1 Tunnel";
}

identity gtpv2 {
    base "threegpp:threeGPP-tunnel-type";
    description "GTP version 2 Tunnel";
}

grouping teid-value {
    description "TEID value holder";
    leaf tunnel-identifier {
        type uint32;
        description "Tunnel Endpoint IDentifier (TEID)";
    }
}

grouping threeGPP-tunnel {
    description "3GPP Tunnel Definition";
    leaf tunnel-type {
        type identityref {
            base "threegpp:threeGPP-tunnel-type";
        }
        description "3GPP Tunnel Subtype";
        uses threegpp:teid-value;
    }
}

// QoS Profile
identity threeGPP-qos-profile-parameters {
    base "fpc:fpc-qos-type";
    description "3GPP QoS Profile";
}

typedef fpc-qos-class-identifier {
    type uint8 {
        range "1..9";
    }
    description "QoS Class Identifier (QCI)";
}

grouping threeGPP-QoS {
    description "3GPP QoS Attributes";
    leaf qci {
        type fpc-qos-class-identifier;
        description "QCI";
    }
    leaf gbr {
        type uint32;
        description "Guaranteed Bit Rate";
    }
    leaf mbr {
        type uint32;
        description "Maximum Bit Rate";
    }
    leaf apn-ambr {
        type uint32;
        description "Access Point Name Aggregate Max Bit Rate";
    }
    leaf ue-ambr {
        type uint32;
        description "User Equipment Aggregate Max Bit Rate";
    }
    container arp {
        uses pmipqos:Allocation-Retention-Priority-Value;
        description "Allocation Retention Priority";
    }
}

typedef ebi-type {
    type uint8 {
        range "0..15";
    }
    description "EUTRAN Bearere Identifier (EBI) Type";
}

// From 3GPP TS 24.008 version 13.5.0 Release 13
typedef component-type-enum {
    type enumeration {
        enum ipv4RemoteAddress {
            value 16;
            description "IPv4 Remote Address";
        }
        enum ipv4LocalAddress {
            value 17;
            description "IPv4 Local Address";
        }
        enum ipv6RemoteAddress {
            value 32;
            description "IPv6 Remote Address";
        }
        enum ipv6RemoteAddressPrefix {
            value 33;
            description "IPv6 Remote Address Prefix";
        }
        enum ipv6LocalAddressPrefix {
            value 35;
            description "IPv6 Local Address Prefix";
        }
        enum protocolNextHeader {
            value 48;
            description "Protocol (IPv4) or NextHeader (IPv6) value";
        }
        enum localPort {
            value 64;
            description "Local Port";
        }
        enum localPortRange {
            value 65;
            description "Local Port Range";
        }
        enum remotePort {
            value 80;
            description "Remote Port";
        }
        enum remotePortRange {
            value 81;
            description "Remote Port Range";
        }
        enum secParamIndex {
            value 96;
            description "Security Parameter Index (SPI)";
        }
        enum tosTraffClass {
            value 100;
            description "Traffic Class"
        }
    }
}
typedef packet-filter-direction {
    type enumeration {
        enum preRel7Tft {
            value 0;
            description "Pre-Release 7 TFT";
        }
        enum uplink {
            value 1;
            description "uplink";
        }
        enum downlink {
            value 2;
            description "downlink";
        }
        enum bidirectional {
            value 3;
            description "bi-directional";
        }
    }
    description "Packet Filter Direction";
}

typedef component-type-id {
    type uint8 {
        range "16 | 17 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 48 | 64 | 65 |" + " 80 | 81 | 96 | 112 | 128";
    }
    description "Specifies the Component Type";
}

grouping packet-filter {
    leaf direction {
        type threegpp:packet-filter-direction;
        description "Filter Direction";
    }
    leaf identifier {
        type uint8 {
range "1..15";
}
description "Filter Identifier";
}
leaf evaluation-precedence {
  type uint8;
  description "Evaluation Precedence";
}
list contents {
  key component-type-identifier;
  description "Filter Contents";
  leaf component-type-identifier {
    type threegpp:component-type-id;
    description "Component Type";
  }
  choice value {
    case ipv4-local {
      leaf ipv4-local {
        type inet:ipv4-address;
        description "IPv4 Local Address";
      }
    }
    case ipv6-prefix-local {
      leaf ipv6-prefix-local {
        type inet:ipv6-prefix;
        description "IPv6 Local Prefix";
      }
    }
    case ipv4-ipv6-remote {
      leaf ipv4-ipv6-remote {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "Ipv4 Ipv6 remote address";
      }
    }
    case ipv6-prefix-remote {
      leaf ipv6-prefix-remote {
        type inet:ipv6-prefix;
        description "IPv6 Remote Prefix";
      }
    }
    case next-header {
      leaf next-header {
        type uint8;
        description "Next Header";
      }
    }
    case local-port {
      leaf local-port {

type inet:port-number;
description "Local Port";
}
}

case local-port-range {
  leaf local-port-lo {
    type inet:port-number;
description "Local Port Min Value";
  }
  leaf local-port-hi {
    type inet:port-number;
description "Local Port Max Value";
  }
}

case remote-port {
  leaf remote-port {
    type inet:port-number;
description "Remote Port";
  }
}

case remote-port-range {
  leaf remote-port-lo {
    type inet:port-number;
description "Remote Port Min Value";
  }
  leaf remote-port-hi {
    type inet:port-number;
description "Remote Port Max Value";
  }
}

case ipsec-index {
  leaf ipsec-index {
    type traffic-selectors:ipsec-spi;
description "IPSec Index";
  }
}

case traffic-class {
  leaf traffic-class {
    type inet:dscp;
description "Traffic Class";
  }
}

case traffic-class-range {
  leaf traffic-class-lo {
    type inet:dscp;
description "Traffic Class Min Value";
  }
  leaf traffic-class-hi {

grouping tft {
  list packet-filters {
    key identifier;
    uses threegpp:packet-filter;
    description "List of Packet Filters";
  }
  description "Packet Filter List";
}

typedef imsi-type {
  type uint64;
  description
    "International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) Value Type";
}

typedef threegpp-instr {
  type bits {
    bit assign-ip {
      position 0;
      description "Assign IP Address/Prefix";
    }
    bit assign-fteid-ip {
      position 1;
      description "Assign FTEID-IP";
    }
    bit assign-fteid-teid {
      position 2;
      description "Assign FTEID-TEID";
    }
    bit session {
      position 3;
    }
  }
}
description "Commands apply to the Session Level";
)
bit uplink {
    position 4;
    description "Commands apply to the Uplink";
}

bit downlink {
    position 5;
    description "Commands apply to the Downlink";
}

bit assign-dpn {
    position 6;
    description "Assign DPN";
}

description "Instruction Set for 3GPP R11";
)

// Descriptors update - goes to Entities, Configure
// and Configure Bundles
augment "/fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-policy/fpc:
+ "descriptors/fpc:descriptor-value" {
    case threegpp-tft {
        uses threegpp:tft;
        description "3GPP TFT";
    }
    description "3GPP TFT Descriptor";
}

grouping threegpp-tunnel-info {
    uses threegpp:threeGPP-tunnel;
    choice tft-or-ref {
        case defined-tft {
            uses threegpp:tft;
        }
        case predefined-tft {
            leaf tft-reference {
                type fpc:fpc-identity;
                description "Pre-configured TFT";
            }
        }
        description "TFT Value";
    }
    description "3GPP TFT and Tunnel Information";
}

// Contexts Update - Contexts / UL / mob-profile
augment "/fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-mobility/fpc:"
"contexts/fpc:ul/fpc:mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:" + "profile-parameters" {  
    case threegpp-tunnel {    
        uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;  
    }  
    description "Context UL Tunnel";  
}

augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:" + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:ul/fpc:"
    + "mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:profile-parameters" {  
    case threegpp-tunnel {    
        uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;  
    }  
    description "Create Context UL Tunnel";  
}

    + "profile-parameters" {  
    case threegpp-tunnel {    
        uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;  
    }  
    description "Bundles Create Context UL Tunnel";  
}

    case threegpp-tunnel {    
        uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;  
    }  
    description "Create Context UL Tunnel Response";  
}

    case threegpp-tunnel {    
        uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;  
    }  
    description "Bundles Create Context UL Tunnel Response";  
}

// Contexts Update - Contexts / DL / mob-profile
    case threegpp-tunnel {    
        uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;  
    }  
    description "Contexts Update - Contexts / DL / mob-profile";  
}
description "Context DL Tunnel";
}

augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:" + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:" + "mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:profile-parameters"
  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
}

description "Bundles Create Context DL Tunnel";
}

  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
}

description "Bundles Create Context DL Tunnel Response";
}

  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
}

description "Bundles Create Context DL Tunnel Response";
}

// Contexts Update - Contexts / dpns / mobility-tunnel-parameters
  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
}

description "Context 3GPP TFT and Tunnel Information";
}
augment "fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:"
  "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:dpns/fpc:"
  "mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:"
  "profile-parameters"
  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
  }
description "Configure 3GPP TFT and Tunnel Information";
}
augment "fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:input/fpc:bundles/fpc:"
  "op_body/fpc:create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:"
  "dpns/fpc:mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:"
  "profile-parameters"
  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
  }
description "Configure Bundles 3GPP TFT and Tunnel Information";
}
augment "fpc:configure/fpc:output/fpc:result-type/fpc:"
  "create-or-update-success/fpc:contexts/fpc:"
  "dpns/fpc:mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:"
  "profile-parameters"
  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
  }
description "Configure 3GPP TFT and Tunnel Information Response";
}
augment "fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:output/fpc:bundles/fpc:"
  "result-type/fpc:create-or-update-success/fpc:"
  "contexts/fpc:dpns/fpc:mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:"
  "profile-parameters"
  case threegpp-tunnel {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-tunnel-info;
  }
description "Configure Bundles 3GPP TFT and Tunnel Information Response";
}

// QoS Updates - Context / UL / qosprofile
augment "fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-mobility/fpc:"
  "contexts/fpc:ul/fpc:qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value"
  case threegpp-qos {
    uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
    description "3GPP QoS Values";
  }
description "Context UL 3GPP QoS Values";
} augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:" + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:ul/fpc:" + "qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value" { case threegpp-qos {
  uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
  description "3GPP QoS Values";
} description "Configure Context UL 3GPP QoS Values";
  uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
  description "3GPP QoS Values";
} description "Configure Bundles Context UL 3GPP QoS Values";
  uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
  description "3GPP QoS Values";
} description "Configure Context UL 3GPP QoS Values Response";
  uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
  description "3GPP QoS Values";
} description "Configure Bundles Context UL 3GPP QoS Values Response";
}
  uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
  description "3GPP QoS Values";
} description "Context DL 3GPP QoS Values";
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:
+ "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:
+ "qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value" {
  case threegpp-qos {
    uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
    description "3GPP QoS Values";
  }
  description "Configure Context DL 3GPP QoS Values";
}
augment "/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:input/fpc:bundles/fpc:
+ "op_body/fpc:create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:
+ "qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value" {
  case threegpp-qos {
    uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
    description "3GPP QoS Values";
  }
  description "Configure Bundles Context DL 3GPP QoS Values";
}
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:output/fpc:result-type/fpc:
+ "create-or-update-success/fpc:contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:
+ "qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value" {
  case threegpp-qos {
    uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
    description "3GPP QoS Values";
  }
  description "Configure Context DL 3GPP QoS Values Response";
}
augment "/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:output/fpc:bundles/fpc:
+ "result-type/fpc:create-or-update-success/fpc:
+ "contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value" {
  case threegpp-qos {
    uses threegpp:threeGPP-QoS;
    description "3GPP QoS Values";
  }
  description "Configure Bundles Context DL 3GPP QoS Values Response";
}

grouping threegpp-properties {
  leaf imsi {
    type threegpp:imsi-type;
    description "IMSI";
  } leaf ebi {
    type threegpp:ebi-type;
    description "EUTRAN Bearere Identifier (EBI)";
  }
}
leaf lbi {
    type threegpp:ebi-type;
    description "Linked Bearer Identifier (LBI)";
} 

description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";

augment "/fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-mobility/fpc:contexts" {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-properties;
    description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";
} 

description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";

augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:" + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts" {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-properties;
    description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";
} 

description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";

augment "/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:input/fpc:" + "bundles/fpc:op_body/fpc:create_or_update/fpc:contexts" {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-properties;
    description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";
} 

description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";

augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:output/fpc:result-type/fpc:" + "create-or-update-success/fpc:contexts" {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-properties;
    description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";
} 

description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";

augment "/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:output/fpc:bundles/fpc:" + "result-type/fpc:create-or-update-success/fpc:contexts" {
    uses threegpp:threegpp-properties;
    description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";
} 

description "3GPP Mobility Session Properties";


grouping threegpp-commandset {
    leaf instr-3gpp-mob {
        type threegpp:threegpp-instr;
        description "3GPP Specific Command Set";
    } 
    description "3GPP Instructions";
} 

augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:instructions/fpc:" + "instr-type" {
    case instr-3gpp-mob {
        uses threegpp:threegpp-commandset;
        description "3GPP Instructions";
    } 
    description "Configure 3GPP Instructions";
}
augment "'/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:'
   + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:instructions/fpc:
   + "instr-type"{
    case instr-3gpp-mob{
      uses threegpp:threegpp-commandset;
      description "3GPP Instructions";
    }
    description "Configure 3GPP Context Instructions";
  }

augment "'/fpc:configure/fpc:output/fpc:result-type/fpc:'
   + "create-or-update-success/fpc:contexts/fpc:
   + "instructions/fpc:instr-type"{
    case instr-3gpp-mob{
      uses threegpp:threegpp-commandset;
      description "3GPP Instructions";
    }
    description "Configure 3GPP Context Instructions Response";
  }

augment "'/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:input/fpc:bundles/fpc:'
   + "instructions/fpc:instr-type"{
    case instr-3gpp-mob{
      uses threegpp:threegpp-commandset;
      description "3GPP Instructions";
    }
    description "Configure Bundles 3GPP Instructions";
  }

augment "'/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:input/fpc:bundles/fpc:'
   + "op_body/fpc:create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:
   + "instructions/fpc:instr-type"{
    case instr-3gpp-mob{
      uses threegpp:threegpp-commandset;
      description "3GPP Instructions";
    }
    description "Configure Bundles 3GPP Context Instructions";
  }

augment "'/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:output/fpc:bundles/fpc:'
   + "result-type/fpc:create-or-update-success/fpc:
   + "contexts/fpc:instructions/fpc:instr-type"{
    case instr-3gpp-mob{
      uses threegpp:threegpp-commandset;
      description "3GPP Instructions";
    }
    description "Configure Bundles 3GPP Context Instructions Response";
  }
A.2.5. FPC / PMIP Integration YANG Model

This module defines the integration between FPC and PMIP models.

This module references the fpc-base, fpc-agent, pmip-qos and traffic-selector-types module defined in this document.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dmm-fpc-pmip@2017-03-08.yang"
module ietf-dmm-fpc-pmip {
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dmm-fpc-pmip";
    prefix fpc-pmip;

    import ietf-dmm-fpc { prefix fpc; revision-date 2017-03-08; }
    import ietf-pmip-qos { prefix qos-pmip; }
    import ietf-traffic-selector-types { prefix traffic-selectors; }

    organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) Working Group";

    contact
        "WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
        WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
        WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
            <mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>
        WG Chair: Jouni Korhonen
            <mailto:jouni.nospam@gmail.com>
        Editor: Satoru Matsushima
            <mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>
        Editor: Lyle Bertz
            <mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>";

    description
        "This module contains YANG definition for Forwarding Policy Configuration Protocol (FPCP).

    Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

    This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents
Internet-Draft              DMM FPC Protocol                  March 2017

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with
respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this
document must include Simplified BSD License text as described
in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided
without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

revision 2017-03-08 {
    description "Version 06 update. Adds predefined selector.";
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-06";
}

revision 2016-01-19 {
    description "Changes based on -01 version of FPCP draft.";
    reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-01";
}

identity ietf-pmip-access-type {
    base "fpc:fpc-access-type";
    description "PMIP Access";
}

identity fpcp-qos-index-pmip {
    base "fpc:fpc-qos-type";
    description "PMIP QoS";
}

identity traffic-selector-mip6 {
    base "fpc:fpc-descriptor-type";
    description "MIP6 Traffic Selector";
}

identity ietf-pmip {
    base "fpc:fpc-mobility-profile-type";
    description "PMIP Mobility";
}

identity pmip-tunnel-type {
    description "PMIP Tunnel Type";
}

identity grev1 {
    base "fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-type";
    description "GRE v1";
}

identity grev2 {
    base "fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-type";
    description "GRE v2";
}

identity ipinip {
    base "fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-type";
    description "IP in IP";
}
grouping pmip-mobility {
  leaf type {
    type identityref {
      base "fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-type";
    }
    description "PMIP Mobility";
  }
  choice value {
    case gre {
      leaf key {
        type uint32;
        description "GRE_KEY";
      }
      description "GRE Value";
    }
    description "PMIP Mobility value";
  }
  description "PMIP Mobility Value";
}

typedef pmip-instr {
  type bits {
    bit assign-ip {
      position 0;
      description "Assign IP";
    }
    bit assign-dpn {
      position 1;
      description "Assign DPN";
    }
    bit session {
      position 2;
      description "Session Level";
    }
    bit uplink {
      position 3;
      description "Uplink";
    }
    bit downlink {
      position 4;
      description "Downlink";
    }
  }
  description "Instruction Set for PMIP";
}

// Descriptors update - goes to Entities, Configure and
// Configure Bundles
augment "/fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-policy/"
  + "fpc:descriptors/fpc:descriptor-value" {
  case pmip-selector {
    uses traffic-selectors:traffic-selector;
    description "PMIP Selector";
  }
  description "Policy Descriptor";
}

grouping pmip-tunnel-info {
  uses fpc-pmip:pmip-mobility;
  choice pmiptunnel-or-ref {
    case defined-selector {
      uses traffic-selectors:traffic-selector;
    }
    case predefined-selector {
      leaf selector-reference {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Pre-configured selector";
      }
    }
  }
  description "Traffic Selector Value";
} description "PMIP Tunnel Information";

// Contexts Update - Contexts/UL/mob-profile, Contexts/DL/
// mob-profile and Contexts/dpns/mobility-tunnel-parameters
augment "/fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-mobility/fpc:
  + "contexts/fpc:ul/fpc:mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:" + "profile-parameters" {
  case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
  }
  description "Context UL Mobility";
}
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:" + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:ul/fpc:" + "mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:" + "profile-parameters" {
  case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
  }
  description "CONF Context UL Mobility";
}
augment "/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:input/fpc:bundles/fpc:" + "op_body/fpc:create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:"
+ "ul/fpc:mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:" + "profile-parameters" {case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
}description "CONF_BUNDLES Context UL Mobility";}

case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
}
description "Context DL Mobility";
}
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:" + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:" + "mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:" + "profile-parameters" {
case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
}
description "CONF Context DL Mobility";
}
case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
}
description "CONF_BUNDLES Context DL Mobility";
}
case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
}
description "Context DPN Mobility";
}
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:" + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:dpns/fpc:" + "mobility-tunnel-parameters/fpc:" + "profile-parameters" {
case pmip-tunnel {
    uses fpc-pmip:pmip-tunnel-info;
}
    case qos-pmip {
        uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
        description "PMIP QoS Information";
    }
    description "Context DL QoS";
}

    case qos-pmip {
        uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
        description "PMIP QoS Information";
    }
    description "CONF_BUNDLES Context UL QoS";
}

    case qos-pmip {
        uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
        description "PMIP QoS Information";
    }
    description "CONF Context DL QoS";
}

// QoS Updates - Context / UL / qosprofile, Context / DL / QoS Profile
// QoS Profile Updates
    case qos-pmip {
        uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
        description "PMIP QoS Information";
    }
    description "Context UL QoS";
}

    case qos-pmip {
        uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
        description "PMIP QoS Information";
    }
    description "CONF_BUNDLES Context UL QoS";
}
description "Context DL QoS";
}
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:"
   + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:"
   + "qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value" {
   case qos-pmip {
       uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
       description "PMIP QoS Information";
   }
   description "CONF Context DL QoS";
}
augment "/fpc:configure-bundles/fpc:input/fpc:"
   + "bundles/fpc:op_body/fpc:create_or_update/fpc:"
   + "contexts/fpc:dl/fpc:qos-profile-parameters/fpc:value" {
   case qos-pmip {
       uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
       description "PMIP QoS Information";
   }
   description "CONF_BUNDLES Context DL QoS";
}
grouping pmip-commandset {
   leaf instr-pmip {
       type fpc-pmip:pmip-instr;
       description "PMIP Instructions";
   }
   description "PMIP Commandset";
}

// Instructions Update - OP BODY, Context, Port
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:instructions/fpc:"
   + "instr-type" {
   case pmip-instr {
       uses fpc-pmip:pmip-commandset;
       description "PMIP Commandset";
   }
   description "CONF Instructions";
}
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:input/fpc:op_body/fpc:"
   + "create_or_update/fpc:contexts/fpc:instructions/fpc:"
   + "instr-type" {
   case pmip-instr {
       uses fpc-pmip:pmip-commandset;
       description "PMIP Commandset";
   }
   description "CONF Context Instructions";
}
augment "/fpc:configure/fpc:output/fpc:result-type/fpc:"
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A.2.6. FPC Policy Extension YANG Model

This module defines extensions to FPC policy structures.

This module references [RFC6991], the fpc-base and fpcagent module defined in this document.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dmm-fpc-policyext@2017-03-08.yang"
module ietf-dmm-fpc-policyext {

<CODE ENDS>
prefix fpcpolicyext;

import ietf-dmm-fpc { prefix fpc; revision-date 2017-03-08; }
import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; revision-date 2013-07-15; }

organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) Working Group";

contact
  "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
  WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
  WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
    <mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>
  WG Chair: Jouni Korhonen
    <mailto:jouni.nospam@gmail.com>
  Editor: Satoru Matsushima
    <mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>
  Editor: Lyle Bertz
    <mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>"

description
  "This module contains YANG definition for Forwarding Policy Configuration Protocol (FPCP) common Policy Action and Descriptor extensions.

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.";

revision 2017-03-08 {
  description "Version 06 update."
  reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-06"
}

revision 2016-08-03 {

description "Changes based on -04 version of FPC draft.";
reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-04";
}

identity service-function {
    base "fpc:fpc-descriptor-type";
    description "Base Identifier for Service Functions.";
}

identity napt-service {
    base "service-function";
    description "NAPT Service";
}

grouping simple-nat {
    leaf outbound-nat-address {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "Outbound NAT Address";
    }
    description "Simple NAT value";
}

identity nat-service {
    base "service-function";
    description "NAT Service";
}

grouping simple-napt {
    leaf source-port {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "Source Port";
    }
    leaf outbound-napt-address {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "Outbound NAPT Address";
    }
    leaf destination-port {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "Destination Port";
    }
    description "Simple NAPT Configuration";
}

identity copy-forward {
    base "fpc:fpc-descriptor-type";
    description "Copies a packet then forwards to a specific destination";
}

grouping copy-forward {
    container destination {
        choice value {

case port-ref {
  leaf port-ref {
    type fpc:fpc-vport-id;
    description "Port";
  }
  description "Port Forward Case";
}

case context-ref {
  leaf context-ref {
    type fpc:fpc-context-id;
    description "Context";
  }
  description "Context Forward Case";
}

description "Copy Forward Value";

description "destination";

description "Copy Then Forward to Port/Context Action";
}

augment "/fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-policy/fpc:actions/fpc:" + "action-value" {
  case simple-nat {
    uses fpcpolicyext:simple-nat;
    description "Simple NAT value";
  }
  case simple-napt {
    uses fpcpolicyext:simple-napt;
    description "Simple NAPT Value";
  }
  case copy-forward {
    uses fpcpolicyext:copy-forward;
    description "Copy Forward Value";
  }
  description "Policy Actions Augmentations";
}

grouping prefix-traffic-descriptor {
  leaf destination-ip {
    type inet:ip-prefix;
    description "Rule of destination IP";
  }
  leaf source-ip {
    type inet:ip-prefix;
    description "Rule of source IP";
  }
  description
"Traffic descriptor group collects parameters to identify target traffic flow. It represents source/destination as IP prefixes;"

```yang
augment "/fpc:tenants/fpc:tenant/fpc:fpc-policy/fpc:
+ "descriptors/fpc:descriptor-value" {
  case prefix-descriptor {
    uses fpcpolicyext:prefix-traffic-descriptor;
    description "traffic descriptor value";
  }
  description "Descriptor Augments";
}

A.3. FPC YANG Data Model Structure

This section only shows the structure for FPC YANG model.

module: ietf-dmm-fpc
  +--rw tenants
    +--rw tenant* [tenant-id]
    |    +--rw tenant-id    fpc:fpc-identity
    |    +--rw fpc-policy
    |    |    +--rw policy-groups* [policy-group-id]
    |    |    |    +--rw policy-group-id    fpc:fpc-policy-group-id
    |    |    |    +--rw policies*    fpc:fpc-policy-id
    |    |    +--rw policies* [policy-id]
    |    |    |    +--rw policy-id    fpc:fpc-policy-id
    |    |    +--rw rules* [order]
    |    |    |    +--rw order    uint32
    |    |    |    +--rw descriptors* [descriptor-id]
    |    |    |    |    +--rw descriptor-id    fpc:fpc-identity
    |    |    |    |    +--rw direction?    fpc:fpc-direction
    |    |    |    +--rw actions* [action-id]
    |    |    |    |    +--rw action-order?    uint32
    |    |    |    +--rw action-id    fpc:fpc-action-id-type
    |    +--rw descriptors* [descriptor-id]
    |    |    +--rw descriptor-id    fpc:fpc-identity
    |    |    +--rw descriptor-type    identityref
    |    +--rw (descriptor-value)?
    |    |    +--:(all-traffic)
    |    |    |    +--rw all-traffic?    empty
    |    +--rw actions* [action-id]
    |    |    +--rw action-id    fpc:fpc-action-id-type
    |    +--rw action-type    identityref
    +--rw (action-value)?
```

---:(drop)
  +++rw drop? empty
---ro fpc-mobility
  ++---ro contexts* [context-id]
    +---ro context-id fpc:fpc-context-id
    +---ro vports* fpc:fpc-vport-id
    +---ro dpn-group? fpc:fpc-dpn-group-id
    +---ro delegated-ip-prefixes* inet:ip-prefix
    +---ro ul (fpc:fpc-basic-agent)?
      +---ro tunnel-local-address? inet:ip-address
      +---ro tunnel-remote-address? inet:ip-address
      +---ro mtu-size? uint32
      +---ro mobility-tunnel-parameters
        +---ro (profile-parameters)?
          +---: (nothing)
          +---ro none? empty
        +---ro nexthop
          +---ro nexthop-type? identityref
          +---ro (nexthop-value)?
            +---: (ip-nexthop)
              +---ro ip? inet:ip-address
              +---: (macaddress-nexthop)
                +---ro macaddress? ytypes:mac-address
              +---: (servicepath-nexthop)
              +---ro servicepath? fpc:fpc-service-path-id
              +---: (mplslabel-nexthop)
              +---ro lsp? fpc:fpc-mpls-label
            +---: (if-nexthop)
              +---ro if-index? uint16
          +---ro qos-profile-parameters
            +---ro qos-type? identityref
            +---ro (value)?
          +---ro dpn-parameters
          +---ro vendor-parameters* [vendor-id vendor-type]
            +---ro vendor-id fpc:fpc-identity
            +---ro vendor-type identityref
            +---ro (value)?
              +---: (empty-type)
            +---ro empty-type? empty
      +---ro dl (fpc:fpc-basic-agent)?
        +---ro tunnel-local-address? inet:ip-address
        +---ro tunnel-remote-address? inet:ip-address
        +---ro mtu-size? uint32
        +---ro mobility-tunnel-parameters
          +---ro (profile-parameters)?
            +---: (nothing)
          +---ro none? empty
        +---ro nexthop
| +--ro nexthop-type? identityref |
| +--ro (nexthop-value)? |
| | +--ro ip? inet:ip-address |
| | +--ro macaddress? ytypes:mac-address |
| | +--ro servicepath? fpc:fpc-service-path-id |
| | +--ro lsp? fpc:fpc-mpls-label |
| | +--ro if-index? uint16 |
| +--ro qos-profile-parameters |
| | +--ro qos-type? identityref |
| | +--ro (value)? |
| +--ro dpn-parameters |
| +--ro vendor-parameters* [vendor-id vendor-type] |
| | +--ro vendor-id fpc:fpc-identity |
| | +--ro vendor-type identityref |
| | +--ro (value)? |
| | | +--ro empty-type? empty |
| +--ro dpns* [dpn-id direction] {fpc:fpc-multi-dpn} |
| | +--ro dpn-id fpc:fpc-dpn-id |
| | +--ro direction fpc:fpc-direction |
| | +--ro tunnel-local-address? inet:ip-address |
| | +--ro tunnel-remote-address? inet:ip-address |
| | +--ro mtu-size? uint32 |
| +--ro mobility-tunnel-parameters |
| | +--ro (profile-parameters)? |
| | | +--ro none? empty |
| +--ro nexthop |
| | +--ro nexthop-type? identityref |
| | +--ro (nexthop-value)? |
| | | +--ro ip? inet:ip-address |
| | | +--ro macaddress? ytypes:mac-address |
| | | +--ro servicepath? fpc:fpc-service-path-id |
| | | +--ro lsp? fpc:fpc-mpls-label |
| | | +--ro if-index? uint16 |
| +--ro qos-profile-parameters |
| | +--ro qos-type? identityref |
| | +--ro (value)? |
+++ro dpn-parameters
  +++ro vendor-parameters* [vendor-id vendor-type]
      +++ro vendor-id      fpc:fpc-identity
      +++ro vendor-type    identityref
      +++ro (value)?
          +---:{empty-type}
              +++ro empty-type?   empty
      +++ro parent-context? fpc:fpc-context-id
  +++ro vports* [vport-id]
      +++ro vport-id      fpc:fpc-vport-id
      +++ro policy-groups* fpc:fpc-policy-group-id
  +++ro monitors*
      +++ro monitor-id?   fpc:fpc-identity
      +++ro target?       fpc-identity
      +++ro (event-config-value)?
          +---:(periodic-config)
              ++---ro period?     uint32
          +---:(threshold-config)
              ++---ro lo-thresh?   uint32
              ++---ro hi-thresh?   uint32
          +---:(scheduled-config)
              ++---ro report-time? uint32
          +---:(events-config-ident)
              ++---ro event-identities* identityref
          +---:(events-config)
              ++---ro event-ids*    uint32
  +++rw fpc-topology
      +++rw domains* [domain-id]
          +++rw domain-id     fpc:fpc-domain-id
          +++rw domain-name?  string
          +++rw domain-type?  string
          +++rw domain-reference? instance-identifier
          +++rw basename?     fpc:fpc-identity
              {fpc:fpc-basename-registry}?
          +++rw base-state?   string
              {fpc:fpc-basename-registry}?
          +++rw base-checkpoint? string
              {fpc:fpc-basename-registry}?
          +++rw dpn-id?       fpc:fpc-dpn-id
              {fpc:fpc-basic-agent}?
          +++rw control-protocols* identityref
              {fpc:fpc-basic-agent}?
          +++rw dpn-groups* [dpn-group-id] {fpc:fpc-multi-dpn}?
              +++rw dpn-group-id     fpc:fpc-dpn-group-id
              +++rw data-plane-role? identityref
              +++rw access-type?     identityref
              +++rw mobility-profile? identityref
          +++rw dpn-group-peers* [remote-dpn-group-id]
Figure 28: YANG FPC Agent Tree

| +---rw remote-dpn-group-id | fpc:fpc-dpn-group-id |
| +---rw remote-mobility-profile? | identityref |
| +---rw remote-data-plane-role? | identityref |
| +---rw remote-endpoint-address? | inet:ip-address |
| +---rw local-endpoint-address? | inet:ip-address |
| +---rw mtu-size? | uint32 |
| +---rw domains* [domain-id] |
| | +---rw domain-id | fpc:fpc-domain-id |
| | +---rw domain-name? | string |
| | +---rw domain-type? | string |
| | +---rw domain-reference? | instance-identifier |
| | | +---rw basename? | fpc:fpc-identity |
| | | | | +---rw base-state? | string |
| | | | | | | | +---rw base-checkpoint? | string |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw fpc-agent-info |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw supported-features* | string |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw supported-events* [event] |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw event | identityref |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw event-id? | fpc:event-type-id |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw supported-error-types* [error-type] |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw error-type | identityref |
| | | | | | | | | | +---rw error-type-id? | fpc:error-type-id |
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Abstract
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1. Introduction

This document describes Forwarding Policy Configuration (FPC), a system for managing the separation of control-plane and data-plane. FPC enables flexible mobility management using FPC client and FPC agent functions. A FPC agent exports an abstract interface representing the data-plane. To configure data-plane nodes and functions, the FPC client uses the interface to the data-plane offered by the FPC agent.

Control planes of mobility management systems, or related applications which require data-plane control, can utilize the FPC client at various levels of abstraction. FPC operations are capable of directly configuring a single Data-Plane Node (DPN), as well as multiple DPNs, as determined by the data-plane models exported by the FPC agent.

A FPC agent represents the data-plane operation according to several basic information models. A FPC agent also provides access to Monitors, which produce reports when triggered by events or FPC Client requests regarding Mobility Contexts, DPNs or the Agent.
To manage mobility sessions, the FPC client assembles applicable sets of forwarding policies from the data model, and configures them on the appropriate FPC Agent. The Agent then renders those policies into specific configurations for each DPN at which mobile nodes are attached. The specific protocols and configurations to configure a DPN from a FPC Agent are outside the scope of this document.

A DPN is a logical entity that performs data-plane operations (packet movement and management). It may represent a physical DPN unit, a sub-function of a physical DPN or a collection of physical DPNs (i.e., a "virtual DPN"). A DPN may be virtual -- it may export the FPC DPN Agent interface, but be implemented as software that controls other data-plane hardware or modules that may or may not be FPC-compliant. In this document, DPNs are specified without regard for whether the implementation is virtual or physical. DPNs are connected to provide mobility management systems such as access networks, anchors and domains. The FPC agent interface enables establishment of a topology for the forwarding plane.

When a DPN is mapped to physical data-plane equipment, the FPC client can have complete knowledge of the DPN architecture, and use that information to perform DPN selection for specific sessions. On the other hand, when a virtual DPN is mapped to a collection of physical DPNs, the FPC client cannot select a specific physical DPN because it is hidden by the abstraction; only the FPC Agent can address the specific associated physical DPNs. Network architects have the flexibility to determine which DPN-selection capabilities are performed by the FPC Agent (distributed) and which by the FPC client (centralized). In this way, overlay networks can be configured without disclosing detailed knowledge of the underlying hardware to the FPC client and applications.

The abstractions in this document are designed to support many different mobility management systems and data-plane functions. The architecture and protocol design of FPC is not tied to specific types of access technologies and mobility protocols.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Attribute Expression: The definition of a template Property. This includes setting the type, current value, default value and if the attribute is static, i.e. can no longer be changed.
Domain: One or more DPNs that form a logical partition of network resources (e.g., a data-plane network under common network administration). A FPC client (e.g., a mobility management system) may utilize a single or multiple domains.

DPN: A data-plane node (DPN) is capable of performing data-plane features. For example, DPNs may be switches or routers, regardless of whether they are realized as hardware or purely in software.

FPC Client: A FPC Client is integrated with a mobility management system or related application, enabling control over forwarding policy, mobility sessions and DPNs via a FPC Agent.

Mobility Context: A Mobility Context contains the data-plane information necessary to efficiently send and receive traffic from a mobile node. This includes policies that are created or modified during the network’s operation - in most cases, on a per-flow or per session basis. A Mobility-Context represents the mobility sessions (or flows) which are active on a mobile node. This includes associated runtime attributes, such as tunnel endpoints, tunnel identifiers, delegated prefix(es), routing information, etc. Mobility-Contexts are associated to specific DPNs. Some pre-defined Policies may apply during mobility signaling requests. The Mobility Context supplies information about the policy settings specific to a mobile node and its flows; this information is often quite dynamic.

Mobility Session: Traffic to/from a mobile node that is expected to survive reconnection events.

Monitor: A reporting mechanism for a list of events that trigger notification messages from a FPC Agent to a FPC Client.

Policy: A Policy determines the mechanisms for managing specific traffic flows or packets. Policies specify QoS, rewriting rules for
A Policy consists of one or more rules. Each rule is composed of a Descriptor and Actions. The Descriptor in a rule identifies packets (e.g., traffic flows), and the Actions apply treatments to packets that match the Descriptor in the rule. Policies can apply to Domains, DPNs, Mobile Nodes, Service-Groups, or particular Flows on a Mobile Node.

**Property:** An attribute-value pair for an instance of a FPC entity.

**Service-Group:** A set of DPN interfaces that support a specific data-plane purpose, e.g., inbound/outbound, roaming, subnetwork with common specific configuration, etc.

**Template:** A recipe for instantiating FPC entities. Template definitions are accessible (by name or by a key) in an indexed set. A Template is used to create specific instances (e.g., specific policies) by assigning appropriate values into the Template definition via Attribute Expression.

**Template Configuration** The process by which a Template is referenced (by name or by key) and Attribute Expressions are created that change the value, default value or static nature of the Attribute, if permitted. If the Template is Extensible, new attributes MAY be added.

**Tenant:** An operational entity that manages mobility management systems or applications which require data-plane functions. A Tenant defines a global namespace for all entities owned by the Tenant enabling its entities to be used by multiple FPC Clients across multiple FPC Agents.

**Topology:** The DPNs and the links between them. For example, access nodes may be assigned to a Service-Group which peers to a Service-Group of anchor nodes.
3. FPC Design Objectives and Deployment

Using FPC, mobility control-planes and applications can configure DPNs to perform various mobility management roles as described in [I-D.ietf-dmm-deployment-models]. This fulfills the requirements described in [RFC7333].

This document defines FPC Agent and FPC Client, as well as the information models that they use. The attributes defining those models serve as the protocol elements for the interface between the FPC Agent and the FPC Client.

Mobility control-plane applications integrate features offered by the FPC Client. The FPC Client connects to FPC Agent functions. The Client and the Agent communicate based on information models described in Section 4. The models allow the control-plane to configure forwarding policies on the Agent for data-plane communications with mobile nodes.

Once the Topology of DPN(s) and domains are defined on an Agent for a data plane, the DPNs in the topology are available for further configuration. The FPC Agent connects those DPNs to manage their configurations.

A FPC Agent configures and manages its DPN(s) according to forwarding policies requested and Attributes provided by the FPC Client. Configuration commands used by the FPC agent to configure its DPN node(s) may be specific to the DPN implementation; consequently the method by which the FPC Agent carries out the specific configuration for its DPN(s) is out of scope for this document. Along with the data models, the FPC Client (on behalf of control-plane and applications) requests that the Agent configures Policies prior to the time when the DPNs start forwarding data for their mobility sessions.

This architecture is illustrated in Figure 1. A FPC Agent may be implemented in a network controller that handles multiple DPNs, or (more simply) an FPC Agent may itself be integrated into a DPN.

This document does not specify a protocol for the FPC interface; it is out of scope. However, an implementation must support the FPC transactions described in Section 5.
The FPC architecture supports multi-tenancy; a FPC enabled data-plane supports tenants of multiple mobile operator networks and/or applications. It means that the FPC Client of each tenant connects to the FPC Agent and it MUST partition namespace and data for their data-planes. DPNs on the data-plane may fulfill multiple data-plane roles which are defined per session, domain and tenant.
Multi-tenancy permits the partitioning of data-plane entities as well as a common namespace requirement upon FPC Agents and Clients when they use the same Tenant for a common data-plane entity.

FPC information models often configuration to fit the specific needs for DPN management of a mobile node’s traffic. The FPC interfaces in Figure 1 are the only interfaces required to handle runtime data in a Mobility Context. The Topology and some Policy FPC models MAY be pre-configured; in that case real-time protocol exchanges are not required for them.

The information model provides an extensibility mechanism through Templates that permits specialization for the needs of a particular vendor’s equipment or future extension of the model presented in this specification.

4. FPC Mobility Information Model

The FPC information model includes the following components:

- DPN Information Model,
- Topology Information Model,
- Policy Information Model,
- Mobility-Context, and
- Monitor, as illustrated in Figure 2.

```
                +---[FPC Mobility Information Model]
                |                      +---[Topology Information Model]
                |                      |                      +---[Policy Information Model]
                |                      |                      |                      +---[Mobility-Context]
                |                      |                      |                      |                      +---[Monitor]
```

Figure 2: FPC Information Model structure

4.1. Model Notation and Conventions

The following conventions are used to describe the FPC information models.

Information model entities (e.g. DPNs, Rules, etc.) are defined in a hierarchical notation where all entities at the same hierarchical
level are located on the same left-justified vertical position sequentially. When entities are composed of sub-entities, the sub-entities appear shifted to the right, as shown in Figure 3.

```
| +-[entity2]  
  |   +-[entity2.1]  
  |     +-[entity2.2]  
```

Figure 3: Model Notation - An Example

Some entities have one or more qualifiers placed on the right hand side of the element definition in angle-brackets. Common types include:

- **List**: A collection of entities (some could be duplicated)
- **Set**: A nonempty collection of entities without duplications
- **Name**: A human-readable string
- **Key**: A unique value. We distinguish 3 types of keys:
  - **U-Key**: A key unique across all Tenants. U-Key spaces typically involve the use of registries or language specific mechanisms that guarantee universal uniqueness of values.
  - **G-Key**: A key unique within a Tenant
  - **L-Key**: A key unique within a local namespace. For example, there may exist interfaces with the same name, e.g. "if0", in two different DPNs but there can only be one "if0" within each DPN (i.e. its local Interface-Key L-Key space).

Each entity or attribute may be optional (O) or mandatory (M). Entities that are not marked as optional are mandatory.
The following example shows 3 entities:

-- Entity1 is a globally unique key, and optionally can have an associated Name
-- Entity2 is a list
-- Entity3 is a set and is optional

Figure 4

When expanding entity1 into a modeling language such as YANG it would result in two values: entity1-Key and entity1-Name.

To encourage re-use, FPC defines indexed sets of various entity Templates. Other model elements that need access to an indexed model entity contain an attribute which is always denoted as "entity-Key". When a Key attribute is encountered, the referencing model element may supply attribute values for use when the referenced entity model is instantiated. For example: Figure 5 shows 2 entities:

EntityA definition references an entityB model element.

EntityB model elements are indexed by entityB-Key.

Each EntityB model element has an entityB-Key which allows it to be uniquely identified, and a list of Attributes (or, alternatively, a Type) which specifies its form. This allows a referencing entity to create an instance by supplying entityB-Values to be inserted, in a Settings container.
Indexed sets are specified for each of the following kinds of entities:

- Domain (See Section 4.9.3)
- DPN (See Section 4.9.4)
- Policy (See Section 4.9.5)
- Rule (See Section 4.9.5)
- Descriptor (See Figure 12)
- Action (See Figure 12)
- Service-Group (See Section 4.9.2, and Mobility-Context (See Section 4.9.6)

As an example, for a Domain entity, there is a corresponding attribute denoted as "Domain-Key" whose value can be used to determine a reference to the Domain.

4.2. Templates and Attributes

In order to simplify development and maintenance of the needed policies and other objects used by FPC, the Information Models which are presented often have attributes that are not initialized with their final values. When an FPC entity is instantiated according to a template definition, specific values need to be configured for each such attribute. For instance, suppose an entity Template has an Attribute named "IPv4-Address", and also suppose that a FPC Client instantiates the entity and requests that it be installed on a DPN. An IPv4 address will be needed for the value of that Attribute before the entity can be used.
Attributes: A set of Attribute names MAY be included when defining a Template for instantiating FPC entities.

Extensible: Determines whether or not entities instantiated from the Template can be extended with new non-mandatory Attributes not originally defined for the Template. Default value is FALSE. If a Template does not explicitly specify this attribute, the default value is considered to be in effect.

Entity-State: Either Initial, PartiallyConfigured, Configured, or Active. Default value is Initial. See Section 4.6 for more information about how the Entity-State changes during the configuration steps of the Entity.

Version: Provides a version tag for the Template.

The Attributes in an Entity Template may be either mandatory or non-mandatory. Attribute values may also be associated with the attributes in the Entity Template. If supplied, the value may be either assigned with a default value that can be reconfigured later, or the value can be assigned with a static value that cannot be reconfigured later (see Section 4.3).

It is possible for a Template to provide values for all of its Attributes, so that no additional values are needed before the entity can made Active. Any instantiation from a Template MUST have at least one Attribute in order to be a useful entity unless the Template has none.

4.3. Attribute-Expressions

The syntax of the Attribute definition is formatted to make it clear. For every Attribute in the Entity Template, six possibilities are specified as follows:

'[Att-Name:]’ Mandatory Attribute is defined, but template does not provide any configured value.

'[Att-Name: Att-Value]’ Mandatory Attribute is defined, and has a statically configured value.
'\[Att-Name: ˜ Att-Value\]' Mandatory Attribute is defined, and has a default value.

'\[Att-Name\]' Non-mandatory Attribute may be included but template does not provide any configured value.

'\[Att-Name = Att-Value\]' Non-mandatory Attribute may be included and has a statically configured value.

'\[Att-Name ˜ Att-Value\]' Non-mandatory Attribute may be included and has a default value.

So, for example, a default value for a non-mandatory IPv4-Address attribute would be denoted by \[IPv4-Address = 127.0.0.1\].

After a FPC Client identifies which additional Attributes have been configured to be included in an instantiated entity, those configured Attributes MUST NOT be deleted by the FPC Agent. Similarly, any statically configured value for an entity Attribute MUST NOT be changed by the FPC Agent.

Whenever there is danger of confusion, the fully qualified Attribute name MUST be used when supplying needed Attribute Values for a structured Attribute.

4.4. Attribute Value Types

For situations in which the type of an attribute value is required, the following syntax is recommended. To declare that an attribute has data type "foo", typecast the attribute name by using the parenthesized data type (foo). So, for instance, \[(float) Max-Latency-in-ms:\] would indicate that the mandatory Attribute "Max-Latency-in-ms" requires to be configured with a floating point value before the instantiated entity could be used. Similarly, \[(float) Max-Latency-in-ms: 9.5\] would statically configure a floating point value of 9.5 to the mandatory Attribute "Max-Latency-in-ms".

4.5. Namespace and Format

The identifiers and names in FPC models which reside in the same Tenant must be unique. That uniqueness must be maintained by all Clients, Agents and DPNs that support the Tenant. The Tenant namespace uniqueness MUST be applied to all elements of the tenant model, i.e. Topology, Policy and Mobility models.

When a Policy needs to be applied to Mobility-Contexts in all Tenants on an Agent, the Agent SHOULD define that policy to be visible by all Tenants. In this case, the Agent assigns a unique identifier in the
Agent namespace and copies the values to each Tenant. This effectively creates a U-Key although only a G-Key is required within the Tenant.

The notation for identifiers can utilize any format with agreement between data-plane agent and client operators. The formats include but are not limited to Globally Unique IDentifiers (GUIDs), Universally Unique IDentifiers (UUIDs), Fully Qualified Domain Names (FQDNs), Fully Qualified Path Names (FQPNs) and Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs). The FPC model does not limit the format, which could dictate the choice of FPC protocol. Nevertheless, the identifiers which are used in a Mobility model should be considered to efficiently handle runtime parameters.

There are identifiers reserved for Protocol Operation. See Section 5.1.1.5 for details.

4.6. Configuring Attribute Values

Attributes of Information Model components such as policy templates are configured with values as part of FPC configuration operations. There may be several such configuration operations before the template instantiation is fully configured.

Entity-Status indicates when an Entity is usable within a DPN. This permits DPN design tradeoffs amongst local storage (or other resources), over the wire request size and the speed of request processing. For example, DPN designers with constrained systems MAY only house entities whose status is Active which may result in sending over all policy information with a Mobility-Context request. Storing information elements with an entity status of "PartiallyConfigured" on the DPN requires more resources but can result in smaller over the wire FPC communication and request processing efficiency.

When the FPC Client instantiates a Policy from a Template, the Policy-Status is "Initial". When the FPC Client sends the policy to a FPC Agent for installation on a DPN, the Client often will configure appropriate attribute values for the installation, and accordingly changes the Policy-Status to "PartiallyConfigured" or "Configured". The FPC Agent will also configure Domain-specific policies and DPN-specific policies on the DPN. When configured to provide particular services for mobile nodes, the FPC Agent will apply whatever service-specific policies are needed on the DPN. When a mobile node attaches to the network data-plane within the topology under the jurisdiction of a FPC Agent, the Agent may apply policies and settings as appropriate for that mobile node. Finally, when the mobile node launches new flows, or quenches existing flows, the FPC
Agent, on behalf of the FPC Client, applies or deactivates whatever policies and attribute values are appropriate for managing the flows of the mobile node. When a "Configured" policy is de-activated, Policy-Status is changed to be "Active". When an "Active" policy is activated, Policy-Status is changed to be "Configured".

Attribute values in DPN resident Policies may be configured by the FPC Agent as follows:

- Domain-Policy-Configuration: Values for Policy attributes that are required for every DPN in the domain.
- DPN-Policy-Configuration: Values for Policy attributes that are required for every policy configured on this DPN.
- Service-Group-Policy-Configuration: Values for Policy attributes that are required to carry out the intended Service of the Service Group.
- MN-Policy-Configuration: Values for Policy attributes that are required for all traffic to/from a particular mobile node.
- Service-Data-Flow-Policy-Configuration: Values for Policy attributes that are required for traffic belonging to a particular set of flows on the mobile node.

Any configuration changes MAY also supply updated values for existing default attribute values that may have been previously configured on the DPN resident policy.

Entity blocks describe the format of the policy configurations.

4.7. Entity Configuration Blocks

As described in Section 4.6, a Policy Template may be configured in several stages by configuring default or missing values for Attributes that do not already have statically configured values. A Policy-Configuration is the combination of a Policy-Key (to identify the Policy Template defining the Attributes) and the currently configured Attribute Values to be applied to the Policy Template. Policy-Configurations MAY add attributes to a Template if Extensible is True. They MAY also refine existing attributes by:

- assign new values if the Attribute is not static
- make attributes static if they were not
- make an attribute mandatory
A Policy-Configuration MUST NOT define or refine an attribute twice. More generally, an Entity-Configuration can be defined for any configurable Indexed Set to be the combination of the Entity-Key along with a set of Attribute-Expressions that supply configuration information for the entity’s Attributes. Figure 7 shows a schematic representation for such Entity Configuration Blocks.

```
[Entity Configuration Block]
    +-[Entity-Key] (M)
    |    +-[Attribute-Expression] <Set> (M)
```

Figure 7: Entity Configuration Block

This document makes use of the following kinds of Entity Configuration Blocks:

- Descriptor-Configuration
- Action-Configuration
- Rule-Configuration
- Interface-Configuration
- Service-Group-Configuration
- Domain-Policy-Configuration
- DPN-Policy-Configuration
- Policy-Configuration
- MN-Policy-Configuration
- Service-Data-Flow-Policy-Configuration

4.8. Information Model Checkpoint

The Information Model Checkpoint permits Clients and Tenants with common scopes, referred to in this specification as Checkpoint BaseNames, to track the state of provisioned information on an Agent. The Agent records the Checkpoint BaseName and Checkpoint value set by a Client. When a Client attaches to the Agent it can query to determine the amount of work that must be executed to configure the Agent to a specific BaseName / checkpoint revision.

Checkpoints are defined for the following information model components:

Service-Group

DPN Information Model

Domain Information Model

Policy Information Model

4.9. Information Model Components

4.9.1. Topology Information Model

The Topology structure specifies DPNs and the communication paths between them. A network management system can use the Topology to select the most appropriate DPN resources for handling specific session flows.

The Topology structure is illustrated in Figure 8 (for definitions see Section 2):

```
          +-[Topology Information Model]
          |       +-[Extensible: FALSE]
          |       |       +-[Service-Group]
          |       |       |       +-[DPN] <Set>
          |       |       |       +-[Domain] <Set>

Figure 8: Topology Structure
```

4.9.2. Service-Group

Service-Group-Set is collection of DPN interfaces serving some data-plane purpose including but not limited to DPN Interface selection to fulfill a Mobility-Context. Each Group contains a list of DPNs (referenced by DPN-Key) and selected interfaces (referenced by Interface-Key). The Interfaces are listed explicitly (rather than referred implicitly by its specific DPN) so that every Interface of a DPN is not required to be part of a Group. The information provided is sufficient to ensure that the Protocol, Settings (stored in the Service-Group-Configuration) and Features relevant to successful interface selection is present in the model.
Each Service-Group element contains the following information:

Service-Group-Key: A unique ID of the Service-Group.

Service-Group-Name: A human-readable display string.

Role: The role (MAG, LMA, etc.) of the device hosting the interfaces of the DPN Group.

Protocol-Set: The set of protocols supported by this interface (e.g., PMIP, S5-GTP, S5-PMIP etc.). The protocol MAY be only its name, e.g. 'gtp', but many protocols implement specific message sets, e.g. s5-pmip, s8-pmip. When the Service-Group supports specific protocol message sub-subsets the Protocol value MUST include this information.

Feature-Set: An optional set of static features which further determine the suitability of the interface to the desired operation.

Service-Group-Configuration-Set: An optional set of configurations that further determine the suitability of an interface for the specific request. For example: SequenceNumber=ON/OFF.

DPN-Key-Set: A key used to identify the DPN.

Referenced-Interface-Set: The DPN Interfaces and peer Service-Groups associated with them. Each entry contains

Interface-Key: A key that is used together with the DPN-Key, to create a key that is refers to a specific DPN interface definition.
Peer-Service-Group-Key: Enables location of the peer Service-Group for this Interface.

4.9.3. Domain Information Model

A Domain-Set represents a group of heterogeneous Topology resources typically sharing a common administrative authority. Other models, outside of the scope of this specification, provide the details for the Domain.

| +-[Domain] <G-Key>, <Name> (O) <Set>  
  |   +-[Domain-Policy-Configuration] (O) <Set>

Figure 10: Domain Information Model

Each Domain entry contains the following information:

Domain-Key: Identifies and enables reference to the Domain.

Domain-Name: A human-readable display string naming the Domain.

4.9.4. DPN Information Model

A DPN-Set contains some or all of the DPNs in the Tenant’s network. Some of the DPNs in the Set may be identical in functionality and only differ by their Key.

| +-[DPN] <G-Key>, <Name> (O) <Set>  
  |   +-[Extensible: FALSE]  
  |   +-[Interface] <L-Key> <Set>  
  |     +-[Role] <U-Key>  
  |     +-[Protocol] <Set>  
  |     +-[Interface-Configuration] <Set> (O)  
  |   +-[Domain-Key]  
  |   +-[Service-Group-Key] <Set> (O)  
  |   +-[DPN-Policy-Configuration] <List> (M)  
  |   +-[DPN-Resource-Mapping-Reference] (O)

Figure 11: DPN Information Model

Each DPN entry contains the following information:

DPN-Key: A unique Identifier of the DPN.

DPN-Name: A human-readable display string.
Domain-Key: A Key providing access to the Domain information about the Domain in which the DPN resides.

Interface-Set: The Interface-Set references all interfaces (through which data packets are received and transmitted) available on the DPN. Each Interface makes use of attribute values that are specific to that interface, for example, the MTU size. These do not affect the DPN selection of active or enabled interfaces. Interfaces contain the following information:

Role: The role (MAG, LMA, PGW, AMF, etc.) of the DPN.

Protocol (Set): The set of protocols supported by this interface (e.g., PMIP, S5-GTP, S5-PMIP etc.). The protocol MAY implement specific message sets, e.g. s5-pmip, s8-pmip. When a protocol implements such message sub-subsets the Protocol value MUST include this information.

Interface-Configuration-Set: Configurable settings that further determine the suitability of an interface for the specific request. For example: SequenceNumber=ON/OFF.

Service-Group-Set: The Service-Group-Set references all of the Service-Groups which have been configured using Interfaces hosted on this DPN. The purpose of a Service-Group is not to describe each interface of each DPN, but rather to indicate interface types for use during the DPN selection process, when a DPN with specific interface capabilities is required.

DPN-Policy-Configuration: A list of Policies that have been configured on this DPN. Some may have values for all attributes, and some may require further configuration. Each Policy-Configuration has a key to enable reference to its Policy-Template. Each Policy-Configuration also has been configured to supply missing and non-default values to the desired Attributes defined within the Policy-Template.

DPN-Resource-Mapping-Reference (O): A reference to the underlying implementation, e.g. physical node, software module, etc. that supports this DPN. Further specification of this attribute is out of scope for this document.

4.9.5. Policy Information Model

The Policy Information Model defines and identifies Rules for enforcement at DPNs. A Policy is basically a set of Rules that are to be applied to each incoming or outgoing packet at a DPN interface. Rules comprise Descriptors and a set of Actions. The Descriptors,
when evaluated, determine whether or not a set of Actions will be performed on the packet. The Policy structure is independent of a policy context.

In addition to the Policy structure, the Information Model (per Section 4.9.6) defines Mobility-Context. Each Mobility-Context may be configured with appropriate Attribute values, for example depending on the identity of a mobile node.

Traffic descriptions are defined in Descriptors, and treatments are defined separately in Actions. A Rule-Set binds Descriptors and associated Actions by reference, using Descriptor-Key and Action-Key. A Rule-Set is bound to a policy in the Policy-Set (using Policy-Key), and the Policy references the Rule definitions (using Rule-Key).

![Policy Information Model](image)

The Policy structure defines Policy-Set, Rule-Set, Descriptor-Set, and Action-Set, as follows:

**Policy-Template**: `<Set>` A set of Policy structures, indexed by Policy-Key, each of which is determined by a list of Rules referenced by their Rule-Key. Each Policy structure contains the following:

- **Policy-Key**: Identifies and enables reference to this Policy definition.
Rule-Template-Key: Enables reference to a Rule template definition.

Rule-Precedence: For each Rule identified by a Rule-Template-Key in the Policy, specifies the order in which that Rule must be applied. The lower the numerical value of Precedence, the higher the rule precedence. Rules with equal precedence MAY be executed in parallel if supported by the DPN. If this value is absent, the rules SHOULD be applied in the order in which they appear in the Policy.

Rule-Template-Set: A set of Rule Template definitions indexed by Rule-Key. Each Rule is defined by a list of Descriptors (located by Descriptor-Key) and a list of Actions (located by Action-Key) as follows:

Rule-Template-Key: Identifies and enables reference to this Rule definition.

Descriptor-Match-Type Indicates whether the evaluation of the Rule proceeds by using conditional-AND, or conditional-OR, on the list of Descriptors.

Descriptor-Configuration: References a Descriptor template definition, along with an expression which names the Attributes for this instantiation from the Descriptor-Template and also specifies whether each Attribute of the Descriptor has a default value or a statically configured value, according to the syntax specified in Section 4.2.

Direction: Indicates if a rule applies to uplink traffic, to downlink traffic, or to both uplink and downlink traffic. Applying a rule to both uplink and downlink traffic, in case of symmetric rules, eliminates the requirement for a separate entry for each direction. When not present, the direction is implied by the Descriptor’s values.

Action-Configuration: References an Action Template definition, along with an expression which names the Attributes for this instantiation from the Action-Template and also specifies whether each Attribute of the Action has a default value or a statically configured value, according to the syntax specified in Section 4.2.

Action-Order: Defines the order in which actions are executed when the associated traffic descriptor selects the packet.
Descriptor-Template-Set: A set of traffic Descriptor Templates, each of which can be evaluated on the incoming or outgoing packet, returning a TRUE or FALSE value, defined as follows:

Descriptor-Template-Key: Identifies and enables reference to this descriptor template definition.

Attribute-Expression: An expression which defines an Attribute in the Descriptor-Template and also specifies whether the Template also defines a default value or a statically configured value for the Attribute of the Descriptor has, according to the syntax specified in Section 4.2.

Descriptor-Type: Identifies the type of descriptor, e.g. an IPv6 traffic selector per [RFC6088].

Action-Template-Set: A set of Action Templates defined as follows:

Action-Template-Key: Identifies and enables reference to this action template definition.

Attribute-Expression: An expression which defines an Attribute in the Action-Template and also specifies whether the Template also defines a default value or a statically configured value for the Attribute of the Action has, according to the syntax specified in Section 4.2.

Action-Type: Identifies the type of an action for unambiguous interpretation of an Action-Value entry.

4.9.6. Mobility-Context Information Model

The Mobility-Context structure holds entries associated with a mobile node and its mobility sessions (flows). It is created on a DPN during the mobile node’s registration to manage the mobile node’s flows. Flow information is added or deleted from the Mobility-Context as needed to support new flows or to deallocate resources for flows that are deactivated. Descriptors are used to characterize the nature and resource requirement for each flow.

Termination of a Mobility-Context implies termination of all flows represented in the Mobility-Context, e.g. after deregistration of a mobile node. If any Child-Contexts are defined, they are also terminated.
The Mobility-Context Substructure holds the following entries:

- **Mobility-Context-Key:** Identifies a Mobility-Context
- **Delegating-IP-Prefix-Set:** Delegated IP Prefixes assigned to the Mobility-Context
- **Parent-Context:** If present, a Mobility Context from which the Attributes and Attribute Values of this Mobility Context are inherited.
- **Child-Context-Set:** A set of Mobility Contexts which inherit the Attributes and Attribute Values of this Mobility Context.
- **Service-Group-Key:** Service-Group(s) used during DPN assignment and re-assignment.
- **Mobile-Node:** Attributes specific to the Mobile Node. It contains the following
  - **IP-Address-Set** IP addresses assigned to the Mobile Node.
  - **MN-Policy-Configuration-Set** For each MN-Policy in the set, a key and relevant information for the Policy Attributes.
Domain-Key: Enables access to a Domain instance.

Domain-Policy-Configuration-Set: For each Domain-Policy in the set, a key and relevant information for the Policy Attributes.

DPN-Key-Set: Enables access to a DPN instance assigned to a specific role, i.e. this is a Set that uses DPN-Key and Role as a compound key to access specific set instances.

Role: Role this DPN fulfills in the Mobility-Context.

DPN-Policy-Configuration-Set: For each DPN-Policy in the set, a key and relevant information for the Policy Attributes.

ServiceDataFlow-Key-Set: Characterizes a traffic flow that has been configured (and provided resources) on the DPN to support data-plane traffic to and from the mobile device.

Service-Group-Key: Enables access to a Service-Group instance.

Interface-Key-Set: Assigns the selected interface of the DPN.

ServiceDataFlow-Policy-Configuration-Set: For each Policy in the set, a key and relevant information for the Policy Attributes.

Direction: Indicates if the reference Policy applies to uplink or downlink traffic, or to both, uplink- and downlink traffic. Applying a rule to both, uplink- and downlink traffic, in case of symmetric rules, allows omitting a separate entry for each direction. When not present the value is assumed to apply to both directions.

4.9.7. Monitor Information Model

Monitors provide a mechanism to produce reports when events occur. A Monitor will have a target that specifies what is to be watched.

The attribute/entity to be monitored places certain constraints on the configuration that can be specified. For example, a Monitor using a Threshold configuration cannot be applied to a Mobility-Context, because it does not have a threshold. Such a monitor configuration could be applied to a numeric threshold property of a Context.
Monitor-Key: Identifies the Monitor.

Target: Description of what is to be monitored. This can be a Service Data Flow, a Policy installed upon a DPN, values of a Mobility-Context, etc. The target name is the absolute information model path (separated by '/') to the attribute / entity to be monitored.

Deferrable: Indicates that a monitoring report can be delayed up to a defined maximum delay, set in the Agent, for possible bundling with other reports.

Configuration: Determined by the Monitor subtype. The monitor report is specified by the Configuration. Four report types are defined:

* "Periodic" reporting specifies an interval by which a notification is sent.

* "Event-List" reporting specifies a list of event types that, if they occur and are related to the monitored attribute, will result in sending a notification.

* "Scheduled" reporting specifies the time (in seconds since Jan 1, 1970) when a notification for the monitor should be sent. Once this Monitor's notification is completed the Monitor is automatically de-registered.

* "Threshold" reporting specifies one or both of a low and high threshold. When these values are crossed a corresponding notification is sent.

5. Protocol

5.1. Protocol Messages and Semantics

Four Client to Agent messages are supported.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Configure</td>
<td>A Configure message includes multiple edits to one or more information model entities. Edits are executed according to their Edit-Id in ascending order. The global status of the operation and the status of individual edits are returned. Partial failures, i.e. individual edit failures, are allowed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register-Monitors</td>
<td>Register monitors at an Agent. The message includes the Monitor information as specified in Section 4.9.7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deregister-Monitors</td>
<td>Deregister monitors from an Agent. An optional boolean, Send-Data, indicates if a successful deregistration triggers a Notify with final data from the Agent for the corresponding Monitor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>Probe the status of registered monitors. This triggers a Notify with current data from the Agent for the corresponding Monitors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Client to Agent Messages

Each message contains a header with the following information:

Client Identifier: An Identifier used by the Agent to associate specific configuration characteristics, e.g. options used by the Client when communicating with the Agent, the association of the Client and tenant in the information model as well as tracking operations and notifications.

Delay: An optional time (in ms) to delay the execution of the operation on the DPN once it is received by the Agent.

Operation Identifier: A unique identifier created by the Client to correlate responses and notifications.

An Agent will respond with an ERROR, indicating one or more Errors have occurred, or an OK.

For Configure messages, an OK status for an edit MAY include subsequent edits in the response that were required to properly execute the edit. It MAY also indicate that the final status and any final edits required to fulfill the request will be sent via a
Configure Result Notification from the Agent to the Client, see Section 5.1.1.4.2.

If errors occur, they MUST be returned as a list in responses and each Error contains the following information:

- **Error-type**: The specific error type. Values are TRANSPORT (0), RPC (1), PROTOCOL(2) or APPLICATION (3).
- **Error-Tag**: An error tag.
- **Error-App-Tag**: Application specific error tag.
- **Error-Message**: A message describing the error.
- **Error-Info**: Any data required for the response.

```
+-[Errors] <List>
  |   +-[ (Enumeration) Error-Type ]
  |   +-[ (String) Error-Tag ]
  |   +-[ (String) Error-App-Tag ] (O)
  |   +-[ (String) Error-Message ] (O)
  |   +-[ Error-Info ] (O)
```

Figure 15: Error Information Model

Two Agent to Client notifications are supported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Configure-Result-Notification</td>
<td>An asynchronous notification from Agent to Client based upon a previous Configure request.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notify</td>
<td>An asynchronous notification from Agent to Client based upon a registered Monitor’s configuration, a Monitor deregistration or Probe.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Agent to Client Messages (notifications)
5.1.1. Configure Message

The Configure message follows edit formats proposed by [RFC8072] with more fields in each edit, an extra operation (clone) and a different response format.

5.1.1.1. Edit Operation Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>create</td>
<td>Creates a new data resource or Entity. If the resource exists an error is returned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>Deletes a resource. If it does not exist an error is returned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>Inserts data in a list or user ordered list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>merge</td>
<td>Merges the edit value with the target data resource; the resource is created if it does not exist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>move</td>
<td>Moves the target data resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>replace</td>
<td>Replace the target data resource with the edit value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remove</td>
<td>Removes a data resource if it already exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clone</td>
<td>Clones a data resource and places the copy at the new location. If the resource does not exist an error is returned.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Configure Edit Operations

5.1.1.2. Edit Operation

Each Configure includes one or more edits. These edits include the following information:

Edit-Id: Uniquely specifies the identifier of the edit within the operation.

Edit-Type: Specifies the type of operation (see Section 5.1.1.1).

Command-Set: The Command-Set is a technology-specific bitset that allows for a single entity to be sent in an edit with multiple requested, technology specific sub-transactions to be completed. It can also provide clarity for a request. For example, a Mobility-Context could have the Home Network Prefix absent but it is unclear if the Client would like the address to be assigned by the Agent or if this is an error. Rather than creating a specific command for assigning the IP, a bit position in a Command-Set can be used to indicate Agent based IP assignment requests.
Reference-Scope: If supported, specifies the Reference Scope (see Section 5.1.1.3)

Target: Specifies the Target node (Data node path or FPC Identity) for the edit operation. This MAY be a resource, e.g. Mobility-Context, Descriptor-Template, etc., or a data node within a resource as specified by its path.

Point: The absolute URL path for the data node that is being used as the insertion point, clone point or move point for the target of this ‘edit’ entry.

Where: Identifies where a data resource will be inserted, cloned to or moved. Only allowed these for lists and lists of data nodes that are ‘ordered-by user’. The values are ‘before’, ‘after’, ‘first’, ‘last’ (default value).

Value: The value used for this edit operation. In this message it MUST NOT be a MONITOR entity.

```
+-[Configure]
  +-[Client-Id:]
  +-[(Unsigned 32) Execution-Delay]
  +-[Operation-Id:]
  +-[Edit:] <List>
    +-[Edit-Id:] <L-Key>
      +-[(Enumeration) Edit-Type:]
      +-[(BitSet) Command-Set]
      +-[(Enumeration) Reference-Scope]
      +-[Target:]
      +-[Point]
      +-[(Enumeration) Where]
      +-[Value]
```

Figure 16: Configure Request

Edits sent to the Agent provided in an operation SHOULD be sent in the following order to avoid errors:

1. Action Templates
2. Descriptor Templates
3. Rule Templates
4. Policy Templates
5. DPN Templates

6. Mobility Contexts

5.1.1.3. Reference Scope

The Reference Scope is an optional feature that provides the scope of references used in a configuration command. These scopes are defined as:

- **none**: All edits have no references to other entities or within edits.
- **edit**: All references are contained within each edit body (intra-edit/intra-operation).
- **operation**: All references exist in the operation (inter-edit/intra-operation).
- **storage**: One or more references exist outside of the operation. A lookup to cache / storage is required.
- **unknown**: The location of the references are unknown. This is treated as a ‘storage’ type.

An Agent that only accepts ‘edit’ or ‘operation’ reference scope messages is referred to as ‘stateless’ as it has no direct memory of references outside messages themselves. This permits low memory footprint Agents/DPNs. Even when an Agent supports all message types an ‘edit’ or ‘operation’ scoped message can be processed quickly by the Agent/DPN as it does not require storage access.

Figure 17 shows an example containment hierarchy provided for all caches.
5.1.1.4. Operation Response

5.1.1.4.1. Immediate Response

The Response MUST include the following:

- Operation Identifier of the corresponding request.
- Global Status for the operation (see Table 1).
- A list of Edit results (described below).

An edit response, Edit-Status, is comprised of the following:

- Edit-Id: Edit Identifier.
- Edit-Status: OK.

When the Edit-Status is OK the following values MAY be present

- Notify-Follows - A boolean indicator that the edit has been accepted by the Agent but further processing is required. A Configure-Result-Notification will be sent once the processing has succeeded or failed.
Subsequent-Edits-List: This is a list of Edits that were required to fulfill the request. It follows the edit request semantics (see Section 5.1.1.2).

Errors-List: When the Edit-Status is ERROR the following values are present. See Table 1 for details.

The response will minimally contain an Edit-Status implying ‘OK’ or a list of errors.

```
+-[Operation-Id:]
+-[Result-Status:]
+-[Errors] <List>
  +-[(Enumeration) Error-Type:]
  +-[(String) Error-Tag:]
  +-[(String) Error-App-Tag]
  +-[(String) Error-Message]
  +-[Error-Info]
+-[Edit-Status]
  +-[Edit-Id:]
  +-[Edit-Status: "OK"]
  +-[Notify-Follows]
  +-[Subsequent-Edits] <List>
    +-[Edit-Id:] <L-Key>
      +-[(Enumeration) Edit-Type:]
      +-[Target:]
      +-[Point]
      +-[(Enumeration) Where]
      +-[Value]
    +-[Errors] <List>
      +-[(Enumeration) Error-Type:]
      +-[(String) Error-Tag:]
      +-[(String) Error-App-Tag]
      +-[(String) Error-Message]
      +-[Error-Info]
```

Figure 18: Configure Operation Response

5.1.1.4.2. Asynchronous Notification

A Configure-Result-Notification occurs after the Agent has completed processing related to a Configure request. It is an asynchronous communication from the Agent to the Client.
5.1.1.5. Reserved Identities

Several identities are reserved in the Policy Information Model and Mobility-Context to facilitate specific use cases.

Agents and tenants express their support for descriptors and actions using the following key patterns:

- `supported-<descriptor template name>` indicates a support for the descriptor template as defined in its original specification. For example, "supported-rfc5777classifier" is a Descriptor Template that conforms to the rfc5777-classifier (Figure 31) as defined in this document.

- `supported-<action template name>` indicates a support for the action template as defined in its original specification.

- "base-rule" is comprised of all base descriptors using an 'or' Descriptor-Match-Type and all Actions in no specific order.

- "base-template" is comprised of the base rule.

"base-template" can be used to determine supported Action and Descriptor Templates. It can also be used to support an open template where any specific Descriptors and Actions can be applied, however, depending upon the Order of Actions it is likely to produce undesirable results.

One use case is supported via reservation of specific DPN-Keys:

Requested policies are those that the Client would like to be assigned to a DPN within a Mobility-Context. The naming convention is similar to those used for DPN Assignment via an Agent.

- "Requested" is a Key that represents requested policies which have not been assigned to a specific DPN. No Role is assigned to the DPN.

- "Requested-<Role>" represents requested policies that have not been assigned to a DPN and can only be assigned to DPNs that fulfill the specified Role.
It is possible to have policies in the "Requested" DPN that do not appear in other entries which reflects the inability to successfully assign the policy.

5.1.2. Monitor Messages

An Agent may reject a registration if it or the DPN has insufficient resources.

An Agent or DPN MAY temporarily suspend monitoring if insufficient resources exist. In such a case the Agent MUST notify the Client.

When a monitor has a reporting configuration of SCHEDULED it is automatically de-registered after the last Notify occurs.

If a SCHEDULED or PERIODIC configuration is provided during registration with the time related value (time or period respectively) of 0 a Notify is sent and the monitor is immediately de-registered. This method should, when a Monitor has not been installed, result in an immediate Notify sufficient for the Client’s needs and lets the Agent realize the Client has no further need for the monitor to be registered.

Probe messages are used by a Client to retrieve information about a previously installed monitor. The Probe message SHOULD identify one or more monitors by means of including the associated monitor identifier. An Agent receiving a Probe message sends the requested information in a single or multiple Notify messages.

If the Monitor configuration associated with a Notify can be deferred, then the Notify MAY be bundled with other messages back to the Agent even if this results in a delay of the Notify.

The Monitor messages use the following data:

Monitor-Key: Monitor Key.

Monitor: A Monitor configuration (see Section 4.9.7).

Send-Data: An indicator that specifies that the final value MUST be sent as a notification from the Agent.
Figure 19: Monitor Messages

5.1.2.1. Asynchronous Notification

A Monitor Report can be sent as part of de-registration, a trigger based upon a Monitor Configuration or a Probe. A Report is comprised of the Monitor Key the report applies to, the Trigger for the report, a timestamp of when the report’s associated event occurs and data, Report-Value, that is specific to the monitored value’s type.

Triggers include but are not limited to

- Subscribed Event occurred
- Low Threshold Crossed
- High Threshold Crossed
- Periodic Report
Multiple Reports are sent in a Notify message. Each Notify is comprised of unique Notification Identifier from the Agent and timestamp indicating when the notification was created.

```
+-[ Notify ]
  +-[Unsigned 32) Notification-Identifier:]
  +-[Timestamp:]
  +-[Report:] <List>
    |  +-[Monitor-Key:]
    |    +-[Report-Value]
```

Figure 20: Monitor Messages

5.2. Protocol Operation

Please note that JSON is used to represent the information in Figures in this section but any over the wire representation that accurately reflects the information model MAY be used.

5.2.1. DPN Selection

In order to assign a DPN to a Mobility Context, the Client or Agent requires topology information. The Service-Group provides information, e.g. function, role, protocol, features and configuration, to determine suitable DPN interfaces.

Consider a Client attempting to select DPN interfaces that are served by a single Agent. In this example interfaces are present with different protocols, settings and features as shown in the following figure.

"topology-information-model" : {
"dpn" : [ {
  "dpn-key" : "dpn1",
  "interface" : [ {
    "interface-key" : "ifc1",
    "role" : "lma",
    "protocol" : [ "pmip" ],
    "interface-configuration" : [ {
      "index" : 0,
      "setting" : [ "optionA" : "OFF" ]
    } ]
  }, {
    "interface-key" : "ifc2",
    "role" : "lma",
    "protocol" : [ "pmip" ],
    "interface-configuration" : [ {
      "index" : 0,
      "setting" : [ "optionC" : "OFF" ]
    } ]
  }, {
    "interface-key" : "ifc2-b",
    "role" : "mag",
    "protocol" : [ "pmip" ]
  } ]
}, {
  "dpn-key" : "dpn2",
  "interface" : [ {
    "interface-key" : "ifc1",
    "role" : "mag",
    "protocol" : [ "pmip" ],
    "interface-configuration" : [ {
      "index" : 0,
      "settings" : [ "optionA" : "OFF", "optionB" : "ON" ]
    } ]
  } ]
}, {
  "service-group" : [ {
    "service-group-key" : "group1",
    "service-group-name" : "Anchors-OptionA-OFF",
    "role-key" : "lma",
    "protocol" : [ "pmip" ],
    "service-group-configuration" : [ {
      "index" : 0,
      "setting" : [ "optionA" : "OFF" ]
    } ]
  } ]
} ]}
NOTE - A Setting is, in this example, a list of string attributes in a Configuration.

Two DPNs are present. The first, dpn1, has 3 interfaces. Two support the LMA role and both have settings. The third supports the MAG function. The second DPN, dpn2, provides a single interface with the MAG function.

Three ServiceGroups are presented. The first provides the PMIP protocol and LMA role. It also has a setting, OptionA, that is OFF and only contains ifc1 from dpn1.

The second group is comprised of interfaces that support the PMIP protocol and LMA function. It only contains ifc2 from dpn1. An interface that has setting(s) or feature(s) that must appear in a ServiceGroup SHOULD NOT appear in ServiceGroups that do not have those setting(s) or feature(s) present. Thus, ifc1 of dpn1 should not be present in this second Service-Group.

A third group is comprised of interfaces that support the MAG function of the LMA protocol. It contains the MAG interfaces form both dpn1 and dpn2.
Given the task to find a LMA that supports the PMIP protocol the
Client can determine that dpn1 is its only option and, depending on
its requirement of OptionA, can appropriately determine which
interface to select.

5.2.2. Policy Creation and Installation

A Policy must be installed upon an Agent in order to install policies
on the selected dpn(s). This requires construction of the Action(s),
Descriptor(s) and Rule(s) used by the Policy.

The CONFIGURE message permits editing all information elements except
monitors. The following figure shows use of a CONFIGURE message to
install policy information on the Agent.

```
+-------Router--------+
|                    |
+--------+ +--------+|
MAG-C1 | MAG-C2 | FPC    |
|        |        | LMA-C  |
|        |        | Client |
+--------+ +--------+ FPC   |
|        |        | Agent  |
+--------+ +--------+ DPN   |

|---(1)--Configure------>
"configure" : {
  "client-id" : 0,
  "operation-id" : 0,
  "edit" : [ {
    "edit-id" : 0,
    "edit-type" : "create",
    "target" : "/policy-information-model/
      /descriptor-template",
    "value" : {
      "descriptor-template-key" : "desc1",
      "descriptor-type" : "all-traffic" }
  }, {
    "edit-id" : 1,
    "edit-type" : "create",
    "target" : "/policy-information-model/
      /action-template",
    "value" : {
      "action-template-key" : "action1",
      "action-type" : "drop" }
  }, {
    "edit-id" : 2,
    "edit-type" : "create",
    "target" : "/policy-information-model/
      /rule-template",
    "value" : {
      "rule-template-key" : "deny-all",
      "descriptor-match-type" : "and",
```
"descriptor-configuration" : [{
  "descriptor-template-key" : "all" },
  "action-configuration" : [{
    "action-template-key" : "deny",
    "action-order" : 0 }]
}, {
  "edit-id" : 3,
  "edit-type" : "create",
  "target" : "/policy-information-model/policy-template",
  "value" : {
    "policy-template-key" : "policy1",
    "entity-state" : "configured",
    "rule-template" : [{
      "rule-template-key" : "deny-all",
      "precedence" : 0 }]
  }
} ]

<---(2)- Response --------
{
  "agent-id" : "agent1",
  "operation-id" : 0,
  "result-status" : "ok"
}

Figure 22: Example Policy Installation (focus on FPC reference point)

In this example a Descriptor "all-traffic" Template and an Action, "drop", Template are both empty Templates. The "deny-all" Rule Template is comprised of the action and descriptor. The Rule is included in "policy1". The policy’s status is "Configured" as it is a complete policy ready for immediate use. The policy could be set as "Active" if the Client intends to use it upon immediate installation in a DPN.

Installation of the policy on dpn1 is shown in the following Figure. The Policy-Status is set to "Active" to make it immediately usable. Leaving the status as Configured would permit its installation on the DPN without an ability to use it in a Mobility Context. Such a use case is often referred to as policy pre-configuration.
This message uses an edit type of "create" to add the policy template directly to the installed DPN policy set.

5.2.3. Simple RPC Operation

A Client and Agent MUST identify themselves using the Client Identifier and Agent Identifier respectively to ensure that, for all transactions, a recipient of a FPC message can unambiguously identify the sender of the FPC message.

A Client MAY direct the Agent to enforce a rule in a particular DPN by including a DPN Key value in a Mobility Context. Otherwise the Agent selects a suitable DPN to enforce one or more portions of a Mobility Context and notifies the Client about the selected DPN(s) using DPN Identifier(s).

All messages sent from a Client to an Agent MUST be acknowledged by the Agent. The response must include all edit status as well as subsequent edits, which indicates the result of processing the message, as part of the Configure response. In case the processing of the message results in a failure, the Agent sets the global
status, Error-Type and Error-Tag accordingly and MAY clear the entity, e.g. Mobility-Context, which caused the failure, in the response.

If based upon Agent configuration or the processing of the request possibly taking a significant amount of time the Agent MAY respond with a Notify-Follows indication with optional Subsequent-Edit(s) containing the partially completed entity modifications. When a Notify-Follows indication is sent in a response, the Agent will, upon completion or failure of the operation, respond with an asynchronous Configuration-Result-Notification to the Client.

A Client MAY add a property to a Mobility-Context without providing all required details of the attribute’s value. In such case the Agent SHOULD determine the missing details and provide the completed property description, via Subsequent-Edit(s), back to the Client. If the processing will take too long or based upon Agent configuration, the Agent MAY respond with an OK for the Edit that indicates a Notify-Follows and also includes Subsequent-Edit(s) containing the partially completed entity edits.

In case the Agent cannot determine the missing value of an attribute’s value per the Client’s request, it leaves the attribute’s value cleared, sets the Edit Result to Error and provides an Error-Type and Error-Tag. As example, the Control-Plane needs to setup a tunnel configuration in the Data-Plane but has to rely on the Agent to determine the tunnel endpoint which is associated with the DPN that supports the Mobility-Context. The Client adds the tunnel property attribute to the FPC message and clears the value of the attribute (e.g. IP address of the local tunnel endpoint). The Agent determines the tunnel endpoint and includes the completed tunnel property in its response to the Client in a Subsequent-Edit entry.

Figure 24 illustrates an exemplary session life-cycle based on Proxy Mobile IPv6 registration via MAG Control-Plane function 1 (MAG-C1) and handover to MAG Control-Plane function 2 (MAG-C2). Edge DPN1 represents the Proxy CoA after attachment, whereas Edge DPN2 serves as Proxy CoA after handover. As exemplary architecture, the FPC Agent and the network control function are assumed to be co-located with the Anchor-DPN, e.g. a Router.

The Target of the second request uses the Mobility-Context by name. Alternatively, the Target could have included the DPN-Key and Policy-Key to further reduce the amount of information exchanged. Setting the Target’s value to the most specific node SHOULD be followed whenever practical.

+-------Router--------+
---(1)--Configure-------->

"configure": {
  "client-id": 0,
  "operation-id": 3,
  "edit": {
    "edit-id": 0,
    "edit-type": "create",
    "target": "/mobility-context",
    "value": {
      "mobility-context-key": "ctxt1",
      "delegating-ip-prefix": [ <HNP> ],
      "dpn": [{
        "dpn-key": "DPN1",
        "role": "LMA",
        "service-data-flow": [{
          "identifier": 0,
          "interface": [ "interface-key": "ifc1" ],
          "service-data-flow-policy-configuration": {
            "policy-template-key": "dl-tunnel-with-qos",
            "policy-status": "active",
            "policy-configuration": [{
              "index": 0,
              "qos-template": <QOS Settings...>},
              {"index": 1,
              "tunnel": <DL tunnel info...>},
              {"policy-template-key": "ul-tunnel",
              "policy-status": "active",
              "policy-configuration": [{
                "index": 1,
                "tunnel": <UL tunnel info...>}] }]
          }
        }
      }]
    }
  }
}

---(2)-- Response --------

"route add": {
  "agent-id": "agent1",
  "operation-id": 3,
  "result-status": "ok",
}
Figure 24: Single Agent with Handover (focus on FPC reference point)

After reception of the Proxy Binding Update (PBU) at the LMA Control-Plane function (LMA-C), the LMA-C selects a suitable DPN, which serves as Data-Plane anchor to the mobile node’s (MN) traffic. The LMA-C adds a new logical Mobility-Context to the DPN to treat the MN’s traffic (1) and includes a Mobility-Context-Key (ctxt1) in the Configure command. The LMA-C identifies the selected Anchor DPN by including the associated DPN identifier.

The LMA-C adds policy template properties during the creation of the new Mobility-Context. One policy, "dl-tunnel-with-qos", is an example template that permits tunnel forwarding of traffic destined to the MN’s HNP, i.e. downlink traffic, with optional QoS parameters. Another policy, "ul-tunnel", provides a simple uplink anchor termination template where uplink tunnel information is provided.

The downlink tunnel information specifies the destination endpoint (Edge DPN1).

Upon reception of the Mobility-Context, the FPC Agent utilizes local configuration commands to create the tunnel (tun1) as well as the traffic control (tc) to enable QoS differentiation. After configuration has been completed, the Agent applies a new route to forward all traffic destined to the MN’s HNP specified as a property in the Mobility-Context and applied the configured tunnel interface (tun1).

During handover, the LMA-C receives an updating PBU from the handover target MAG-C2. The PBU refers to a new Data-Plane node (Edge DPN2) to represent the new tunnel endpoint in the downlink as required. The LMA-C sends a Configure message (3) to the Agent to modify the existing tunnel property of the existing Mobility-Context and to update the downlink tunnel endpoint from Edge DPN1 to Edge DPN2.

Upon reception of the Configure message, the Agent applies updated tunnel property to the local configuration and responds to the Client (4).
When a teardown of the session occurs, MAG-C1 will send a PBU with a lifetime value of zero. The LMA-C sends a Configure message (1) to the Agent to modify the existing tunnel property of the existing

Figure 25: Single Agent with Deletion (focus on FPC reference point)
Mobility-Context to delete the tunnel information. Upon reception of the Configure message, the Agent removes the tunnel configuration and responds to the Client (2). Per [RFC5213], the PBA is sent back immediately after the PBA is received.

If no valid PBA is received after the expiration of the MinDelayBeforeBCEDelete timer (see [RFC5213]), the LMA-C will send a Configure (3) message with a deletion request for the Context. Upon reception of the message, the Agent deletes the tunnel and route on the DPN and responds to the Client (4).

When a multi-DPN Agent is used the DPN list permits several DPNs to be provisioned in a single message for the single Mobility-Context.

```
+-----------+           +-------+ +---------+                        
|MAG-C1    | |MAG-C2| |LMA-C   | Client     |           | FPC   | |  Anchor |
+-----------+ +-----------+ +-------+ +---------+                        
[MN attach]    |            |                          |           | FPC   | |   DPN1  |
+-----------+ ++-----------+ +-------+ +---------+                        
[MN attach]    |            |                          |           |       | |   DPN1  |
+-----------+ ++-----------+ +-------+ +---------+                        
```

```
[1]--Configure-------->                     |---tun1 up-->
  "configure" : {                         |--tc qos-->
    "client-id" : 0,                      |   "edit" : {                         
    "operation-id" : 0,                   |       "edit-id" : 0,                   
    "edit" : [                           |       "edit-type" : "create",        
      "edit-id" : 0,                     |       "target" : "/mobility-context", 
      "edit-type" : "create",           |       "value" : {                      
      "target" : "/mobility-context",    |         "mobility-context-key" : "ctxt1",  
      "value" : {                       |         "delegating-ip-prefix" : [ <HNP> ], 
        "mobility-context-key" : "ctxt1",|         "dpn" : [ {                     
        "delegating-ip-prefix" : [ <HNP> ],|           "role" : "lma",          
        "dpn" : [ {                     |           "dpn-key" : "DPN1",        
          "role" : "lma",              |           "service-data-flow" : [ { 
            "interface" : [ "interface-key" : "ifc1" ], 
            "service-data-flow-policy-configuration" : [ 
              "policy-template-key" : 
              "dl-tunnel-with-qos", 
              "policy-status" : "active", 
              "policy-configuration" : [ 
                "index" : 0, 
                "qos-template" : <QOS Settings...> }, 
                "index" : 1, 
                "tunnel" : <DL tunnel info...> ], 
              "policy-template-key" : "ul-tunnel", 
              "policy-status" : "active", 
```
"policy-configuration" : [ 
    { "index" : 1, 
      "tunnel" : "<UL tunnel info...>"} ] ] }, 
"dpn-key" : "DPN2",
"role" : "mag",
"service-data-flow" : [ 
    { 
      "identifier" : 0,
      "interface" : [ "interface-key" : "ifc2" ],
      "service-data-flow-policy-configuration" : [ 
        {"policy-template-key" : "dl-tunnel-with-qos",
         "policy-status" : "active",
         "policy-configuration" : [ 
           { "index" : 0,
             "qos-template" : "<QOS Settings...>",
           { "index" : 1,
             "tunnel" : "<DL tunnel info...>",
           } ] } ] } ] }, 
"service-data-flow-policy-configuration" : [ 
    {"policy-template-key" : "ul-tunnel",
     "policy-status" : "active",
     "policy-configuration" : [ 
       { "index" : 1,
         "tunnel" : "<UL tunnel info...>"} ] } ] } ] }

---(2)- Response -------

{ "agent-id" : "agent1", 
  "operation-id" : 0,
  "result-status" : "ok",
  "notify-follows" : "true",
}

--------PBA--------

+-----+ 
|Edge|
|DPN2|
+-----+

<------------------------ tun1 up ------------------------
<------------------------ tc qos ------------------------
<------------------------ route add ------------------------

<(3) Configure-Result-Notification

{ "agent-id" : "agent1", 
  "route add" 
}
"operation-id" : 3,
"result-status" : "ok",
"notify-follows" : "true",
"edit-status" : [
    "edit-id" : 0,
    "edit-status" : "ok"
] }  

Figure 26: Exemplary Message Sequence for Multi-DPN Agent

Figure 26 shows how the first 2 messages in Figure 24 are supported when a multi-DPN Agent communicates with both Anchor DPN1 and Edge DPN2. In such a case, the FPC Client sends the downlink and uplink for both DPNs in the DPN Reference List of the same Mobility-Context. Message 1 shows the DPN Set with all entries. Each entry identifies the DPN.

The Agent responds with an OK and Notify-Follows indication while it simultaneously provisions both DPNs. Upon successful completion, the Agent responds to the Client with a Configuration-Result-Notification indicating the operation status.

5.2.4. Policy and Mobility on the Agent

A Client may build Policy and Topology using Configure messages.

The Client may add, modify or delete many DPN Policies as DPN Policy Configurations and Mobility-Contexts in a single FPC message. This includes linking Mobility-Contexts to DPN Policies as well as creating the Policy, Rules Actions and Descriptors. As example, a Rule which performs re-writing of an arriving packet’s destination IP address from IP_A to IP_B matching an associated Descriptor, can be enforced in the Data-Plane via an Agent to implicitly consider matching arriving packet’s source IP address against IP_B and re-write the source IP address to IP_A.

Figure 27 illustrates the generic policy configuration model as used between a FPC Client and a FPC Agent.
Figure 27: Structure of Configurable Policies

As depicted in Figure 27, the DPN Settings represents the anchor of Rules through the Policy / Rule hierarchy. A Client and Agent use the identifier of the associated Policy to directly access the Rule and perform modifications of traffic Descriptors or Action references. Arriving packets are matched against traffic according to Rule precedence and Descriptors. If a Rule is applicable the packet is treated according to the ordered Action values.

A Client associates a Precedence value for the Rule’s Descriptors, to allow unambiguous traffic matching on the Data-Plane.

Figure 28 illustrates the generic context configuration model as used between a Client and an Agent.
Figure 28: Mobility Context Hierarchy

Figure 28 represents a mobility session hierarchy. A Client and Agent directly assigns values such as downlink traffic descriptors, QoS information, etc. A Client and Agent use the context identifiers to access the descriptors, qos information, etc. to perform modifications. From the viewpoint of packet processing, arriving packets are matched against traffic Descriptors and processed according to the qos or other mobility profile related Actions specified in the Mobility-Context’s and Service-Data-Flow’s properties. If present, a Policy could contain tunnel information to encapsulate and forward the packet.

A second Mobility-Context also references Mobility-Context-ID1 in the figure. Based upon the technology a property in a parent context (parent mobility-context-id reference) MAY be inherited by its descendants. This permits concise over the wire representation. When a Client deletes a parent Context all children are also deleted.

5.2.5. Monitor Example

The following example shows the installation of a DPN level monitor (1) to observe ifc1 status, a property that is either "up" or "down", and another monitor to watch for interface events. The interface experiences an outage which is reported to the Client via a Notify (3) message. At a later time a Probe (4) and corresponding Notify (5) is sent. Finally, the monitors are de-registered (6).
Note, specific event identifiers and types are out of scope.

```
+-------+        +-------+ +---------+ |
+-----------+        |+-------+ +---------+|
|MAG-C1| |MAG-C2|     |LMA-C| Client|         | Agent | |  Anchor |
+------+ +------+     +-----+-------+         +-------+ +---------+ 
|         |            |---(1)--Configure-------->|           |
|         |          "register-monitor" : {       |           |
|         |           "client-id" : 0,            |           |
|         |           "operation-id" : 0,         |           |
|         |           "monitor" : [ {           |           |
|         |            "monitor-key" : "ifc1-status",|           |
|         |            "target" : "/dpn/dpn1/interface/ifc1/status"|       |
|         |            "deferrable" : false       |           |
|         | } ] ] } ] }               |
|         |            |<---(2)- Response --------|           |
|         |            | {                        |           |
|         |            |  "agent-id" : "agent1"," |           |
|         |            |  "operation-id" : 0,     |           |
|         |            |  "result-status" : "ok",|           |
|         |            | }                        |           |
| [ ifc1 goes down which is reported as event type 3 ] |<---(3)-- NOTIFY --------|
|         |            |<---(4)--  Probe  --------|           |
|         |            | "probe" : {             |           |
|         |            |  "client-id" : 0,      |           |
|         |            |  "operation-id" : 1,   |           |
|         |            |  "monitor" : [       |           |
|         |            |   "monitor-key" : "ifc1-status" ] } |
|         |            |<---(5)- Response --------|
|         |            | {                        |           |
|         |            |  "agent-id" : "agent1"," |           |
```
6. Templates and Command Sets

Configuration templates are shown below.

6.1. Monitor Configuration Templates

A periodic configuration specifies a time interval (ms) for reporting.

---Figure 29: Monitor Example (focus on FPC reference point)---
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---Figure 29: Monitor Example (focus on FPC reference point)---
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---Figure 29: Monitor Example (focus on FPC reference point)---
A scheduled configuration specifies a time for reporting.

A threshold configuration MUST have at least one hi or low threshold and MAY have both.

A Target-Events-Configuration is a list of Events that, when generated by the Target, results in a Monitor notification.

```
+-[Monitor] <List>
  ...  
    +-[Configuration]
       ...  
         +-[Periodic-Configuration]
            |  +-[(Unsigned32) Period:] 
         ...  
         +-[Schedule-Configuration]
            |  +-[(Unsigned32) Schedule:] 
         ...  
         +-[Threshold-Configuration]
            |  +-[(Unsigned32) Low]
            |  +-[(Unsigned32) Hi]
         ...  
         +-[Target-Events-Configuration]
            |  +-[(Unsigned32) Event-Key:] <List>
```

Figure 30: Monitor Configuration Templates

6.2. Descriptor Templates

A IP-Prefix-Template MUST have at least the To or From IP Prefix / Length populated. The IP Prefix specifies an Address and Length.

The PMIP Traffic Selector template is mapped according to [RFC6088]

The RFC 5777 Classifier is a structured version of common filter rules and follows the format specified in [RFC5777]. The Flow-Label, Flow-Label range and ECN-IP-Codepoint specified in [RFC7660] are added to the Descriptor as well.

```
  +-[ip-prefix-template]
     |  +-[IP Prefix / Length] To-IP-Prefix]
     |  +-[IP Prefix / Length] From-IP-Prefix]
    ...  
    +-[pmip-traffic-selector]
```
+[(Enumerated - IPv4 or IPv6) ts-format]
+[(ipsec-spi-range]
  ++[(ipsec-spi) start-spi: ]
  ++[(ipsec-spi) end-spi ]
+[(source-port-range]
  ++[(port-number) start-port: ]
  ++[(port-number) end-port ]
+[(destination-port-range]
  ++[(port-number) start-port: ]
  ++[(port-number) end-port ]
+[(source-address-range-v4]
  ++[(ipv4-address) start-address: ]
  ++[(ipv4-address) end-address ]
+[(destination-address-range-v4]
  ++[(ipv4-address) start-address: ]
  ++[(ipv4-address) end-address ]
+[(ds-range]
  ++[(dscp) start-ds: ]
  ++[(dscp) end-ds ]
+[(protocol-range]
  ++[(uint8) start-protocol: ]
  ++[(uint8) end-protocol ]
+[(source-address-range-v6]
  ++[(ipv6-address) start-address: ]
  ++[(ipv6-address) end-address ]
+[(destination-address-range-v6]
  ++[(ipv6-address) start-address: ]
  ++[(ipv6-address) end-address ]
+[(flow-label-range]
  ++[(ipv6-flow-label) start-flow-label ]
  ++[(ipv6-flow-label) end-flow-label ]
+[(traffic-class-range]
  ++[(dscp) start-traffic-class ]
  ++[(dscp) end-traffic-class ]
+[(next-header-range]
  ++[(uint8) start-next-header ]
  ++[(uint8) end-next-header ]
...
+[(rfc5777-classifier]
  ++[(Extensible: True]
  ++[(uint8) protocol]
  ++[direction]
  ++[(From-Spec] <List>
    ++[(IP-Address) IP-Address] <List>
    ++[(IP-Address-Range] <List>
      ++[(IP-Address-Start]
      ++[(IP-Address-End]
      ++[(IP-Address-Mask] <List>
- [ip-address] IP-Address: [List]
  - [Unsigned 32] IP-Bit-Mask-Width: [List]
- [mac-address] MAC-Address: [List]
  - [mac-address] MAC-Address-Mask: [List]
- [eui64-address] EUI64-Address: [List]
  - [EUI64-Address-Mask]: [List]
- [(Integer 32) Port]: [List]
  - [(Integer 32) Port-Start]: [List]
  - [(Integer 32) Port-End]: [List]
- [(Boolean) Negated]: [List]
- [(Boolean) Use-Assigned-Address]: [List]
- [To-Spec]: [List]: (0)
  - [ip-address] IP-Address: [List]
  - [IP-Address-Range]: [List]
    - [(ip-address) IP-Address-Start]: [List]
    - [(ip-address) IP-Address-End]: [List]
  - [IP-Address-Mask]: [List]
    - [(ip-address) IP-Address]: [List]
    - [(Unsigned 32] IP-Bit-Mask-Width]: [List]
  - [mac-address] MAC-Address: [List]
    - [mac-address] MAC-Address-Mask-Pattern: [List]
  - [eui64-address] EUI64-Address: [List]
    - [EUI64-Address-Mask]: [List]
  - [(Integer 32) Port]: [List]
    - [(Integer 32) Port-Start]: [List]
    - [(Integer 32) Port-End]: [List]
  - [(Boolean) Negated]: [List]
    - [(Boolean) Use-Assigned-Address]: [List]
  - [dscp] Diffserv-Code-Point: [List]
  - [ip-option] Fragmentation-Flag ^ False: [List]
  - [TCP-Option]: [List]
  - [TCP-Flags]: [List]
  - [ICMP-Type]: [List]
  - [ETH-Option]: [List]
  - [ecn-ip-codepoint]: [List]
  - [flowlabel] flow-label: [List]
  - [flow-label-range]: [List]
6.3. Tunnel Templates

The Network Service Header is specified in [RFC8300].

The MPLS SR Stack is specified in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls].

The IPv6 SR Stack is specified in [I-D.ietf-6man-segment-routing-header].

A tunnel MUST have the local-address or remote-address (or both) populated.

For GRE, the gre-key MUST be present.

For GTP (GPRS Tunneling Protocol), the following attributes MAY be present:

   local tunnel endpoint identifier (teid) - MUST be present if local-address is nonempty
   remote tunnel endpoint identifier (teid) - MUST be present if remote-address is nonempty
   sequence-numbers-on - Indicates that sequence numbers will be used

Tunnels can be used as Next Hop and Descriptor values.
6.4. Action Templates

The following figure shows common next-hop (set next-hop) and tunnel templates for Actions.

Drop action has no values.

Rewrite uses a Descriptor to set the values of the packet. Exactly one Descriptor MUST be present. Only the Destination and Source port fields, if present, are used from the Descriptor.

Copy-Forward creates a copy of the packet and then forwards it in accordance to the next-hop value.
6.5. Quality of Service Action Templates

PMIP QoS is specified in [RFC7222].

+-[qos-template]
   +-[Extensible: True]
   +-(dscp) trafficclass
   +-[pmip-qos]
      +-[per-mn-agg-max-dl]
      +-[per-mn-agg-max-ul]
      +-[per-session-agg-max-dl]
      |   +-[max-rate:]
      |   +-[service-flag:]
      |   +-[exclude-flag:]
      +-[per-session-agg-max-ul]
      |   +-[max-rate:]
      |   +-[service-flag:]
      |   +-[exclude-flag:]
      +-[allocation-retention-priority]
      |   +-[priority-level:]
      |   +-[preemption-capability:]
      |   +-[preemption-vulnerability:]
      +-[agg-max-dl]
      +-[agg-max-ul]
      +-[gbr-dl]
      +-[gbr-ul]
6.6. PMIP Command-Set

The following Command Set values are supported for IETF PMIP:

- assign-ip - Assign the IP Address for the mobile session.
- assign-dpn - Assign the Data-plane Node.
- session - Assign values for the Session Level.
- uplink - Command applies to uplink.
- downlink - Command applies to downlink.

6.7. 3GPP Specific Templates and Command-Set

3GPP support is optional and detailed in this section. The following acronyms are used:

- APN-AMBR: Access Point Name Aggregate Maximum Bit Rate
- UE-AMBR: User Equipment Aggregate Maximum Bit Rate
- QCI: QoS Class Identifier
- EBI: EPS Bearer Identity
- LBI: Linked Bearer Identity
- IMSI: International Mobile Subscriber Identity
- TFT: Traffic Flow Template (TFT)

Generally, 3GPP QoS values should use the qos-template. Note: User Equipment Aggregate Maximum Bit Rate (UE-AMBR) maps to the per-mn-agg-max-dl and per-mn-agg-max-ul.
Figure 35: 3GPP Mobility Templates

Figure 36: 3GPP Packet Filter Template (Descriptor)

The following Command Set values are supported for 3GPP.
o assign-ip - Assign the IP Address for the mobile session.

o assign-fteid-ip - Assign the Fully Qualified TEID (F-TEID) LOCAL IP address.

o assign-fteid-teid - Assign the Fully Qualified TEID (F-TEID) LOCAL TEID.

o session - Assign values for the Session Level. When this involves 'assign-fteid-ip' and 'assign-fteid-teid', the values are part of the default bearer.

o uplink - Command applies to uplink.

o downlink - Command applies to downlink.

o assign-dpn - Assign the Data-plane Node.

7. Implementation Status

Three FPC Agent implementations have been made to date. The first was based upon Version 03 of the draft and followed Model 1. The second follows Version 04 of the document. Both implementations were OpenDaylight plug-ins developed in Java by Sprint. Version 04 is now primarily enhanced by GS Labs. Version 03 was known as fpcagent and version 04’s implementation is simply referred to as ‘fpc’. A third has been developed on an ONOS Controller for use in MCORD projects.

fpcagent’s intent was to provide a proof of concept for FPC Version 03 Model 1 in January 2016 and research various errors, corrections and optimizations that the Agent could make when supporting multiple DPNs.

As the code developed to support OpenFlow and a proprietary DPN from a 3rd party, several of the advantages of a multi-DPN Agent became obvious including the use of machine learning to reduce the number of Flows and Policy entities placed on the DPN. This work has driven new efforts in the DIME WG, namely Diameter Policy Groups [I-D.bertz-dime-policygroups].

A throughput performance of tens per second using various NetConf based solutions in OpenDaylight made fpcagent, based on version 03, undesirable for call processing. The RPC implementation improved throughput by an order of magnitude but was not useful based upon FPC’s Version 03 design using two information models. During this time the features of version 04 and its converged model became attractive and the fpcagent project was closed in August 2016.
fpcagent will no longer be developed and will remain a proprietary implementation.

The learnings of fpcagent has influenced the second project, fpc. Fpc is also an OpenDaylight project but is an open source release as the Opendaylight FpcAgent plugin (https://wiki.opendaylight.org/view/Project_Proposals:FpcAgent). This project is scoped to be a fully compliant FPC Agent that supports multiple DPNs including those that communicate via OpenFlow. The following features present in this draft and others developed by the FPC development team have already led to an order of magnitude improvement.

Migration of non-realtime provisioning of entities such as topology and policy allowed the implementation to focus only on the rpc.

Using only 5 messages and 2 notifications has also reduced implementation time.

Command Sets, an optional feature in this specification, have eliminated 80% of the time spent determining what needs to be done with a Context during a Create or Update operation.

Op Reference is an optional feature modeled after video delivery. It has reduced unnecessary cache lookups. It also has the additional benefit of allowing an Agent to become cacheless and effectively act as a FPC protocol adapter remotely with multi-DPN support or co-located on the DPN in a single-DPN support model.

Multi-tenant support allows for Cache searches to be partitioned for clustering and performance improvements. This has not been capitalized upon by the current implementation but is part of the development roadmap.

Use of Contexts to pre-provision policy has also eliminated any processing of Ports for DPNs which permitted the code for CONFIGURE and CONF_BUNDLE to be implemented as a simple nested FOR loops (see below).

Initial v04 performance results without code optimizations or tuning allow reliable provisioning of 1K FPC Mobility-Contexts processed per second on a 12 core server. This results in 2x the number of transactions on the southbound interface to a proprietary DPN API on the same machine.

fpc currently supports the following:

1 proprietary DPN API
Policy and Topology as defined in this specification using OpenDaylight North Bound Interfaces such as NetConf and RestConf CONF and CONF_BUNDLE (all operations)

DPN assignment, Tunnel allocations and IPv4 address assignment by the Agent or Client.

Immediate Response is always an OK_NOTIFY_FOLLOWS.
assignment system (receives rpc call):
   perform basic operation integrity check
   if CONFIG then
      goto assignments
      if assignments was ok then
         send request to activation system
         respond back to client with assignment data
      else
         send back error
      end if
   else if CONF_BUNDLE then
      for each operation in bundles
      goto assignments
      if assignments was ok then
         hold onto data
      else
         return error with the assignments that occurred in prior operations (best effort)
      end if
      end for
      send bundles to activation systems
   end if

assignments:
   assign DPN, IPv4 Address and/or tunnel info as required
   if an error occurs undo all assignments in this operation
   return result

activation system:
   build cache according to op-ref and operation type
   for each operation
      for each Context
         for each DPN / direction in Context
            perform actions on DPN according to Command Set
         end for
      end for
   end for
   commit changes to in memory cache
   log transaction for tracking and notification
   (CONFIG_RESULT_NOTIFY)

Figure 37: fpc pseudo code

For further information please contact Lyle Bertz who is also a co-author of this document.

NOTE: Tenant support requires binding a Client ID to a Tenant ID (it is a one to many relation) but that is outside of the scope of this
specification. Otherwise, the specification is complete in terms of providing sufficient information to implement an Agent.

8. Security Considerations

Detailed protocol implementations for DMM Forwarding Policy Configuration must ensure integrity of the information exchanged between a FPC Client and a FPC Agent. Required Security Associations may be derived from co-located functions, which utilize the FPC Client and FPC Agent respectively.

The YANG modules defined in this memo are designed to be accessed via the NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040] protocol. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is SSH [RFC6242].

The information model defined in the memo is designed to be access by protocols specified in extensions to this document or, if using the YANG modules, as described above.

There are a number of data nodes defined which are writable/creatable/deletable. These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., a NETCONF edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- Nodes under the Policy tree provide generic policy enforcement and traffic classification. They can be used to block or permit traffic. If this portion of the model was to be compromised it may be used to block, identify or permit traffic that was not intended by the Tenant or FPC Client.

- Nodes under the Topology tree provide definition of the Tenant’s forwarding topology. Any compromise of this information will provide topology information that could be used for subsequent attack vectors. Removal of topology can limit services.

- Mobility-Context provides runtime only information and manipulated by remote procedure calls. The unwanted deletion or removal of such information would deny users service or provide services to unauthorized parties.

Some of the readable data nodes defined may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to
these data nodes. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- IP address assignments in the Mobility-Context along with their associated tunnel configurations/identifiers (from the FPC base module)
- International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) and bearer identifiers in the Context when using the FPC base model

Some of the RPC operations defined may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations. These are the operations and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- Configure sends Mobility-Context information which can include information of a sensitive or vulnerable nature in some network environments as described above.
- Monitor related RPC operations do not specifically provide sensitive or vulnerable information but care must be taken by users to avoid identifier values that expose sensitive or vulnerable information.
- Notifications MUST be treated with same level of protection and scrutiny as the operations they correspond to. For example, a Configure-Result-Notification provides the same information that is sent as part of the input and output of the Configure RPC operation.

General usage of FPC MUST consider the following:

- FPC Naming Section 4.5 permits arbitrary string values but a user MUST avoid placing sensitive or vulnerable information in those values.
- Policies that are very narrow and permit the identification of specific traffic, e.g. that of a single user, SHOULD be avoided.

9. IANA Considerations

This document registers six URIs in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registrations have been made.

- **URI**: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-dmm-fpc
- **Registrant Contact**: The DMM WG of the IETF.
- **XML**: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.
This document registers the following YANG modules in the "YANG Module Names" registry [RFC6020].

name: ietf-dmm-fpc
prefix: fpc
reference: TBD1

name: ietf-dmm-pmip-qos
prefix: qos-pmip
reference: TBD2

name: ietf-dmm-traffic-selector-types
prefix: traffic-selectors
reference: TBD3

name: ietf-dmm-fpc-settingsext
prefix: fpcbase
reference: TBD4

name: ietf-diam-trafficclassifier
prefix: diamclassifier
reference: TBD5
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Appendix A. YANG Data Model for the FPC protocol

This section provides a type mapping for FPC structures in YANG. When being mapped to a specific information such as YANG the data type MAY change.

Keys for Actions, Descriptors, Rules, Policies, DPNs, Domains and Mobility-Contexts are specified as FPC-Identity which follows rules according to Section 4.5.

Action and Descriptor Templates are mapped as choices. This was done to ensure no duplication of Types and avoid use of identityref for typing.

Policy Expressions are provided as default values. NOTE that a static value CANNOT be supported in YANG.

Mapping of templates to YANG are performed as follows:

Value is defined as a choice statement for extensibility and therefore a type value is not necessary to discriminated types.

Generic attributes are distinguished by the "Settings" type and holds ANY value. It is an any data node under configurations.

The CONFIGURE and CONFIGURE-RESULT-NOTIFICATION use the yang-patch-status which is a container for edits. This was done to maximize YANG reuse.
In the configure rpc, operation-id is mapped to patch-id and in an edit the edit-type is mapped to operation.

The Result-Status attribute is mapped to the 'ok' (empty leaf) or errors structure.

The Policy-Status is mapped to entity-state to reduce YANG size.

Five modules are defined:

- ietf-dmm-fpc (fpc) - Defines the base model and messages for FPC that are meant to be static in FPC.
- ietf-dmm-fpc-settings - A FPC module that defines the information model elements that are likely to be extended in FPC.
- ietf-pmip-qos (pmip-qos) - Defines proxy mobile IPv6 QoS parameters per RFC 7222
- ietf-trafficselectors-types (traffic-selectors) - Defines Traffic Selectors per [RFC6088]
- ietf-diam-trafficclassifier (diamclassifier) - Defines the Classifier per [RFC5777]

All modules defined in this specification make use of (import) ietf-inet-types as defined in [RFC6991].

ietf-dmm-fpc-settings and ietf-diam-trafficclassifier make use of (imports) ietf-yang-types as defined in [RFC6991].

ietf-dmm-fpc imports the restconf (ietf-restconf) [RFC8040] and yang patch (ietf-yang-patch) [RFC8072] modules.

ietf-pmip-qos and ietf-dmm-fpc-settings import the trafficselector from the ietf-traffic-selector-types module.

ietf-dmm-fpc-settings also imports the qosattribute (ietf-pmip-qos) and classifier (ietf-diam-trafficclassifier).

ietf-dmm-fpc-settings groups various settings, actions and descriptors and is used by the fpc module (ietf-dmm-fpc).

The following groupings are intended for reuse (import) by other modules.

- qosoption (ietf-qos-pmip module)
The YANG modules in this document conform to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA) defined in [RFC8342].

DPNs conformant to NMDA MAY only have policies, installed policies, topology, domains and mobility session information that has been assigned to it in its intended and operational datastores. What is housed in the operational datastore MAY be determined on a per DPN basis and using the Entity-Status as a guideline based upon tradeoffs described in Section 4.6.

ServiceGroups are not expected to appear in operational datastores of DPNs as they remain in and are used by FPC Agents and Clients. They MAY be operationally present in DNS when using the Dynamic Delegation and Discovery System (DDDS) as defined in [RFC3958] or the operational datastore of systems that provide equivalent functionality.

A.1. FPC YANG Model

This module defines the information model and protocol elements specified in this document.

This module references [RFC6991], [RFC8040] and the fpc-settingsext module defined in this document.

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dmm-fpc@2018-05-17.yang"
module ietf-dmm-fpc {  
  yang-version 1.1;  
  prefix fpc;  
}  
```

import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet;  
revision-date 2013-07-15;  }
import ietf-dmm-fpc-settingsext { prefix fpcbase;  
revision-date 2018-05-17;  }
import ietf-diam-trafficclassifier { prefix rfc5777;  
revision-date 2018-05-17;  }
import ietf-restconf { prefix rc;  
revision-date 2017-01-26;  }
import ietf-yang-patch { prefix ypatch;  
revision-date 2017-02-22;  }

organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM)  
Working Group";

contact  
"WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>  
WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>  

WG Chair: Dapeng Liu  
<mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>  

WG Chair: Jouni Korhonen  
<mailto:jouni.nospam@gmail.com>  

Editor:  Satoru Matsushima  
<mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>  

Editor: Lyle Bertz  
<mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>";

description  
"This module contains YANG definition for  
Forwarding Policy Configuration Protocol (FPCP).  

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the  
document authors. All rights reserved.  

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal  
Provisions Relating to IETF Documents  
(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of  
publishation of this document. Please review these documents  
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with  
respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this  
document must include Simplified BSD License text as described  
in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided  
without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.";

revision 2018-05-17 {  

//General Structures
grouping templatedef {
  leaf extensible {
    type boolean;
    description "Indicates if the template is extensible";
  }
  leaf-list static-attributes {
    type string;
    description "Attribute (Name) whose value cannot change";
  }
  leaf-list mandatory-attributes {
    type string;
    description "Attribute (Name) of optional attributes that MUST be present in instances of this template.";
  }
  leaf entity-state {
    type enumeration {
      enum initial {
        description "Initial Configuration"
      }
      enum partially-configured {
        description "Partial Configuration"
      }
      enum configured {
        description "Configured"
      }
      enum active {
        description "Active"
      }
    }
    default initial;
    description "Entity State";
  }
  leaf version {
    type uint32;
    description "Template Version";
  }
  description "Template Definition";
}
typedef fpc-identity {
  type union {
    type uint32;
    type instance-identifier;
  }
}
type string;
}
description "FPC Identity";
}
grouping index {
  leaf index {
    type uint16;
    description "Index";
  }
  description "Index Value";
}

// Policy Structures
grouping descriptor-template-key {
  leaf descriptor-template-key {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    mandatory true;
    description "Descriptor Key";
  }
  description "Descriptor-Template Key";
}
grouping action-template-key {
  leaf action-template-key {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    mandatory true;
    description "Action Key";
  }
  description "Action-Template Key";
}
grouping rule-template-key {
  leaf rule-template-key {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    mandatory true;
    description "Rule Identifier";
  }
  description "Rule Key";
}
grouping policy-template-key {
  leaf policy-template-key {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    mandatory true;
    description "Rule Identifier";
  }
  description "Rule Key";
}

grouping fpc-setting-value {
  anydata setting;
/* FPC Setting Value */

// Configuration / Settings

grouping policy-configuration-choice {

type policy-configuration-value {

case descriptor-value {
uses fpcbase:fpc-descriptor-value;
description "Descriptor Value";
}

case action-value {
uses fpcbase:fpc-action-value;
description "Action Value";
}

case setting-value {
uses fpc:fpc-setting-value;
description "Setting";
}

description "Policy Attributes";
}
description "Policy Configuration Value Choice";
}

grouping policy-configuration {

type policy-configuration {

case policy-configuration-choice {
uses fpc:index;
}

description "Policy Configuration Value";
}

description "Policy Configuration";
}

description "Policy Configuration Value";
}

grouping ref-configuration {

type policy-template-key {
uses fpc:policy-template-key;
uses fpc:policy-configuration;
uses fpc:templatedef;

description "Policy-Configuration Entry";
}

description "Policy-Configuration Entry";
}

// FPC Policy

grouping policy-information-model {

type action-template {

case action-template-key {
uses fpc:action-template-key;
uses fpcbase:fpc-action-value;
uses fpc:templatedef;

description "Action Template";
}

description "Action Template";
}

type descriptor-template {

case descriptor-template-key {
key descriptor-template-key;
}

description "Descriptor Template";
}

description "Descriptor Template";
}
uses fpc:descriptor-template-key;
uses fpcbase:fpc-descriptor-value;
uses fpc:templatedef;
description "Descriptor Template";
}

list rule-template {
key rule-template-key;
uses fpc:rule-template-key;
leaf descriptor-match-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum or {
            value 0;
            description "OR logic";
        }
        enum and {
            value 1;
            description "AND logic";
        }
    }
    mandatory true;
description "Type of Match (OR or AND) applied to the descriptor-configurations";
}

list descriptor-configuration {
key "descriptor-template-key";
uses fpc:descriptor-template-key;
leaf direction {
    type rfc5777:direction-type;
description "Direction";
}

list attribute-expression {
key index;
uses fpc:index;
uses fpcbase:fpc-descriptor-value;
description "Descriptor Attributes";
}
uses fpc:fpc-setting-value;
description "A set of Descriptor references";
}

list action-configuration {
key "action-order";
leaf action-order {
    type uint32;
    mandatory true;
description "Action Execution Order";
}
uses fpc:action-template-key;
list attribute-expression {

key index;
uses fpc:index;
uses fpcbase:fpc-action-value;
description "Action Attributes";
}
uses fpc:fpc-setting-value;
description "A set of Action references";
}
uses fpc:templatedef;
list rule-configuration {
  key index;
  uses fpc:index;
  uses fpc:policy-configuration-choice;
  description "Rule Configuration";
}
description "Rule Template";
}
list policy-template {
  key policy-template-key;
  uses fpc:policy-template-key;
  list rule-template {
    key "precedence";
    unique "rule-template-key";
    leaf precedence {
      type uint32;
      mandatory true;
      description "Rule Precedence";
    }
    uses fpc:rule-template-key;
    description "Rule Entry";
  }
  uses fpc:templatedef;
  uses fpc:policy-configuration;
  description "Policy Template";
}
description "FPC Policy Structures";
}

// Topology Information Model
identity role {
  description "Role";
}
grouping dpn-key {
  leaf dpn-key {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "DPN Key";
  }
  description "DPN Key";
}
grouping role-key {
    leaf role-key {
        type identityref {
            base "fpc:role";
            mandatory true;
            description "Access Technology Role";
        }
        description "Access Technology Role key";
    }
    description "Access Technology Role key";
}

grouping interface-key {
    leaf interface-key{
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        mandatory true;
        description "interface identifier";
    }
    description "Interface Identifier key";
}

identity interface-protocols {
    description "Protocol supported by the interface";
}

identity features {
    description "Protocol features";
}

// Mobility Context

grouping mobility-context {
    leaf mobility-context-key {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        mandatory true;
        description "Mobility Context Key";
    }
    leaf-list delegating-ip-prefix {
        type inet:ip-prefix;
        description "IP Prefix";
    }
    leaf parent-context {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Parent Mobility Context";
    }
    leaf-list child-context {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Child Mobility Context";
    }
    container mobile-node {
        leaf-list ip-address {
            type inet:ip-address;
        }
    }
}
description "IP Address";
}
leaf imsi {
    type fpcbase:imsi-type;
    description "IMSI";
}
list mn-policy-configuration {
    key policy-template-key;
    uses fpc:ref-configuration;
    description "MN Policy Configuration";
}
description "Mobile Node";
}
container domain {
    leaf domain-key {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Domain Key";
    }
    list domain-policy-settings {
        key policy-template-key;
        uses fpc:ref-configuration;
        description "MN Policy Configuration";
    }
    description "Domain";
}
list dpn {
    key dpn-key;
    uses fpc:dpn-key;
    list dpn-policy-configuration {
        key policy-template-key;
        uses fpc:ref-configuration;
        description "DPN Policy Configuration";
    }
}
leaf role {
    type identityref {
        base "fpc:role";
    }
    description "Role";
}
list service-data-flow {
    key identifier;
    leaf identifier {
        type uint32;
        description "Generic Identifier";
    }
    leaf service-group-key {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Service Group Key";
    }
list interface {
    key interface-key;
    uses fpc:interface-key;
    description "interface assigned";
}

list service-data-flow-policy-configuration {
    key policy-template-key;
    uses fpc:ref-configuration;
    description "Flow Policy Configuration";
}

description "Service Dataflow";

description "DPN";

description "Mobility Context";

// Events, Probes & Notifications

identity event-type {
    description "Base Event Type";
}
typedef event-type-id {
    type uint32;
    description "Event ID Type";
}
grouping monitor-key {
    leaf monitor-key {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        mandatory true;
        description "Monitor Key";
    }
    description "Monitor Id";
}
grouping monitor-config {
    uses fpc:templatedef;
    uses fpc:monitor-key;
    leaf target {
        type string;
        description "target";
    }
    leaf deferrable {
        type boolean;
        description "Indicates reports related to this config can be delayed.";
    }
    choice configuration {
        mandatory true;
leaf period {
    type uint32;
    description "Period";
}

case threshold-config {
    leaf low {
        type uint32;
        description "low threshold";
    }
    leaf hi {
        type uint32;
        description "high threshold";
    }
    description "Threshold Config Case";
}

leaf schedule {
    type uint32;
    description "Reporting Time";
}

leaf-list event-identities {
    type identityref {
        base "fpc:event-type";
    }
    description "Event Identities";
}

leaf-list event-ids {
    type uint32;
    description "Event IDs";
}

// Top Level Structures
list tenant {
    key "tenant-key";
    leaf tenant-key {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Tenant Key";
    }
}

container topology-information-model {
    config false;
    list service-group {
        key "service-group-key role-key";
        leaf service-group-key {
            type fpc:fpc-identity;
            mandatory true;
        }
    }
}
description "Service Group Key";

leaf service-group-name {
    type string;
    description "Service Group Name";
}

uses fpc:role-key;
leaf role-name {
    type string;
    mandatory true;
    description "Role Name";
}

leaf-list protocol {
    type identityref {
        base "interface-protocols";
    }
    min-elements 1;
    description "Supported protocols";
}

leaf-list feature {
    type identityref {
        base "interface-protocols";
    }
    description "Supported features";
}

list service-group-configuration {
    key index;
    uses fpc:index;
    uses fpc:policy-configuration-choice;
    description "Settings";
}

list dpn {
    key dpn-key;
    uses fpc:dpn-key;
    min-elements 1;
    list referenced-interface {
        key interface-key;
        uses fpc:interface-key;
        leaf-list peer-service-group-key {
            type fpc:fpc-identity;
            description "Peer Service Group";
        }
        description "Referenced Interface";
    }
    description "DPN";
}

description "Service Group";
list dpn {
    key dpn-key;
    uses fpc:dpn-key;
    leaf dpn-name {
        type string;
        description "DPN name";
    }
    leaf dpn-resource-mapping-reference {
        type string;
        description "Reference to underlying DPN resource(s)";
    }
    leaf domain-key {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Domains";
    }
    leaf-list service-group-key {
        type fpc:fpc-identity;
        description "Service Group"
    }
}
list interface {
    key "interface-key";
    uses fpc:interface-key;
    leaf interface-name {
        type string;
        description "Service Endpoint Interface Name";
    }
    leaf role {
        type identityref {
            base "fpc:role";
        }
        description "Roles supported";
    }
    leaf-list protocol {
        type identityref {
            base "interface-protocols";
        }
        description "Supported protocols";
    }
    list interface-configuration {
        key index;
        uses fpc:index;
        uses fpc:policy-configuration-choice;
        description "Interface settings";
    }
    description "DPN interfaces";
}
list dpn-policy-configuration {
    key policy-template-key;
uses fpc:ref-configuration;
  description "DPN Policy Configuration";
}
description "Set of DPNs";
}
list domain {
  key domain-key;
  leaf domain-key {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    mandatory true;
    description "Domain Key";
  }
  leaf domain-name {
    type string;
    description "Domain displayname";
  }
  list domain-policy-configuration {
    key policy-template-key;
    uses fpc:ref-configuration;
    description "Domain Configuration";
  }
  description "List of Domains";
}
container dpn-checkpoint {
  uses fpc:basename-info;
  description "DPN Checkpoint information";
}
container service-group-checkpoint {
  uses fpc:basename-info;
  description "Service Group Checkpoint information";
}
container domain-checkpoint {
  uses fpc:basename-info;
  description "Domain Checkpoint information";
}
description "FPC Topology grouping";
}
container policy-information-model {
  config false;
  uses fpc:policy-information-model;
  uses fpc:basename-info;
  description "Policy";
}
list mobility-context {
  key "mobility-context-key";
  config false;
  uses fpc:mobility-context;
  description "Mobility Context";
}
list monitor {
  key monitor-key;
  config false;
  uses fpc:monitor-config;
  description "Monitor";
}
description "Tenant";

typedef agent-identifier {
  type fpc:fpc-identity;
  description "Agent Identifier";
}
typedef client-identifier {
  type fpc:fpc-identity;
  description "Client Identifier";
}
grouping basename-info {
  leaf basename {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "Rules Basename";
  }
  leaf base-checkpoint {
    type string;
    description "Checkpoint";
  }
  description "Basename Information";
}

// RPCs
grouping client-id {
  leaf client-id {
    type fpc:client-identifier;
    mandatory true;
    description "Client Id";
  }
  description "Client Identifier";
}
grouping execution-delay {
  leaf execution-delay {
    type uint32;
    description "Execution Delay (ms)";
  }
  description "Execution Delay";
}
typedef ref-scope {
  type enumeration {
enum none {
  value 0;
  description "no references";
}
enum op {
  value 1;
  description "All references are intra-operation";
}
enum bundle {
  value 2;
  description "All references in exist in bundle";
}
enum storage {
  value 3;
  description "One or more references exist in storage.";
}
enum unknown {
  value 4;
  description "The location of the references are unknown.";
}

description "Search scope for references in the operation.";
}
rpc configure {
  description "Configure RPC";
  input {
    uses client-id;
    uses execution-delay;
    uses ypatch:yang-patch;
  }
  output {
    uses ypatch:yang-patch-status;
  }
}
augment "/configure/input/yang-patch/edit" {
  leaf reference-scope {
    type fpc:ref-scope;
    description "Reference Scope";
  }
  uses fpcbase:instructions;
  description "yang-patch edit augments for configure rpc";
}
grouping subsequent-edits {
  list subsequent-edit {
    key edit-id;
    ordered-by user;
    description "Edit list";
  }
}
leaf edit-id {
    type string;
    description "Arbitrary string index for the edit.";
}

leaf operation {
    type enumeration {
        enum create {
            description "Create";
        }
        enum delete {
            description "Delete";
        }
        enum insert {
            description "Insert";
        }
        enum merge {
            description "Merge";
        }
        enum move {
            description "Move";
        }
        enum replace {
            description "Replace";
        }
        enum remove {
            description "Delete the target node if it currently exists.";
        }
    }
    mandatory true;
    description "The datastore operation requested";
}

leaf target {
    type ypatch:target-resource-offset;
    mandatory true;
    description "Identifies the target data node";
}

leaf point {
    when "(../operation = 'insert' or ../operation = 'move')" + "and (../where = 'before' or ../where = 'after')" {
        description "This leaf only applies for 'insert' or 'move' operations, before or after an existing entry.";
    }
}
leaf where {
  when "../operation = 'insert' or ../operation = 'move'" {
    description
    "This leaf only applies for 'insert' or 'move' operations.";
  }
  type enumeration {
    enum before {
      description
      "Insert or move a data node before.";
    }
    enum after {
      description
      "Insert or move a data node after.";
    }
    enum first {
      description
      "Insert or move a data node so it becomes ordered as the first entry.";
    }
    enum last {
      description
      "Insert or move a data node so it becomes ordered as the last entry.";
    }
  }
  default last;
  description
  "Identifies where a data resource will be inserted or moved.";
}

anydata value {
  when "../operation = 'create' "
  + "or ../operation = 'merge' "
  + "or ../operation = 'replace' "
  + "or ../operation = 'insert' "
  description
  "The anydata 'value' is only used for 'create', 'merge', 'replace', and 'insert' operations.";
}
"Value used for this edit operation.";
}
}

description "Subsequent Edits";

augment "/configure/output/yang-patch-status/edit-status/edit/"
+ "edit-status-choice/ok" {
leaf notify-follows {
  type boolean;
  description "Notify Follows Indication";
}
  uses fpc:subsequent-edits;
  description "Configure output augments";
}

grouping op-header {
  uses client-id;
  uses execution-delay;
  leaf operation-id {
    type uint64;
    mandatory true;
    description "Operation Identifier";
  }
  description "Common Operation header";
}

grouping monitor-response {
  leaf operation-id {
    type uint64;
    mandatory true;
    description "Operation Identifier";
  }
  choice edit-status-choice {
    description
    "A choice between different types of status
    responses for each 'edit' entry.";
    leaf ok {
      type empty;
      description
      "This 'edit' entry was invoked without any
      errors detected by the server associated
      with this edit.";
    }
    case errors {
      uses rc:errors;
      description
      "The server detected errors associated with the
      edit identified by the same 'edit-id' value.";
    }
  }
}
// Common RPCs
rpc register_monitor {
  description "Used to register monitoring of parameters/events";
  input {
    uses fpc:op-header;
    list monitor {
      key monitor-key;
      uses fpc:monitor-config;
      description "Monitor Configuration";
    }
  }
  output {
    uses fpc:monitor-response;
  }
}

rpc deregister_monitor {
  description "Used to de-register monitoring of parameters/events";
  input {
    uses fpc:op-header;
    list monitor {
      key monitor-key;
      uses fpc:monitor-key;
      min-elements 1;
      leaf send_data {
        type boolean;
        description "Indicates if NOTIFY with final data is desired upon deregistration";
      }
      description "Monitor Identifier";
    }
  }
  output {
    uses fpc:monitor-response;
  }
}

rpc probe {
  description "Probe the status of a registered monitor";
  input {
    uses fpc:op-header;
    list monitor {
      key monitor-key;
      uses fpc:monitor-key;
      min-elements 1;
    }
  }
}
description "Monitor";
}
}
output {
  uses fpc:monitor-response;
}
}

// Notification Messages & Structures
notification config-result-notification {
  uses ypatch:yang-patch-status;
  description "Configuration Result Notification";
}
augment "/config-result-notification" {
  uses fpc:subsequent-edits;
  description "config-result-notification augment";
}

identity notification-cause {
  description "Notification Cause";
}
identity subscribed-event-occurred {
  base "notification-cause";
  description "Subscribed Event Occurrence";
}
identity low-threshold-crossed {
  base "notification-cause";
  description "Subscribed Event Occurrence";
}
identity high-threshold-crossed {
  base "notification-cause";
  description "Subscribed Event Occurrence";
}
identity periodic-report {
  base "notification-cause";
  description "Periodic Report";
}
identity scheduled-report {
  base "notification-cause";
  description "Scheduled Report";
}
identity probe {
  base "notification-cause";
  description "Probe";
}
identity deregistration-final-value {
  base "notification-cause";
  description "Probe";
}
identity monitoring-suspension {
    base "notification-cause";
    description "Indicates monitoring suspension";
}

identity monitoring-resumption {
    base "notification-cause";
    description "Indicates that monitoring has resumed";
}

identity dpn-available {
    base "notification-cause";
    description "DPN Candidate Available";
}

identity dpn-unavailable {
    base "notification-cause";
    description "DPN Unavailable";
}

notification notify {
    leaf notification-id {
        type uint32;
        description "Notification Identifier";
    }
    leaf timestamp {
        type uint32;
        description "timestamp";
    }
    list report {
        key monitor-key;
        uses fpc:monitor-key;
        min-elements 1;
        leaf trigger {
            type identityref {
                base "notification-cause";
            }
            description "Notification Cause";
        }
        choice value {
            case dpn-candidate-available {
                leaf node-id {
                    type inet:uri;
                    description "Topology URI";
                }
                list supported-interface-list {
                    key role-key;
                    uses fpc:role-key;
                    description "Support Interfaces";
                }
                description "DPN Candidate Information";
            }
        }
    }
}
case dpn-unavailable {
  leaf dpn-id {
    type fpc:fpc-identity;
    description "DPN Identifier for DPN Unavailable";
  } 
  description "DPN Unavailable";
}
anydata report-value {
  description "Any non integer report";
} 
  description "Report Value";
} 
  description "Report";
} 
  description "Notify Message";
}
</CODE ENDS>

A.2. FPC YANG Settings and Extensions Model

This module defines the base data elements in FPC that are likely to be extended.

This module references [RFC6991], ietf-trafficselector-types and ietf-pmip-qos modules.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-dmm-fpc-settingsext@2018-05-17.yang"
module ietf-dmm-fpc-settingsext {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix fpcbase;

  import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet;
    revision-date 2013-07-15; }
  import ietf-trafficselector-types { prefix traffic-selectors;
    revision-date 2018-05-17; }
  import ietf-yang-types { prefix ytypes;
    revision-date 2013-07-15; }
  import ietf-pmip-qos { prefix pmipqos;
    revision-date 2018-05-17; }
  import ietf-diam-trafficclassifier { prefix rfc5777;
    revision-date 2018-05-17; }

  organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) Working Group";

contact
"WG Web:  <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
<mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>

WG Chair: Sri Gundavelli
<mailto:sgundave@cisco.com>

Editor:  Satoru Matsushima
<mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>

Editor:  Lyle Bertz
<mailto:lylebe551144@gmail.com>"

description
"This module contains YANG definition for
Forwarding Policy Configuration Protocol (FPCP).

It contains Settings definitions as well as Descriptor and
Action extensions.

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the
document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal
Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of
publication of this document. Please review these documents
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with
respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this
document must include Simplified BSD License text as described
in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided
without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.";

revision 2018-05-17 {
  description "Initial Revision."
  reference "draft-ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp-10";
}

//Tunnel Information
identity tunnel-type {
  description "Tunnel Type";
}
identity grev1 {
  base "fpcbase:tunnel-type";
  description "GRE v1";
}
identity grev2 {
    base "fpcbase:tunnel-type";
    description "GRE v2";
}

identity ipinip {
    base "fpcbase:tunnel-type";
    description "IP in IP";
}

identity gtpv1 {
    base "fpcbase:tunnel-type";
    description "GTP version 1 Tunnel";
}

identity gtpv2 {
    base "fpcbase:tunnel-type";
    description "GTP version 2 Tunnel";
}

grouping tunnel-value {
    container tunnel-info {
        leaf tunnel-local-address {
            type inet:ip-address;
            description "local tunnel address";
        }
        leaf tunnel-remote-address {
            type inet:ip-address;
            description "remote tunnel address";
        }
        leaf mtu-size {
            type uint32;
            description "MTU size";
        }
        leaf tunnel {
            type identityref {
                base "fpcbase:tunnel-type";
            }
            description "tunnel type";
        }
        leaf payload-type {
            type enumeration {
                enum ipv4 {
                    value 0;
                    description "IPv4";
                }
                enum ipv6 {
                    value 1;
                    description "IPv6";
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
enum dual {
  value 2;
  description "IPv4 and IPv6";
}

description "Payload Type";

leaf gre-key {
  type uint32;
  description "GRE_KEY";
}

type uint32;
  description "GRE_KEY";
}

container gtp-tunnel-info {
  leaf local-tunnel-identifier {
    type uint32;
    description "Tunnel Endpoint IDentifier (TEID)";
  }
  leaf remote-tunnel-identifier {
    type uint32;
    description "Tunnel Endpoint IDentifier (TEID)";
  }
  leaf sequence-numbers-enabled {
    type boolean;
    description "Sequence No. Enabled";
  }
  description "GTP Tunnel Information";
}

leaf ebi {
  type fpcbase:ebi-type;
  description "EPS Bearier Identifier";
}

leaf lbi {
  type fpcbase:ebi-type;
  description "Linked Bearier Identifier";
}

description "Tunnel Information";

description "Tunnel Value";
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typedef packet-filter-direction {
  type enumeration {
    enum preRel7Tft {
      value 0;
      description "Pre-Release 7 TFT";
    }
    preRel7Tft;

  }
}

// DESCRIPTOR DEFINITIONS

// From 3GPP TS 24.008 version 13.5.0 Release 13
// Packet Filter Definitions
enum uplink {
  value 1;
  description "uplink";
}
enum downlink {
  value 2;
  description "downlink";
}
enum bidirectional {
  value 3;
  description "bi-directional";
}

description "Packet Filter Direction";

typedef component-type-id {
  type uint8 {
    range "16 | 17 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 48 | 64 | 65 |
    + "80 | 81 | 96 | 112 | 128";
  }
  description "Specifies the Component Type";
}
grouping packet-filter {
  leaf direction {
    type fpcbase:packet-filter-direction;
    description "Filter Direction";
  }
  leaf identifier {
    type uint8 {
      range "1..15";
    }
    description "Filter Identifier";
  }
  leaf evaluation-precedence {
    type uint8;
    description "Evaluation Precedence";
  }
  list contents {
    key component-type-identifier;
    description "Filter Contents";
    leaf component-type-identifier {
      type fpcbase:component-type-id;
      description "Component Type";
    }
  }
  choice value {
    leaf ipv4-local {
      type inet:ipv4-address;
    }
  }
}
description "IPv4 Local Address";
}
leaf ipv6-prefix-local {
  type inet:ipv6-prefix;
  description "IPv6 Local Prefix";
}
leaf ipv4-ipv6-remote {
  type inet:ip-address;
  description "Ipv4 Ipv6 remote address";
}
leaf ipv6-prefix-remote {
  type inet:ipv6-prefix;
  description "IPv6 Remote Prefix";
}
leaf next-header {
  type uint8;
  description "Next Header";
}
leaf local-port {
  type inet:port-number;
  description "Local Port";
}
case local-port-range {
  leaf local-port-lo {
    type inet:port-number;
    description "Local Port Min Value";
  }
  leaf local-port-hi {
    type inet:port-number;
    description "Local Port Max Value";
  }
}
leaf remote-port {
  type inet:port-number;
  description "Remote Port";
}
case remote-port-range {
  leaf remote-port-lo {
    type inet:port-number;
    description "Remote Por Min Value";
  }
  leaf remote-port-hi {
    type inet:port-number;
    description "Remote Port Max Value";
  }
}
leaf ipsec-index {
  type traffic selectors:ipsec-spi;
description "IPSec Index";
}
leaf traffic-class {
    type inet:dscp;
    description "Traffic Class";
}

case traffic-class-range {
    leaf traffic-class-lo {
        type inet:dscp;
        description "Traffic Class Min Value";
    }
    leaf traffic-class-hi {
        type inet:dscp;
        description "Traffic Class Max Value";
    }
}

leaf-list flow-label {
    type inet:ipv6-flow-label;
    description "Flow Label";
    description "Component Value";
}

description "Packet Filter";
}

grouping prefix-descriptor {
    leaf destination-ip {
        type inet:ip-prefix;
        description "Rule of destination IP";
    }
    leaf source-ip {
        type inet:ip-prefix;
        description "Rule of source IP";
    }
    description "Traffic descriptor based upon source/destination as IP prefixes";
}

grouping fpc-descriptor-value {
    choice descriptor-value {
        mandatory true;
        leaf all-traffic {
            type empty;
            description "admit any";
        }
        leaf no-traffic {
            type empty;
        }
    }
}
description "deny any";
}
case prefix-descriptor {
    uses fpcbase:prefix-descriptor;
    description "IP Prefix descriptor";
}
case pmip-selector {
    uses traffic-selectors:traffic-selector;
    description "PMIP Selector";
}
container rfc5777-classifier-template {
    uses rfc5777:classifier;
    description "RFC 5777 Classifier";
}
container packet-filter {
    uses fpcbase:packet-filter;
    description "Packet Filter";
}
case tunnel-info {
    uses fpcbase:tunnel-value;
    description "Tunnel Descriptor (only considers source info)";
}
description "Descriptor Value";
}
description "FPC Descriptor Values";
}

// Next Hop Structures
typedef fpc-service-path-id {
    type uint32 {
        range "0..33554431";
    }
    description "SERVICE_PATH_ID";
}
typedef fpc-mpls-label {
    type uint32 {
        range "0..1048575";
    }
    description "MPLS label";
}
typedef segment-id {
    type string {
        length "16";
    }
    description "SR Segment Identifier";
}
grouping fpc-nexthop {

choice next-hop-value {
    leaf ip-address {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "IP Value";
    }
    leaf mac-address {
        type ytypes:mac-address;
        description "MAC Address Value";
    }
    leaf service-path {
        type fpcbase:fpc-service-path-id;
        description "Service Path Value";
    }
    leaf mpls-path {
        type fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label;
        description "MPLS Value";
    }
    leaf nsh {
        type string {
            length "16";
        }
        description "Network Service Header";
    }
    leaf interface {
        type uint16;
        description "If (interface) Value";
    }
    leaf segment-identifier {
        type fpcbase:segment-id;
        description "Segment Id";
    }
    leaf-list mpls-label-stack {
        type fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label;
        description "MPLS Stack";
    }
    leaf-list mpls-sr-stack {
        type fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label;
        description "MPLS SR Stack";
    }
    leaf-list srv6-stack {
        type fpcbase:segment-id;
        description "Segment Id";
    }
    case tunnel-info {
        uses fpcbase:tunnel-value;
        description "Tunnel Descriptor (only considers source info)";
    }
}
typedef pmip-commandset {
  type bits {
    bit assign-ip {
      position 0;
      description "Assign IP";
    }
    bit assign-dpn {
      position 1;
      description "Assign DPN";
    }
    bit session {
      position 2;
      description "Session Level";
    }
    bit uplink {
      position 3;
      description "Uplink";
    }
    bit downlink {
      position 4;
      description "Downlink";
    }
  }
  description "PMIP Instructions";
}

typedef fpc-qos-class-identifier {
  type uint8 {
    range "1..9";
  }
  description "QoS Class Identifier (QCI)";
}

typedef ebi-type {
  type uint8 {
    range "0..15";
  }
  description "EUTRAN Bearere Identifier (EBI) Type";
}
typedef imsi-type {
  type uint64;
  description "International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) Value Type";
}

// Instructions
typedef threegpp-instr {
  type bits {
    bit assign-ip {
      position 0;
      description "Assign IP Address/Prefix";
    }
    bit assign-fteid-ip {
      position 1;
      description "Assign FTEID-IP";
    }
    bit assign-fteid-teid {
      position 2;
      description "Assign FTEID-TEID";
    }
    bit session {
      position 3;
      description "Commands apply to the Session Level";
    }
    bit uplink {
      position 4;
      description "Commands apply to the Uplink";
    }
    bit downlink {
      position 5;
      description "Commands apply to the Downlink";
    }
    bit assign-dpn {
      position 6;
      description "Assign DPN";
    }
  }
  description "Instruction Set for 3GPP R11";
}

/*----------------------------------------*/
// ACTION VALUE AUGMENTS
grouping fpc-action-value {
  choice action-value {
    mandatory true;
    leaf drop {
      type empty;
    }
  }
}

description "Drop Traffic";
}
container rewrite {
    choice rewrite-value {
        case prefix-descriptor {
            uses fpcbase:prefix-descriptor;
            description "IP Prefix descriptor";
        }
        case pmip-selector {
            uses traffic-selectors:traffic-selector;
            description "PMIP Selector";
        }
        container rfc5777-classifier-template {
            uses rfc5777:classifier;
            description "RFC 5777 Classifier";
        }
        description "Rewrite Choice";
    }
    description "Rewrite/NAT value";
}
container copy-forward-nexthop {
    uses fpcbase:fpc-nexthop;
    description "Copy Forward Value";
}
container nexthop {
    uses fpcbase:fpc-nexthop;
    description "NextHop Value";
}

case qos {
    leaf trafficclass {
        type inet:dscp;
        description "Traffic Class";
    }
    uses pmipqos:qosattribute;
    leaf qci {
        type fpcbase:fpc-qos-class-identifier;
        description "QCI";
    }
    leaf ue-agg-max-bitrate {
        type uint32;
        description "UE Aggregate Max Bitrate";
    }
    leaf apn-ambr {
        type uint32;
        description "Access Point Name Aggregate Max Bit Rate";
    }
    description "QoS Attributes";
A.3. PMIP QoS Model

This module defines the base protocol elements specified in this document.

This module references [RFC6991].

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-pmip-qos@2018-05-17.yang"
module ietf-pmip-qos {
    yang-version 1.1;
    prefix "qos-pmip";
    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
        revision-date 2013-07-15;
    }
    import ietf-trafficselector-types {
        prefix traffic-selectors;
    }
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revision 2018-05-17 {
   description "Initial Revision.";
   reference "RFC 6088: Traffic Selectors for Flow Bindings";
}

// Type Definitions

// QoS Option Field Type Definitions
typedef sr-id {
   type uint8;
typedef traffic-class {
  type inet:dscp;
  description "Traffic Class consists of a 6-bit DSCP field followed by a 2-bit reserved field.";
  reference "RFC 3289: Management Information Base for the Differentiated Services Architecture"
    RFC 2474: Definition of the Differentiated Services Field (DS Field) in the IPv4 and IPv6 Headers
    RFC 2780: IANA Allocation Guidelines For Values In the Internet Protocol and Related Headers";
}

typedef operational-code {
  type enumeration {
    enum RESPONSE {
      value 0;
      description "Response to a QoS request";
    }
    enum ALLOCATE {
      value 1;
      description "Request to allocate QoS resources";
    }
    enum DE-ALLOCATE {
      value 2;
      description "Request to de-Allocate QoS resources";
    }
    enum MODIFY {
      value 3;
      description "Request to modify QoS parameters for a previously negotiated QoS Service Request";
    }
    enum QUERY {
      value 4;
      description "Query to list the previously negotiated QoS Service Requests that are still active";
    }
    enum NEGOTIATE {
      value 5;
      description "Response to a QoS Service Request with a counter QoS proposal";
    }
  }
}
typedef Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value {
    type uint32;
    description
    "The aggregate maximum downlink bit rate that is
    requested/allocated for all the mobile node’s IP flows.
    The measurement units are bits per second.";
}

typedef Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value {
    type uint32;
    description
    "The aggregate maximum uplink bit rate that is
    requested/allocated for the mobile node’s IP flows. The
    measurement units are bits per second.";
}

// Generic Structure for the uplink and downlink
grouping Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate-Value {
    leaf max-rate {
        type uint32;
        mandatory true;
        description
        "The aggregate maximum bit rate that is requested/allocated
        for all the IP flows associated with that mobility session.
        The measurement units are bits per second.";
    }
    leaf service-flag {
        type boolean;
        mandatory true;
        description
        "This flag is used for extending the scope of the
        target flows for Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate
        from(UL)/to(DL) the mobile node’s other mobility sessions
        sharing the same Service Identifier.";
        reference
        "RFC 5149 - Service Selection mobility option";
    }
    leaf exclude-flag {
        type boolean;
        mandatory true;
    }
}
description
"This flag is used to request that the uplink/downlink flows for which the network is providing Guaranteed-Bit-Rate service be excluded from the target IP flows for which Per-Session-Agg-Max-UL/DL-Bit-Rate is measured."
}
description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Value"


grouping Allocation-Retention-Priority-Value {
  leaf priority-level {
    type uint8 {
      range "0..15";
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
    "This is a 4-bit unsigned integer value. It is used to decide whether a mobility session establishment or modification request can be accepted; this is typically used for admission control of Guaranteed Bit Rate traffic in case of resource limitations."
  }
  leaf preemption-capability {
    type enumeration {
      enum enabled {
        value 0;
        description "enabled";
      }
      enum disabled {
        value 1;
        description "disabled";
      }
      enum reserved1 {
        value 2;
        description "reserved1";
      }
      enum reserved2 {
        value 3;
        description "reserved2";
      }
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
    "This is a 2-bit unsigned integer value. It defines whether a service data flow can get resources that were already assigned to another service data flow with a lower priority level.";
  }
}
leaf preemption-vulnerability {
    type enumeration {
        enum enabled {
            value 0;
            description "enabled";
        }
        enum disabled {
            value 1;
            description "disabled";
        }
        enum reserved1 {
            value 2;
            description "reserved1";
        }
        enum reserved2 {
            value 3;
            description "reserved2";
        }
    }
    mandatory true;
    description "This is a 2-bit unsigned integer value. It defines whether a service data flow can lose the resources assigned to it in order to admit a service data flow with a higher priority level.";
}
description "Allocation-Retention-Priority Value";
}
typedef Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value {
    type uint32;
    description "The aggregate maximum downlink bit rate that is requested/allocated for downlink IP flows. The measurement units are bits per second.";
}
typedef Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value {
    type uint32;
    description "The aggregate maximum uplink bit rate that is requested/allocated for uplink IP flows. The measurement units are bits per second.";
}
typedef Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate-Value {
    type uint32;
}
typedef Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate-Value {
  type uint32;
  description
  "The guaranteed bandwidth in bits per second for uplink IP flows. The measurement units are bits per second.";
}

grouping QoS-Vendor-Specific-Attribute-Value-Base {
  leaf vendorid {
    type uint32;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "The Vendor ID is the SMI (Structure of Management Information) Network Management Private Enterprise Code of the IANA-maintained 'Private Enterprise Numbers' registry.";
    reference
  }
  leaf subtype {
    type uint8;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "An 8-bit field indicating the type of vendor-specific information carried in the option. The namespace for this sub-type is managed by the vendor identified by the Vendor ID field.";
  }
  description
  "QoS Vendor-Specific Attribute.";
}

//Primary Structures (groupings)
grouping qosattribute {
  leaf per-mn-agg-max-dl {
    type qos-pmip:Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate Value";
  }
  leaf per-mn-agg-max-ul {
    type qos-pmip:Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate Value";
  }
}
container per-session-agg-max-dl {
    uses qos-pmip:Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Value";
}

container per-session-agg-max-ul {
    uses qos-pmip:Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Per-Session-Agg-Max-Bit-Rate Value";
}

uses qos-pmip:Allocation-Retention-Priority-Value;
leaf agg-max-dl {
    type qos-pmip:Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate Value";
}

leaf agg-max-ul {
    type qos-pmip:Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate Value";
}

leaf gbr-dl {
    type qos-pmip:Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate Value";
}

leaf gbr-ul {
    type qos-pmip:Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate-Value;
    description "Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate Value";
}

description "PMIP QoS Attributes. Note Vendor option is not a part of this grouping";
}

grouping qsoption {
    leaf srid {
        type sr-id;
        mandatory true;
        description "Service Request Identifier";
    }

    leaf trafficclass {
        type traffic-class;
        mandatory true;
        description "Traffic Class";
    }

    leaf operationcode {
        type operational-code;
        mandatory true;
        description "Operation Code";
    }

    uses qos-pmip:qosattribute;
    uses qos-pmip:QoS-Vendor-Specific-Attribute-Value-Base;
container traffic-selector {
  uses traffic-selectors:traffic-selector;
  description "traffic selector";
}

description "PMIP QoS Option";

A.4. Traffic Selectors YANG Model

This module defines traffic selector types commonly used in Proxy Mobile IP (PMIP).

This module references [RFC6991].

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-trafficselector-types@2018-05-17.yang"
module ietf-trafficselector-types {
  yang-version 1.1;
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  prefix "traffic-selectors";

  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
    revision-date 2013-07-15;
  }

  organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) Working Group";

  contact "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
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  WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
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This module contains a collection of YANG definitions for traffic selectors for flow bindings.
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revision 2018-05-17 {
  description
    "Initial Revision.";
  reference
    "RFC 6088: Traffic Selectors for Flow Bindings";
}

// Identities
identity traffic-selector-format {
  description
    "The base type for Traffic-Selector Formats";
}

identity ipv4-binary-selector-format {
  base traffic-selector-format;
  description
    "IPv4 Binary Traffic Selector Format";
}

identity ipv6-binary-selector-format {
  base traffic-selector-format;
  description
    "IPv6 Binary Traffic Selector Format";
}

// Type definitions and groupings
typedef ipsec-spi {
  type uint32;
  description
    "The first 32-bit IPsec Security Parameter Index (SPI) value on data. This field is defined in [RFC4303].";
}
reference
"RFC 4303: IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)";
}

grouping traffic-selector-base {
   description "A grouping of the common leaves between the v4 and v6 Traffic Selectors";
   container ipsec-spi-range {
      presence "Enables setting ipsec spi range";
      description "Inclusive range representing IPSec Security Parameter Indices to be used. When only start-spi is present, it represents a single spi.";
      leaf start-spi {
         type ipsec-spi;
         mandatory true;
         description "The first 32-bit IPsec SPI value on data.";
      }
      leaf end-spi {
         type ipsec-spi;
         must ". >= ../start-spi" {
            error-message "The end-spi must be greater than or equal to start-spi";
         }
         description "If more than one contiguous SPI value needs to be matched, then this field indicates the end value of a range.";
      }
   }
   container source-port-range {
      presence "Enables setting source port range";
      description "Inclusive range representing source ports to be used. When only start-port is present, it represents a single port. These value(s) are from the range of port numbers defined by IANA (http://www.iana.org).";
      leaf start-port {
         type inet:port-number;
         mandatory true;
         description "The first 16-bit source port number to be matched";
      }
      leaf end-port {
         type inet:port-number;
         must ". >= ../start-port" {
            "The end-port must be greater than or equal to start-port";
         }
         description "If more than one contiguous port value needs to be matched, then this field indicates the end value of a range.";
      }
   }
}
error-message
   "The end-port must be greater than or equal to start-port";
} description
   "The last 16-bit source port number to be matched";
}
}
container destination-port-range {
   presence "Enables setting destination port range";
   description
   "Inclusive range representing destination ports to be used. When only start-port is present, it represents a single port.";
   leaf start-port {
      type inet:port-number;
      mandatory true;
      description
      "The first 16-bit destination port number to be matched";
   }
   leaf end-port {
      type inet:port-number;
      must ".=../start-port" {
         error-message
         "The end-port must be greater than or equal to start-port";
      }
      description
      "The last 16-bit destination port number to be matched";
   }
}
}

grouping ipv4-binary-traffic-selector {
   container source-address-range-v4 {
      presence "Enables setting source IPv4 address range";
      description
      "Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used. When only start-address is present, it represents a single address.";
      leaf start-address {
         type inet:ipv4-address;
         mandatory true;
         description
         "The first source address to be matched";
      }
      leaf end-address {
         type inet:ipv4-address;
         description
         "The last source address to be matched";
      }
}

"The last source address to be matched";
}
} container destination-address-range-v4 {
  presence "Enables setting destination IPv4 address range";
  description
  "Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used.
  When only start-address is present, it represents a
  single address.";
  leaf start-address {
    type inet:ipv4-address;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "The first destination address to be matched";
  }
  leaf end-address {
    type inet:ipv4-address;
    description
    "The last destination address to be matched";
  }
}
} container ds-range {
  presence "Enables setting dscp range";
  description
  "Inclusive range representing DiffServ Codepoints to be used.
  When only start-ds is present, it represents a single
  Codepoint.";
  leaf start-ds {
    type inet:dscp;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "The first differential service value to be matched";
  }
  leaf end-ds {
    type inet:dscp;
    must ". >= ../start-ds" {
      error-message
      "The end-ds must be greater than or equal to start-ds";
    }
    description
    "The last differential service value to be matched";
  }
}
} container protocol-range {
  presence "Enables setting protocol range";
  description
  "Inclusive range representing IP protocol(s) to be used. When
  only start-protocol is present, it represents a single
protocol.

leaf start-protocol {
  type uint8;
  mandatory true;
  description  
                "The first 8-bit protocol value to be matched.";
}

leaf end-protocol {
  type uint8;
  must ". >= ../start-protocol" {
    error-message
                "The end-protocol must be greater than or equal to 
                start-protocol";
  }
  description 
                "The last 8-bit protocol value to be matched.";
}

description "ipv4 binary traffic selector";

grouping ipv6-binary-traffic-selector {
  container source-address-range-v6 {
    presence "Enables setting source IPv6 address range";
    description  
                "Inclusive range representing IPv6 addresses to be used. 
                When only start-address is present, it represents a 
                single address.";
    leaf start-address {
      type inet:ipv6-address;
      mandatory true;
      description  
                "The first source address, from the 
                range of 128-bit IPv6 addresses to be matched";
    }
    leaf end-address {
      type inet:ipv6-address;
      description  
                "The last source address, from the 
                range of 128-bit IPv6 addresses to be matched";
    }
  }
  container destination-address-range-v6 {
    presence "Enables setting destination IPv6 address range";
    description  
                "Inclusive range representing IPv6 addresses to be used. 
                When only start-address is present, it represents a 
                single address.";
    leaf start-address {

type inet:ipv6-address;
mandatory true;
description
"The first destination address, from the
range of 128-bit IPv6 addresses to be matched";
}
leaf end-address {
  type inet:ipv6-address;
description
  "The last destination address, from the
  range of 128-bit IPv6 addresses to be matched";
}
}
container flow-label-range {
  presence "Enables setting Flow Label range";
description
  "Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used. When
  only start-flow-label is present, it represents a single
  flow label.";
leaf start-flow-label {
  type inet:ipv6-flow-label;
description
  "The first flow label value to be matched";
}
leaf end-flow-label {
  type inet:ipv6-flow-label;
must ". >= ../start-flow-label" {
    error-message
    "The end-flow-lable must be greater than or equal to
     start-flow-label";
  }
description
  "The first flow label value to be matched";
}
}
container traffic-class-range {
  presence "Enables setting the traffic class range";
description
  "Inclusive range representing IPv4 addresses to be used. When
  only start-traffic-class is present, it represents a single
  traffic class.";
leaf start-traffic-class {
  type inet:dscp;
description
  "The first traffic class value to be matched";
  reference
  "RFC 3260: New Terminology and Clarifications for Diffserv
  RFC 3168: The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification
(ECN) to IP;
}
leaf end-traffic-class {
  type inet:dscp;
  must ". >= ../start-traffic-class" {
    error-message
    "The end-traffic-class must be greater than or equal to
    start-traffic-class";
  }
  description
  "The last traffic class value to be matched";
}
}
container next-header-range {
  presence "Enables setting Next Header range";
  description
  "Inclusive range representing Next Headers to be used. When
  only start-next-header is present, it represents a
  single Next Header."
leaf start-next-header {
  type uint8;
  description
  "The first 8-bit next header value to be matched.";
}
leaf end-next-header {
  type uint8;
  must ". >= ../start-next-header" {
    error-message
    "The end-next-header must be greater than or equal to
    start-next-header";
  }
  description
  "The last 8-bit next header value to be matched.";
}
}
description "ipv6 binary traffic selector";
}

grouping traffic-selector {
  leaf ts-format {
    type identityref {
      base traffic-selector-format;
    }
    description "Traffic Selector Format";
  }
  uses traffic-selectors:traffic-selector-base;
  uses traffic-selectors:ipv4-binary-traffic-selector;
  uses traffic-selectors:ipv6-binary-traffic-selector;
}
description
"The traffic selector includes the parameters used to match
packets for a specific flow binding."

reference
"RFC 6089: Flow Bindings in Mobile IPv6 and Network
Mobility (NEMO) Basic Support";

A.5. RFC 5777 Classifier YANG Model

This module defines the RFC 5777 Classifier.

This module references [RFC5777].

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-diam-trafficclassifier@2018-05-17.yang"
module ietf-diam-trafficclassifier {
  yang-version 1.1;

  namespace
  "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-diam-trafficclassifier";

  prefix "diamclassifier";

  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
    revision-date 2013-07-15;
  }

  import ietf-yang-types { prefix yang-types; }

  organization "IETF Distributed Mobility Management (DMM)
  Working Group";

  contact
  "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
  WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

  WG Chair: Dapeng Liu
  <mailto:maxpassion@gmail.com>

  WG Chair: Sri Gundavelli
  <mailto:sgundave@cisco.com>

  Editor: Satoru Matsushima
  <mailto:satoru.matsushima@g.softbank.co.jp>

  Editor: Lyle Bertz

typedef eui64-address-type {
    type string {
        length "6";
    }
    description
        "specifies a single layer 2 address in EUI-64 format.
        The value is an 8-octet encoding of the address as
        it would appear in the frame header."
}

typedef direction-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum IN {
            value 0;
            description
                "Applies to flows from the managed terminal."
        }
        enum OUT {
            value 1;
            description
                "Applies to flows to the managed terminal."
        }
    }
}
enum BOTH {
    value 2;
    description
        "Applies to flows both to and from the managed
terminal.";
}

description
    "Specifies in which direction to apply the classifier.";
}
typedef negated-flag-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum False { value 0;
            description "false"; }
        enum True { value 1;
            description "True"; }
    }
    description
        "When set to True, the meaning of the match is
inverted and the classifier will match addresses
other than those specified by the From-Spec or
To-Spec AVP.

Note that the negation does not impact the port
comparisons.";
}
grouping index {
    leaf index {
        type uint16;
        mandatory true;
        description "Identifier used for referencing";
    }
    description "Index Value";
}
grouping to-from-spec-value {
    leaf-list ip-address {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "IP address";
    }
}
list ip-address-range {
    key index;
    uses diamclassifier:index;
    leaf ip-address-start {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "IP Address Start";
    }
    leaf ip-address-end {
        type inet:ip-address;
    }
description "IP Address End";
}
description "IP Address Range";
}

leaf-list ip-address-mask {
    type inet:ip-prefix;
    description "IP Address Mask";
}

leaf-list mac-address {
    type yang-types:mac-address;
    description "MAC address";
}

list mac-address-mask {
    key mac-address;
    leaf mac-address {
        type yang-types:mac-address;
        mandatory true;
        description "MAC address";
    }
    leaf macaddress-mask-pattern {
        type yang-types:mac-address;
        mandatory true;
        description "The value specifies the bit positions of a MAC address that are taken for matching.";
    }
    description "MAC Address Mask";
}

leaf-list eui64-address {
    type diamclassifier:eui64-address-type;
    description "EUI64 Address";
}

list eui64-address-mask {
    key eui64-address;
    leaf eui64-address {
        type diamclassifier:eui64-address-type;
        mandatory true;
        description "eui64 address";
    }
    leaf eui64-address-mask-pattern {
        type diamclassifier:eui64-address-type;
        mandatory true;
        description "The value is 8 octets specifying the bit positions of a EUI64 address that are taken for matching.";
    }
    description "EUI64 Address Mask";
leaf-list port {
    type inet:port-number;
    description "Port Number";
}

list port-range {
    key index;
    uses diamclassifier:index;
    leaf ip-address-start {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "Port Start";
    }
    leaf ip-address-end {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "Port End";
    }
    description "Port Range";
}

leaf negated {
    type diamclassifier:negated-flag-type;
    description "Negated";
}

leaf use-assigned-address {
    type boolean;
    description "Use Assigned Address";
}

description "Basic traffic description value";

grouping option-type-group {
    leaf option-type {
        type uint8;
        mandatory true;
        description "Option Type";
    }
    leaf-list ip-option-value {
        type string;
        description "Option Value";
    }
    leaf negated {
        type diamclassifier:negated-flag-type;
        description "Negated";
    }
    description "Common X Option Pattern";
}
typedef vlan-id {
    type uint32 {

range "0..4095";
}
description "VLAN ID";
}

grouping classifier {
  leaf protocol {
    type uint8;
    description "Protocol";
  }
  leaf direction {
    type diamclassifier:direction-type;
    description "Direction";
  }
}

list from-spec {
  key index;
  uses diamclassifier:index;
  uses diamclassifier:to-from-spec-value;
  description "from specification";
}

list to-spec {
  key index;
  uses diamclassifier:index;
  uses diamclassifier:to-from-spec-value;
  description "to specification";
}

leaf-list disffserv-code-point {
  type inet:dscp;
  description "DSCP";
}

leaf fragmentation-flag {
  type enumeration {
    enum DF {
      value 0;
      description "Don’t Fragment";
    }
    enum MF {
      value 1;
      description "More Fragments";
    }
  }
  description "Fragmentation Flag";
}

list ip-option {
  key option-type;
  uses diamclassifier:option-type-group;
  description "IP Option Value";
}
list tcp-option {
    key option-type;
    uses diamclassifier:option-type-group;
    description "TCP Option Value";
}

list tcp-flag {
    key tcp-flag-type;
    leaf tcp-flag-type {
        type uint32;
        mandatory true;
        description "TCP Flag Type";
    }
    leaf negated {
        type diamclassifier:negated-flag-type;
        description "Negated";
    }
    description "TCP Flags";
}

list icmp-option {
    key option-type;
    uses diamclassifier:option-type-group;
    description "ICMP Option Value";
}

list eth-option {
    key index;
    uses diamclassifier:index;
    container eth-proto-type {
        leaf-list eth-ether-type {
            type string {
                length "2";
            }
            description "value of ethertype field";
        }
        leaf-list eth-sap {
            type string {
                length "2";
            }
            description "802.2 SAP";
        }
        description "Ether Proto Type";
    }
    list vlan-id-range {
        key index;
        uses diamclassifier:index;
        leaf-list s-vlan-id-start {
            type diamclassifier:vlan-id;
            description "S-VID VLAN ID Start";
        }
    }
}
leaf-list s-vlan-id-end {
    type diamclassifier:vlan-id;
    description "S-VID VLAN ID End";
}
leaf-list c-vlan-id-start {
    type diamclassifier:vlan-id;
    description "C-VID VLAN ID Start";
}
leaf-list c-vlan-id-end {
    type diamclassifier:vlan-id;
    description "C-VID VLAN ID End";
}
description "VLAN ID Range";
}
list user-priority-range {
    key index;
    uses diamclassifier:index;
    leaf-list low-user-priority {
        type uint32 {
            range "0..7";
        }
        description "Low User Priority";
    }
    leaf-list high-user-priority {
        type uint32 {
            range "0..7";
        }
        description "High User Priority";
    }
    description "User priority range";
    description "Ether Option";
    description "RFC 5777 Classifier";
}

<CODE ENDS>

Appendix B.  FPC YANG Tree Structure

This section only shows the structure for FPC YANG model.  NOTE, it does NOT show the settings, Action values or Descriptor Value.

descriptor_value:
    +++-rw (descriptor-value)
    |    +++-:(all-traffic)
    |    |    +++-rw all-traffic?
    |    |    empty
    |    +++-:(no-traffic)
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+--rw no-traffic?                     empty
++--:(prefix-descriptor)
  +--rw destination-ip?                 inet:ip-prefix
  +--rw source-ip?                      inet:ip-prefix
++--:(pmip-selector)
  +--rw ts-format?                      identityref
    ++--rw ipsec-spi-range!
      +--rw start-spi    ipsec-spi
      +--rw end-spi?     ipsec-spi
    ++--rw source-port-range!
      +--rw start-port    inet:port-number
      +--rw end-port?     inet:port-number
    ++--rw destination-port-range!
      +--rw start-port    inet:port-number
      +--rw end-port?     inet:port-number
    ++--rw source-address-range-v4!
      +--rw start-address    inet:ipv4-address
      +--rw end-address?     inet:ipv4-address
    ++--rw destination-address-range-v4!
      +--rw start-address    inet:ipv4-address
      +--rw end-address?     inet:ipv4-address
    ++--rw ds-range!
      +--rw start-ds    inet:dscp
      +--rw end-ds?     inet:dscp
    ++--rw protocol-range!
      +--rw start-protocol    uint8
      +--rw end-protocol?     uint8
    ++--rw source-address-range-v6!
      +--rw start-address    inet:ipv6-address
      +--rw end-address?     inet:ipv6-address
    ++--rw destination-address-range-v6!
      +--rw start-address    inet:ipv6-address
      +--rw end-address?     inet:ipv6-address
    ++--rw flow-label-range!
      +--rw start-flow-label?   inet:ipv6-flow-label
      +--rw end-flow-label?     inet:ipv6-flow-label
    ++--rw traffic-class-range!
      +--rw start-traffic-class?   inet:dscp
      +--rw end-traffic-class?     inet:dscp
    ++--rw next-header-range!
      +--rw start-next-header?   uint8
      +--rw end-next-header?     uint8
++--:(rfc5777-classifier-template)
  +--rw rfc5777-classifier-template
    +--rw protocol?               uint8
    +--rw direction?              diamclassifier:direction-type
    +--rw from-spec* [index]
      +--rw index                   uint16
++--rw ip-address* inet:ip-address
++--rw ip-address-range* [index]
  +--rw index uint16
  +--rw ip-address-start? inet:ip-address
  +--rw ip-address-end? inet:ip-address
++--rw ip-address-mask* inet:ip-prefix
++--rw mac-address* yang-types:mac-address
++--rw mac-address-mask* [mac-address]
  +--rw mac-address yang-types:mac-address
  +--rw macaddress-mask-pattern yang-types:mac-address
++--rw eui64-address*
  diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
|++--rw eui64-address* [eui64-address]
  +--rw eui64-address
diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
|++--rw eui64-address-mask* [eui64-address]
  diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
  +--rw eui64-address
diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
++--rw port* inet:port-number
++--rw port-range* [index]
  +--rw index uint16
  +--rw ip-address-start? inet:port-number
  +--rw ip-address-end? inet:port-number
++--rw negated?
  diamclassifier:negated-flag-type
++--rw use-assigned-address? boolean
++--rw to-spec* [index]
  +--rw index uint16
  +--rw ip-address* inet:ip-address
++--rw ip-address-range* [index]
  +--rw index uint16
  +--rw ip-address-start? inet:ip-address
  +--rw ip-address-end? inet:ip-address
++--rw ip-address-mask* inet:ip-prefix
++--rw mac-address* yang-types:mac-address
++--rw mac-address-mask* [mac-address]
  +--rw mac-address yang-types:mac-address
  +--rw macaddress-mask-pattern yang-types:mac-address
++--rw eui64-address*
  diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
|++--rw eui64-address* [eui64-address]
  +--rw eui64-address
diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
|++--rw eui64-address-mask* [eui64-address]
  diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
  +--rw eui64-address
diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
++--rw port* inet:port-number
++--rw port-range* [index]
  +--rw index uint16
  +--rw ip-address-start? inet:port-number
+-rw ip-address-end? inet:port-number
  +-rw negated?
    diamclassifier:negated-flag-type
  +-rw use-assigned-address? boolean
+-rw disffserv-code-point* inet:dscp
+-rw fragmentation-flag? enumeration
+-rw ip-option* [option-type]
  +-rw option-type uint8
  +-rw ip-option-value* string
  +-rw negated? diamclassifier:negated-flag-type
+-rw tcp-option* [option-type]
  +-rw option-type uint8
  +-rw ip-option-value* string
  +-rw negated? diamclassifier:negated-flag-type
+-rw tcp-flag* [tcp-flag-type]
  +-rw tcp-flag-type uint32
  +-rw negated? diamclassifier:negated-flag-type
+-rw icmp-option* [option-type]
  +-rw option-type uint8
  +-rw ip-option-value* string
  +-rw negated? diamclassifier:negated-flag-type
+-rw eth-option* [index]
  +-rw index uint16
  +-rw eth-ether-type* string
  +-rw eth-sap* string
+-rw vlan-id-range* [index]
  +-rw index uint16
  +-rw s-vlan-id-start* diamclassifier:vlan-id
  +-rw s-vlan-id-end* diamclassifier:vlan-id
  +-rw c-vlan-id-start* diamclassifier:vlan-id
  +-rw c-vlan-id-end* diamclassifier:vlan-id
+-rw user-priority-range* [index]
  +-rw index uint16
  +-rw low-user-priority* uint32
  +-rw high-user-priority* uint32
+-:(packet-filter)
  +-rw packet-filter
    +-rw direction? fpckbase:packet-filter-direction
    +-rw identifier? uint8
    +-rw evaluation-precedence? uint8
    +-rw contents* [component-type-identifier]
      +-rw component-type-identifier fpckbase:component-type-id
        +-rw (value)?
          +-:(ipv4-local)
            +-rw ipv4-local? inet:ipv4-address
          +-:(ipv6-prefix-local)
            +-rw ipv6-prefix-local? inet:ipv6-prefix
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+---:(ipv4-ipv6-remote)
  | +--rw ipv4-ipv6-remote? inet:ip-address
+---:(ipv6-prefix-remote)
  | +--rw ipv6-prefix-remote? inet:ipv6-prefix
+---:(next-header)
  | +--rw next-header? uint8
+---:(local-port)
  | +--rw local-port? inet:port-number
+---:(local-port-range)
  | +--rw local-port-lo? inet:port-number
  | +--rw local-port-hi? inet:port-number
+---:(remote-port)
  | +--rw remote-port? inet:port-number
+---:(remote-port-range)
  | +--rw remote-port-lo? inet:port-number
  | +--rw remote-port-hi? inet:port-number
+---:(ipsec-index)
  | +--rw ipsec-index? traffic-selectors:ipsec-spi
+---:(traffic-class)
  | +--rw traffic-class? inet:dscp
+---:(traffic-class-range)
  | +--rw traffic-class-lo? inet:dscp
  | +--rw traffic-class-hi? inet:dscp
+---:(flow-label)
  | +--rw flow-label* inet:ipv6-flow-label
+---:(tunnel-info)
  +--rw tunnel-info
    +--rw tunnel-local-address? inet:ip-address
    +--rw tunnel-remote-address? inet:ip-address
    +--rw mtu-size? uint32
    +--rw tunnel? identityref
    +--rw payload-type? enumeration
    +--rw gre-key? uint32
    +--rw gtp-tunnel-info
      | +--rw local-tunnel-identifier? uint32
      | +--rw remote-tunnel-identifier? uint32
      | +--rw sequence-numbers-enabled? boolean
    +--rw lbi? fpcbase:ebi-type
    +--rw lbi? fpcbase:ebi-type

action_value:
+---:(action-value)
  +--rw (action-value)
    +--:(drop)
      | +--rw drop? empty
    +--:(rewrite)
      | +--rw rewrite
        +--rw (rewrite-value)?
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++-rw ip-address*             inet:ip-address
++-rw ip-address-range* [index]
  +--rw index              uint16
  |  +--rw ip-address-start?    inet:ip-address
  |  +--rw ip-address-end?     inet:ip-address
  +--rw ip-address-mask*        inet:ip-prefix
++-rw mac-address*     yang-types:mac-address
  +--rw mac-address-mask* [mac-address]
     +--rw mac-address
        |  +--rw macaddress-mask-pattern
        |  +--rw eui64-address*
           diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
              +--rw eui64-address-mask* [eui64-address]
                 +--rw eui64-address
                    +--rw eui64-address-mask-pattern
                    +--rw port*                 inet:port-number
                    +--rw port-range* [index]
                       +--rw index              uint16
                       +--rw ip-address-start?    inet:port-number
                       +--rw ip-address-end?     inet:port-number
                       +--rw negated?            diamclassifier:negated-flag-type
                         +--rw use-assigned-address?   boolean
++-rw to-spec* [index]
  +--rw index              uint16
  +--rw ip-address*             inet:ip-address
  +--rw ip-address-range* [index]
     +--rw index              uint16
     |  +--rw ip-address-start?    inet:ip-address
     |  +--rw ip-address-end?     inet:ip-address
     +--rw ip-address-mask*        inet:ip-prefix
++-rw mac-address*             yang-types:mac-address
     +--rw mac-address-mask* [mac-address]
        +--rw mac-address
           |  +--rw macaddress-mask-pattern
           +--rw eui64-address*
              diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
                 +--rw eui64-address-mask* [eui64-address]
                    +--rw eui64-address
                       +--rw eui64-address-mask-pattern
diamclassifier:eui64-address-type
  +--rw port*               inet:port-number
  +--rw port-range* [index]
    |  +--rw index           uint16
    |  +--rw ip-address-start? inet:port-number
    |  +--rw ip-address-end?  inet:port-number
    +--rw negated?
      +--rw use-assigned-address? boolean
  +--rw difffserv-code-point* inet:dscp
  +--rw fragmentation-flag? enumeration
  +--rw ip-option* [option-type]
    |  +--rw option-type      uint8
    |  +--rw ip-option-value* string
    |  +--rw negated?
      +--rw tcp-option* [option-type]
        |  +--rw option-type      uint8
        |  +--rw ip-option-value* string
        |  +--rw negated?
          +--rw tcp-flag* [tcp-flag-type]
            |  +--rw tcp-flag-type    uint32
            |  +--rw negated?
          +--rw icmp-option* [option-type]
            |  +--rw option-type      uint8
            |  +--rw ip-option-value* string
            |  +--rw negated?
          +--rw eth-option* [index]
            |  +--rw index            uint16
            |  +--rw eth-proto-type
            |    +--rw eth-ether-type* string
            |    +--rw eth-sap*        string
            +--rw vlan-id-range* [index]
                |  +--rw index           uint16
                |  +--rw s-vlan-id-start*
                |      +--rw s-vlan-id-end*
                |        +--rw c-vlan-id-start*
                |        +--rw c-vlan-id-end*
                +--rw user-priority-range* [index]
                    |  +--rw index           uint16
                    |  +--rw low-user-priority* uint32
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| +--rw high-user-priority*   uint32
| ---:(copy-forward-nexthop)
| +--rw copy-forward-nexthop
| +--rw (next-hop-value)?
|    | ---:(ip-address)
|    |    | +--rw ip-address?           inet:ip-address
|    | ---:(mac-address)
|    |    | +--rw mac-address?          ytypes:mac-address
|    | ---:(service-path)
|    |    | +--rw service-path?   fpcbase:fpc-service-path-id
|    | ---:(mpls-path)
|    |    | +--rw mpls-path?            fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label
|    | ---:(nsh)
|    |    | +--rw nsh?                  string
|    | ---:(interface)
|    |    | +--rw interface?            uint16
|    | ---:(segment-identifier)
|    |    | +--rw segment-identifier?   fpcbase:segment-id
|    | ---:(mpls-label-stack)
|    |    | +--rw mpls-label-stack*     fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label
|    | ---:(mpls-sr-stack)
|    |    | +--rw mpls-sr-stack*        fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label
|    | ---:(srv6-stack)
|    |    | +--rw srv6-stack*           fpcbase:segment-id
|    | ---:(tunnel-info)
|    |    | +--rw tunnel-info
|    |    |    | +--rw tunnel-local-address? inet:ip-address
|    |    |    | +--rw tunnel-remote-address? inet:ip-address
|    |    |    | +--rw mtu-size?              uint32
|    |    |    | +--rw tunnel?                identityref
|    |    |    | +--rw payload-type?          enumeration
|    |    |    | +--rw gre-key?               uint32
|    |    |    |    | ---:local-tunnel-identifier? uint32
|    |    |    |    | ---:remote-tunnel-identifier? uint32
|    |    |    |    | ---:sequence-numbers-enabled? boolean
|    |    |    | +--rw ebi?                   fpcbase:ebi-type
|    |    |    | +--rw lbi?                   fpcbase:ebi-type
| ---:(nexthop)
| +--rw nexthop
|    | +--rw (next-hop-value)?
|    |    | ---:(ip-address)
|    |    |    | +--rw ip-address?           inet:ip-address
|    |    | ---:(mac-address)
|    |    |    | +--rw mac-address?          ytypes:mac-address
|    | ---:(service-path)
|    |    | +--rw service-path?   fpcbase:fpc-service-path-id
|    | ---:(mpls-path)
Internet-Draft              DMM FPC Protocol                   June 2018

|     |        |  +--rw mpls-path?            fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label 
|     |        +--:(nsh)          
|     |        |  +--rw nsh?                  string       
|     |        +--:(interface)     
|     |        |  +--rw interface?            uint16 
|     |        +--:(segment-identifier)   
|     |        |  +--rw segment-identifier?   fpcbase:segment-id 
|     |        +--:(mpls-label-stack)  
|     |        |  +--rw mpls-label-stack*     fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label 
|     |        +--:(mpls-sr-stack) 
|     |        |  +--rw mpls-sr-stack*        fpcbase:fpc-mpls-label 
|     |        +--:(srv6-stack)     
|     |        |  +--rw srv6-stack*           fpcbase:segment-id 
|     |        +--:(tunnel-info)   
|     |        |  +--rw tunnel-info          
|     |        |     +--rw tunnel-local-address?    inet:ip-address 
|     |        |     +--rw tunnel-remote-address?    inet:ip-address 
|     |        |     +--rw mtu-size?           uint32 
|     |        |     +--rw tunnel?             identityref 
|     |        |     +--rw payload-type?       enumeration 
|     |        |     +--rw gre-key?            uint32 
|     |        |     +--rw gtp-tunnel-info     
|     |        |     |  +--rw local-tunnel-identifier?    uint32 
|     |        |     |  +--rw remote-tunnel-identifier?    uint32 
|     |        |     |  +--rw sequence-numbers-enabled?   boolean 
|     |        |     +--rw ebi?                     fpcbase:ebi-type 
|     |        |     +--rw lbi?                     fpcbase:ebi-type 
|     |        +--:(qos)            
|     |        |  +--rw trafficclass?                 inet:dscp 
|     |        |  +--rw per-mn-agg-max-dl?       
|     |        |  |  +--rw qos-pmip:Per-MN-Agg-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value   
|     |        |  +--rw per-mn-agg-max-ul?       
|     |        |  |  +--rw qos-pmip:Per-MN-Agg-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value   
|     |        |  +--rw per-session-agg-max-dl   
|     |        |  |  +--rw max-rate        uint32 
|     |        |  |  +--rw service-flag    boolean 
|     |        |  |  +--rw exclude-flag    boolean 
|     |        |  +--rw per-session-agg-max-ul  
|     |        |  |  +--rw max-rate        uint32 
|     |        |  |  +--rw service-flag    boolean 
|     |        |  |  +--rw exclude-flag    boolean 
|     |        |  +--rw priority-level                  uint8 
|     |        |  +--rw preemption-capability        enumeration 
|     |        |  +--rw preemption-vulnerability     enumeration 
|     |        |  +--rw agg-max-dl?               
|     |        |  |  +--rw qos-pmip:Aggregate-Max-DL-Bit-Rate-Value   
|     |        |  +--rw agg-max-ul?                
|     |        |  |  +--rw qos-pmip:Aggregate-Max-UL-Bit-Rate-Value   
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+--rw gbr-dl?
    qos-pmip:Guaranteed-DL-Bit-Rate-Value
+--rw gbr-ul?
    qos-pmip:Guaranteed-UL-Bit-Rate-Value
+--rw qci?
    fpcbase:fpc-qos-class-identifier
+--rw ue-agg-max-bitrate?   uint32
+--rw apn-ambr?   uint32

policy-configuration-value:
+--rw (policy-configuration-value)?
    +--:(descriptor-value)
    |    ...
    +--:(action-value)
    |    ...
    +--:(setting-value)
      +--rw setting?                        <anydata>

policy-configuration:
+--rw policy-configuration* [index]
    +--rw index                           uint16
    +--rw extensible?             boolean
    +--rw static-attributes*      string
    +--rw mandatory-attributes*   string
    +--rw entity-state?           enumeration
    +--rw version?                uint32
    +--rw (policy-configuration-value)?
      ...

module: ietf-dmm-fpc
+--rw tenant* [tenant-key]
    +--rw tenant-key                   fpc:fpc-identity
+--rw topology-information-model
    +--rw service-group* [service-group-key role-key]
        +--rw service-group-key  fpc:fpc-identity
        +--rw service-group-name?  string
        +--rw role-key             identityref
        +--rw role-name?           string
        +--rw protocol*            identityref
        +--rw feature*             identityref
        +--rw service-group-configuration* [index]
            +--rw index                           uint16
            +--rw (policy-configuration-value)?
            |    ...
            +--rw dpn* [dpn-key]
                +--rw dpn-key                      fpc:fpc-identity
                +--rw referenced-interface* [interface-key]
                |    +--rw interface-key            fpc:fpc-identity
```plaintext
+-rw descriptor-template-key   fpc:fpc-identity
+-rw (descriptor-value)
 |   ...
+-rw extensible?              boolean
+-rw static-attributes*      string
+-rw mandatory-attributes*   string
+-rw entity-state?           enumeration
+-rw version?                uint32
+-rw rule-template* [rule-template-key]
 |   +-rw rule-template-key   fpc:fpc-identity
 |     +-rw descriptor-match-type   enumeration
 |     +-rw descriptor-configuration* [descriptor-template-key]
 |     |   +-rw descriptor-template-key    fpc:fpc-identity
 |     |     +-rw direction?                 rfc5777:direction-type
 |     |     +-rw setting?                   <anydata>
 |     |     +-rw attribute-expression* [index]
 |     |     |   +-rw index                           uint16
 |     |     |   +-rw (descriptor-value)
 |     |     |     ...
 |     +-rw action-configuration* [action-order]
 |     |   +-rw action-order                 uint32
 |     |     +-rw action-template-key   fpc:fpc-identity
 |     |     +-rw setting?                   <anydata>
 |     |     +-rw attribute-expression* [index]
 |     |     |   +-rw index                           uint16
 |     |     |   +-rw (action-value)
 |     |     |     ...
 |     +-rw extensible?              boolean
 |     +-rw static-attributes*      string
 |     +-rw mandatory-attributes*   string
 |     +-rw entity-state?           enumeration
 |     +-rw version?                uint32
 |     +-rw rule-configuration* [index]
 |     |   +-rw index    uint16
 |     |     +-rw (policy-configuration-value)?
 |     |     |   ...
 |     +-rw policy-template* [policy-template-key]
 |     |   +-rw policy-template-key   fpc:fpc-identity
 |     |     +-rw rule-template* [precedence]
 |     |     |   +-rw precedence                 uint32
 |     |     |     +-rw rule-template-key   fpc:fpc-identity
 |     |     |     +-rw extensible?              boolean
 |     |     |     +-rw static-attributes*      string
 |     |     |     +-rw mandatory-attributes*   string
 |     |     |     +-rw entity-state?           enumeration
 |     |     |     +-rw version?                uint32
 |     |     +-rw policy-configuration* [index]
 |     |     |     ...
```

### rpcs:

`+---x configure
`  `+---w input`

`  +---w client-id                fpc:client-identifier`

`  +---w execution-delay?         uint32`

`  +---w yang-patch`

`    +---w patch-id               string`

`    +---w comment?               string`

`    +---w edit* [edit-id]`

`      +---w edit-id              string`

`      +---w operation             enumeration`

`      +---w target                target-resource-offset`

`      +---w point?                target-resource-offset`

`      +---w where?               enumeration`

`      +---w value?                <anydata>`

`      +---w reference-scope?      fpc:ref-scope`

`  +---w command-set`

`    +---w (instr-type)?`

`      +---:(instr-3gpp-mob)`

`        +---w instr-3gpp-mob?      fpcbase:threegpp-instr`

`      +---:(instr-pmip)`

`        +---w instr-pmip?         pmip-commandset`

`+---ro output`

`+---ro yang-patch-status`

`  +---ro patch-id               string`

`  +---ro (global-status)?`

`    +---:(global-errors)`

`      +---ro errors`

`        +---ro error*`

`          +---ro error-type       enumeration`

`          +---ro error-tag        string`

`          +---ro error-app-tag?   string`

`          +---ro error-path?      instance-identifier`

`          +---ro error-message?   string`

`          +---ro error-info?      <anydata>`

`      +---:ok`

`        +---ro ok?              empty`
++-ro edit-status
++-ro edit* [edit-id]
++-ro edit-id string
++-ro (edit-status-choice)?
| ++-:(ok)
| | ++-ro ok? empty
| | ++-ro notify-follows? boolean
| | ++-ro subsequent-edit* [edit-id]
| | | ++-ro edit-id string
| | | ++-ro operation enumeration
| | | ++-ro target
| | | | ypatch:target-resource-offset
| | | ++-ro point?
| | | | ypatch:target-resource-offset
| | | ++-ro where? enumeration
| | | ++-ro value? <anydata>
| | ++-:(errors)
| | | ++-ro errors
| | | | ++-ro error*
| | | | | ++-ro error-type enumeration
| | | | | ++-ro error-tag string
| | | | | ++-ro error-app-tag? string
| | | | | ++-ro error-path?
| | | | | | instance-identifier
| | | | ++-ro error-message? string
| | | | ++-ro error-info? <anydata>

++++w register_monitor
++++w input
++++w client-id fpc:client-identifier
++++w execution-delay? uint32
++++w operation-id uint64
++++w monitor* [monitor-key]
| | +++-w extensible? boolean
| | +++-w static-attributes* string
| | +++-w mandatory-attributes* string
| | +++-w entity-state? enumeration
| | +++-w version? uint32
| | +++-w monitor-key fpc:fpc-identity
| | +++-w target? string
| | +++-w deferrable? boolean
| | +++-w (configuration)
| | | ++-:(period)
| | | | +++-w period? uint32
| | | | ++-:(threshold-config)
| | | | | +++-w low? uint32
| | | | | +++-w hi? uint32
| | | | ++-:(schedule)
| | | | | +++-w schedule? uint32
| +--:(event-identities) | +--w event-identities* identityref
| +--:(event-ids)          | +--w event-ids* uint32
| +--ro output            | +--ro operation-id uint64
| +--ro (edit-status-choice)? | +--:(ok)
| | +--ro ok? empty         | +--ro ok? empty
| +--ro errors            | +--ro errors
| +--ro error*            | +--ro error*
| +--ro error-type        | +--ro error-type enumeration
| +--ro error-tag         | +--ro error-tag string
| +--ro error-app-tag?    | +--ro error-app-tag? string
| +--ro error-path?       | +--ro error-path? instance-identifier
| +--ro error-message?    | +--ro error-message? string
| +--ro error-info?       | +--ro error-info? <anydata>

---x deregister_monitor
| +--w input
| +--w client-id fpc:client-identifier
| +--w execution-delay? uint32
| +--w operation-id uint64
| +--w monitor* [monitor-key]
| | +--w send_data? boolean
| +--ro output
| +--ro operation-id uint64
| +--ro (edit-status-choice)? | +--:(ok)
| | +--ro ok? empty          | +--ro ok? empty
| +--ro errors            | +--ro errors
| +--ro error*            | +--ro error*
| +--ro error-type        | +--ro error-type enumeration
| +--ro error-tag         | +--ro error-tag string
| +--ro error-app-tag?    | +--ro error-app-tag? string
| +--ro error-path?       | +--ro error-path? instance-identifier
| +--ro error-message?    | +--ro error-message? string
| +--ro error-info?       | +--ro error-info? <anydata>

---x probe
| +--w input
| +--w client-id fpc:client-identifier
| +--w execution-delay? uint32
| +--w operation-id uint64
| +--w monitor* [monitor-key]
| | +--w send_data? boolean
| +--ro output
| +--w monitor-key fpc:fpc-identity
+--ro output
operation-id  uint64
( (edit-status-choice)?
  (ok)
    ok?  empty
  (errors)
    errors
      error*
        error-type  enumeration
        error-tag  string
        error-app-tag?  string
        error-path?  instance-identifier
        error-message?  string
        error-info?  <anydata>

notifications:
  config-result-notification
    yang-patch-status
      patch-id  string
      (global-status)?
        (global-errors)
          errors
            error*
              error-type  enumeration
              error-tag  string
              error-app-tag?  string
              error-path?  instance-identifier
              error-message?  string
              error-info?  <anydata>
            : (ok)
              ok?  empty
          edit-status
            edit* [edit-id]
              edit-id  string
              (edit-status-choice)?
                (ok)
                  ok?  empty
                (errors)
                  errors
                    error*
                      error-type  enumeration
                      error-tag  string
                      error-app-tag?  string
                      error-path?  instance-identifier
                      error-message?  string
                      error-info?  <anydata>
                    subsequent-edit* [edit-id]
                      edit-id  string
Figure 38: YANG FPC Agent Tree

Appendix C. Change Log

C.1. Changes since Version 09

The following changes have been made since version 09

Migration to a Template based framework. This affects all elements. The framework has a template definition language.

Basename is split into two aspects. The first is version which applies to Templates. The second is checkpointing which applies to specific sections only.

Rule was inside Policy and now is Rule-Template and stands as a peer structure to Policy.

Types, e.g. Descriptor Types, Action Types, etc., are now templates that have no values filled in.

The embedded rule has been replaced by a template that has no predefined variables. All rules, pre-configured or embedded, are realized as Policy instantiations.

The Unassigned DPN is used to track requests vs. those that are installed, i.e. Agent assignment of Policy is supported.
The Topology system supports selection information by ServiceGroup or ServiceEndpoint.

DPN Peer Groups and DPN Groups are now PeerServiceGroup and ServiceGroup.

Bulk Configuration and Configuration now follow a style similar to YANG Patch. Agents MAY response back with edits it made to complete the Client edit request.

RFC 5777 Classifiers have been added.

All operations have a common error format.

C.2. Changes since Version 10

The following changes have been made since version 10

Service-Endpoints eliminated. Service-Group and DPN interfaces changed to hold information previously held by Service-Endpoint as noted in ML during IETF 101.

Service-Group resides under the Topology-Information-Mode

The Domain now has a checkpoint and the Topology Information Model checkpoint was removed to avoid any overlaps in checkpoints.

Scrubbed YANG for NMDA compliance and Guidelines (RFC 6087bis).

Monitor lifecycle, policy and policy installation examples added.
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Applications differ with respect to whether they need IP session continuity and/or IP address reachability. The network providing the same type of service to any mobile host and any application running on the host yields inefficiencies. This document describes a solution for taking the application needs into account by selectively providing IP session continuity and IP address reachability on a per-socket basis.
1. Introduction

In the context of Mobile IP [RFC5563][RFC6275][RFC5213][RFC5944], the following two attributes are defined for IP service provided to mobile hosts:

IP session continuity: The ability to maintain an ongoing IP session by keeping the same local end-point IP address throughout the session despite the mobile host changing its point of attachment within the IP network topology. The IP address of the host may change between two independent IP sessions, but that does not jeopardize its IP...
session continuity. IP session continuity is essential for mobile hosts to maintain ongoing flows without any interruption.

IP address reachability: The ability to maintain the same IP address for an extended period of time. The IP address stays the same across independent IP sessions, and even in the absence of any IP session. The IP address may be published in a long-term registry (e.g., DNS), and is made available for serving incoming (e.g., TCP) connections. IP address reachability is essential for mobile hosts to use specific/published IP addresses.

Mobile IP is designed to provide both IP session continuity and IP address reachability to mobile hosts. Architectures utilizing these protocols (e.g., 3GPP, 3GPP2, WiMAX) ensure that any mobile host attached to the compliant networks can enjoy these benefits. Any application running on these mobile hosts is subjected to the same treatment with respect to IP session continuity and IP address reachability.

It should be noted that in reality not every application may need these benefits. IP address reachability is required for applications running as servers (e.g., a web server running on the mobile host). But, a typical client application (e.g., web browser) does not necessarily require IP address reachability. Similarly, IP session continuity is not required for all types of applications either. Applications performing brief communication (e.g., ping) can survive without having IP session continuity support.

Achieving IP session continuity and IP address reachability with Mobile IP incurs some cost. Mobile IP protocol forces the mobile host’s IP traffic to traverse a centrally-located router (Home Agent, HA), which incurs additional transmission latency and use of additional network resources, adds to the network CAPEX and OPEX, and decreases the reliability of the network due to the introduction of a single point of failure [RFC7333]. Therefore, IP session continuity and IP address reachability should be provided only when necessary.

Furthermore, when an application needs session continuity, it may be able to satisfy that need by using a solution above the IP layer, such as MPTCP [RFC6824], SIP mobility [RFC3261], or an application-layer mobility solution. These higher-layer solutions are not subject to the same issues that arise with the use of Mobile IP since they can utilize the most direct data path between the end-points. But, if Mobile IP is being applied to the mobile host, the higher-layer protocols are rendered useless because their operation is inhibited by Mobile IP. Since Mobile IP ensures that the IP address of the mobile host remains fixed (despite the location and movement
of the mobile host), the higher-layer protocols never detect the IP-layer change and never engage in mobility management.

This document proposes a solution for applications running on mobile hosts to indicate whether they need IP session continuity or IP address reachability. The network protocol stack on the mobile host, in conjunction with the network infrastructure, would provide the required type of IP service. It is for the benefit of both the users and the network operators not to engage an extra level of service unless it is absolutely necessary. It is expected that applications and networks compliant with this specification would utilize this solution to use network resources more efficiently.

2. Notational Conventions

   The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Solution

3.1. Types of IP Addresses

   Four types of IP addresses are defined with respect to mobility management.

   - Fixed IP Address

   A Fixed IP address is an address with a guarantee to be valid for a very long time, regardless of whether it is being used in any packet to/from the mobile host, or whether or not the mobile host is connected to the network, or whether it moves from one point-of-attachment to another (with a different IP prefix) while it is connected.

   Fixed IP addresses are required by applications that need both IP session continuity and IP address reachability.

   - Session-lasting IP Address

   A session-lasting IP address is an address with a guarantee to be valid throughout the IP session(s) for which it was requested. It is guaranteed to be valid even after the mobile host had moved from one point-of-attachment to another (with a different IP prefix).

   Session-lasting IP addresses are required by applications that need IP session continuity but do not need IP address reachability.
- Non-persistent IP Address

This type of IP address does not provide IP session continuity nor IP address reachability. The IP address is created from an IP prefix that is obtained from the serving IP gateway and is not maintained across gateway changes. In other words, the IP prefix may be released and replaced by a new one when the IP gateway changes due to the movement of the mobile host forcing the creation of a new source IP address with the updated allocated IP prefix.

- Graceful Replacement IP Address

In some cases, the network cannot guarantee the validity of the provided IP prefix throughout the duration of the IP session, but can provide a limited graceful period of time in which both the original IP prefix and a new one are valid. This enables the application some flexibility in the transition from the existing source IP address to the new one.

This gracefulness is still better than the non-persistence type of address for applications that can handle a change in their source IP address but require that extra flexibility.

Applications running as servers at a published IP address require a Fixed IP Address. Long-standing applications (e.g., an SSH session) may also require this type of address. Enterprise applications that connect to an enterprise network via virtual LAN require a Fixed IP Address.

Applications with short-lived transient IP sessions can use Session-lasting IP Addresses. For example: Web browsers.

Applications with very short IP sessions, such as DNS clients and instant messengers, can utilize Non-persistent IP Addresses. Even though they could very well use Fixed or Session-lasting IP Addresses, the transmission latency would be minimized when a Non-persistent IP Addresses are used.

Applications that can tolerate a short interruption in connectivity can use the Graceful-replacement IP addresses. For example, a streaming client that has buffering capabilities.

3.2. Granularity of Selection

IP address type selection is made on a per-socket granularity. Different parts of the same application may have different needs. For example, the control-plane of an application may require a Fixed
IP Address in order to stay reachable, whereas the data-plane of the same application may be satisfied with a Session-lasting IP Address.

3.3. On Demand Nature

At any point in time, a mobile host may have a combination of IP addresses configured. Zero or more Non-persistent, zero or more Session-lasting, zero or more Fixed and zero or more Graceful-Replacement IP addresses may be configured by the IP stack of the host. The combination may be as a result of the host policy, application demand, or a mix of the two.

When an application requires a specific type of IP address and such an address is not already configured on the host, the IP stack shall attempt to configure one. For example, a host may not always have a Session-lasting IP address available. When an application requests one, the IP stack shall make an attempt to configure one by issuing a request to the network (see Section 3.4 below for more details). If the operation fails, the IP stack shall fail the associated socket request and return an error. If successful, a Session-lasting IP Address gets configured on the mobile host. If another socket requests a Session-lasting IP address at a later time, the same IP address may be served to that socket as well. When the last socket using the same configured IP address is closed, the IP address may be released or kept for future applications that may be launched and require a Session-lasting IP address.

In some cases it might be preferable for the mobile host to request a new Session-lasting IP address for a new opening of an IP session (even though one was already assigned to the mobile host by the network and might be in use in a different, already active IP session). It is outside the scope of this specification to define criteria for choosing to use available addresses or choosing to request new ones. It supports both alternatives (and any combination).

It is outside the scope of this specification to define how the host requests a specific type of prefix and how the network indicates the type of prefix in its advertisement or in its reply to a request.

The following are matters of policy, which may be dictated by the host itself, the network operator, or the system architecture standard:

- The initial set of IP addresses configured on the host at boot time.
- Permission to grant various types of IP addresses to a requesting application.

- Determination of a default address type when an application does not make any explicit indication, whether it already supports the required API or it is just a legacy application.

3.4. Conveying the Desired Address Type

[RFC5014] introduced the ability of applications to influence the source address selection with the IPV6_ADDR_PREFERENCE option at the IPPROTO_IPV6 level. This option is used with setsockopt() and getsockopt() calls to set/get address selection preferences.

Extending this further by adding more flags does not work when a request for an address of a certain type results in requiring the IP stack to wait for the network to provide the desired source IP prefix and hence causing the setsockopt() call to block until the prefix is allocated (or an error indication from the network is received).

Alternatively a new Socket API is defined - getsc() which allows applications to express their desired type of session continuity service. The new getsc() API will return an IPv6 address that is associated with the desired session continuity service and with status information indicating whether or not the desired service was provided.

An application that wishes to secure a desired service will call getsc() with the service type definition and a place to contain the provided IP address, and call bind() to associate that IP address with the Socket (See code example in Section 4 below).

When the IP stack is required to use a source IP address of a specified type, it can use an existing address, or request a new IP prefix (of the same type) from the network and create a new one. If the host does not already have an IPv6 prefix of that specific type, it must request one from the network.

Using an existing address from an existing prefix is faster but might yield a less optimal route (if a hand-off event occurred after its configuration). On the other hand, acquiring a new IP prefix from the network may be slower due to signaling exchange with the network.

Applications can control the stack’s operation by setting a new flag - ON_NET flag - which directs the IP stack whether to use a preconfigured source IP address (if exists) or to request a new IPv6 prefix from the current serving network and configure a new IP address.
This new flag is added to the set of flags in the IPV6_ADDR_PREFERENCES option at the IPPROTO_IPV6 level. It is used in setsockopt() to set the desired behavior.

4. Usage example

The following example shows the code for creating a Stream socket (TCP) with a Session-Lasting source IP address:

```c
#include <sys/socket.h>
#include <netinet/in.h>

// Socket information
int              s ;                // Socket id

// Source information (for secsc() and bind())
sockaddr_in6     sourceInfo         // my address and port for bind() 
in6_addr         sourceAddress      // will contain the provisioned source
                 // IP address
uint8_t          sc_type = IPV6_REQUIRE_SESSION_LASTING_IP ;
                 // For requesting a Session-Lasting
                 // source IP address

// Destination information (for connect())
sockaddr_in6     serverInfo ;       // server info for connect()

// Create an IPv6 TCP socket
s = socket(AF_INET6, SOCK_STREAM, 0) ;
if (s!=0) {
    // Handle socket creation error
    // ...
} // if socket creation failed
else {
    // Socket creation is successful
    // The application cannot connect yet, since it wants to use a
    // Session-Lasting source IP address It needs to request the
    // Session-Lasting source IP before connecting
    if (setsc(s, &sourceAddress, &sc_type)) == 0){
        // setting session continuity to Session Lasting is successful
        // sourceAddress now contains the Session-Lasting source IP
        // address

        // Bind to that source IP address
        sourceInfo.sin6_family = AF_INET6 ;
        sourceInfo.sin6_port = 0    // let the stack choose the port
        sourceInfo.sin6_address = sourceAddress ;
        // Use the source address that was
```
// generated by the setsc() call
if (bind(s, &sourceInfo, sizeof(sourceInfo))==0){
    // Set the desired server’s information for connect()
    serverInfo.sin6_family = AF_INET6;
    serverInfo.sin6_port = SERVER_PORT_NUM;
    serverAddress.sin6_addr = SERVER_IPV6_ADDRESS;

    // Connect to the server
    if (connect(s, &serverInfo, sizeof(serverInfo))==0) {
        // connect successful (3-way handshake has been completed
        // with Session-Lasting source address.
        // Continue application functionality
        // ...
    } // if connect() is successful
    else {
        // connect failed
        // ...
        // Application code that handles connect failure and closes
        // the socket
        // ...
    } // if connect() failed
} // if bind() successful
else {
    // bind() failed
    // ...
    // Application code that handles bind failure and closes
    // the socket
    // ...
} // if bind() failed
} // if setsc() was successful and of a Session-Lasting source address was
provided
else {
    // application code that does not use Session-lasting IP address
    // The application may either connect without the desired
    // Session-lasting service, or close the socket
    // ...
} // if setsc() failed
} // if socket was created successfully

// The rest of the application’s code
// ...

5. Backwards Compatibility Considerations

Backwards compatibility support is required by the following 3 types of entities:

- The Applications on the mobile host
- The IP stack in the mobile host
- The network infrastructure

5.1. Applications

Legacy applications that do not support the OnDemand functionality will use the legacy API and will not be able to take advantage of the On-Demand Mobility feature.

Applications using the new OnDemand functionality must be aware that they may be executed in legacy environments that do not support it. Such environments may include a legacy IP stack on the mobile host, legacy network infrastructure, or both. In either case, the API will return an error code and the invoking applications may just give up and use legacy calls.

5.2. IP Stack in the Mobile Host

New IP stacks must continue to support all legacy operations. If an application does not use On-Demand functionality, the IP stack must respond in a legacy manner.

If the network infrastructure supports On-Demand functionality, the IP stack should follow the application request: If the application requests a specific address type, the stack should forward this request to the network. If the application does not request an address type, the IP stack must not request an address type and leave it to the network’s default behavior to choose the type of the allocated IP prefix. If an IP prefix was already allocated to the host, the IP stack uses it and may not request a new one from the network.

5.3. Network Infrastructure

The network infrastructure may or may not support the On-Demand functionality. How the IP stack on the host and the network infrastructure behave in case of a compatibility issue is outside the scope of this API specification.
6. Summary of New Definitions

6.1. New APIs

`setsc()` enables applications to request a specific type of source IP address in terms of session continuity. Its definition is:

```c
int setsc (int sockfd, in6_addr *sourceAddress, sc_type addressType); 
```

Where:

- `sockfd` - is the socket descriptor of the socket with which a specific address type is associated
- `sourceAddress` - is a pointer to an area allocated for `setsc()` to place the generated source IP address of the desired session continuity type
- `addressType` - is the desired type of session continuity service. It is a 3-bit field containing one of the following values:
  - 0 - Reserved
  - 1 - FIXED_IPV6_ADDRESS
  - 2 - SESSION_LASTING_IPV6_ADDRESS
  - 3 - NON_PERSISTENT_IPV6_ADDRESS
  - 4 - GRACEFUL_REPLACEMENT_IPV6_ADDRESS
  - 5-7 - Reserved

`setsc()` returns the status of the operation:
- 0 - Address was successfully generated
- EAI_REQUIREDIPNOTSUPPORTED - the required service type is not supported
- EAI_REQUIREDIPFAILED - the network could not fulfill the desired request

6.2. New Flags

The following flag is added to the list of flags in the `IPV6_ADDR_PREFERENCE` option at the IPPROTO6 level:

`IPV6_REQUIRE_SRC_ON_NET` - set IP stack address allocation behavior

If set, the IP stack will request a new IPv6 prefix of the desired type from the current serving network and configure a new source IP address. If reset, the IP stack will use a preconfigured one if it exists. If there is no preconfigured IP address of the desired type, a new prefix will be requested and used for creating the IP address.
7. Security Considerations

The setting of certain IP address type on a given socket may be restricted to privileged applications. For example, a Fixed IP Address may be provided as a premium service and only certain applications may be allowed to use them. Setting and enforcement of such privileges are outside the scope of this document.

8. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA considerations.
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Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 4, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect
1. Introduction

In mobile networks, mobility management systems provide connectivity while mobile nodes move around. While the control-plane of the system signals movements of a mobile node, user-plane establishes tunnel between the mobile node and anchor node over IP based backhaul and core networks.

This document discusses the applicability of SRv6 (Segment Routing IPv6) to those mobile networks. SRv6 provides source routing to networks where operators can explicitly indicate route for the packets from and to the mobile node. SRv6 endpoint nodes act as roles of anchor of mobile user-plane.

2. Conventions and Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
All segment routing and SRv6 network programming terms are defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing] and "[I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming].

3. Motivations

Today’s and future applications are requiring highly optimized data-path between mobile nodes and the entities of those applications in perspectives of latency, bandwidth, etc,. However current architecture of mobile management is agnostic about underlying topologies of transport layer. It rigidly fragments the user-plane in radio access, core and service networks and connects them by tunneling techniques through the user-plane functions such as access and anchor nodes. Those agnostic and rigidness make it difficult for the operator to optimize the data-path.

While the mobile network industry has been trying to solve that, applications shift to use IPv6 data-path and network operators adopt it as their IP transport as well. SRv6 integrates both application data-path and underlying transport layer in data-path optimization aspects that does not require any other techniques.

SRv6 source routing capability with programmable functions [I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming] could fulfills the user-plane functions of mobility management. It takes advantage of underlying layer awareness and flexibility to deploy user-plane functions. Those are the motivations to adopt SRv6 for mobile user-plane.

4. Mobile User-Plane

This section describes user-plane using SRv6 for mobile networks. This clarifies mobile user-plane functions to which SRv6 endpoint applied.

Figure 1 shows mobile user-plane functions which are connected through SRv6 enabled IPv6 networks. In the [ref.fig-srv6-userplane], an mobile node (MN) connects to an SRv6 endpoint serving access node role for the MN. When the endpoint receives packets from the MN, it pushes SRH to the packets. The segment list in the SRH indicates the rest of user-plane segments which are L2 and L3 anchors respectively. Then the endpoint send the packets to the SRv6 enabled IPv6 network. In opposite direction, when an SRv6 endpoint serving L3 anchor role for the MN receives packets to it, the endpoint push SRH consist of the L2 anchor and access node segments to the packets.
An SRv6 segment represents those each function, such as Access Node, Layer-2 (L2) Anchor and Layer-3 (L3) Anchor. This makes mobile networks highly flexible to deploy any user-plane functions to which nodes in user flow basis. An SRv6 segment can represent a set of flows in any granularity of aggregation even though it is just for a single flow.

Figure 2 shows that an SRv6 endpoint connects existing IPv4 mobile user-plane, which is defined in [RFC5213] and [TS.29281]. An SRv6 segment in the endpoint represents interworking function which enables interworking between existing access node and SRv6 anchor segment, or SRv6 access node segment and existing anchor node.

Existing mobile user-plane with IPv6 underly is expected to be widely deployed. As IPv6 network should be interoperable with SRv6 enabled network and SRv6 endpoints can be accommodated on it, interworking with existing IPv6 network is out of scope of this document.
5. User-Plane Functions

This section describes mobile user-plane functions applied to SRv6 segment. SRv6 endpoint is capable to do that. Terminology of endpoint functions refers to the net-pgm draft. SRv6 endpoint functions are considered for each direction, such as uplink (UL) from mobile node to the correspondent node, and downlink (DL) from the correspondent node to mobile node.

5.1. Access Node Segment

Access node segment provides SRv6 endpoint the role to which mobile node is connected directly. eNodeB could be referenced as an entity implementing the access node in 3GPP term. The applicable SRv6 functions for the access nodes are following:

T.Insert, or T.Encaps

End.X, or End.DX2/4
In uplink, an endpoint of an access node segment does T.Insert process for the receiving packets from mobile node when the packets matched to the policy bound to the segment in case IPv6 is the outer header of the packet. When the header is IPv4, or other types, the endpoint does T.Encap process for the packets. The segment list represents policy of data-path for the flows from mobile node.

In downlink, the endpoint does End.X process for receiving packets when the active segment represents access node to the mobile node. When the segment left of receiving packets is zero, and IPv4 is indicated as the effective next header (ENH), the endpoint does End.DX4 process for the packets. When the ENH indicates layer-2 type, the endpoint does End.DX2 process for it.

5.2. Layer-2 Anchor Segment

Layer-2 anchor segment provides SRv6 endpoint the role to be anchor point while mobile node move around within a serving area which could be assumed as a layer-2 network. Serving Gateway (SGW) could be referenced as an entity implementing the layer-2 anchor in 3GPP term. The applicable SRv6 functions for the layer-2 anchor are following:

End, or End.B6

Same as UL

In uplink, an endpoint of a L2 anchor segment does End process for the packets when the active segment represents the L2 anchor. When the segment is bound to another policy of data-path, the endpoint does End.B6 process for the packets to be inserted a SRH which consists of segment list along with the policy. The last segment of the inserted SRH must be the edge endpoint of the SRv6 domain of mobile network.

In downlink, there’s no difference between the uplink behavior.

5.3. Layer-3 Anchor Segment

Layer-3 anchor segment provides SRv6 endpoint the role to be anchor point across a mobile network consists of multiple serving areas. Packet data network gateway (PGW) could be referenced as an entity implementing the layer-3 anchor. The applicable SRv6 functions for the layer-3 anchor are following:

End.T, End.DT4, or End.DX2

T.Insert, or T.Encaps
In uplink, an endpoint of an L3 anchor segment does End.T process for the packets when the active segment represents the L3 anchor and specific IPv6 routing table is bound to it. Those routing tables may be accommodated in the endpoint for networks which require individual routing policies. When the segment left of receiving packet is zero and IPv4 is indicated as the effective next header (ENH), the endpoint does End.DT4 process for the packets with specific IPv4 routing table bound to the segment. When the ENH indicates layer-2 type, the endpoint does End.DX2 process for it.

In uplink, an endpoint of an L3 anchor segment does T.Insert process for the packets when the IPv6 flow of packets matched to the policy bound to the segment. When the matched flow is IPv4, or other types, the endpoint does T.Encap process for the packets. The segment list represents policy of data-path for the flows.

5.4. Stateless Interworking Segment

Interworking segment provides SRv6 endpoint the role to be interworking point between existing mobile user-plane and SRv6 mobile user-plane. It is expected that the endpoint of interworking segment could be unaware from the control-plane of the mobility management. While there are combinations of interworking either existing or SRv6 network in which user-plane functions accommodate, interworking segment should cover all combinations without mobility state.

To fulfill the above requirements, SID for interworking segment is encoding identifiers of corresponding tunnel in existing network as argument of the SID. Tunnel encoding format in SID is following:

```
+-----------------+-------+
| Locater of interwork | Tun-ID |
+-----------------+-------+
      128-a-b-c     a b c
```

Figure 3: Stateless Interworking SID Encoding

In SRv6 to existing network direction, an endpoint of an interworking segment allocate a node local SID prefix to interworking segments. When the endpoint receives packet and the active segment of the packet indicates the SID, the endpoint pop the SRH of the SID, and then the endpoint encaps the payload with the encoded information in the SID which are tunnel identifier of tunnel header, source and destination IPv4 address of IPv4 header described in Figure 3. Then the endpoint send out the packet to the existing network along with its routing policy.
In existing network to SRv6 network direction, existing network allocates IPv4 address spaces routed to interworking SRv6 network. SRv6 network allocates a domain-wise SID prefix for interworking segments. When a SRv6 endpoint connects to existing network receives packet destined to the allocated IPv4 address, the endpoint decaps outer IPv4 and tunnel header. And then the endpoint does T.Insert process with the SID which consists of the allocated domain-wise SID prefix, source and destination addresses, and tunnel identifier as described in Figure 3. Then the endpoint send out the packet to the SRv6 network along with its routing policy.

In case of IPv4 flow packet over the user-plane, the endpoint does IPv6 header encaps and decaps instead of SRH insert and pop process. The IPv6 header includes interworking segment SID in the SRH.

Noted that to make sure stateless interworking, entities of control-plane in mobile management should cooperate with SRv6 user-plane settings. Further control-plane consideration is discussed in Section 7.

5.5. Rate Limit Segment

Mobile user-plane requires rate-limit feature. SID is able to encode limiting rate as an argument in SID. Multiple flows of packets should have same group identifier in SID when those flows are in an same AMBR group. This helps to keep user-plane stateless. That enables SRv6 endpoint nodes which are unaware from the mobile control-plane information. Encoding format of rate limit segment SID is following:

```plaintext
+----------------------+----------+-----------+
| Locater of rate-limit| group-id | limit-rate|
+----------------------+----------+-----------+
               128-i-j   i       j
```

Figure 4: Stateless Interworking SID Encoding

6. Network Slicing Considerations

Mobile network may be required to create a network slicing that represent a set of network resources and isolate those resource from other slices. User-plane functions represented as SRv6 segments would be part of a slice.

To represent a set of user-plane function segments for a slice, sharing same prefix through those SIDs within the slice could be a
straightforward way. SIDs in a network slice may include other type of functions in addition to the mobile user-plane functions described in this document, and underly integration to meet SLA and quality requirements.

While network slicing has been discussed in the IETF and other standardization bodies, what functionalities are required for network slicing in mobile user-plane is further study item and to be discussed.

7. Control Plane Considerations

Mobile control-plane entities must allocate SIDs to user-plane function segments in case of those entities are distributed to accommodate in the SRv6 endpoints, or those are separated from the endpoint but each of them corresponds to each SRv6 endpoint. In latter case, control-plane entity must advertise allocated SID to the endpoint through some means.

When a centralized controller interfaces to mobile control-planes is capable to allocate SIDs to the controlling SRv6 endpoints, the mobile control-planes just need to indicate the endpoint nodes and their user-plane roles to the controller. In this case, the controller must allocate appropriate SIDs for the user-plane roles to the indicated SRv6 endpoints. The controller must advertise allocated SIDs to the endpoints.

To indicate endpoints and their user-plane functions from mobile control-plane to user-plane, the endpoint or the controller could take advantage of [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp]. It provides interface to the control-plane to manage the user-plane of mobile networks.

In case of stateless interworking, SID allocating entity needs to be aware SID prefix which interworking SRv6 endpoint and SRv6 domain allocate discussed in Section 5.4. The mobile control-plane also need to allocate tunnel endpoint IPv4 address to which corresponding interworking segment destined from existing user-plane that is also discussed in Section 5.4.

8. Security Considerations

TBD

9. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.
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1. Introduction

In mobile networks, mobility management systems provide connectivity while mobile nodes move around. While the control-plane of the system signals movements of a mobile node, user-plane establishes tunnel between the mobile node and anchor node over IP based backhaul and core networks.

This document discusses the applicability of SRv6 (Segment Routing IPv6) to those mobile networks. SRv6 provides source routing to networks where operators can explicitly indicate route for the packets from and to the mobile node. SRv6 endpoint nodes act as roles of anchor of mobile user-plane.

2. Conventions and Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

All segment routing and SRv6 network programming terms are defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing] and "[I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming].

3. Motivations

Today’s and future applications are requiring highly optimized data-path between mobile nodes and the entities of those applications in perspectives of latency, bandwidth, etc,. However current architecture of mobile management is agnostic about underlying topologies of transport layer. It rigidly fragments the user-plane in radio access, core and service networks and connects them by tunneling techniques through the user-plane functions such as access and anchor nodes. Those agnostic and rigidness make it difficult for the operator to optimize the data-path.

While the mobile network industry has been trying to solve that, applications shift to use IPv6 data-path and network operators adopt it as their IP transport as well. SRv6 integrates both application data-path and underlying transport layer in data-path optimization aspects that does not require any other techniques.

SRv6 source routing capability with programmable functions [I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming] could fulfills the user-plane functions of mobility management. It takes advantage of underlying layer awareness and flexibility to deploy user-plane functions. Those are the motivations to adopt SRv6 for mobile user-plane.
4. Mobile User-Plane

This section describes user-plane using SRv6 for mobile networks. This clarifies mobile user-plane functions to which SRv6 endpoint applied.

Figure 1 shows mobile user-plane functions which are connected through IPv6-only networks. In the Figure 1, an mobile node (MN) connects to an SRv6 endpoint serving access point role for the MN. When the endpoint receives packets from the MN, it pushes SRH to the packets. The segment list in the SRH indicates the rest of user-plane segments which are L2 and L3 anchors respectively. Then the endpoint send the packets to the IPv6 network. In opposite direction, when an SRv6 endpoint serving L3 anchor role for the MN receives packets to it, the endpoint push SRH consist of the L2 anchor and access point segments to the packets.

An SRv6 segment represents those each function, such as Access Point, Layer-2 (L2) Anchor and Layer-3 (L3) Anchor. This makes mobile networks highly flexible to deploy any user-plane functions to which nodes in user flow basis. An SRv6 segment can represent a set of flows in any granularity of aggregation even though it is just for a single flow.
Figure 2 shows that an SRv6 endpoint connects existing IPv4 mobile user-plane, which is defined in [RFC5213] and [TS.29.281]. An SRv6 segment in the endpoint represents interworking function which enables interworking between existing access point and SRv6 anchor segment, or SRv6 access point segment and existing anchor node.

Existing mobile user-plane with IPv6 underlay is expected to be widely deployed. As IPv6 network should be interoperable with SRv6 endpoints can be accommodated on it, interworking with existing IPv6 network is out of scope of this document.

5. Supporting Mobile User-Plane Functions

This section describes mobile user-plane functions to which an SRv6 node can apply SRv6 functions and behaviors. The SRv6 node configured with those segments thereby fulfills the user-plane functions. Each function consist of two segments which are uplink (UL) from mobile node to the correspondent node, and downlink (DL) from the correspondent node to mobile node.
An SRv6 node may be configured with multiple type of user-plane functions. Each function may also be configured with multiple sets of the segments for one type of function that to purpose of separating tenants, resources and service policies, etc.

5.1. Access Point

Access Point function provides SRv6 node the role to which mobile node is connected directly. eNodeB could be referenced as an entity implementing the access point in 3GPP term.

When an SRv6 node is configured for an Access Point function, the SRv6 node allocates one DL access-point segment SID per session, or per Access Point function which represents one policy that is shared by multiple sessions.

Applicable SRv6 functions and behaviors are determined by use cases described in Section 6.

5.2. Layer-2 Anchor

Layer-2 anchor function provides SRv6 node the role to be anchor point while mobile node move around within a serving area which could be assumed as a layer-2 network. Serving Gateway (SGW) could be referenced as an entity implementing the layer-2 anchor in 3GPP term.

When an SRv6 node is configured for a Layer-2 anchor function, the SRv6 node allocates UL L2-anchor segment SID per SRv6 policy, which is bound to next L3-anchor function and specific service if needed. The SRv6 node also allocates one DL L2-anchor segment SID per SRv6 policy, which is bound to serving access point SID and specific service if needed.

Applicable SRv6 functions and behaviors are determined by use cases described in Section 6.

5.3. Layer-3 Anchor

Layer-3 anchor function provides SRv6 node the role to be anchor point across a mobile network consists of multiple serving areas. Packet data network gateway (PGW) could be referenced as an entity implementing the layer-3 anchor.

When an SRv6 node is configured for a Layer-3 Anchor function, the SRv6 node allocates one UL L3-anchor segment SID per L3-anchor function. Each L3-anchor SID represents one policy which is shared by multiple sessions, such as a routing table, or a service policy
with in the table. The routing table should maintain forwarding entries of the belonging MNs.

Applicable SRv6 functions and behaviors are determined by use cases described in Section 6.

5.4. Stateless Interworking

Stateless interworking function provides SRv6 node a role to interworking between existing mobile user-plane and SRv6 mobile user-plane. Figure 3 shows the SRv6 SID format for stateless interworking function that is encoding identifiers of corresponding tunnel in existing network as argument of the SID.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IW-IPv6-Prefix</th>
<th>IPv4DA</th>
<th>IPv4SA</th>
<th>TUN-ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128-a-b-c</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Stateless Interworking SID Encoding

Stateless interworking function introduce following SRv6 end function and transit behavior.

**End.TM:** End point function with encapsulation for mapped tunnel

**T.Tmap:** Transit behavior with tunnel decapsulation and mapping an SRv6 Policy

Stateless interworking function is associated with the following mandatory parameters:

- **IW-IPv4-Prefix:** IPv4 prefix representing network of SRv6 user-plane for legacy mobile user-plane
- **IW-IPv6-Prefix:** IPv6 prefix representing network of legacy mobile user-plane for SRv6 user-plane
- **TUN-PROTO:** Tunnel protocol type, such as GTP-U or GRE for PMIP

5.4.1. End.TM: End point function with encapsulation for mapped tunnel

The "End point to encapsulate for mapped tunnel" function (End.TM for short) is used to the direction from SRv6 user-plane to legacy user-plane network.
When interworking node N receives a packet destined to S and S is a local End.TM SID, N does:

1. IF NH=SRH & SL > 0 THEN
2.    decrement SL
3.    update the IPv6 DA with SRH[SL]
4.    push header of TUN-PROTO with tunnel ID from S ;; Ref1
5.    push outer IPv4 header with SA, DA from S
6. ELSE
7.    Drop the packet

Ref1: TUN-PROTO indicates target tunnel type.

5.4.2. T.Tmap: Transit behavior with tunnel decapsulation and mapping an SRv6 Policy

The "Transit with tunnel decapsulation and map to an SRv6 policy" function (T.Tmap for short) is used to the direction from legacy user-plane to SRv6 user-plane network.

When interworking node N receives a packet destined to a IW-IPv4-Prefix, N does:

1. IF P.PLOAD == TUN-PROTO & T.PLOAD == IPv6 THEN ;; Ref1, Ref1bis
2.    pop the outer IPv4 header and tunnel headers
3.    copy IPv4 DA, SA, TUN-ID to form SID B with IW-IPv6-Prefix
4.    insert the SRH (D, B; SL=1) ;; Ref2, Ref2bis
5.    set the IPv6 DA = B
6.    forward along the shortest path to B
7. ELSE
8.    Drop the packet

Ref1: P.PLOAD and T.PLOAD represent payload protocol of the receiving packet, and payload protocol of the tunnel respectively.
Ref1bis: First nibble of payload is used to determine payload protocol in GTP-U case due to it has no payload protocol indicator in the header.
Ref2: The received IPv6 DA is placed as last SID of the inserted SRH.
Ref2bis: The SRH is inserted before any other IPv6 Routing Extension Header.
5.5. Rate Limit

Mobile user-plane requires rate-limit feature. SID is able to encode limiting rate as an argument in SID. Multiple flows of packets should have same group identifier in SID when those flows are in an same AMBR group. This helps to keep user-plane stateless. That enables SRv6 endpoint nodes which are unaware from the mobile control-plane information. Encoding format of rate limit segment SID is following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locater of rate-limit</th>
<th>group-id</th>
<th>limit-rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Stateless Interworking SID Encoding

In case of j bit length is zero in SID, the node should not do rate limiting unless static configuration or control-plane sets the limit rate associated to the SID.

6. Segment Routing IPv6 Functions and Behaviors by Use Cases

This section describes SRv6 functions and behavior applied to mobile user-plane functions by use cases. Terminology of SRv6 endpoint functions refers to [I-D.filsfils-spring-srv6-network-programming].

6.1. Basic Mode

In the basic mode, we assume that mobile user-plane functions are as same as existing ones except using SRv6. This means that there is no impact to rest part of mobile system should be expected while no advanced segment routing features are introduced to it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User-plane Function</th>
<th>Uplink</th>
<th>Downlink</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Point</td>
<td>T.Insert</td>
<td>End.X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-anchor</td>
<td>End.B6</td>
<td>End.B6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-anchor</td>
<td>End.T</td>
<td>T.Insert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: SRv6 Functions for Basic Mode
6.1.1. Uplink

In uplink, SRv6 node applies following SRv6 end point functions and transit behavior. SIDs are allocated per L3-anchor function in the SRv6 nodes of both L2 and L3-anchor functions in basic mode.

Access Point:

When the access point node receives a packet destined to "D::1" from a mobile node "S::1", it does I.Insert process for the receiving packets to push a SRH with SID list <A2::1, D::1> with SL=1. The access point node update DA to next UL L2-anchor SID "A2::1" which the SL indicates and forward the packet.

Layer-2 Anchor:

The L2-anchor node of "A2::1" segment does End.B6 process for the receiving packet according to the SRH. The node updates DA to next UL L3-anchor SID "A3::1" bound to "A2::1" and forward the packet. In this basic use case, just one UL L3-anchor SID with SL=0 is enough to do it so that there is no need to push another SRH to the packet in that PSP (Penultimate Segment Pop) operation.

Layer-3 Anchor:

The L3-anchor node of "A3::1" segment does End.T process for the receiving packet according to the SRH. The node decrement SL to 0, updates DA to D::1 which the SL indicates and lookup IPv6 table associated with "A3::1". In this basic use case, the decremented SL is 0 so that the node does PSP operation of popped out the SRH from the packet and forward it.

6.1.2. Downlink

In downlink, SRv6 node applies following SRv6 end point functions and transit behavior. SIDs are allocated per session in the SRv6 nodes of both L2-anchor and access point functions in basic mode.

Layer-3 Anchor:

When the L3-anchor node receives a packet destined to "S::1" from a correspondent node "D::1", it does I.Insert process for the receiving packets to push a SRH with SID list <A2::2, S::1> with SL=1. The L3-anchor node update DA to next DL L2-anchor SID "A2::2" which the SL indicates and forward the packet.
Layer-2 Anchor:

The L2-anchor node of "A2::2" segment does End.B6 process for the receiving packet according to the SRH. The node updates DA to next DL access point segment "A1::1" bound to "A2::2" and forward the packet. In this basic use case, just one DL access point SID with SL=0 is enough to do it so that there is no need to push another SRH to the packet in that PSP (Penultimate Segment Pop) operation.

Access Point:

The access point node of "A1::1" segment does End.X process for the receiving packet according to the segment. The node decrement SL to 0, updates DA to S::1 which the SL indicates and forward the packet to the mobile node of "S::1" through radio channel associated with "A1::1". In this use case, the decremented SL is 0 so that the node does PSP operation of popped out the SRH from the packet and forward it.

6.2. Aggregate Mode

In the aggregate mode, user-plane function is able to steer multiple mobile sessions per service policy. This means that mobile sessions paths are aggregated into a service path which includes not only mobile user-plane functions but also other nodes, links or service functions. SIDs are allocated per service policy in the SRv6 nodes of user-plane functions in the aggregate mode.

Aggregate mode user-plane can take advantage of SRv6 that enables seamless mobile user-plane deployment with service chaining, VPNs, traffic-engineering by computed path to fulfil the policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User-plane Function</th>
<th>Uplink</th>
<th>Downlink</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access Point</td>
<td>T.Insert</td>
<td>End</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-anchor</td>
<td>End or End.B6</td>
<td>End or End.B6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-anchor</td>
<td>End.T</td>
<td>T.Insert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: SRv6 Functions for Aggregate Mode

6.2.1. Uplink

In uplink, SRv6 node applies following SRv6 end point functions and transit behavior.
Access Point:

When the access point node receives a packet destined to "D::1" from a mobile node "S::1", it does T.Insert process for the receiving packets.

First scenario is that the service policy for "D::1" is via service function S1 and node C1 before reach to L2-anchor "A2::1" so that the node pushes a SRH with SID list <S1, C1, A2::1, D::1> with SL=3. The node updates DA to service function S1 which the SL indicates and forward the packet.

Second case is that the access point function directly indicates the path beyond the L2-anchor, service function S2 and L3-anchor "A3::1" for example, the SID list should be <S1, C1, A2::1, S2, A3::1, D::1> with SL=5.

Layer-2 Anchor:

It is assumed that the packet successfully traversed S1 and C1 segments so that the SL was decremented 3 to 1 in the first scenario, and 5 to 3 in the second scenario before arriving the node of "A2::1" or "A2::" segment.

In the first scenario that the L2-anchor node of "A2::1" segment is bound to a service policy which indicates path via service function S2 and L3-anchor function A3::1, the node does End.B6 process for the receiving packet to push a new SRH with SID list <S2, A3::1> with SL=1. The node updates DA to next service function SID S2 which the SL indicates and forward the packet.

In the second scenario that one SRH with SIDs <S1, C1, A2::1, S2, A3::1, D::1>, the L2-anchor node of "A2::" segment is bound to just End function. The node does End process for the receiving packet according to the SRH that decrements SL to 2, updates DA to next service function SID S2 which the SL indicates and forward the packet.

Layer-3 Anchor:

The L3-anchor node of "A3::1" segment does End.T process for the receiving packet according to the SRH(s).

In the first scenario that outer SRH with SIDs <S2, A3::1>, it is assumed that the SL is decremented to 0 at service function S2 so that the node pops outer SRH. Then the node processes second SRH with SIDs <S1, C1, A2::1, D::1> that decrements SL.
to 0, updates DA to D::1 which the SL indicates and lookup IPv6 table associated with "A3::1". In this case, the decremented SL is 0 so that the node does PSP operation of popped out the SRH from the packet and forward it.

In the second scenario that one SRH with SIDs <S1, C1, A2::, S2, A3::1, D::1>, L3-anchor node of "A3::" segment does End.T process for the receiving packet according to the SRH. Rest part of processes are as same as previous case.

6.2.2. Downlink

In downlink, SRv6 node applies following SRv6 end point functions and transit behavior.

Layer-3 Anchor:

When the L3-anchor node receives a packet destine to "S::1" from a mobile node "D::1", it does T.Insert process for the receiving packets.

First scenario is that the service policy for "S::1" is via service function S2 before reach to L2-anchor "A2::2" so that the node pushes a SRH with SID list <S2, A2::2, S::1> with SL=2. The node updates DA to service function S2 which the SL indicates and forward the packet.

Second scenario is that the L3-anchor function directly indicates the path beyond the L2-anchor, service function S1, node C3 and access point "A1::" for example, the SID list shoud be <S2, A2::, S1, C1, A1::1, D::1> with SL=5.

Layer-2 Anchor:

It is assumed that the packet successfully traversed S2 segment so that the SL was decremented 2 to 1 in the former case, and 5 to 4 in the latter case before arriving the node of "A2::2" or "A2::" segment.

In the first scenario that the L2-anchor node of "A2::2" segment is bound to a service policy which indicates path via node C1, service function S1 and access point function A1::, the node does End.B6 process for the receiving packet to push a new SRH with SID list <C1, S1, A1::1> with SL=1. The node updates DA to next service function SID C1 which the SL indicates and forward the packet.
In the second scenario that one SRH with SIDs <S1, C1, A2::, S2, A3::1, D::1>, the L2-anchor node of "A2::" segment is bound to just End function. The node does End process for the receiving packet according to the SRH that decrements SL to 2, updates DA to next node C1 which the SL indicates and forward the packet.

Access Point:

The access point node of "A1::1" segment does End process for the receiving packet according to the SRH(s).

In the first scenario that outer SRH with SIDs <C1, S1, A1::1>, it is assumed that the SL is decremented 2 to 0 at node C1 and service function S1 so that the outer SRH is popped out by PSP at S1. Thus the node processes second SRH with SIDs <S2, A2::2, S::1> that decrements SL to 0, updates DA to S::1 which the SL indicates and forward the packet to the mobile node through radio channel associated with "S::1". In this case, the decremented SL is 0 so that the node does PSP operation of popped out the SRH from the packet and forward it.

In the second scenario that one SRH with SIDs <S2, A2::, C1, S1, A1::1, S::1>, access node of "A1::1" segment does End process for the receiving packet according to the SRH. Rest part of processes are as same as previous case.

6.3. Stateless Interworking with Legacy Access Network

This section describes an use case where user-plane functions are interworking in stateless between SRv6 and legacy access networks. Here stateless means that there’s no need to be aware any states of mobility sessions in the node.

As some types of interworking scenarios could be considered, we will describe other cases in the future versions of this document.

6.3.1. Uplink: Legacy Access to SRv6

Legacy Access Point:

When a legacy access point node receives a packet destined to "D::1" from a mobile node "S::1" through associated radio channel, it does tunnel encapsulation with the tunneling parameters, IPv4 DA, SA and tunnel header with ID, and sends out the packet to the network.

Stateless Interworking:
The stateless interworking node of corresponding to the IPv4 DA does T.Tmap process for the receiving packet to pop the tunnel headers and push a SRH to the packet. The SRH consists of SIDs <B1, D::1> with SL=1, where "B1" encodes IW-IPv6-Prefix, tunnel IPv4 DA, SA and TUN-ID in it as Figure 3 defined. The stateless interworking node updates DA to "B1" and forward the packet. SA of the packet must be kept as "S::1".

Layer-2 or Layer-3 Anchor:

The receiving node of the packet destine to B1 either be Layer-2 anchor, or Layer-3 anchor node. Which type of anchor function bound to B1 depends on operational policy.

In case of B1 to an L2-anchor node, the L2-anchor node does End.B6 process for the receiving packet as same as previous section.

In case of B1 to an L3-anchor node, the L3-anchor node does End.T process for the receiving packet as same as previous section.

6.3.2. Downlink: SRv6 to Legacy Access

Layer-2 or Layer-3 Anchor:

In case of an L3-anchor node receives a packet destine to S::1 from the correspondent node "D::1", and stateless interworking SID is bound to the next segment of S::1, the L3-anchor node does T.Insert process for the receiving packet to push a SRH with SID list <B2::, S::1> with SL=1, where "B2" which encodes IW-IPv6-Prefix, tunnel IPv4 DA, SA and TUN-ID in it as Figure 3 defined. The L3-anchor node updates DA to "B2" and forward the packet.

In case of an L2-anchor node receives a packet destine to SID "A2::B2" and the SID is bound to "B2", the L2-anchor node does End.B6 process for the receiving packet as same as previous section. The node updates DA to B2 and forward the packet.

Stateless Interworking:

The stateless interworking node of "B2" End.TM process for the receiving packet according to the SRH. The node decrement SL to 0, updates DA to "D::1" which the SL indicates, push IPv4 and tunnel headers with IPv4 DA, SA and TUN-ID extracted from "B2", and forward the packet to the legacy network.
In this use case, decremented SL is 0 so that the node does PSP operation of popped out the SRH from the packet and forward it.

Legacy Access Point:

The legacy access point node of the IPv4 DA does tunnel termination process for the receiving packet according to the tunnel header. The node pop the IPv4 and tunnel header and forward the packet to the mobile node through radio channel associated with the tunnel.

7. Network Slicing Considerations

Mobile network may be required to create a network slicing that represent a set of network resources and isolate those resource from other slices. User-plane functions represented as SRv6 segments would be part of a slice.

To represent a set of user-plane function segments for a slice, sharing same prefix through those SIDs within the slice could be a straightforward way. SIDs in a network slice may include other type of functions in addition to the mobile user-plane functions described in this document, and underlay integration to meet SLA and quality requirements.

While network slicing has been discussed in the IETF and other standardization bodies, what functionalities are required for network slicing in mobile user-plane is further study item and to be discussed.

8. Control Plane Considerations

8.1. Existing Control Plane

A mobile control-plane entity may allocate SIDs to the node of corresponding user-plane function. In this case, the control-plane entity must signal allocated SIDs to other side of entity.

If the control-plane entity needs to employ existing mobile control-plane protocol to signal, GTP-C or PMIP for example, it must require not to impact the control-plane protocols. In this case, tunnel endpoint IPv6 address field in the control-plane message can be used to signal a SID.

The basic mode described in Section 6.1 should be adopted. In the basic mode, SID indicates unique session so that tunnel identifier is not required to SRv6 user-plane. But the mobile control-plane may be assumed that one user-plane entity has one IPv6 address and it
allocates tunnel identifier per session. In this case, SRv6 node of user-plane can form SID with the IPv6 address and the tunnel identifier.

When an IPv6 prefix "A::/64" is allocated to an user-plane, and the control-plane allocate one 32bits tunnel identifier of "0x12345678" for a mobile session, the user-plane node forms a 128bits SID "A::1234:5678".

In this way, there is no impact to the control-plane.

8.2. Aggregate Mode

To support aggregate mode described in Section 6.2 in control-plane protocol, allocated SIDs for service policies can be signaled as tunnel endpoint IPv6 address too. In this case, SRv6 policy associated to the SID should be configured in the user-plane node through other means.

Aggregate mode user-plane can take advantage of SRv6 that enables seamless mobile user-plane deployment with service chaining, VPNs, traffic-engineering by computed path to fulfil the policy.

In case of a mobile control-plane is aware those policies and is capable to advertise them, the mobile control-plane can integrate SRv6 advanced features.

8.3. User-Plane Sepalated Control Plane

In an user-plane separated control-plane system, a mobile user-plane entity may allocate SIDs to an user-plane function instead of the control-plane. In this case, the user-plane entity should inform allocated SIDs back to the control-plane entity.

If the control- and user-plane entities need to employ existing user-plane control protocol in between, such as PFCP for example, it may be required not to impact that protocol. In this case, the control-plane entity is only allowed to signal SID in a tunnel endpoint IPv6 address field.

8.4. Centralized Controller

When a centralized controller interfaces to mobile control-planes is capable to allocate SIDs to the controlling SRv6 nodes, the mobile control-planes just need to indicate nodes and their user-plane functions to the controller. In this case, the controller must allocate appropriate SIDs for the user-plane functions to the SRv6 nodes. The controller must configure allocated SIDs to the nodes.
To indicate nodes and their user-plane functions from mobile control-plane to user-plane, the centralized controller could take advantage of [I-D.ietf-dmm-fpc-cpdp]. It provides interface to the control-plane to manage the user-plane of mobile networks. To build centralized controller for mobile user-plane is out of scope of this document.

8.5. Stateless Interworking

A mobile control-plane is not required to configure statless interworking function in interworking node. This benefits operators to gradually migrate from legacy to advanced mobile user-plane with no impact to the legacy system.

SID allocating entity of SRv6 user-plane nodes needs to be aware of IW-IPv6-Prefix to form interworking SID. Legacy user-plane network allocate IPv4 address space routed to SRv6 user-plane network. The mobile control-plane of legacy user-plane also need to allocate tunnel endpoint IPv4 addresses from that address space for mobile sessions which is usual operation for it and no difference from legacy system.

9. Security Considerations

TBD
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1 Introduction

With the development of network technology, there are more and more services sensitive to network latency, for example, interactive VR, tactile Internet, remote control, automatic drive etc. Also low latency has become an important requirement in 5G network design. For service with low latency requirements, the network needs to meet its end-to-end latency requirements.

The MEC (Multi-access Edge Computing) sinks computing and storage capacity to the edge of the network. The MEC server is deployed at the edge of the network and applications could be deployed in the MEC server. This allows the MN to access the required services in close proximity without having to traverse through the core network, thereby reducing the end-to-end RTT, and satisfying latency requirements. Usually, MN and MEC server are under the same operator network. One of the basic MEC deployment scenarios is shown in Figure 1:

```
+--+           +---+       +---+     +----------+
|MN|-----------|UP1|       |UP3|-----|MEC Server|
+--+           +---+       +---+     +----------+
     +---+       +---+     +----------+
     |UP2|       |UP4|-----|MEC Server|
     +---+       +---+     +----------+
```

UP: User Plane function

Figure 1: MEC Deployment Architecture

In order to meet the low latency requirements of network services, an alternative approach is to deploy services with low latency requirements in the MEC system. The MEC architecture is an effective means of addressing low latency requirements by deploying the application in an MEC server close to the terminal equipment.

Application instance runs in a MEC server, and the service connection is established between application runs on MN and application instance runs on MEC server. When the MN moves in the MEC server’s coverage area, in order to ensure continuity of the service, the connectivity between MN application and mobile edge application needs to be maintained. As MN moves further away from the location of the mobile edge application, there could be an increased latency between the MN and the mobile edge application. Due to this reason or others (e.g. network congestion), for some mobile edge applications, it might become necessary to migrate the application instance, i.e.
migrating the application instance to a new MEC server near to MN’s current location, in order to satisfy the latency requirements, when the application instance is migrated the service continuity need to be maintained [GS_MEC003].

For instance, when the MN runs interactive VR (Virtual Reality) service, in order to guarantee the high bandwidth and low latency requirement of the VR’s service, the MEC server is used to provide service for the MN, that is, the MEC server starts a VM (Virtual Machine) running the VR service for MN, when the MN moves far away from the original MEC server, if the nearest MEC server is available, the VM will be migrated to the new MEC server, and ensuring continuity of VR service. The case where the VM migration follows MN’s mobility is also referred as VM handoff [Ha2015].

This memo analyzes the above mentioned MEC mobility scenario in which the application instance migrates following MN’s movement, and a mobility management solution based on the ID/Locator split scheme is discussed.

1.1 Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2 Mobility Management Gap Analysis

In the DMM, the on-demand mobility scheme [ODMM] is proposed, in which the network provides IP session continuity and IP address reachability based on application requirements. If the application requires both session continuity and IP address reachability, the application chooses to use a fixed IP address; if the application needs IP session continuity but does not need IP address reachability, then the application will use Session-lasting IP Address; if the application neither need IP session continuity nor IP address reachability, then non-persistent IP Address will be used.

On-demand mobility scheme separate applications need IP session continuity from applications don’t need IP session continuity, and then the network provides applications with different types of session continuity support based on this separation: for an application that does not require session continuity support, session continuity is not provided, and a new IP address is allowed to be used when the MN moves, in this way the routing redundancy problem could be avoided; for an application that needs session continuity support from the network, the network side sustains the IP address used by the MN during the movement of the MN, so that the IP address
used by the application is not changed, however, this approach provides session continuity while also introduces routing redundancy for application traffic. The on-demand approach does not address the mobility requirements in the VM handoff scenario described earlier.

The fundamental cause for the route redundancy is the dual attributes of the IP address: the network location attribute and the session identification attribute. The two communication sides use IP addresses to identify the session, so in order to maintain session continuity the IP addresses need keep the same, but because IP address also determines network location, when the IP address keeps the same the service traffic flows back to the IP address’s IP anchor, which leads to routing redundancy problem.

3 Mobility Solution Based on ID/Locator Split

ID/Locator separation scheme separate ID attribute from Locator attribute in one IP address, it can be a good choice to solve the routing redundancy problem caused by mobility.

In this memo, an architecture of network-based mobility management solution based on the concept of ID/Locator split is discussed which is also align with DMM working group’s existing CP-UP separation architecture.

When a host is connected to the network, the network assigns a host ID (or ID for abbreviation) to the host, which uniquely identifies the host in the network. The ID is independent of the network location where the host located in, the host can be found by its ID regardless of where the host locates in the network. During communication, the communication peers identify the session based on the ID. The location independence of the ID ensures that the ID does not change when the host moves in the network, thus ensuring that the sessions on both sides of the communication are not interrupted due to changes in the host network location.

In addition to the host ID, the host will also have a locator information to identify the location of the network to which it belongs. The locator information is bound to the network location. When the host’s network location changes, the host locator changes so that host locator can correctly identify the host’s current network located. The packets transmitted between the two parties are routed based on the locator through the network. Figure 2 illustrates an overview of the mobility solution architecture.
UP1 to UP4 are user plane functions. They are responsible for the management of Locator, packet encapsulation and decapsulation, packet forwarding, signaling interaction with Control Plane (for example, ID/Locator relationship update).

The Control Plane is responsible for maintaining the mapping of ID/Locator and configuring the ID/Locator mapping to the corresponding UP to control UP’s processing of the packet.

The MN and VM instance use ID-based communication with each other. MN and VM instance obtains its corresponding host ID from the network respectively. Both IDs are carried in packets. At the same time, the network assigns a locator to each party of the communication. The locator can be shared by multiple hosts located under the same UP, or each host can be assigned a separate locator. The ID carried in packets will be mapped to locator for packet routing, the network routes and forwards packets based on locator.

In order not to modify the existing mobile terminal, an alternative is to use the IP address as the host ID, except that the IP address referred to here is only in the same form as the IP address but is not bound to the network location and is not used for packet routing, the two sides of communication in the scheme use the 5-tuple (src ID, dest ID, src port, dest port, protocol No.) to identify the session.
It is assumed that the ID used by the MN and CN in the communication are ID-mn and ID-cn respectively. UP1 to UP4 is responsible for allocating locator for MN and CN. An illustration of host side protocol stack is shown in figure 3.

When the MN is located at UP1, a communication connection is established between MN and MN’s correspondent node VM1, at which time the VM1 accesses UP3. The packet between MN and the VM1 is transmitted through the tunnel established between UP1 and UP3, where the outer header of the tunnel uses the locator assigned by UP1 and UP3.

When the MN moves to UP2, MN’s ID will be remain unchanged, and the MN’s locator changed to LOC2. The communication between the MN and the VM adopts the make-before-break mode. The MN communicates with the VM instance located at the UP3 position until the VM instance completely migrates to the UP4 position. During this period, packets
are transmitted through tunnels between UP2 and UP3. The outer header of the tunnel uses the locators assigned by UP2 and UP3.

Figure 5: Communication Connection during Movement

When the VM instance has been migrated to UP4 position, the ID for VM remains unchanged. The MN will communicate with the VM instance at the UP4 position. That is, the communication path will be switched from between UP2 and UP3 to UP2 and UP4. In this case, it is necessary to set up a temporary path between UP3 and UP4 and forward previous in-transit packets from UP3 to UP4, the temporary path will be released after all the packets has been forwarded to UP4.
In the ID-based communication, the ID does not change during the move of the host, thus ensuring the continuity of the session, and because the packet always routes using the locator that identifies the current location of the host, the routing path is optimized providing a guarantee for achieving low latency.

**NOTE:** The interaction signaling between Control Plane and User Plane is TBD.

4 Relations with Existing DMM Solutions

TBD.

5 Security Considerations

TBD.

6 IANA Considerations

TBD.
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