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Abstract

This document extends the Resource-Oriented Lightweight Information Exchange (ROLIE) core to add the information type category and related requirements needed to support Software Record and Software Inventory use cases. The ‘software-descriptor’ information type is defined as a ROLIE extension. Additional supporting requirements are also defined that describe the use of specific formats and link relations pertaining to the new information type.
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1. Introduction

This document defines an extension to the Resource-Oriented Lightweight Information Exchange (ROLIE) protocol to support the publication of software descriptor information. Software descriptor information is information that characterizes:

- an installable software package, or
- information about static software components that may be installed by a software package or patch.

Software descriptor information includes identifying, versioning, software creation and publication, and file artifact information. Software descriptor information provides data about what might be
Some possible use cases for Software descriptor information include:

Software providers can publish software descriptor information so that software researchers and users of software can understand the collection of software produced by a software provider.

Organizations can aggregate and syndicate collections of software descriptor information provided by multiple software providers to support software-related analysis processes (e.g., vulnerability analysis) and value added information (e.g., software configuration checklist repositories) using identification and characterization information derived from software descriptor information.

End user organizations can consume sources of software descriptor information, and other related software vulnerability and configuration information to provide the data needed to automate software asset, patch, and configuration management practices.

Organizations can use software descriptors to support verification of other entities, thru mechanisms such as RIM or other integrity measurements.

This document supports these use cases by describing the content requirements for Collections of software descriptor information that are to be published to or retrieved from a ROLIE repository. This document also discusses requirements around the use of link relationships and describing the data model formats used in a ROLIE Entry describing a software descriptor information resource.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST," "MUST NOT," "REQUIRED," "SHALL," "SHALL NOT," "SHOULD," "SHOULD NOT," "RECOMMENDED," "MAY," and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Definitions for some of the common computer security-related terminology used in this document can be found in Section 2 of [RFC5070].

3. New information-types

This document defines the following information type:
3.1. The "software-descriptor" information type

The "software-descriptor" information type represents any information that describes a piece of software. This document uses the definition of software provided by [RFC4949]. Note that as per this definition, this information type pertains to static software, that is, code on the disc. The software-descriptor information type is intended to provide a category for information that does one or more of the following:

- Identifies and characterizes software. This software identification and characterization information can be provided by a large variety of data, but always describes software in a pre-installed state.

- Provides software installer metadata. This represents information about software used to install other software. This metadata identifies, and characterizes a software installation package or media.

- Describes stateless installation metadata. Information that describes the software post-deployment, such as files that may be deployed during an installation. It is expected that this metadata is produced generally for a given installation, and may not exactly match the actual installed files on a given endpoint.

Provided below is a non-exhaustive list of information that may be considered to be of a software-descriptor information type.

- Naming information: IDs and names that aid in the identification of a piece of software.

- Version and patching information: Version numbers, patch identifiers, or other information that.

- Vendor and source information: Includes where the software was developed or distributed from, as well as where the software installation media may be located.

- Payload and file information: information that describes or enumerates the files and folders that make up the piece of software, and information about those files.

- Descriptive information and data: Any information that otherwise characterizes a piece of software, such as libraries, runtime environments, target OSes, intended purpose or audience, etc.
Note again that this list is not exhaustive, any information that is in the abstract realm of an incident should be classified under this information-type.

This information type does not include descriptions of running software, or state and configuration information that is associated with a software installation.

4. Usage of CSIRT Information Types in the Atom Publishing Protocol

This document does not specify any additional requirements for use of the Atom Publishing Protocol.

5. Usage of the software-descriptor Information Type in the atom:feed element

This document does not specify any additional requirements for use of the atom:feed element.

6. Usage of the software-descriptor Information Type in an atom:entry

This document specifies the following requirements for use of the software-descriptor information type with regards to Atom Entries.

6.1. Use of the atom:link element

This section defines the requirements around the use of atom:links in Entries. Each relationship should be named, described, and given a requirement level.
Table 1: Link Relations for Resource-Oriented Lightweight Indicator Exchange

6.2. Use of the rolie:format element

This document does not contain any additional requirements for the rolie:format element, the formats that follow are provided as examples of formats that describe the software descriptor information type.

6.2.1. The ISO SWID 2016 format

The ISO SWID Tag 2016 format is a software descriptor and software record data format. It provides several tags: primary, which provides descriptive and naming information about software, patch, which describes non-standalone software meant to patch existing software, and corpus, which describes the software installation media that installs a given piece of software.

For a more complete overview as well as normative requirements, refer to TODO(ref?):ISO/IEC 19770-2
6.2.2. The Concise SWID format

The Concise SWID format is an alternative representation of the ISO SWID Tag 2016 format using a CBOR encoding defined by a CDDL specification. It provides the same features and attributes as are specified in ISO 19770-2, plus:

- a straightforward method to sign and encrypt SWID Tags using COSE, and
- additional attributes that provide an improved structure to include file hashes intended to be used as Reference Integrity Measurements (RIM).

6.3. Use of the rolie:property element

This document registers new valid rolie:property names as follows:

6.3.1. urn:ietf:params:rolie:property:swd:id

This property provides an exposure point for an identification field from the associated software descriptor. The value of this property SHOULD be uniquely identifying information generated from the software descriptor linked to by the entry’s atom:content element. swd:id property values SHOULD have a one-to-one mapping to individual pieces of SWD content.

6.3.2. urn:ietf:params:rolie:property:swd:swname

This property provides an exposure point for the plain text name of the software being described. Due to the great variance in naming schemes, this property should be considered informative.

6.4. IANA Considerations

6.4.1. incident information-type

IANA has added an entry to the "ROLIE Security Resource Information Type Sub-Registry" registry located at <https://www.iana.org/assignments/rolie/category/information-type>.

The entry is as follows:

- name: software-descriptor
- index: TBD
- reference: This document, Section 3.1
6.4.2. swd:id property

IANA has added an entry to the "ROLIE URN Parameters" registry located in <https://www.iana.org/assignments/rolie/>.

The entry is as follows:

name: property:swd:id


Reference: This document, Section 6.3.1

Subregistry: None

6.4.3. swd:swname property

IANA has added an entry to the "ROLIE URN Parameters" registry located in <https://www.iana.org/assignments/rolie/>.

The entry is as follows:

name: property:swd:swname


Reference: This document, Section 6.3.2

Subregistry: None

6.5. Security Considerations

Use of this extension implies dealing with the security implications of both ROLIE and of software descriptors in general. As with any SWD information, care should be taken to verify the trustworthiness and veracity of the descriptor information to the fullest extent possible.

Ideally, software descriptors should have been signed by the software manufacturer, or signed by whichever agent processed the source code. SWD documents from these sources are more likely to be accurate than those generated by scraping installed software.

These "authoritative" sources of SWD content should consider additional security for their ROLIE repository beyond the typical recommendations, as the central importance of the repository is likely to make it a target.
Version information is often represented differently across manufacturers and even across product releases. If using SWD version information for low fault tolerance comparisons and searches, care should be taken that the correct version scheme is being utilized.

7. Normative References


Appendix A. Schema

This document does not require any schema extensions.

Appendix B. Examples of Use

Use of this extension in a ROLIE repository will not typically change that repo’s operation. As such, the general examples provided by the ROLIE core document would serve as examples. Provided below is a sample SWD ROLIE entry:
<entry xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom" xmlns:rolie="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:rolie-1.0">
  <id>dd786dba-88e6-440b-9158-b8fae67ef67c</id>
  <title>Sample Software Descriptor</title>
  <published>2015-08-04T18:13:51.0Z</published>
  <updated>2015-08-05T18:13:51.0Z</updated>
  <summary>A descriptor for a piece of software published by this organization.</summary>
  <link rel="self" href="http://www.example.org/provider/SWD/123456"/>
  <category scheme="urn:ietf:params:rolie:category:information-type" term="software-descriptor"/>
  <rolie:format ns="urn:example:COSWID"/>
  <content type="application/xml" src="http://www.example.org/provider/SWD/123456/data"/>
</entry>
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Abstract

ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 Software Identification (SWID) tags provide an extensible XML-based structure to identify and describe individual software components, patches, and installation bundles. SWID tag representations can be too large for devices with network and storage constraints. This document defines a concise representation of SWID tags: Concise SWID (CoSWID) tags. CoSWID supports the same features as SWID tags, as well as additional semantics that allow CoSWIDs to describe additional types of information, all in a more memory efficient format.
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1. Introduction

SWID tags, as defined in ISO-19770-2:2015 [SWID], provide a standardized XML-based record format that identifies and describes a specific release of software, a patch, or an installation bundle, which are referred to as software components in this document. Different software components, and even different releases of a particular software component, each have a different SWID tag record associated with them. SWID tags are meant to be flexible and able to express a broad set of metadata about a software component.

SWID tags are used to support a number of processes including but not limited to:

- Software Inventory Management, a part of a Software Asset Management [SAM] process, which requires an accurate list of discernible deployed software components.
- Vulnerability Assessment, which requires a semantic link between standardized vulnerability descriptions and software components installed on IT-assets [X.1520].
- Remote Attestation, which requires a link between reference integrity measurements (RIM) and security logs of measured software components [I-D.birkholz-rats-tuda].

While there are very few required fields in SWID tags, there are many optional fields that support different uses. A SWID tag consisting of only required fields might be a few hundred bytes in size; however, a tag containing many of the optional fields can be many orders of magnitude larger. Thus, real-world instances of SWID tags...
can be fairly large, and the communication of SWID tags in usage scenarios, such as those described earlier, can cause a large amount of data to be transported. This can be larger than acceptable for constrained devices and networks. Concise SWID (CoSWID) tags significantly reduce the amount of data transported as compared to a typical SWID tag. This reduction is enabled through the use of the Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) [RFC7049], which maps the human-readable labels of SWID data items to more concise integer labels (indices). The use of CBOR to express SWID information in CoSWID tags allows both CoSWID and SWID tags to be part of an enterprise security solution for a wider range of endpoints and environments.

1.1. The SWID and CoSWID Tag Lifecycle

In addition to defining the format of a SWID tag record, ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 defines requirements concerning the SWID tag lifecycle. Specifically, when a software component is installed on an endpoint, that software component’s SWID tag is also installed. Likewise, when the software component is uninstalled or replaced, the SWID tag is deleted or replaced, as appropriate. As a result, ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 describes a system wherein there is a correspondence between the set of installed software components on an endpoint, and the presence of the corresponding SWID tags for these components on that endpoint. CoSWIDs share the same lifecycle requirements as a SWID tag.

The SWID specification and supporting guidance provided in NIST Internal Report (NISTIR) 8060: Guidelines for the Creation of Interoperable SWID Tags [SWID-GUIDANCE] defines four types of SWID tags: primary, patch, corpus, and supplemental. The following text is paraphrased from these sources.

1. Primary Tag - A SWID or CoSWID tag that identifies and describes an installed software component on an endpoint. A primary tag is intended to be installed on an endpoint along with the corresponding software component.

2. Patch Tag - A SWID or CoSWID tag that identifies and describes an installed patch that has made incremental changes to a software component installed on an endpoint. A patch tag is intended to be installed on an endpoint along with the corresponding software component patch.

3. Corpus Tag - A SWID or CoSWID tag that identifies and describes an installable software component in its pre-installation state. A corpus tag can be used to represent metadata about an
installation package or installer for a software component, a software update, or a patch.

4. Supplemental Tag - A SWID or CoSWID tag that allows additional information to be associated with a referenced SWID tag. This allows tools and users to record their own metadata about a software component without modifying SWID primary or patch tags created by a software provider.

The type of a tag is determined by specific data elements, which are discussed in Section 3.

Corpus, primary, and patch tags have similar functions in that they describe the existence and/or presence of different types of software components (e.g., software installers, software installations, software patches), and, potentially, different states of these software components. Supplemental tags have the same structure as other tags, but are used to provide information not contained in the referenced corpus, primary, and patch tags. All four tag types come into play at various points in the software lifecycle and support software management processes that depend on the ability to accurately determine where each software component is in its lifecycle.

```
+------------+
v            |
Software      Software        Software     Software
Deployment -> Installation -> Patching  -> Upgrading  -> Removal
Corpus       Primary          Primary       xPrimary       xPrimary
Supplemental  Supplemental    Supplemental xSupplemental xSuplemental
              Patch           Primary       xPatch
                                    Supplemental
```

Figure 1: Use of Tag Types in the Software Lifecycle

Figure 1 illustrates the steps in the software lifecycle and the relationships among those lifecycle events supported by the four types of SWID and CoSWID tags. The figure identifies the types of tags that can be deployed and previously deployed tags that are typically removed (indicated by an "x" prefix) at each lifecycle stage, as follows:

* Software Deployment. Before the software component is installed (i.e., pre-installation), and while the product is
being deployed, a corpus tag provides information about the installation files and distribution media (e.g., CD/DVD, distribution package).

* Software Installation. A primary tag will be installed with the software component (or subsequently created) to uniquely identify and describe the software component. Supplemental tags are created to augment primary tags with additional site-specific or extended information. While not illustrated in the figure, patch tags can also be installed during software installation to provide information about software fixes deployed along with the base software installation.

* Software Patching. When a new patch is applied to the software component a new patch tag is provided, supplying details about the patch and its dependencies. While not illustrated in the figure, a corpus tag can also provide information about the patch installer and patching dependencies that need to be installed before the patch.

* Software Upgrading. As a software component is upgraded to a new version, new primary and supplemental tags replace existing tags, enabling timely and accurate tracking of updates to software inventory. While not illustrated in the figure, a corpus tag can also provide information about the upgrade installer and dependencies that need to be installed before the upgrade.

* Software Removal. Upon removal of the software component, relevant SWID tags are removed. This removal event can trigger timely updates to software inventory reflecting the removal of the product and any associated patch or supplemental tags.

As illustrated in the figure, supplemental tags can be associated with any corpus, primary, or patch tag to provide additional metadata about an installer, installed software, or installed patch respectively.

Understanding the use of CoSWIDs in the software lifecycle provides a basis for understanding the information provided in a CoSWID and the associated semantics of this information. Each of the different SWID and CoSWID tag types provide different sets of information. For example, a "corpus tag" is used to describe a software component’s installation image on an installation media, while a "patch tag" is meant to describe a patch that modifies some other software component.
1.2. Concise SWID Format

This document defines the CoSWID tag format, which is based on CBOR. CBOR-based CoSWID tags offer a more concise representation of SWID information as compared to the XML-based SWID tag representation in ISO-19770-2:2015. The structure of a CoSWID is described via the Concise Data Definition Language (CDDL) [RFC8610]. The resulting CoSWID data definition is aligned to the information able to be expressed with the XML schema definition of ISO-19770-2:2015 [SWID]. This alignment allows both SWID and CoSWID tags to represent a common set of software component information and allows CoSWID tags to support the same uses as a SWID tag. To achieve this end, the CDDL representation includes every SWID tag field and attribute.

The vocabulary, i.e., the CDDL names of the types and members used in the CoSWID data definition, are mapped to more concise labels represented as small integer values. The names used in the CDDL data definition and the mapping to the CBOR representation using integer labels is based on the vocabulary of the XML attribute and element names defined in ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015.

1.3. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Concise SWID Data Definition

The following describes the general rules and processes for encoding data using CDDL representation. Prior familiarity with CBOR and CDDL concepts will be helpful in understanding this CoSWID data definition.

This section describes the rules by which SWID tag XML is represented in the CoSWID CDDL structure. The CamelCase [CamelCase] notation used in the XML schema definition is changed to a hyphen-separated notation [KebabCase] (e.g. ResourceCollection is named resource-collection) in the CoSWID data definition. This deviation from the original notation used in the XML representation reduces ambiguity when referencing certain attributes in corresponding textual descriptions. An attribute referred to by its name in CamelCase notation explicitly relates to XML SWID tags; an attribute referred to by its name in KebabCase notation explicitly relates to CBOR CoSWID tags. This approach simplifies the composition of further work that reference both XML SWID and CBOR CoSWID documents.
In most cases, mapping attribute names between SWID and CoSWID can be done automatically by converting between CamelCase and KebabCase attribute names. However, some CoSWID CDDL attribute names show greater variation relative to their corresponding SWID XML Schema attributes. This is done when the change improves clarity in the specification. For example the "name" and "version" SWID fields corresponds to the "software-name" and "software-version" CoSWID fields, respectively. As such, it is not always possible to mechanically translate between corresponding attribute names in the two formats. In such cases, a manual mapping will need to be used.

The 57 human-readable text labels of the CDDL-based CoSWID vocabulary are mapped to integer indices via a block of rules at the bottom of the definition. This allows a more concise integer-based form to be stored or transported, as compared to the less efficient text-based form of the original vocabulary.

In CBOR, an array is encoded using bytes that identify the array, and the array’s length or stop point (see [RFC7049]). To make items that support 1 or more values, the following CDDL notion is used.

\_name\_ = (_label_: _data_ / \[ 2* _data_ \])

The CDDL rule above allows either a single data item or an array of 2 or more data values to be provided. When a singleton data value is provided, the CBOR markers for the array, array length, and stop point are not needed, saving bytes. When two or more data values are provided, these values are encoded as an array. This modeling pattern is used frequently in the CoSWID CDDL data definition to allow for more efficient encoding of singleton values.

The following subsections describe the different parts of the CoSWID model.

2.1. Character Encoding

The CDDL "text" type is represented in CBOR as a major type 3, which represents "a string of Unicode characters that [are] encoded as UTF-8 [RFC3629]" (see [RFC7049] section 2.1). Thus both SWID and CoSWID use UTF-8 for the encoding of characters in text strings.

To ensure that UTF-8 character strings are able to be encoded/decoded and exchanged interoperably, text strings in CoSWID MUST be encoded consistent with the Net-Unicode definition defined in [RFC5198].

All names registered with IANA according to requirements in section Section 5.2 also need to be valid according to the XML Schema NMToken data type (see [W3C.REC-xmlschema-2-20041028] section 3.3.4) to
ensure compatibility with the SWID specification where these names are used.

2.2. Concise SWID Extensions

The CoSWID data definition contains two features that are not included in the SWID data definition on which it is based. These features are:

- The explicit definition of types for some attributes in the ISO-19770-2:2015 XML representation that are typically represented by the "any attribute" in the SWID model. These are covered in Section 2.5.

- The inclusion of extension points in the CoSWID data definition using CDDL sockets (see [RFC8610] section 3.9). The use of CDDL sockets allow for well-formed extensions to be defined in supplementary CDDL descriptions that support additional uses of CoSWID tags that go beyond the original scope of ISO-19770-2:2015 tags. This extension mechanism can also be used to update the CoSWID format as revisions to ISO-19770-2 are published.

The following CDDL sockets (extension points) are defined in this document, which allow the addition of new information structures to their respective CDDL groups.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map Name</th>
<th>CDDL Socket</th>
<th>Defined in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>concise-swid-tag</td>
<td>$$coswid-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entity-entry</td>
<td>$$entity-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>link-entry</td>
<td>$$link-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software-meta-entry</td>
<td>$$meta-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>file-entry</td>
<td>$$file-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>directory-entry</td>
<td>$$directory-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process-entry</td>
<td>$$process-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resource-entry</td>
<td>$$resource-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payload-entry</td>
<td>$$payload-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evidence-entry</td>
<td>$$evidence-extension</td>
<td>Section 2.9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: CoSWID CDDL Group Extension Points

The CoSWID Items Registry defined in Section 5.1 provides a registration mechanism allowing new items, and their associated index values, to be added to the CoSWID model through the use of the CDDL sockets described in the table above. This registration mechanism provides for well-known index values for data items in CoSWID extensions, allowing these index values to be recognized by implementations supporting a given extension.

The following additional CDDL sockets are defined in this document to allow for adding new values to corresponding type-choices (i.e. to represent enumerations) via custom CDDL data definitions.
A number of SWID/CoSWID value registries are also defined in Section 5.2 that allow new values to be registered with IANA for the enumerations above. This registration mechanism supports the definition of new well-known index values and names for new enumeration values used by both SWID and CoSWID. This registration mechanism allows new standardized enumerated values to be shared between both specifications (and implementations) over time, and references to the IANA registries will be added to the next revision of [SWID].

2.3. The concise-swid-tag Group

The CDDL data definition for the root concise-swid-tag map is as follows and this rule and its constraints MUST be followed when creating or validating a CoSWID tag:
concise-swid-tag = {
  global-attributes,
  tag-id => text / bstr .size 16,
  tag-version => integer,
  ? corpus => bool,
  ? patch => bool,
  ? supplemental => bool,
  software-name => text,
  ? software-version => text,
  ? version-scheme => $version-scheme,
  ? media => text,
  ? software-meta => software-meta-entry / [ 2* software-meta-entry ],
  entity => entity-entry / [ 2* entity-entry ],
  ? link => link-entry / [ 2* link-entry ],
  ? ({ payload => payload-entry ) // ( evidence => evidence-entry )},
  * $$coswid-extension
}

tag-id = 0
software-name = 1
entity = 2
evidence = 3
link = 4
software-meta = 5
payload = 6
corpus = 8
patch = 9
media = 10
supplemental = 11
tag-version = 12
software-version = 13
version-scheme = 14

$version-scheme /= multipartnumeric
$version-scheme /= multipartnumeric-suffix
$version-scheme /= alphanumeric
$version-scheme /= decimal
$version-scheme /= semver
$version-scheme /= uint / text
multipartnumeric = 1
multipartnumeric-suffix = 2
alphanumeric = 3
decimal = 4
semver = 16384

The following describes each member of the concise-swid-tag root map.
- global-attributes: A list of items including an optional language definition to support the processing of text-string values and an unbounded set of any-attribute items. Described in Section 2.5.

- tag-id (index 0): A 16 byte binary string or textual identifier uniquely referencing a software component. The tag identifier MUST be globally unique. If represented as a 16 byte binary string, the identifier MUST be a valid universally unique identifier as defined by [RFC4122]. There are no strict guidelines on how this identifier is structured, but examples include a 16 byte GUID (e.g. class 4 UUID) [RFC4122], or a text string appended to a DNS domain name to ensure uniqueness across organizations.

- tag-version (index 12): An integer value that indicates the specific release revision of the tag. Typically, the initial value of this field is set to 0 and the value is monotonically increased for subsequent tags produced for the same software component release. This value allows a CoSWID tag producer to correct an incorrect tag previously released without indicating a change to the underlying software component the tag represents. For example, the tag version could be changed to add new metadata, to correct a broken link, to add a missing payload entry, etc. When producing a revised tag, the new tag-version value MUST be greater than the old tag-version value.

- corpus (index 8): A boolean value that indicates if the tag identifies and describes an installable software component in its pre-installation state. Installable software includes an installation package or installer for a software component, a software update, or a patch. If the CoSWID tag represents installable software, the corpus item MUST be set to "true". If not provided, the default value MUST be considered "false".

- patch (index 9): A boolean value that indicates if the tag identifies and describes an installed patch that has made incremental changes to a software component installed on an endpoint. Typically, an installed patch has made a set of file modifications to pre-installed software and does not alter the version number or the descriptive metadata of an installed software component. If a CoSWID tag is for a patch, the patch item MUST be set to "true". If not provided, the default value MUST be considered "false".

Note: If the software component’s version number is modified, then the correct course of action would be to replace the previous primary tag for the component with a new primary tag that reflected this new version. In such a case, the new tag would
have a patch item value of "false" or would omit this item completely.

- supplemental (index 11): A boolean value that indicates if the tag is providing additional information to be associated with another referenced SWID or CoSWID tag. This allows tools and users to record their own metadata about a software component without modifying SWID primary or patch tags created by a software provider. If a CoSWID tag is a supplemental tag, the supplemental item MUST be set to "true". If not provided, the default value MUST be considered "false".

- software-name (index 1): This textual item provides the software component’s name. This name is likely the same name that would appear in a package management tool.

- software-version (index 13): A textual value representing the specific release or development version of the software component.

- version-scheme (index 14): An integer or textual value representing the versioning scheme used for the software-version item. If an integer value is used it MUST be an index value in the range -256 to 65535. Integer values in the range -256 to -1 are reserved for testing and use in closed environments (see section Section 5.2.2). Integer values in the range 0 to 65535 correspond to registered entries in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Version Scheme Value" registry (see section Section 5.2.4. If a string value is used it MUST be a private use name as defined in section Section 5.2.2. String values based on a Version Scheme Name from the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Version Scheme Value" registry MUST NOT be used, as these values are less concise than their index value equivalent.

- media (index 10): This text value is a hint to the tag consumer to understand what target platform this tag applies to. This item represents a query as defined by the W3C Media Queries Recommendation (see [W3C.REC-css3-mediaqueries-20120619]).

- software-meta (index 5): An open-ended map of key/value data pairs. A number of predefined keys can be used within this item providing for common usage and semantics across the industry. Use of this map allows any additional attribute to be included in the tag. It is expected that industry groups will use a common set of attribute names to allow for interoperability within their communities. Described in Section 2.8.

- entity (index 2): Provides information about one or more organizations responsible for producing the CoSWID tag, and
producing or releasing the software component referenced by this CoSWID tag. Described in Section 2.6.

- **link (index 4):** Provides a means to establish relationship arcs between the tag and another items. A given link can be used to establish the relationship between tags or to reference another resource that is related to the CoSWID tag, e.g. vulnerability database association, ROLIE feed [RFC8322], MUD resource [RFC8520], software download location, etc). This is modeled after the HTML "link" element. Described in Section 2.7.

- **payload (index 6):** This item represents a collection of software artifacts (described by child items) that compose the target software. For example, these artifacts could be the files included with an installer for a corpus tag or installed on an endpoint when the software component is installed for a primary or patch tag. The artifacts listed in a payload may be a superset of the software artifacts that are actually installed. Based on user selections at install time, an installation might not include every artifact that could be created or executed on the endpoint when the software component is installed or run. Described in Section 2.9.3.

- **evidence-entry (index 3):** This item can be used to record the results of a software discovery process used to identify untagged software on an endpoint or to represent indicators for why software is believed to be installed on the endpoint. In either case, a CoSWID tag can be created by the tool performing an analysis of the software components installed on the endpoint. Described in Section 2.9.4.

- **coswid-extension:** This CDDL socket is used to add new information structures to the concise-swid-tag root map. See Section 2.2.

### 2.4. concise-swid-tag Co-constraints

The following co-constraints apply to the information provided in the concise-swid-tag group.

- The patch and supplemental items MUST NOT both be set to "true".

- If the patch item is set to "true", the tag SHOULD contain at least one link item (see section Section 2.7) with both the rel(ation) item value of "patches" and an href item specifying an association with the software that was patched.
If the supplemental item is set to "true", the tag SHOULD contain at least one link item with both the rel(ation) item value of "supplements" and an href item specifying an association with the software that is supplemented.

If all of the corpus, patch, and supplemental items are "false", or if the corpus item is set to "true", then a software-version item MUST be included with a value set to the version of the software component. This ensures that primary and corpus tags have an identifiable software version.

2.5. The global-attributes Group

The global-attributes group provides a list of items, including an optional language definition to support the processing of text-string values, and an unbounded set of any-attribute items allowing for additional items to be provided as a general point of extension in the model.

The CDDL for the global-attributes follows:

global-attributes = ( ? lang, * any-attribute, )

any-attribute = ( label => text / int / [ 2* text ] / [ 2* int ]

label = text / int

The following describes each child item of this group.

lang (index 15): A textual language tag that conforms with IANA "Language Subtag Registry" [RFC5646]. The context of the specified language applies to all sibling and descendant textual values, unless a descendant object has defined a different language tag. Thus, a new context is established when a descendant object redefines a new language tag. All textual values within a given context MUST be considered expressed in the specified language.

any-attribute: This sub-group provides a means to include arbitrary information via label ("key") value pairs. Labels can be either a single integer or text string. Values can be a single integer, a text string, or an array of integers or text strings.
2.6. The entity-entry Group

The CDDL for the entity-entry group follows:

```cddl
entity-entry = { 
    global-attributes, 
    entity-name => text, 
    ? reg-id => any-uri, 
    role => $role / [ 2* $role ], 
    ? thumbprint => hash-entry, 
    * $$entity-extension, 
} 
```

- entity-name = 31
- reg-id = 32
- role = 33
- thumbprint = 34

$role /= tag-creator
$role /= software-creator
$role /= aggregator
$role /= distributor
$role /= licensor
$role /= uint / text
tag-creator=1
software-creator=2
aggregator=3
distributor=4
licensor=5

The following describes each child item of this group.

- **global-attributes**: The global-attributes group described in Section 2.5.

- **entity-name (index 32)**: The textual name of the organizational entity claiming the roles specified by the role item for the CoSWID tag.

- **reg-id (index 32)**: The registration id value is intended to uniquely identify a naming authority in a given scope (e.g. global, organization, vendor, customer, administrative domain, etc.) for the referenced entity. The value of an registration ID MUST be a RFC 3986 URI. The scope SHOULD be the scope of an organization. In a given scope, the registration id MUST be used consistently for CoSWID tag production.

- **role (index 33)**: An integer or textual value representing the relationship(s) between the entity, and this tag or the referenced
software component. If an integer value is used it MUST be an index value in the range -256 to 255. Integer values in the range -256 to -1 are reserved for testing and use in closed environments (see section Section 5.2.2). Integer values in the range 0 to 255 correspond to registered entries in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Entity Role Value" registry (see section Section 5.2.5). If a string value is used it MUST be a private use name as defined in section Section 5.2.2. String values based on a Role Name from the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Entity Role Value" registry MUST NOT be used, as these values are less concise than their index value equivalent.

The following additional requirements exist for the use of the "role" item:

* An entity item MUST be provided with the role of "tag-creator" for every CoSWID tag. This indicates the organization that created the CoSWID tag.

* An entity item SHOULD be provided with the role of "software-creator" for every CoSWID tag, if this information is known to the tag creator. This indicates the organization that created the referenced software component.

- **thumbprint (index 34):** The value of the thumbprint item provides an integer-based hash algorithm identifier (hash-alg-id) and a byte string value (hash-value) that contains the corresponding hash value (i.e. the thumbprint) of the signing entity’s public key certificate. This provides an indicator of which entity signed the CoSWID tag, which will typically be the tag creator. If the hash-alg-id is not known, then the integer value "0" MUST be used. This ensures parity between the SWID tag specification [SWID], which does not allow an algorithm to be identified for this field. See Section 2.9.1 for more details on the use of the hash-entry data structure.

- **$entity-extension:** This CDDL socket can be used to extend the entity-entry group model. See Section 2.2.

2.7. The link-entry Map

The CDDL for the link-entry map follows:

```
link-entry = {
  global-attributes,
  ? artifact => text,
  href => any-uri,
  ? media => text,
  ? ownership => $ownership,
```

rel => $rel,
? media-type => text,
? use => $use,
* $$link-extension,
}
media = 10
artifact = 37
href = 38
ownership = 39
rel = 40
media-type = 41
use = 42

$ownership /= shared
$ownership /= private
$ownership /= abandon
$ownership /= uint / text
shared=1
private=2
abandon=3

$rel /= ancestor
$rel /= component
$rel /= feature
$rel /= installationmedia
$rel /= packageinstaller
$rel /= parent
$rel /= patches
$rel /= requires
$rel /= see-also
$rel /= supersedes
$rel /= supplemental
$rel /= uint / text
ancestor=1
component=2
feature=3
installationmedia=4
packageinstaller=5
parent=6
patches=7
requires=8
see-also=9
supersedes=10
supplemental=11

$use /= optional
$use /= required
$use /= recommended
The following describes each member of this map.

- **global-attributes**: The global-attributes group described in Section 2.5.

- **artifact (index: 37)**: To be used with rel="installation-media", this item’s value provides the path to the installer executable or script that can be run to launch the referenced installation. Links with the same artifact name MUST be considered mirrors of each other, allowing the installation media to be acquired from any of the described sources.

- **href (index 38)**: A URI for the referenced resource. The "href" item’s value can be, but is not limited to, the following (which is a slightly modified excerpt from [SWID]):
  
  - If no URI scheme is provided, then the URI is to be interpreted as being relative to the URI of the CoSWID tag. For example, ".//folder-supplemental.coswid".
  - A physical resource location with any acceptable URI scheme (e.g., file:// http:// https:// ftp://)
  - A URI with "swid:" as the scheme refers to another SWID or CoSWID by the referenced tag’s tag-id. This URI needs to be resolved in the context of the endpoint by software that can lookup other SWID or CoSWID tags. For example, "swid:2df9de35-0aff-4a86-ace6-f7dd1ade4c" references the tag with the tag-id value "2df9de35-0aff-4a86-ace6-f7dd1ade4c".
  - A URI with "swidpath:" as the scheme, which refers to another CoSWID via an XPATH query. This URI would need to be resolved in the context of the system entity via software components that can lookup other CoSWID tags and select the appropriate tag based on an XPATH query [W3C.REC-xpath20-20101214].

Examples include:

```
+ swidpath://SoftwareIdentity[Entity/@regid='http://contoso.com'] would retrieve all SWID or CoSWID tags that include an entity where the regid is "Contoso"
```
+  swidpath://SoftwareIdentity[Meta/@persistentId='b0c55172-38e9-4e36-be86-92206ad8eddb'] would match all SWID or CoSWID
tags with the persistent-id value
"b0c55172-38e9-4e36-be86-92206ad8eddb"

- media (index 10): A hint to the consumer of the link to what
target platform the link is applicable to. This item represents a
query as defined by the W3C Media Queries Recommendation (see
[W3C.REC-css3-mediaqueries-20120619]). See also media defined in
Section 2.3.

- ownership (index 39): An integer or textual value used when the
"href" item references another software component to indicate the
degree of ownership between the software component referenced by
the COSWID tag and the software component referenced by the link.
If an integer value is used it MUST be an index value in the range
-256 to 255. Integer values in the range -256 to -1 are reserved
for testing and use in closed environments (see section
Section 5.2.2). Integer values in the range 0 to 255 correspond
to registered entries in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Ownership
Value" registry (see section Section 5.2.6). If a string value is
used it MUST be a private use name as defined in section
Section 5.2.2. String values based on a Ownership Type Name from
the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Ownership Value" registry MUST NOT be
used, as these values are less concise than their index value
equivalent.

- rel (index 40): An integer or textual value that identifies the
relationship between this CoSWID and the target resource
identified by the "href" item. If an integer value is used it
MUST be an index value in the range -256 to 65535. Integer values
in the range -256 to -1 are reserved for testing and use in closed
environments (see section Section 5.2.2). Integer values in the
range 0 to 65535 correspond to registered entries in the IANA
"SWID/CoSWID Link Relationship Value" registry (see section
Section 5.2.7). If a string value is used it MUST be either a
private use name as defined in section Section 5.2.2 or a
"Relation Name" from the IANA "Link Relation Types" registry:
https://www.iana.org/assignments/link-relations/link-
relations.xhtml as defined by [RFC8288]. When a string value
defined in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Relationship Value" registry
matches a Relation Name defined in the IANA "Link Relation Types"
registry, the index value in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link
Relationship Value" registry MUST be used instead, as this
relationship has a specialized meaning in the context of a SWID/
CoSWID tag. String values based on a Relationship Type Name from
the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Relationship Value" registry MUST NOT
be used, as these values are less concise than their index value equivalent.

- media-type (index 41): A link can point to arbitrary resources on the endpoint, local network, or Internet using the href item. Use of this item supplies the resource consumer with a hint of what type of resource to expect. Media types are identified by referencing a "Name" from the IANA "Media Types" registry: http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/media-types.xhtml.

- use (index 42): An integer or textual value used to determine if the referenced software component has to be installed before installing the software component identified by the COSWID tag. If an integer value is used it MUST be an index value in the range -256 to 255. Integer values in the range -256 to -1 are reserved for testing and use in closed environments (see section Section 5.2.2). Integer values in the range 0 to 255 correspond to registered entries in the IANA "Link Use Value Value" registry (see section Section 5.2.8. If a string value is used it MUST be a private use name as defined in section 5.2.2. String values based on an Link Use Type Name from the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Use Value" registry MUST NOT be used, as these values are less concise than their index value equivalent.

- $$link-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the link-entry map model. See Section 2.2.

2.8. The software-meta-entry Map

The CDDL for the software-meta-entry map follows:
software-meta-entry = {
    global-attributes,
    ? activation-status => text,
    ? channel-type => text,
    ? colloquial-version => text,
    ? description => text,
    ? edition => text,
    ? entitlement-data-required => bool,
    ? entitlement-key => text,
    ? generator => text,
    ? persistent-id => text,
    ? product => text,
    ? product-family => text,
    ? revision => text,
    ? summary => text,
    ? unspsc-code => text,
    ? unspsc-version => text,
    * $$meta-extension,
}
activation-status = 43
channel-type = 44
colloquial-version = 45
description = 46
edition = 47
entitlement-data-required = 48
entitlement-key = 49
generator = 50
persistent-id = 51
product = 52
product-family = 53
revision = 54
summary = 55
unspsc-code = 56
unspsc-version = 57

The following describes each child item of this group.

- global-attributes: The global-attributes group described in Section 2.5.

- activation-status (index 43): A textual value that identifies how the software component has been activated, which might relate to specific terms and conditions for its use (e.g. Trial, Serialized, Licensed, Unlicensed, etc) and relate to an entitlement. This attribute is typically used in supplemental tags as it contains information that might be selected during a specific install.
- channel-type (index 44): A textual value that identifies which sales, licensing, or marketing channel the software component has been targeted for (e.g., Volume, Retail, OEM, Academic, etc). This attribute is typically used in supplemental tags as it contains information that might be selected during a specific install.

- colloquial-version (index 45): A textual value for the software component’s informal or colloquial version. Examples may include a year value, a major version number, or similar value that are used to identify a group of specific software component releases that are part of the same release/support cycle. This version can be the same through multiple releases of a software component, while the software-version specified in the concise-swid-tag group is much more specific and will change for each software component release. This version is intended to be used for string comparison only and is not intended to be used to determine if a specific value is earlier or later in a sequence.

- description (index 46): A textual value that provides a detailed description of the software component. This value MAY be multiple paragraphs separated by CR LF characters as described by [RFC5198].

- edition (index 47): A textual value indicating that the software component represents a functional variation of the code base used to support multiple software components. For example, this item can be used to differentiate enterprise, standard, or professional variants of a software component.

- entitlement-data-required (index 48): A boolean value that can be used to determine if accompanying proof of entitlement is needed when a software license reconciliation process is performed.

- entitlement-key (index 49): A vendor-specific textual key that can be used to identify and establish a relationship to an entitlement. Examples of an entitlement-key might include a serial number, product key, or license key. For values that relate to a given software component install (i.e., license key), a supplemental tag will typically contain this information. In other cases, where a general-purpose key can be provided that applies to all possible installs of the software component on different endpoints, a primary tag will typically contain this information.

- generator (index 50): The name (or tag-id) of the software component that created the CoSWID tag. If the generating software
component has a SWID or CoSWID tag, then the tag-id for the generating software component SHOULD be provided.

- persistent-id (index 51): A globally unique identifier used to identify a set of software components that are related. Software components sharing the same persistent-id can be different versions. This item can be used to relate software components, released at different points in time or through different release channels, that may not be able to be related through use of the link item.

- product (index 52): A basic name for the software component that can be common across multiple tagged software components (e.g., Apache HTTPD).

- product-family (index 53): A textual value indicating the software components overall product family. This should be used when multiple related software components form a larger capability that is installed on multiple different endpoints. For example, some software families may consist of server, client, and shared service components that are part of a larger capability. Email systems, enterprise applications, backup services, web conferencing, and similar capabilities are examples of families. Use of this item is not intended to represent groups of software that are bundled or installed together. The persistent-id or link items SHOULD be used to relate bundled software components.

- revision (index 54): A string value indicating an informal or colloquial release version of the software. This value can provide a different version value as compared to the software-version specified in the concise-swid-tag group. This is useful when one or more releases need to have an informal version label that differs from the specific exact version value specified by software-version. Examples can include SP1, RC1, Beta, etc.

- summary (index 55): A short description of the software component. This MUST be a single sentence suitable for display in a user interface.

- unspsc-code (index 56): An 8 digit UNSPSC classification code for the software component. For more information see https://www.unspsc.org/.

- unspsc-version (index 57): The version of UNSPSC used to define the unspsc-code value.

- $meta-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the software-meta-entry group model. See Section 2.2.
2.9. The Resource Collection Definition

2.9.1. The hash-entry Array

CoSWID adds explicit support for the representation of hash entries using algorithms that are registered in the IANA "Named Information Hash Algorithm Registry" using the hash-entry member (label 58).

```plaintext
hash-entry = [ hash-alg-id: int, hash-value: bytes ]
```

The number used as a value for hash-alg-id MUST refer an ID in the "Named Information Hash Algorithm Registry" (see https://www.iana.org/assignments/named-information/named-information.xhtml); other hash algorithms MUST NOT be used. The hash-value MUST represent the raw hash value of the hashed resource generated using the hash algorithm indicated by the hash-alg-id.

2.9.2. The resource-collection Group

A list of items both used in evidence (created by a software discovery process) and payload (installed in an endpoint) content of a CoSWID tag document to structure and differentiate the content of specific CoSWID tag types. Potential content includes directories, files, processes, or resources.

The CDDL for the resource-collection group follows:

```plaintext
resource-collection = (  
  ? directory => directory-entry,  
  ? file => file-entry,  
  ? process => process-entry,  
  ? resource => resource-entry,  
)
```

```plaintext
filesystem-item = (    
  global-attributes,  
  ? key => bool,  
  ? location => text,  
  fs-name => text,  
  ? root => text,  
)
```

```plaintext
path-elements-entry = [ [ * file-entry ],  
  [ * directory-entry ],  
]
```

```plaintext
file-entry = (    
  filesystem-item,  
)
The following describes each member of the groups and maps illustrated above.

- filesystem-item: A list of common items used for representing the filesystem root, relative location, name, and significance of a file or directory item.
- global-attributes: The global-attributes group described in Section 2.5.

- directory (index 16): A directory item allows child directory and file items to be defined within a directory hierarchy for the software component.

- file (index 17): A file item allows details about a file to be provided for the software component.

- process (index 18): A process item allows details to be provided about the runtime behavior of the software component, such as information that will appear in a process listing on an endpoint.

- resource (index 19): A resource item can be used to provide details about an artifact or capability expected to be found on an endpoint or evidence collected related to the software component. This can be used to represent concepts not addressed directly by the directory, file, or process items. Examples include: registry keys, bound ports, etc. The equivalent construct in [SWID] is currently under specified. As a result, this item might be further defined through extension in the future.

- size (index 20): The file’s size in bytes.

- file-version (index 21): The file’s version as reported by querying information on the file from the operating system.

- key (index 22): A boolean value indicating if a file or directory is significant or required for the software component to execute or function properly. These are files or directories that can be used to affirmatively determine if the software component is installed on an endpoint.

- location (index 23): The filesystem path where a file is expected to be located when installed or copied. The location MUST be either relative to the location of the parent directory item (preferred) or relative to the location of the CoSWID tag if no parent is defined. The location MUST NOT include a file’s name, which is provided by the fs-name item.

- fs-name (index 24): The name of the directory or file without any path information.

- root (index 25): A filesystem-specific name for the root of the filesystem. The location item is considered relative to this location if specified. If not provided, the value provided by the
location item is expected to be relative to its parent or the
location of the CoSWID tag if no parent is provided.

- path-elements (index 26): This group allows a hierarchy of
directory and file items to be defined in payload or evidence
items.

- process-name (index 27): The software component’s process name as
it will appear in an endpoint’s process list.

- pid (index 28): The process ID identified for a running instance
of the software component in the endpoint’s process list. This is
used as part of the evidence item.

- type (index 29): A string indicating the type of resource.

- $$resource-collection-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to
extend the resource-collection group model. This can be used to
add new specialized types of resources. See Section 2.2.

- $$file-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the file-
entry group model. See Section 2.2.

- $$directory-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the
directory-entry group model. See Section 2.2.

- $$process-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the
process-entry group model. See Section 2.2.

- $$resource-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the
group model. See Section 2.2.

- $$-extension: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the resource-
entry group model. See Section 2.2.

2.9.3. The payload-entry Group

The CDDL for the payload-entry group follows:

```cddl
payload-entry = {
    global-attributes,
    resource-collection,
    * $$payload-extension
}
```

The following describes each child item of this group.
2.9.4. The evidence-entry Group

The CDDL for the evidence-entry group follows:

```
evidence-entry = {
    global-attributes,
    resource-collection,
    ? date => time,
    ? device-id => text,
    * $$evidence-extension
}  
date = 35
device-id = 36
```

The following describes each child item of this group.

- **global-attributes**: The global-attributes group described in Section 2.5.
- **resource-collection**: The resource-collection group described in Section 2.9.2.
- **date** (index 35): The date and time the information was collected pertaining to the evidence item.
- **device-id** (index 36): The endpoint’s string identifier from which the evidence was collected.
- **$$evidence-extension**: This CDDL socket can be used to extend the evidence-entry group model. See Section 2.2.

2.10. Full CDDL Definition

In order to create a valid CoSWID document the structure of the corresponding CBOR message MUST adhere to the following CDDL data definition.

```
concise-swid-tag = {
    global-attributes,
```

tag-id => text / bstr .size 16,
tag-version => integer,
? corpus => bool,
? patch => bool,
? supplemental => bool,
software-name => text,
? software-version => text,
? version-scheme => $version-scheme,
? media => text,
? software-meta => software-meta-entry / [ 2* software-meta-entry ],
entity => entity-entry / [ 2* entity-entry ],
? link => link-entry / [ 2* link-entry ],
? ( payload => payload-entry ) // ( evidence => evidence-entry )],
* $$coswid-extension
)

any-uri = text
label = text / int

$version-scheme /= multipartnumeric
$version-scheme /= multipartnumeric-suffix
$version-scheme /= alphanumeric
$version-scheme /= decimal
$version-scheme /= semver
$version-scheme /= uint / text

any-attribute = (  
    label => text / int / [ 2* text ] / [ 2* int ]
)

global-attributes = (  
    ? lang => text,  
    * any-attribute,
)

hash-entry = [ hash-alg-id: int,  
    hash-value: bytes ]

dentity-entry = {
    global-attributes,  
    entity-name => text,  
    ? reg-id => any-uri,  
    role => $role / [ 2* $role ],
    ? thumbprint => hash-entry,
    * $entity-extension,
}

$role /= tag-creator
$role /= software-creator
$role /= aggregator
$role /= distributor
$role /= licensor
$role /= uint / text

link-entry = {
  global-attributes,
  ? artifact => text,
  href => any-uri,
  ? media => text,
  ? ownership => $ownership,
  rel => $rel,
  ? media-type => text,
  ? use => $use,
  * $$link-extension
}

$ownership /= shared
$ownership /= private
$ownership /= abandon
$ownership /= uint / text

$rel /= ancestor
$rel /= component
$rel /= feature
$rel /= installationmedia
$rel /= packageinstaller
$rel /= parent
$rel /= patches
$rel /= requires
$rel /= see-also
$rel /= supersedes
$rel /= supplemental
$rel /= uint / text

$use /= optional
$use /= required
$use /= recommended
$use /= uint / text

software-meta-entry = {
  global-attributes,
  ? activation-status => text,
  ? channel-type => text,
  ? colloquial-version => text,
  ? description => text,
  ? edition => text,
resource-collection = {
  ? directory => directory-entry,
  ? file => file-entry,
  ? process => process-entry,
  ? resource => resource-entry,
  * $$resource-collection-extension
}

file-entry = {
  filesystem-item,
  ? size => integer,
  ? file-version => text,
  ? hash => hash-entry,
  * $$file-extension
}

path-elements-entry = [ [ * file-entry ],
                       [ * directory-entry ],
                     ]

directory-entry = {
  filesystem-item,
  path-elements => path-elements-entry,
  * $$directory-extension
}

process-entry = {
  global-attributes,
  process-name => text,
  ? pid => integer,
  * $$process-extension
}

resource-entry = {
  global-attributes,
}
type => text,
* $$resource-extension
*)
filesystem-item = {
    global-attributes,
    ? key => bool,
    ? location => text,
    fs-name => text,
    ? root => text,
}
payload-entry = {
    global-attributes,
    resource-collection,
* $$payload-extension
)
evidence-entry = {
    global-attributes,
    resource-collection,
    ? date => time,
    ? device-id => text,
* $$evidence-extension
}

; "global map member" integer indexes
  tag-id = 0
  software-name = 1
  entity = 2
  evidence = 3
  link = 4
  software-meta = 5
  payload = 6
  hash = 7
  corpus = 8
  patch = 9
  media = 10
  supplemental = 11
  tag-version = 12
  software-version = 13
  version-scheme = 14
  lang = 15
  directory = 16
  file = 17
  process = 18
  resource = 19
  size = 20
file-version = 21
key = 22
location = 23
fs-name = 24
root = 25
path-elements = 26
process-name = 27
pid = 28
type = 29
entity-name = 31
reg-id = 32
role = 33
thumbprint = 34
date = 35
device-id = 36
artifact = 37
href = 38
ownership = 39
rel = 40
media-type = 41
use = 42
activation-status = 43
channel-type = 44
colloquial-version = 45
description = 46
date = 47
entitlement-data-required = 48
entitlement-key = 49
generator = 50
persistent-id = 51
product = 52
product-family = 53
revision = 54
summary = 55
unspsc-code = 56
unspsc-version = 57

; "version-scheme" integer indexes
multipartnumeric = 1
multipartnumeric-suffix = 2
alphanumeric = 3
decimal = 4
semver = 16384

; "role" integer indexes
tag-creator=1
software-creator=2
aggregator=3
3. Determining the Type of CoSWID

The operational model for SWID and CoSWID tags was introduced in Section 1.1, which described four different CoSWID tag types. The following additional rules apply to the use of CoSWID tags to ensure that created tags properly identify the tag type.

The first matching rule MUST determine the type of the CoSWID tag.

1. Primary Tag: A CoSWID tag MUST be considered a primary tag if the corpus, patch, and supplemental items are "false".

2. Supplemental Tag: A CoSWID tag MUST be considered a supplemental tag if the supplemental item is set to "true".

3. Corpus Tag: A CoSWID tag MUST be considered a corpus tag if the corpus item is "true".

4. Patch Tag: A CoSWID tag MUST be considered a patch tag if the patch item is "true".
Note: Multiple of the corpus, patch, and supplemental items can have values set as "true". The rules above provide a means to determine the tag’s type in such a case. For example, a SWID or CoSWID tag for a patch installer might have both corpus and patch items set to "true". In such a case, the tag is a "Corpus Tag". The tag installed by this installer would have only the patch item set to "true", making the installed tag type a "Patch Tag".

4. CoSWID Indexed Label Values

4.1. Version Scheme

The following table contains a set of values for use in the concise-swid-tag group’s version-scheme item. These values match the version schemes defined in the ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 [SWID] specification. Index value indicates the value to use as the version-scheme item’s value. The Version Scheme Name provides human-readable text for the value. The Definition describes the syntax of allowed values for each entry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Version Scheme Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>multipartnumeric</td>
<td>Numbers separated by dots, where the numbers are interpreted as integers (e.g., 1.2.3, 1.4.5, 1.2.3.4.5.6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>multipartnumeric+suffix</td>
<td>Numbers separated by dots, where the numbers are interpreted as integers with an additional textual suffix (e.g., 1.2.3a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>alphanumeric</td>
<td>Strictly a string, sorting is done alphanumerically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>decimal</td>
<td>A floating point number (e.g., 1.25 is less than 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16384</td>
<td>semver</td>
<td>Follows the [SEMVER] specification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Version Scheme Values
The values above are registered in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Version Scheme Value" registry defined in section Section 5.2.4. Additional entries will likely be registered over time in this registry.

These version schemes have partially overlapping value spaces. The following guidelines help to ensure that the most specific version scheme is used:

- "decimal" and "multipartnumeric" partially overlap in their value space when a value matches a decimal number. When a corresponding software-version item’s value falls within this overlapping value space, the "decimal" version scheme SHOULD be used.

- "multipartnumeric" and "semver" partially overlap in their value space when a "multipartnumeric" value matches the semantic versioning syntax. When a corresponding software-version item’s value falls within this overlapping value space, the "semver" version scheme SHOULD be used.

- "alphanumeric" and other version schemes might overlap in their value space. When a corresponding software-version item’s value falls within this overlapping value space, the other version scheme SHOULD be used instead of "alphanumeric".

4.2. Entity Role Values

The following table indicates the index value to use for the entity-entry group’s role item (see Section 2.6). These values match the entity roles defined in the ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 [SWID] specification. The "Index" value indicates the value to use as the role item’s value. The "Role Name" provides human-readable text for the value. The "Definition" describes the semantic meaning of each entry.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Role Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>tagCreator</td>
<td>The person or organization that created the containing SWID or CoSWID tag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>softwareCreator</td>
<td>The person or organization entity that created the software component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>aggregator</td>
<td>From [SWID], &quot;An organization or system that encapsulates software from their own and/or other organizations into a different distribution process (as in the case of virtualization), or as a completed system to accomplish a specific task (as in the case of a value added reseller).&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4     | distributor     | From [SWID], "An entity that furthers the marketing, selling and/or distribution of software from the original place of manufacture to the ultimate user without modifying the software, its packaging or its labelling."

| 5     | licensor       | From [SAM] as "software licensor", a "person or organization who owns or holds the rights to issue a software license for a specific software [component]"

Table 4: Entity Role Values

The values above are registered in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Entity Role Value" registry defined in section Section 5.2.5. Additional values will likely be registered over time. Additionally, the index values 128 through 255 and the name prefix "x_" have been reserved for private use.

4.3. Link Ownership Values

The following table indicates the index value to use for the link-entry group’s ownership item (see Section 2.7). These values match the link ownership values defined in the ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 [SWID] specification. The "Index" value indicates the value to use as the link-entry group ownership item’s value. The "Ownership Type"
provides human-readable text for the value. The "Definition" describes the semantic meaning of each entry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Ownership Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>abandon</td>
<td>If the software component referenced by the CoSWID tag is uninstalled, then the referenced software SHOULD not be uninstalled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>If the software component referenced by the CoSWID tag is uninstalled, then the referenced software SHOULD be uninstalled as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>shared</td>
<td>If the software component referenced by the CoSWID tag is uninstalled, then the referenced software SHOULD be uninstalled if no other components sharing the software.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Link Ownership Values

The values above are registered in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Ownership Value" registry defined in section Section 5.2.6. Additional values will likely be registered over time. Additionally, the index values 128 through 255 and the name prefix "x_" have been reserved for private use.

4.4. Link Rel Values

The following table indicates the index value to use for the link-entry group’s rel item (see Section 2.7). These values match the link rel values defined in the ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 [SWID] specification. The "Index" value indicates the value to use as the link-entry group ownership item’s value. The "Relationship Type" provides human-readable text for the value. The "Definition" describes the semantic meaning of each entry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Relationship Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ancestor</td>
<td>The link references a SWID/CoSWID tag for a previous release of this software. This can be useful to define an upgrade path.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>component</th>
<th>The link references a SWID/CoSWID tag for a separate component of this software.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>feature</td>
<td>The link references a configurable feature of this software that can be enabled or disabled without changing the installed files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>installationmedia</td>
<td>The link references the installation package that can be used to install this software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>packageinstaller</td>
<td>The link references the installation software needed to install this software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>parent</td>
<td>The link references a SWID/CoSWID tag that is the parent of this SWID/CoSWID tag. This relationship can be used when multiple software components are part of a software bundle, where the &quot;parent&quot; is the SWID/CoSWID tag for the bundle, and each child is a &quot;component&quot;. In such a case, each child component can provide a &quot;parent&quot; link relationship to the bundle’s SWID/CoSWID tag, and the bundle can provide a &quot;component&quot; link relationship to each child software component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>patches</td>
<td>The link references a SWID/CoSWID tag for this software patches. Typically only used for patch SWID/CoSWID tags (see Section 1.1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>requires</td>
<td>The link references a prerequisite for installing this software. A patch SWID/CoSWID tag (see Section 1.1) can use this to represent base software or another patch that needs to be installed first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>see-also</td>
<td>The link references other software that may be of interest that relates to this software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>supersedes</td>
<td>The link references another software that this software replaces. A patch SWID/CoSWID tag (see Section 1.1) can use this to represent another patch that this patch incorporates or replaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>supplemental</td>
<td>The link references a SWID/CoSWID tag that this tag supplements. Used on supplemental SWID/CoSWID tags (see Section 1.1).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Link Relationship Values

The values above are registered in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Relationship Value" registry defined in section Section 5.2.7. Additional values will likely be registered over time. Additionally, the index values 32768 through 65535 and the name prefix "x_" have been reserved for private use.

4.5. Link Use Values

The following table indicates the index value to use for the link-entry group’s use item (see Section 2.7). These values match the link use values defined in the ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 [SWID] specification. The "Index" value indicates the value to use as the link-entry group use item’s value. The "Use Type" provides human-readable text for the value. The "Definition" describes the semantic meaning of each entry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Use Type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>From [SWID], &quot;Not absolutely required; the [Link]'d software is installed only when specified.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>From [SWID], &quot;The [Link]'d software is absolutely required for an operation software installation.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>recommended</td>
<td>From [SWID], &quot;Not absolutely required; the [Link]'d software is installed unless specified otherwise.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Link Use Values
The values above are registered in the IANA "SWID/CoSWID Link Use Value" registry defined in section Section 5.2.8. Additional values will likely be registered over time. Additionally, the index values 128 through 255 and the name prefix "x_" have been reserved for private use.

5. IANA Considerations

This document has a number of IANA considerations, as described in the following subsections.

5.1. CoSWID Items Registry

This document uses integer values as index values in CBOR maps.

This document defines a new registry titled "CoSWID Items". Future registrations for this registry are to be made based on [RFC8126] as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-32767</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768-4294967295</td>
<td>Specification Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: CoSWID Items Registration Procedures

All negative values are reserved for Private Use.

Initial registrations for the "CoSWID Items" registry are provided below. Assignments consist of an integer index value, the item name, and a reference to the defining specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Item Name</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>tag-id</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>software-name</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>entity</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>evidence</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>link</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>software-meta</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>payload</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>hash</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>corpus</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>patch</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>media</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>supplemental</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>tag-version</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>software-version</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>version-scheme</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>lang</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>directory</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>file</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>process</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>resource</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>size</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>file-version</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>key</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>location</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>fs-name</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>root</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>path-elements</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>process-name</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>pid</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>entity-name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>reg-id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>thumbprint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>device-id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>href</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>rel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>media-type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>activation-status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>channel-type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>colloquial-version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>entitlement-data-required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>entitlement-key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>persistent-id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>product-family</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9: CoSWID Items Initial Registrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>54</th>
<th>revision</th>
<th>RFC-AAAA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>summary</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>unspsc-code</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>unspsc-version</td>
<td>RFC-AAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58-4294967295</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. SWID/CoSWID Value Registries

The following IANA registries provide a mechanism for new values to be added over time to common enumerations used by SWID and CoSWID.

5.2.1. Registration Procedures

The following registries allow for the registration of index values and names. New registrations will be permitted through either the Standards Action policy or the Specification Required policy [BCP26]. The latter SHOULD be used only for registrations requested by SDOs outside the IETF. New index values will be provided on a First Come First Served as defined by [BCP26].

The following registries also reserve the integer-based index values in the range of -1 to -256 for private use as defined by [BCP26] in section 4.1. This allows values -1 to -24 to be expressed as a single uint_8t in CBOR, and values -25 to -256 to be expressed using an additional uint_8t in CBOR.

5.2.2. Private Use of Index and Name Values

The integer-based index values in the private use range (-1 to -256) are intended for testing purposes and closed environments; values in other ranges SHOULD NOT be assigned for testing.

For names that correspond to private use index values, an Internationalized Domain Name prefix MUST be used to prevent name conflicts using the form:

"domain.prefix-name "

Where "domain.prefix" MUST be a valid Internationalized Domain Name as defined by [RFC5892], and "name" MUST be a unique name within the namespace defined by the "domain.prefix". Use of a prefix in this
way allows for a name to be used initially in the private use range, and to be registered at a future point in time. This is consistent with the guidance in [BCP178].

5.2.3. Expert Review Guidelines

Designated experts MUST ensure that new registration requests meet the following additional guidelines:

- The requesting specification MUST provide a clear semantic definition for the new entry. This definition MUST clearly differentiate the requested entry from other previously registered entries.

- The requesting specification MUST describe the intended use of the entry, including any co-constraints that exist between the use of the entry’s index value or name, and other values defined within the SWID/CoSWID model.

- Index values and names outside the private use space MUST NOT be used without registration. This is considered squatting and SHOULD be avoided. Designated experts MUST ensure that reviewed specifications register all appropriate index values and names.

- Standards track documents MAY include entries registered in the range reserved for entries under the Specification Required policy. This can occur when a standards track document provides further guidance on the use of index values and names that are in common use, but were not registered with IANA. This situation SHOULD be avoided.

- All registered names MUST be valid according to the XML Schema NMTOKEN data type (see [W3C.REC-xmlschema-2-20041028] section 3.3.4). This ensures that registered names are compatible with the SWID format [SWID] where they are used.

- Registration of vanity names SHOULD be discouraged. The requesting specification MUST provide a description of how a requested name will allow for use by multiple stakeholders.

5.2.4. SWID/CoSWID Version Scheme Value Registry

This document defines a new a new registry titled "SWID/CoSWID Version Scheme Values". This registry provides index values for use as version-scheme item values in this document and version scheme names for use in [SWID].
This registry uses the registration procedures defined in Section 5.2.1 with the following associated ranges:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-16383</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16384-65535</td>
<td>Specification Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: CoSWID Version Scheme Registration Procedures

Assignments MUST consist of an integer Index value, the Version Scheme Name, and a reference to the defining specification.

Initial registrations for the "SWID/CoSWID Version Scheme Value" registry are provided below, which are derived from the textual version scheme names defined in [SWID].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Version Scheme Name</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>multipartnumeric</td>
<td>See Section 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>multipartnumeric+suffix</td>
<td>See Section 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>alphanumeric</td>
<td>See Section 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>decimal</td>
<td>See Section 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-16383</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16384</td>
<td>semver</td>
<td>[SEMVER]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16385-65535</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: CoSWID Version Scheme Initial Registrations

Registrations MUST conform to the expert review guidelines defined in Section 5.2.3.
Designated experts MUST also ensure that newly requested entries define a value space for the corresponding version item that is unique from other previously registered entries. Note: The initial registrations violate this requirement, but are included for backwards compatibility with [SWID]. Guidelines on how to deconflict these value spaces are defined in section Section 4.1.

5.2.5. SWID/CoSWID Entity Role Value Registry

This document defines a new a new registry titled "SWID/CoSWID Entity Role Values". This registry provides index values for use as entity-entry role item values in this document and entity role names for use in [SWID].

[TO BE REMOVED: This registration should take place at the following location: https://www.iana.org/assignments/swid]

This registry uses the registration procedures defined in Section 5.2.1 with the following associated ranges:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-127</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-255</td>
<td>Specification Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: CoSWID Entity Role Registration Procedures

Assignments consist of an integer Index value, a Role Name, and a reference to the defining specification.

Initial registrations for the "SWID/CoSWID Entity Role Value" registry are provided below, which are derived from the textual entity role names defined in [SWID].
### Table 13: CoSWID Entity Role Initial Registrations

Registrations MUST conform to the expert review guidelines defined in Section 5.2.3.

#### 5.2.6. SWID/CoSWID Link Ownership Value Registry

This document defines a new registry titled "SWID/CoSWID Link Ownership Values". This registry provides index values for use as link-entry ownership item values in this document and link ownership names for use in [SWID].

[TO BE REMOVED: This registration should take place at the following location: https://www.iana.org/assignments/swid]

This registry uses the registration procedures defined in Section 5.2.1 with the following associated ranges:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-127</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-255</td>
<td>Specification Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 14: CoSWID Link Ownership Registration Procedures

Assignments consist of an integer Index value, an Ownership Type Name, and a reference to the defining specification.
Initial registrations for the "SWID/CoSWID Link Ownership Value" registry are provided below, which are derived from the textual entity role names defined in [SWID].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Ownership Type Name</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>abandon</td>
<td>See Section 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>See Section 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>shared</td>
<td>See Section 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-255</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15: CoSWID Link Ownership Initial Registrations

Registrations MUST conform to the expert review guidelines defined in Section 5.2.3.

5.2.7. SWID/CoSWID Link Relationship Value Registry

This document defines a new registry titled "SWID/CoSWID Link Relationship Values". This registry provides index values for use as link-entry rel item values in this document and link ownership names for use in [SWID].

[TO BE REMOVED: This registration should take place at the following location: https://www.iana.org/assignments/swid]

This registry uses the registration procedures defined in Section 5.2.1 with the following associated ranges:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-32767</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768-65535</td>
<td>Specification Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16: CoSWID Link Relationship Registration Procedures

Assignments consist of an integer Index value, the Relationship Type Name, and a reference to the defining specification.
Initial registrations for the "SWID/CoSWID Link Relationship Value" registry are provided below, which are derived from the link relationship values defined in [SWID].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Relationship Type Name</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ancestor</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>component</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>feature</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>installationmedia</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>packageinstaller</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>parent</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>patches</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>requires</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>see-also</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>supersedes</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>supplemental</td>
<td>See Section 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-65535</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17: CoSWID Link Relationship Initial Registrations

Registrations MUST conform to the expert review guidelines defined in Section 5.2.3.

Designated experts MUST also ensure that a newly requested entry documents the URI schemes allowed to be used in an href associated with the link relationship and the expected resolution behavior of these URI schemes. This will help to ensure that SWID/CoSWID applications are able to interoperate when resolving resources referenced by a link of a given type.
5.2.8. SWID/CoSWID Link Use Value Registry

This document defines a new registry titled "SWID/CoSWID Link Use Values". This registry provides index values for use as link-entry use item values in this document and link use names for use in [SWID].

[TO BE REMOVED: This registration should take place at the following location: https://www.iana.org/assignments/swid]

This registry uses the registration procedures defined in Section 5.2.1 with the following associated ranges:

+---------+-------------------------+
| Range   | Registration Procedures |
+---------+-------------------------+
| 0-127   | Standards Action        |
| 128-255 | Specification Required  |
+---------+-------------------------+

Table 18: CoSWID Link Use Registration Procedures

Assignments consist of an integer Index value, the Link Use Type Name, and a reference to the defining specification.

Initial registrations for the "SWID/CoSWID Link Use Value" registry are provided below, which are derived from the link relationship values defined in [SWID].

+-------+--------------------+-----------------+
| Index | Link Use Type Name | Specification   |
+-------+--------------------+-----------------+
| 0     | Reserved           |                 |
| 1     | optional           | See Section 4.5 |
| 2     | required           | See Section 4.5 |
| 3     | recommended        | See Section 4.5 |
| 4-255 | Unassigned         |                 |
+-------+--------------------+-----------------+

Table 19: CoSWID Link Use Initial Registrations

Registrations MUST conform to the expert review guidelines defined in Section 5.2.3.
5.3.  swid+cbor Media Type Registration

IANA is requested to add the following to the IANA "Media Types" registry.

Type name: application
Subtype name: swid+cbor
Required parameters: none
Optional parameters: none

Encoding considerations: Must be encoded as using [RFC7049]. See RFC-AAAA for details.

Security considerations: See Section 6 of RFC-AAAA.

Interoperability considerations: Applications MAY ignore any key value pairs that they do not understand. This allows backwards compatible extensions to this specification.

Published specification: RFC-AAAA

Applications that use this media type: The type is used by software asset management systems, vulnerability assessment systems, and in applications that use remote integrity verification.

Fragment identifier considerations: Fragment identification for application/swid+cbor is supported by using fragment identifiers as specified by RFC-7049 section 7.5.

Additional information:

Magic number(s): first five bytes in hex: da 53 57 49 44
File extension(s): coswid
Macintosh file type code(s): none
Macintosh Universal Type Identifier code: org.ietf.coswid conforms to public.data

Person & email address to contact for further information: Henk Birkholz <henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de>

Intended usage: COMMON
Restrictions on usage: None

Author: Henk Birkholz <henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de>

Change controller: IESG

5.4. CoAP Content-Format Registration

IANA is requested to assign a CoAP Content-Format ID for the CoSWID media type in the "CoAP Content-Formats" sub-registry, from the "IETF Review or IESG Approval" space (256..999), within the "CoRE Parameters" registry [RFC7252]:

+-----------------------+----------+------+-----------+
| Media type            | Encoding | ID   | Reference |
| application/swid+cbor | -        | TBD1 | RFC-AAAA  |
|                       |          |      |           |
+-----------------------+----------+------+-----------+

Table 20: CoAP Content-Format IDs

5.5. CBOR Tag Registration

IANA is requested to allocate a tag in the "CBOR Tags" registry, preferably with the specific value requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Data Item</th>
<th>Semantics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1398229316</td>
<td>map</td>
<td>Concise Software Identifier (CoSWID) [RFC-AAAA]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21: CoSWID CBOR Tag

5.6. URI Scheme Registrations

The ISO 19770-2:2015 SWID specification describes use of the "swid" and "swidpath" URI schemes, which are currently in use in implementations. This document continues this use for CoSWID. The following subsections provide registrations for these schemes in to ensure that a permanent registration exists for these schemes that is suitable for use in the SWID and CoSWID specifications.
5.6.1. "swid" URI Scheme Registration

SWID or CoSWID by the referenced tag’s tag-id. This URI needs to be resolved in the context of the endpoint by software that can lookup other SWID or CoSWID tags. For example, "swid:2df9de35-0aff-4a86-ace6-f7dddd1ade4c" references the tag with the tag-id value "2df9de35-0aff-4a86-ace6-f7dddd1ade4c".

There is a need for a scheme name that can be used in URIs that point to a specific SWID/CoSWID tag by that tag’s tag-id, such as the use of the link entry as described in section Section 2.7) of this document. Since this scheme is used in a standards track document and an ISO standard, this scheme needs to be used without fear of conflicts with current or future actual schemes. The scheme "swid" is hereby registered as a ‘permanent’ scheme for that purpose.

The "swid" scheme is specified as follows:

Scheme syntax: The scheme specific part consists of a SWID or CoSWID tag’s tag-id that is URI encoded according to [RFC3986] section 2.1. The following expression is a valid example:

<swid:2df9de35-0aff-4a86-ace6-f7dddd1ade4c>

Scheme semantics: URIs in the "swid" scheme are to be used to reference a SWID or CoSWID tag by its tag-id. A tag-id referenced in this way can be used to indentify the tag resource in the context of where it is referenced from. For example, when a tag is installed on a given device, that tag can reference related tags on the same device using this URI scheme.

Encoding considerations: See Section 2.5 of [RFC3986] for guidelines.

Interoperability considerations: None.

Security considerations: None.

5.6.2. "swidpath" URI Scheme Registration

There is a need for a scheme name that can be used in URIs to indentify a collection of specific SWID/CoSWID tags with data elements that match an XPath expression, such as the use of the link entry as described in section Section 2.7) of this document. Since this scheme is used in a standards track document and an ISO standard, this scheme needs to be used without fear of conflicts with current or future actual schemes. The scheme "swidpath" is hereby registered as a ‘permanent’ scheme for that purpose.
The "swidpath" scheme is specified as follows:

Scheme syntax: The scheme specific part consists of an XPath expression as defined by [W3C.REC-xpath20-20101214]. The included XPath expression will be URI encoded according to [RFC3986] section 2.1.

Scheme semantics: URIs in the "swidpath" scheme are to be used to specify the data that must be found in a given SWID/CoSWID tag for that tag to be considered a matching tag to be included in the identified tag collection. Tags to be evaluated include all tags in the context of where the tag is referenced from. For example, when a tag is installed on a given device, that tag can reference related tags on the same device using this URI scheme. A tag is matching if the XPath evaluation result value has an effective boolean value of "true" according to [W3C.REC-xpath20-20101214] section 2.4.3. Encoding considerations: See Section 2.5 of [RFC3986] for guidelines. Interoperability considerations: None. Security considerations: None.

5.7. CoSWID Model for use in SWIMA Registration

The Software Inventory Message and Attributes (SWIMA) for PA-TNC specification [RFC8412] defines a standardized method for collecting an endpoint device’s software inventory. A CoSWID can provide evidence of software installation which can then be used and exchanged with SWIMA. This registration adds a new entry to the IANA "Software Data Model Types" registry defined by [RFC8412] to support CoSWID use in SWIMA as follows:

Pen: 0

Integer: TBD2

Name: Concise Software Identifier (CoSWID)

Defining Specification: RFC-AAAA

Deriving Software Identifiers:

A Software Identifier generated from a CoSWID tag is expressed as a concatenation of the form:

TAG_CREATOR_REGID "_" "_" UNIQUE_ID
Where TAG_CREATOR_REGID is the reg-id item value of the tag’s entity item having the role value of 1 (corresponding to "tag creator"), and the UNIQUE_ID is the same tag’s tag-id item. If the tag-id item’s value is expressed as a 16 byte binary string, the UNIQUE_ID MUST be represented using the UUID string representation defined in [RFC4122] including the "urn:uuid:" prefix.

The TAG_CREATOR_REGID and the UNIQUE_ID are connected with a double underscore (_), without any other connecting character or whitespace.

6. Security Considerations

SWID and CoSWID tags contain public information about software components and, as such, do not need to be protected against disclosure on an endpoint. Similarly, SWID/CoSWID tags are intended to be easily discoverable by applications and users on an endpoint in order to make it easy to identify and collect all of an endpoint’s SWID tags. As such, any security considerations regarding SWID/CoSWID tags focus on the application of SWID/CoSWID tags to address security challenges, and the possible disclosure of the results of those applications.

A tag is considered "authoritative" if the SWID/CoSWID tag was created by the software provider. An authoritative SWID/CoSWID tag contains information about a software component provided by the maintainer of the software component, who is expected to be an expert in their own software. Thus, authoritative SWID/CoSWID tags can be trusted to represent authoritative information about the software component.

A signed SWID/CoSWID tag (see Appendix A) whose signature has been validated can be relied upon to be unchanged since it was signed. By contrast, the data contained in unsigned tags cannot be trusted to be unmodified.

When an authoritative tag is signed, the software provider can be authenticated as the originator of the signature. Having a signed authoritative SWID/CoSWID tag can be useful when the information in the tag needs to be trusted, such as when the tag is being used to convey reference integrity measurements for software components.

SWID/CoSWID tags are designed to be easily added and removed from an endpoint along with the installation or removal of software components. On endpoints where addition or removal of software components is tightly controlled, the addition or removal of SWID tags can be similarly controlled. On more open systems, where many users can manage the software inventory, SWID/CoSWID tags can be easier to add or remove. On such systems, it can be possible to add...
or remove SWID/CoSWID tags in a way that does not reflect the actual presence or absence of corresponding software components. Similarly, not all software products automatically install SWID/CoSWID tags, so products can be present on an endpoint without providing a corresponding SWID tag. As such, any collection of SWID/CoSWID tags cannot automatically be assumed to represent either a complete or fully accurate representation of the software inventory of the endpoint. However, especially on endpoint devices that more strictly control the ability to add or remove applications, SWID/CoSWID tags are an easy way to provide an preliminary understanding of that endpoint’s software inventory.

Any report of an endpoint’s SWID/CoSWID tag collection provides information about the software inventory of that endpoint. If such a report is exposed to an attacker, this can tell them which software products and versions thereof are present on the endpoint. By examining this list, the attacker might learn of the presence of applications that are vulnerable to certain types of attacks. As noted earlier, SWID/CoSWID tags are designed to be easily discoverable by an endpoint, but this does not present a significant risk since an attacker would already need to have access to the endpoint to view that information. However, when the endpoint transmits its software inventory to another party, or that inventory is stored on a server for later analysis, this can potentially expose this information to attackers who do not yet have access to the endpoint. For this reason, it is important to protect the confidentiality of SWID/CoSWID tag information that has been collected from an endpoint, not because those tags individually contain sensitive information, but because the collection of SWID/CoSWID tags and their association with an endpoint reveals information about that endpoint’s attack surface.

Finally, both the ISO-19770-2:2015 XML schema SWID definition and the CoSWID data definition allow for the construction of "infinite" tags with link item loops or tags that contain malicious content with the intent of creating non-deterministic states during validation or processing of those tags. While software providers are unlikely to do this, SWID/CoSWID tags can be created by any party and the SWID/CoSWID tags collected from an endpoint could contain a mixture of vendor and non-vendor created tags. For this reason, tools that consume SWID/CoSWID tags ought to treat the tag contents as potentially malicious and employ input sanitizing and loop detection on the tags they ingest.
7. Acknowledgments

This document draws heavily on the concepts defined in the ISO/IEC 19770-2:2015 specification. The authors of this document are grateful for the prior work of the 19770-2 contributors.

We are also grateful to the careful reviews provided by ...

8. Change Log

[THE SECTION TO BE REMOVED BY THE RFC EDITOR.]

Changes from version 03 to version 11:

- Reduced representation complexity of the media-entry type and removed the section describing the older data structure.
- Added more signature schemes from COSE
- Included a minimal required set of normative language
- Reordering of attribute name to integer label by priority according to semantics.
- Added an IANA registry for CoSWID items supporting future extension.
- Cleaned up IANA registrations, fixing some inconsistencies in the table labels.
- Added additional CDDL sockets for resource collection entries providing for additional extension points to address future SWID/CoSWID extensions.
- Updated section on extension points to address new CDDL sockets and to reference the new IANA registry for items.
- Removed unused references and added new references to address placeholder comments.
- Added table with semantics for the link ownership item.
- Clarified language, made term use more consistent, fixed references, and replacing lowercase RFC2119 keywords.

Changes from version 02 to version 03:

Updated core CDDL including the CDDL design pattern according to RFC 8428.

Changes from version 01 to version 02:

- Enforced a more strict separation between the core CoSWID definition and additional usage by moving content to corresponding appendices.
- Removed artifacts inherited from the reference schema provided by ISO (e.g. NMTOKEN(S))
- Simplified the core data definition by removing group and type choices where possible
- Minor reordering of map members
- Added a first extension point to address requested flexibility for extensions beyond the any-element

Changes from version 00 to version 01:

- Ambiguity between evidence and payload eliminated by introducing explicit members (while still allowing for "empty" SWID tags)
- Added a relatively restrictive COSE envelope using cose_sign1 to define signed CoSWID (single signer only, at the moment)
- Added a definition how to encode hashes that can be stored in the any-member using existing IANA tables to reference hash-algorithms

Changes since adopted as a WG I-D -00:

- Removed redundant any-attributes originating from the ISO-19770-2:2015 XML schema definition
- Fixed broken multi-map members
- Introduced a more restrictive item (any-element-map) to represent custom maps, increased restriction on types for the any-attribute, accordingly
- Fixed X.1520 reference
- Minor type changes of some attributes (e.g. NMTOKENS)
Added semantic differentiation of various name types (e.g. fs-name)

Changes from version 06 to version 07:

- Added type choices/enumerations based on textual definitions in 19770-2:2015
- Added value registry request
- Added media type registration request
- Added content format registration request
- Added CBOR tag registration request
- Removed RIM appendix to be addressed in complementary draft
- Removed CWT appendix
- Flagged firmware resource collection appendix for revision
- Made use of terminology more consistent
- Better defined use of extension points in the CDDL
- Added definitions for indexed values
- Added IANA registry for Link use indexed values

Changes from version 05 to version 06:

- Improved quantities
- Included proposals for implicit enumerations that were NMTOKENS
- Added extension points
- Improved exemplary firmware-resource extension

Changes from version 04 to version 05:

- Clarified language around SWID and CoSWID to make more consistent use of these terms.
- Added language describing CBOR optimizations for single vs. arrays in the model front matter.
Fixed a number of grammatical, spelling, and wording issues.

Documented extension points that use CDDL sockets.

Converted IANA registration tables to markdown tables, reserving the 0 value for use when a value is not known.

Updated a number of references to their current versions.

Changes from version 03 to version 04:

- Re-index label values in the CDDL.
- Added a section describing the CoSWID model in detail.
- Created IANA registries for entity-role and version-scheme.

Changes from version 02 to version 03:

- Updated CDDL to allow for a choice between a payload or evidence.
- Re-index label values in the CDDL.
- Added item definitions.
- Updated references for COSE, CBOR Web Token, and CDDL.

Changes from version 01 to version 02:

- Added extensions for Firmware and CoSWID use as Reference Integrity Measurements (CoSWID RIM).
- Changes meta handling in CDDL from use of an explicit use of items to a more flexible unconstrained collection of items.
- Added sections discussing use of COSE Signatures and CBOR Web Tokens.

Changes from version 00 to version 01:

- Added CWT usage for absolute SWID paths on a device.
- Fixed cardinality of type-choices including arrays.
- Included first iteration of firmware resource-collection.
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Appendix A. Signed Concise SWID Tags using COSE

SWID tags, as defined in the ISO-19770-2:2015 XML schema, can include cryptographic signatures to protect the integrity of the SWID tag. In general, tags are signed by the tag creator (typically, although not exclusively, the vendor of the software component that the SWID tag identifies). Cryptographic signatures can make any modification of the tag detectable, which is especially important if the integrity of the tag is important, such as when the tag is providing reference integrity measurements for files.

The ISO-19770-2:2015 XML schema uses XML DSIG to support cryptographic signatures. CoSWID tags require a different signature scheme than this. COSE (CBOR Object Signing and Encryption) provides the required mechanism [RFC8152]. Concise SWID can be wrapped in a COSE Single Signer Data Object (COSE_Sign1) that contains a single signature. The following CDDL defines a more restrictive subset of header attributes allowed by COSE tailored to suit the requirements of Concise SWID tags.
signed-coswid = #6.18(COSE-Sign1-coswid)

cose-label = int / tstr

cose-values = any

protected-signed-coswid-header = {
  1 => int,                      ; algorithm identifier
  3 => "application/swid+cbor",
     * cose-label => cose-values,
}

unprotected-signed-coswid-header = {
  4 => bstr,                     ; key identifier
     * cose-label => cose-values,
}

COSE-Sign1-coswid = [  
  protected: bstr .cbor protected-signed-coswid-header,
  unprotected: unprotected-signed-coswid-header,
  payload: bstr .cbor concise-swid-tag,
  signature: bstr,
]

Optionally, the COSE_Sign structure that allows for more than one
signature to be applied to a CoSWID tag MAY be used. The
Corresponding usage scenarios are domain-specific and require well-defined application guidance. Representation of the corresponding guidance is out-of-scope of this document.

Additionally, the COSE Header counter signature MAY be used as an
attribute in the unprotected header map of the COSE envelope of a
CoSWID. The application of counter signing enables second parties to
provide a signature on a signature allowing for a proof that a
signature existed at a given time (i.e., a timestamp).
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Our goal with this document is to improve our agreement on the terminology used in documents produced by the IETF Working Group for Security Automation and Continuous Monitoring. Agreeing on terminology should help reach consensus on which problems we’re trying to solve, and propose solutions and decide which ones to use.

2. Terms and Definitions

This section describes terms that have been defined by other RFC’s and defines new ones. The predefined terms will reference the RFC and where appropriate will be annotated with the specific context by which the term is used in SACM. Note that explanatory or informational augmentation to definitions are segregated from the definitions themselves. The definition for the term immediately follows the term on the same line, whereas expositional text is contained in subsequent paragraphs immediately following the definition.

Assertion: Defined by the ITU in [X.1252] as "a statement made by an entity without accompanying evidence of its validity".

In the context of SACM, an assertion is the output of a SACM Component in the form of a SACM Statement (including metadata about the data source and data origin, e.g. timestamps). While the validity of an assertion about Content and Content Metadata cannot be verified without, for example, Integrity Proofing of the
Data Source, an assertion (and therefore a SACM statement, respectively) of the validity of Statement Metadata can be enabled by including corresponding Integrity Evidence created by the Data Origin.

Assessment: Defined in [RFC5209] as "the process of collecting posture for a set of capabilities on the endpoint (e.g., host-based firewall) such that the appropriate validators may evaluate the posture against compliance policy."

Attribute: Is a data element, as defined in [RFC5209], that is atomic.

In the context of SACM, attributes are "atomic" information elements and an equivalent to attribute-value-pairs. Attributes can be components of Subjects, the basic composite definitions that are defined in the SACM Information Model.

Capability: A set of features that are available from a SACM Component.

See also "capability" in [I-D.ietf-i2nsf-terminology].

In the context of SACM, the extent of a SACM component’s ability is enabled by the functions it is composed of. Capabilities are registered at a SACM broker (potentially also at a proxy or a repository component if it includes broker functions) by a SACM component via the SACM component registration task and can be discovered by or negotiated with other SACM components via the corresponding tasks. For example, the capability of a SACM provider may be to provide target endpoint records (declarative guidance about well-known or potential target endpoints), or only a subset of that data.

A capability’s description is in itself imperative guidance on what functions are exposed to other SACM components in a SACM domain and how to use them in workflows.

The SACM Vulnerability Assessment Scenario [I-D.ietf-sacm-vuln-scenario] defines the terms Endpoint Management Capabilities, Vulnerability Management Capabilities, and Vulnerability Assessment Capabilities, which illustrate specific sets of SACM capabilities on an enterprise IT department’s point of view and therefore compose sets of declarative guidance.
Collection Result: Is a composition of one or more content elements carrying information about a target endpoint, that is produced by a collector when conducting a collection task.

Collection Task: A targeted task that collects attributes and/or corresponding attribute values from target endpoint.

There are four types of frequency collection tasks can be conducted with:

- ad-hoc, e.g. triggered by a unsolicited query
- conditional, e.g. triggered in accordance with policies included in the compositions of workflows
- scheduled, e.g. in regular intervals, such as every minute or weekly
- continuously, e.g. a network behavior observation

There are three types of collection methods, each requiring an appropriate set of functions to be included in the SACM component conducting the collection task:

Self-Reporting: A SACM component located on the target endpoint itself conducts the collection task.

Remote-Acquisition: A SACM component located on an Endpoint different from the target endpoint conducts the collection task via interfaces available on the target endpoint, e.g. SNMP/NETCONF or WMI.

Behavior-Observation: A SACM component located on an Endpoint different from the target endpoint observes network traffic related to the target endpoint and conducts the collection task via interpretation of that network traffic.

Collector: A piece of software that acquires information about one or more target endpoints by conducting collection tasks.

A collector can be distributed across multiple endpoints, e.g. across a target endpoint and a SACM component. The separate parts of the collector can communicate with a specialized protocol, such as PA-TNC [RFC5792]. At least one part of a distributed collector has to take on the role of a provider of information by providing SACM interfaces to propagate capabilities and to provide SACM content in the form of collection results.
Configuration: A non-volatile subset of the endpoint attributes of a endpoint that is intended to be unaffected by a normal reboot-cycle.

Configuration is a type of imperative guidance that is stored in files (files dedicated to contain configuration and/ or files that are software components), directly on block devices, or on specific hardware components that can be accessed via corresponding software components. Modification of configuration can be conducted manually or automatically via management (plane) interfaces that support management protocols, such as SNMP or WMI. A change of configuration can occur during both run-time and down-time of an endpoint. It is common practice to scheduled a change of configuration during or directly after the completion of a boot-cycle via corresponding software components located on the target endpoint itself.

Examples: The static association of an IP address and a MAC address in a DHCP server configuration, a directory-path that identifies a log-file directory, a registry entry.

Configuration Drift: The disposition of endpoint characteristics to change over time.

Configuration drift exists for both hardware components and software components. Typically, the frequency and scale of configuration drift of software components is significantly higher than the configuration drift of hardware components.

Consumer: A SACM Role that requires a SACM Component to include SACM Functions enabling it to receive information from other SACM Components.

Content Element: Content elements constitute the payload data (SACM content) transferred via statement Subjects emitted by providers of information. Every content element Subject includes a specific content Subject and a corresponding content metadata Subject.

Content Metadata: Data about content Subjects. Every content-element includes a content metadata Subject. The Subject can include any information element that can annotate the content transferred. Examples include time stamps or data provenance Subjects.

Control Plane: An architectural component that provides common control functions to all SACM components.
Typically used as a term in the context of routing, e.g. [RFC6192]. SACM components may include authentication, authorization, (capability) discovery or negotiation, registration and subscription. The control plane orchestrates the flow on the data plane according to imperative guidance (i.e. configuration) received via the management plane. SACM components with interfaces to the control plane have knowledge of the capabilities of other SACM components within a SACM domain.

Controller: A controller is a SACM Role that is assigned to a SACM component containing control plane functions managing and facilitating information sharing or execute on security functions.

There are three types of SACM controllers: Broker, Proxy, and Repository. Depending on its type, a controller can also contain functions that have interfaces on the data plane.

Data Confidentiality: Defined in [RFC4949] as "the property that data is not disclosed to system entities unless they have been authorized to know the data."

Data In Motion: Data that is being transported via a network; also referred to as "Data in Transit" or "Data in Flight".

Data in motion requires a data model to transfer the data using a specific encoding. Typically, data in motion is serialized (marshalling) into a transport encoding by a provider of information and deserialized (unmarshalling) by a consumer of information. The termination points of provider of information and consumer of information data is transferred between are interfaces. In regard to data in motion, the interpretation of the roles consumer of information and provider of information depends on the corresponding OSI layer (e.g. on layer2: between interfaces connected to a broadcast domain, on layer4: between interfaces that maintain a TCP connection). In the context of SACM, consumer of information and provider of information are SACM components.

Data At Rest: Data that is stored.

Data at rest requires a data model to encode the data to be stored. In the context of SACM, data at rest located on a SACM component can be provided to other SACM components via discoverable capabilities.

Data Integrity: Defined in [RFC4949] as "the property that data has not been changed, destroyed, or lost in an unauthorized or accidental manner."
Data Origin: The SACM Component that initially acquired or produced data about an endpoint.

Data Origin enables a SACM component to identify the SACM component that initially acquired or produced data about a (target) endpoint (e.g. via collection from a data source) and made it available to a SACM domain via a SACM statement. Data Origin can be expressed by an endpoint label information element (e.g. to be used as metadata in statement).

Data Plane: Is an architectural component providing operational functions enabling information exchange that is not command and control or management related.

Typically used as a term in the context of routing (and used as a synonym for forwarding plane, e.g. [RFC6192]). In the context of SACM, the data plane is an architectural component providing operational functions to enable a SACM component to provide and consume SACM statements and therefore SACM content, which composes the actual SACM content. The data plane in a SACM domain is used to conduct distributed SACM tasks by transporting SACM content via specific transport encodings and corresponding operations defined by SACM data models.

Data Provenance: An historical record of the sources, origins and evolution, as it pertains to data, that is influenced by inputs, entities, functions and processes.

Additional Information - In the context of SACM, data provenance is expressed as metadata that identifies SACM statements and corresponding content elements a new statement is created from. In a downstream process, this references can cascade, creating a data provenance tree that enables SACM components to trace back the original data sources involved in the creation of SACM statements and take into account their characteristics and trustworthiness.

Data Source: Is an endpoint from which a particular set of attributes and/or attribute values have been collected.

Data Source enables a SACM component to identify - and potentially characterize - a (target) endpoint that is claimed to be the original source of endpoint attributes in a SACM statement. Data Source can be expressed as metadata by an endpoint label information element or a corresponding subject of identifying endpoint attributes.
Endpoint: Defined in [RFC5209] as "any computing device that can be connected to a network."

Additional Information - The [RFC5209] definition continues, "Such devices normally are associated with a particular link layer address before joining the network and potentially an IP address once on the network. This includes: laptops, desktops, servers, cell phones, or any device that may have an IP address."

To further clarify the [RFC5209] definition, an endpoint is any physical or virtual device that may have a network address. Note that, network infrastructure devices (e.g. switches, routers, firewalls), which fit the definition, are also considered to be endpoints within this document.

Physical endpoints are always composites that are composed of hardware components and software components. Virtual endpoints are composed entirely of software components and rely on software components that provide functions equivalent to hardware components.

The SACM architecture differentiates two essential categories of endpoints: Endpoints whose security posture is intended to be assessed (target endpoints) and endpoints that are specifically excluded from endpoint posture assessment (excluded endpoints).

Based on the definition of an asset, an endpoint is a type of asset.

Endpoint Attribute: Is a discreet endpoint characteristic that is computably observable.

Endpoint Attributes typically constitute Attributes that can be bundled into Subject (e.g. information about a specific network interface can be represented via a set of multiple AVP).

Endpoint Characteristics: The state, configuration and composition of the software components and (virtual) hardware components a target endpoint is composed of, including observable behavior, e.g. sys-calls, log-files, or PDU emission on a network.

In SACM work-flows, (Target) Endpoint Characteristics are represented via Information Elements.

Endpoint Characterization Task: The task of endpoint characterization that uses endpoint attributes that represent distinct endpoint characteristics.
Endpoint Classification: The categorization of one or more taxonomic structures.

Endpoint classification requires declarative guidance in the form of an endpoint profile, discovery results and potentially collection results. Types, classes or the characteristics of an individual target endpoint are defined via endpoint profiles.

Endpoint Classification Task: The task of endpoint classification that uses an endpoint’s characteristics to determine how to categorize the given endpoint into one or more taxonomic structures.

Endpoint Label: A unique label associated with a unique endpoint.

Endpoint specializations have corresponding endpoint label specializations. For example, an endpoint label used on a SACM Component is a SACM Component Label.

Endpoint Management Capabilities: Enterprise IT management capabilities that are tailored to manage endpoint identity, endpoint information, and associated metadata.

Evaluation Task: A task by which an endpoint’s asserted attribute value is evaluated against a policy-compliant attribute value.

Evaluation Result: The resulting value from having evaluated a set of posture attributes.

Expected Endpoint Attribute State: The policy-compliant state of an endpoint attribute that is to be compared against.

Sets of expected endpoint attribute states are transported as declarative guidance in target endpoint profiles via the management plane. This, for example, can be a policy, but also a recorded past state. An expected state is represented by an Attribute or a Subject that represents a set of multiple attribute value pairs.

Guidance: Machine-processable input directing SACM processes or tasks.

Examples of such processes/tasks include automated device management, remediation, collection, evaluation. Guidance influences the behavior of a SACM Component and is considered content of the management plane. In the context of SACM, guidance is machine-readable and can be manually or automatically generated.
or provided. Typically, the tasks that provide guidance to SACM components have a low-frequency and tend to be sporadic.

There are two types of guidance:

Declarative Guidance: Guidance that defines the configuration or state an endpoint is supposed to be in, without providing specific actions or methods to produce that desired state. Examples include Target Endpoint Profiles or network topology based requirements.

Imperative Guidance: Guidance that prescribes specific actions to be conducted or methods to be used in order to achieve an outcome. Examples include a targeted Collection Task or the IP-Address of a SACM Component that provides a registration function.

Prominent examples include: modification of the configuration of a SACM component or updating a target endpoint profile that resides on an evaluator. In essence, guidance is transported via the management plane.

Endpoint Hardware Inventory: The set of hardware components that compose a specific endpoint representing its hardware configuration.

Hardware Component: A distinguishable physical component used to compose an endpoint.

The composition of an endpoint can be changed over time by adding or removing hardware components. In essence, every physical endpoint is potentially a composite of multiple hardware components, typically resulting in a hierarchical composition of hardware components. The composition of hardware components is based on interconnects provided by specific hardware types (e.g. FRU in a chassis are connected via redundant busses). In general, a hardware component can be distinguished by its serial number. Occasionally, hardware components are referred to as power sucking aliens.

Information Element: A representation of information about physical and virtual "objects of interest".

Information elements are the building blocks that constitute the SACM information model. In the context of SACM, an information element that expresses a single value with a specific name is referred to as an Attribute (analogous to an attribute-value-pair). A set of attributes that is bundled into a more complex composite information element is referred to as a Subject. Every
information element in the SACM information model has a unique name. Endpoint attributes or time stamps, for example, are represented as information elements in the SACM information model.

Information Model: An abstract representation of data, their properties, relationships between data and the operations that can be performed on the data.

While there is some overlap with a data model, [RFC3444] distinguishes an information model as being protocol and implementation neutral whereas a data model would provide such details. The purpose of the SACM information model is to ensure interoperability between SACM data models (that are used as transport encoding) and to provide a standardized set of information elements for communication between SACM components.

Interaction Model: The definition of specific sequences regarding the exchange of messages (data in motion), including, for example, conditional branching, thresholds and timers.

An interaction model, for example, can be used to define operations, such as registration or discovery, on the control plane. A composition of data models for data in motion and a corresponding interaction model is a protocol.

Internal Collector: A collector that runs on a target endpoint to acquire information from that target endpoint.

Management Plane: An architectural component providing common functions to steer the behavior of SACM components, e.g. their behavior on the control plane.

Typically, a SACM component can fulfill its purpose without continuous input from the management plane. In contrast, without continuous availability of control plane functions a typical SACM component could not function properly. In general, interaction on the management plane is less frequent and less regular than on the control plane. Input via the management plane can be manual (e.g. via a CLI), or can be automated via management plane functions that are part of other SACM components.

Network Address: A layer-specific address that follows a layer-specific address scheme.

The following characteristics are a summary derived from the Common Information Model and ITU-T X.213. Each Network Interface of a specific layer can be associated with one or more addresses appropriate for that layer. There is no guarantee that a network
address is globally unique. A dedicated authority entity can provide a level of assurance that a network address is unique in its given scope. In essence, there is always a scope to a network address, in which it is intended to be unique.

Examples include: physical Ethernet port with a MAC address, layer 2 VLAN interface with a MAC address, layer 3 interface with multiple IPv6 addresses, layer 3 tunnel ingress or egress with an IPv4 address.

Network Interface: An Endpoint is connected to a network via one or more Network Interfaces. Network Interfaces can be physical (Hardware Component) or logical (virtual Hardware component, i.e. a dedicated Software Component). Network Interfaces of an Endpoint can operate on different layers, most prominently what is now commonly called layer 2 and 3. Within a layer, interfaces can be nested.

In SACM, the association of Endpoints and Network Addresses via Network Interfaces is vital to maintain interdependent autonomous processes that can be targeted at Target Endpoints, unambiguously.

Examples include: physical Ethernet port, layer 2 VLAN interface, a MC-LAG setup, layer 3 Point-to-Point tunnel ingress or egress.

Metadata: Data about data.

In the SACM information model, data is referred to as Content. Metadata about the content is referred to as Content-Metadata, respectively. Content and Content-Metadata are combined into Subjects called Content-Elements in the SACM information model. Some information elements defined by the SACM information model can be part of the Content or the Content-Metadata. Therefore, if an information element is considered data or data about data depends on which kind of Subject it is associated with. The SACM information model also defines metadata about the data origin via the Subject Statement-Metadata. Typical examples of metadata are time stamps, data origin or data source.

Posture: Defined in [RFC5209] as "configuration and/or status of hardware or software on an endpoint as it pertains to an organization’s security policy."

This term is used within the scope of SACM to represent the configuration and state information that is collected from a target endpoint in the form of endpoint attributes (e.g. software/hardware inventory, configuration settings, dynamically assigned
addresses). This information may constitute one or more posture attributes.

Posture Attributes: Defined in [RFC5209] as "attributes describing the configuration or status (posture) of a feature of the endpoint. A Posture Attribute represents a single property of an observed state. For example, a Posture Attribute might describe the version of the operating system installed on the system."

Within this document this term represents a specific assertion about endpoint configuration or state (e.g. configuration setting, installed software, hardware) represented via endpoint attributes. The phrase "features of the endpoint" highlighted above refers to installed software or software components.

Provider: A provider is a SACM role assigned to a SACM component that provides role-specific functions to provide information to other SACM components.

Repository: A repository is a controller that contains functions to consume, store and provide information of a particular kind.

Such information is typically data transported on the data plane, but potentially also data and metadata from the control and management plane. A single repository may provide the functions of more than one specific repository type (i.e. configuration baseline repository, assessment results repository, etc.)

SACM Broker Controller: A SACM Broker Controller is a controller that contains control plane functions to provide and/or connect services on behalf of other SACM components via interfaces on the control plane.

A broker may provide, for example, authorization services and find, upon request, SACM components providing requested services.

SACM Component: Is a component, as defined in [I-D.ietf-i2nsf-terminology], that is composed of SACM capabilities.

In the context of SACM, a set of SACM functions composes a SACM component. A SACM component conducts SACM tasks, acting on control plane, data plane and/or management plane via corresponding SACM interfaces. SACM defines a set of standard components (e.g. a collector, a broker, or a data store). A SACM component contains at least a basic set of control plane functions and can contain data plane and management plane functions. A SACM component residing on an endpoint assigns one or more SACM roles
to the corresponding endpoint due to the SACM functions it is composed of. A SACM component "resides on" an endpoint and an endpoint "contains" a SACM component, correspondingly. For example, a SACM component that is composed solely of functions that provide information would only take on the role of a provider.

SACM Component Discovery: The task of discovering the capabilities provided by SACM components within a SACM domain.

This is likely to be performed via an appropriate set of control plane functions.

SACM Component Label: A specific endpoint label that is used to identify a SACM component.

In content-metadata, this label is called data origin.

SACM Content: The payload provided by SACM components to the SACM domain on the data plane.

SACM content includes the SACM data models.

SACM Domain: Endpoints that include a SACM component compose a SACM domain.

(To be revised, additional definition content TBD, possible dependencies to SACM architecture)

SACM Function: A behavioral aspect of a SACM component that provides external SACM Interfaces or internal interfaces to other SACM Functions.

For example, a SACM Function with SACM Interfaces on the Control Plane can provide a brokering function to other SACM Components. Via Data Plane interfaces, a SACM Function can act as a provider and/or as a consumer of information. SACM Functions can be propagated as the Capabilities of a SACM Component and can be discovered by or negotiated with other SACM Components.

SACM Interface: An interface, as defined in [I-D.ietf-i2nsf-terminology], that provides SACM-specific operations.

[I-D.ietf-i2nsf-terminology] defines interface as a "set of operations one object knows it can invoke on, and expose to, another object," and further defines interface by stating that an interface "decouples the implementation of the operation from its
specification. An interface is a subset of all operations that a
given object implements. The same object may have multiple types
of interfaces to serve different purposes."

In the context of SACM, SACM Functions provide SACM Interfaces on
the management, control, or data plane. Operations a SACM
Interface provides are based on corresponding data model defined
by SACM. SACM Interfaces are used for communication between SACM
components.

SACM Proxy Controller: A SACM Proxy Controller is a controller that
provides data plane and control plane functions, information, or
services on behalf of another component, which is not directly
participating in the SACM architecture.

SACM Role: Is a role, as defined in [I-D.ietf-i2nsf-terminology],
that requires the SACM Component assuming the role to bear a set
of SACM functions or interfaces.

SACM Roles provide three important benefits. First, it enables
different behavior to be supported by the same Component for
different contexts. Second, it enables the behavior of a
Component to be adjusted dynamically (i.e., at runtime, in
response) to changes in context, by using one or more Roles to
define the behavior desired for each context. Third, it decouples
the Roles of a Component from the Applications that use that
Component."

In the context of SACM, SACM roles are associated with SACM
components and are defined by the set of functions and interfaces
a SACM component includes. There are three SACM roles: provider,
consumer, and controller. The roles associated with a SACM
component are determined by the purpose of the SACM functions and
corresponding SACM interfaces the SACM component is composed of.

SACM Statement: Is an assertion that is made by a SACM Component.

Security Automation: The process of which security alerts can be
automated through the use of different components to monitor,
analyze and assess endpoints and network traffic for the purposes
of detecting misconfigurations, misbehaviors or threats.

Security Automation is intended to identify target endpoints that
cannot be trusted (see "trusted" in [RFC4949]). This goal is
achieved by creating and processing evidence (assessment
statements) that a target endpoint is not a trusted system
[RFC4949].
Software Package: A generic software package (e.g. a text editor).

Software Component: A software package installed on an endpoint.

The software component may include a unique serial number (e.g. a text editor associated with a unique license key).

Software Instance: A running instance of a software component.

For example, on a multi-user system, one logged-in user has one instance of a text editor running and another logged-in user has another instance of the same text editor running, or on a single-user system, a user could have multiple independent instances of the same text editor running.

State: A volatile set of endpoint attributes of a (target) endpoint that is affected by a reboot-cycle.

Local state is created by the interaction of components with other components via the control plane, via processing data plane payload, or via the functional properties of local hardware and software components. Dynamic configuration (e.g. IP address distributed dynamically via an address distribution and management services, such as DHCP) is considered state that is the result of the interaction with another component (e.g. provided by a DHCP server with a specific configuration).

Examples: The static association of an IP address and a MAC address in a DHCP server configuration, a directory-path that identifies a log-file directory, a registry entry.

Statement: A statement is the root/top-level subject defined in the SACM information model.

A statement is used to bundle Content Elements into one subject and includes metadata about the data origin.

Subject: A semantic composite information element pertaining to a system entity that is a target endpoint.

Like Attributes, subjects have a name and are composed of attributes and/or other subjects. Every IE that is part of a subject can have a quantity associated with it (e.g. zero-one, none-unbounded). The content IE of a subject can be an unordered or an ordered list.

In contrast to the definitions of subject provided by [RFC4949], a subject in the scope of SACM is neither "a system entity that
causes information to flow among objects or changes the system state" nor "a name of a system entity that is bound to the data items in a digital certificate."

In the context of SACM, a subject is a semantic composite of information elements about a system entity that is a target endpoint. Every acquirable subject—as defined in the scope of SACM—about a target endpoint represents and therefore identifies every subject—as defined by [RFC4949]—that is a component of that target endpoint. The semantic difference between both definitions can be subtle in practice and is in consequence important to highlight.

Supplicant: A component seeking to be authenticated via the control plane for the purpose of participating in a SACM domain.

System Resource: Defined in [RFC4949] as "data contained in an information system; or a service provided by a system; or a system capacity, such as processing power or communication bandwidth; or an item of system equipment (i.e., hardware, firmware, software, or documentation); or a facility that houses system operations and equipment."

Target Endpoint: Is an endpoint that is under assessment at some point in, or region of, time.

Every endpoint that is not specifically designated as an excluded endpoint is a target endpoint. A target endpoint is not part of a SACM domain unless it contains a SACM component (e.g. a SACM component that publishes collection results coming from an internal collector).

A target endpoint is similar to a device that is a Target of Evaluation (TOE) as defined in Common Criteria and as referenced by {{RFC4949}}.

Target Endpoint Address: An address that is layer specific and which follows layer specific address schemes.

Each interface of a specific layer can be associated with one or more addresses appropriate for that layer. There is no guarantee that an address is globally unique. In general, there is a scope to an address in which it is intended to be unique.

Examples include: physical Ethernet port with a MAC address, layer 2 VLAN interface with a MAC address, layer 3 interface with multiple IPv6 addresses, layer 3 tunnel ingress or egress with an IPv4 address.
Target Endpoint Characterization: The description of the distinctive nature of a target endpoint, that is based on its characteristics.

Target Endpoint Characterization Record: A set of endpoint attributes about a target endpoint that was encountered in a SACM domain, which are associated with that target endpoint as a result of a Target Endpoint Characterization Task.

A characterization record is intended to be a representation of an endpoint. It cannot be assured that a record distinctly represents a single target endpoint unless a set of one or more endpoint attributes that compose a unique set of identifying endpoint attributes are included in the record. Otherwise, the set of identifying attributes included in a record can match more than one target endpoints, which are - in consequence - indistinguishable to a SACM domain until more qualifying endpoint attributes can be acquired and added to the record. A characterization record is maintained over time in order to assert that acquired endpoint attributes are either about an endpoint that was encountered before or an endpoint that has not been encountered before in a SACM domain. A characterization record can include, for example, acquired configuration, state or observed behavior of a specific target endpoint. Multiple and even conflicting instances of this information can be included in a characterization record by using timestamps and/or data origins to differentiate them. The endpoint attributes included in a characterization record can be used to re-identify a distinct target endpoint over time. Classes or profiles can be associated with a characterization record via the Classification Task in order to guide collection, evaluation or remediation tasks.

Target Endpoint Characterization Task: An ongoing task of continuously adding acquired endpoint attributes to a corresponding record. The TE characterization task manages the representation of encountered target endpoints in the SACM domain in the form of characterization records. For example, the output of a target endpoint discovery task or a collection task can be processed by the characterization task and added to the record. The TE characterization Task also manages these representations of target endpoints encountered in the SACM domain by splitting or merging the corresponding records as new or more refined endpoint attributes become available.

Target Endpoint Classification Task: The task of associating a class from an extensible list of classes with an endpoint characterization record. TE classes function as imperative and declarative guidance for collection, evaluation, remediation and security posture assessment in general.
Target Endpoint Discovery Task: The ongoing task of detecting previously unknown interaction of a potential target endpoint in the SACM domain. TE Discovery is not directly targeted at a specific target endpoint and therefore an un-targeted task. SACM Components conducting the discovery task as a part of their function are typically distributed and located, for example, on infrastructure components or collect from those remotely via appropriate interfaces. Examples of infrastructure components that are of interest to the discovery task include routers, switches, VM hosting or VM managing components, AAA servers, or servers handling dynamic address distribution.

Target Endpoint Identifier: The target endpoint discovery task and the collection tasks can result in a set of identifying endpoint attributes added to a corresponding Characterization Record. This subset of the endpoint attributes included in the record is used as a target endpoint identifier, by which a specific target endpoint can be referenced. Depending on the available identifying attributes, this reference can be ambiguous and is a "best-effort" mechanism. Every distinct set of identifying endpoint attributes can be associated with a target endpoint label that is unique in a SACM domain.

Target Endpoint Label: An endpoint label that identifies a specific target endpoint.

Target Endpoint Profile: A bundle of expected or desired component composition, configurations and states that is associated with a target endpoint. The corresponding task by which the association with a target endpoint takes places is the endpoint classification task. The task by which an endpoint profile is created is the endpoint characterization task. A type or class of target endpoints can be defined via a target endpoint profile. Examples include: printers, smartphones, or an office PC.

In respect to [RFC4949], a target endpoint profile is a protection profile as defined by Common Criteria (analogous to the target endpoint being the target of evaluation).

SACM Task: Is a task conducted within the scope of a SACM domain by one or more SACM functions that achieves a SACM-defined outcome.

A SACM task can be triggered by other operations or functions (e.g. a query from another SACM component or an unsolicited push on the data plane due to an ongoing subscription). A task is part of a SACM process chain. A task starts at a given point in time...
and ends in a deterministic state. With the exception of a
collection task, a SACM task consumes SACM statements provided by
other SACM components. The output of a task is a result that can
be provided (e.g. published) on the data plane.

The following tasks are defined by SACM:

Target Endpoint Discovery
Target Endpoint Characterization
Target Endpoint Classification
Collection
Evaluation [TBD]
Information Sharing [TBD]
SACM Component Discovery
SACM Component Authentication [TBD]
SACM Component Authorization [TBD]
SACM Component Registration [TBD]

Timestamps: Defined in [RFC4949] as "with respect to a data object,
a label or marking in which is recorded the time (time of day or
other instant of elapsed time) at which the label or marking was
affixed to the data object".

A timestamp always requires context, i.e. additional information
elements that are associated with it. Therefore, all timestamps
wrt information elements are always metadata. Timestamps in SACM
Content Elements may be generated outside a SACM Domain and may be
encoded in an unknown representation. Inside a SACM domain the
representation of timestamps is well-defined and unambiguous.

Virtual Endpoint: An endpoint composed entirely of logical system
components (see [RFC4949]).

The most common example is a virtual machine/host running on a
target endpoint. Effectively, target endpoints can be nested and
at the time of this writing the most common example of target
endpoint characteristics about virtual components is the
EntLogicalEntry in [RFC6933].
Vulnerability Assessment: An assessment specifically tailored to determining whether a set of endpoints is vulnerable according to the information contained in the vulnerability description information.

Vulnerability Description Information: Information pertaining to the existence of a flaw or flaws in software, hardware, and/or firmware, which could potentially have an adverse impact on enterprise IT functionality and/or security.

Vulnerability description information should contain enough information to support vulnerability detection.

Vulnerability Detection Data: A type of imperative guidance extracted or derived from vulnerability description information that describes the specific mechanisms of vulnerability detection that is used by an enterprise’s vulnerability management capabilities to determine if a vulnerability is present on an endpoint.

Vulnerability Management Capabilities: An IT management capability tailored toward managing endpoint vulnerabilities and associated metadata on an ongoing basis by ingesting vulnerability description information and vulnerability detection data, and performing vulnerability assessments.

Vulnerability assessment capabilities: An assessment capability that is tailored toward determining whether a set of endpoints is vulnerable according to vulnerability description information.

Workflow: A workflow is a modular composition of tasks that can contain loops, conditionals, multiple starting points and multiple endpoints.

The most prominent workflow in SACM is the assessment workflow.

3.  IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

4.  Security Considerations

This memo documents terminology for security automation. While it is about security, it does not affect security.
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Changes from version 11 to version 12:

Added Configuration, Endpoint Characteristic, Event, SACM Content, State, Subject.
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Appendix A. The Attic

The following terms are stashed for now and will be updated later:

Asset: Is a system resource, as defined in [RFC4949], that may be composed of other assets.

Examples of Assets include: Endpoints, Software, Guidance, or X.509 public key certificates. An asset is not necessarily owned by an organization.

Asset Management: The IT process by which assets are provisioned, updated, maintained and deprecated.

Asset Characterization: Asset characterization is the process of defining attributes that describe properties of an identified asset.

Asset Targeting: Asset targeting is the use of asset identification and categorization information to drive human-directed, automated decision making for data collection and analysis in support of endpoint posture assessment.

Client: An architectural component receiving services from another architectural component.

Endpoint Identification (TBD per list; was "Endpoint Discovery"): The process by which an endpoint can be identified.
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Abstract
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This document defines an extension to the Resource-Oriented Lightweight Information Exchange (ROLIE) [RFC8322] protocol [RFC8322] to support the publication of configuration checklist information. Many enterprises operate according to guidance provided to them by a control framework ([CIS_Critical_Controls], [PCI_DSS], [NIST_800-53] etc.), which often prescribe that an enterprise define a standard, secure configuration for each technology they operate. Such standard secure configurations are often referred to as configuration checklists. These configuration checklists contain a set of configuration recommendations for a given endpoint. A configuration recommendation prescribes expected values pertaining to one or more discrete endpoint attributes.

2. Terminology

Configuration Checklist A configuration checklist is an organized collection of rules about a particular kind of system or platform.

Configuration Item Generally synonymous with endpoint attribute.
Configuration Recommendation

A configuration recommendation is an expression of the desired posture of one or more configuration items. A configuration recommendation generally includes the description of the recommendation, a rationale statement, and the expected state of collected posture information.

TODO: Others??  TBD

TODO: There needs to be a "normative" reference to the SCAP 1.2/3 specifications and schema definitions

3. The 'configuration-checklist' information type

This document defines and registers a new information-type: "configuration-checklist".

The "configuration-checklist" information type represents a body of information describing a set of configuration recommendations. A configuration recommendation is, minimally, a configurable item paired with a recommended value or range of value. Depending on the source, a configuration recommendation may carry with it additional information (i.e. description, references, rationale, etc.). Provided below is a non-exhaustive list of information that may be considered as components of a configuration checklist.

- A "Data Stream":
- A "Benchmark"
- A "Profile"
- A "Value"
- A "Rule" or "Group" of Rules
  * Description
  * Rationale
  * Remediation Instructions
  * Information, described in the dialect of a supported "check system", indicating the method(s) used to audit the checklist configuration item.
- Applicable Platform Information
- Information regarding a set of patches to be evaluated
o Any supported "tailoring" information, providing a method for evaluating entities to refine the recommendations in the data stream without modifying the published data stream content. (WKM NOTE: Does "tailoring" need to be here? Why would any tailoring be included in a published feed? Unless the organization is re-publishing the content with their tailoring included.)

4. Data format requirements

This section defines usage guidance and additional requirements related to data formats above and beyond those specified in [RFC8322]. The following formats are expected to be commonly used to express software descriptor information. For this reason, this document specifies additional requirements to ensure interoperability.

TODO, integrate this information:

o scap-1.2
o PDF
o xccdf-1.2-collection
o oval
o cvrf
o cve (should we reuse the enumref?); Look at the "enumref" and see if we can copy/paste configuration checklist-specific information in a similar manner? Can we then include that enum reference in the ROLIE extension document or should we create a new "enumref" document separately?

o vulnerability

4.1. Data Format 1

4.1.1. Description

This is data section 1 TODO

4.1.2. Requirements

This is requirement 1 TODO
5. rolie:property Extensions

This document provides new registrations for valid rolie:property names. These properties provide optional exposure point for valuable information in the linked content document. Exposing this information in a rolie:property element means that clients do not need to download the linked document to determine if it contains information they are interested in.

A breadth of metadata may be included with a configuration checklist as identifying information. A publishing organization may wish to recognize or attribute checklist authors or contributors, or maintain a revision/version history over time. Other metadata that may be included could indicate the various categories of products to which the checklist applies, such as Operating System, Network Device, or Application Server.

The following list describes various ‘rolie:property’ constructs.

- contributor (0..n)

  * An unbounded number of "rolie:property" elements with a "name" attribute of "contributor" may be included to indicate those individuals noted as recognized contributors to the configuration checklist and/or the recommendations contained within.

- checklist version: The "value" of the "checklist version" property indicates the version number of the configuration checklist, such as "3.1.1"

- title: The "value" of the "title" property indicates the document title of the configuration checklist, such as "CIS Benchmark for Microsoft Windows Server 2012 R2"

- overview

6. Use of the atom:link element

The following link relations are defined in the following table. These relations are not registered in the Link Relation IANA table due to their niche usage. These link relations are valid for any link element in a checklist Entry.
### Name | Description
--- | ---
ancestor | Links to a configuration checklist superseded by that described in this entry

target-platform | Links to a software descriptor resource defining the software subject to this configuration checklist entry

version | Links to a text resource indicating the version of the configuration checklist

7. **Use of atom:category**

This document registers an additional atom:category name: `urn:ietf:params:rolie:category:checklist:nistncpproductcategory`

When the name attribute of a category element is this names, the value attribute SHOULD be one of the valid product categories from the NIST NCP Product Category List, such as:

- Antivirus Software
- Application Server
- Auditing
- Authentication
- Automation/Productivity Application Suite
- Client and Server Encryption
- Configuration Management Software
- Database Management System
- Desktop Application
- Desktop Client
- DHCP Server
- Directory Service
- DNS Server
- Email Server
- Encryption Software
- Enterprise Application
- File Encryption
- Firewall
- Firmware
- Handheld Device
- Identity Management
- Intrusion Detection System
- KVM
- Mail Server
- Malware
- Mobile Solution
- Monitoring
- Multi-Functional Peripheral
- Network Router
- Network Switch
- Office Suite
- Operating System
- Peripheral Device
- Security Server
- Server
- Virtual Machine
- Virtualization Software
Web Browser
- Web Server
- Wireless Email
- Wireless Network

8. IANA Considerations

Per this document, IANA has added an entry to the "ROLIE Security Resource Information Type Sub-Registry" registry located at https://www.iana.org/assignments/rolie/category/information-type [1].

name: configuration-checklist
index: TBD
reference: This document, Section TODO
TODO add Propertyies and Categories

9. Security Considerations

Any user of this extension should be familiar with the security considerations of ROLIE [RFC8322].

10. Privacy Considerations

Any user of this extension should be familiar with the privacy considerations of ROLIE [RFC8322].

11. References

11.1. Normative References


11.2. Informative References

11.3. URIs

[1] https://www.iana.org/assignments/rolie/category/information-type
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