### Benchmarking Methodology WG (bmwg) 99th IETF

- Monday, July 17, 2017
  (0930-1200 Local Time, UTC+2:00)
- Chairs:
  - Al Morton (acmorton(at)att.com)
  - Sarah Banks (sbanks(at)encrypted.net)
  - PLEASE MOVE CLOSE TO THE FRONT
- If you are not subscribed to the BMWG mailing list and would like to be, please go to https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/bmwg

# Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

•The IETF plenary session

•The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG

•Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices

•Any IETF working group or portion thereof

•Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session

•The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB

•The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

•All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> (updated by <u>RFC 4879</u>).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult <u>RFC 5378</u> and <u>RFC 3979</u> for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public. 2

### BMWG Agenda (Any Bashing needed?)

#### Note-Taker(s), Jabber, IPR, Blue Sheets

1a. WG Status WG Drafts (3 with Status-only)	<b>Presenter: Chairs</b>
2. Charter and Milestones	
3. Benchmarking for SDN Controller Performance	Presenter: Sarah
-=-=-=-=-	
4. Benchmarking Methodology for EVPN & PBB-EVPN	Presenter: Sudhin Jacob
-=-=-=-=-	
5. Benchmarking Methodology for Service Function Chain	Presenter: Taekhee Kim
6. Considerations for Benchmarking Network Virtualization Platforms (?)	Presenter: Samuel Kommu
7. Re-chartering Discussion (led by Co-chairs and AD advisor)	
8. Look at OPNFV VSPERF Benchmarking Results	Presenter: Al
LAST. AOB ?	

## Quick WG Status

- IESG Approval onVSPERF vSwitch draft
- IESG Approval on IPv6 transition draft
- IESG Approval of Data Center bench drafts
- Next WGLC: Revised SDN Controller drafts
- New work proposals keep coming

# **BMWG** Activity

- New RFCs:
  - RFC 8161 on IPv6 ND
  - RFC 8172 on VNF and NFVI Considerations

#### • Charter Update

- Discussion on the Agenda!
- Supplementary BMWG Page
  - Watch this space! New home needed for:
  - http://home.comcast.net/~acmacm/BMWG/

### **Current Milestones**

- DONE Basic BGP Convergence Benchmarking Methodology to IESG Review
- DONE Terminology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- DONE Methodology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- DONE Draft on Traffic Management Benchmarking to IESG Review
- DONE Draft on IPv6 Neighbor Discovery to IESG Review
- DONE Draft on In-Service Software Upgrade Benchmarking to IESG Review
- DONE Draft on VNF Benchmarking Considerations to IESG Review
- DONE Drafts on Data Center Benchmarking to IESG Review
- DONE Draft on v6 Transition
- Jul 2017 Drafts on SDN Controller Benchmarking
- DONE Draft on vSwitch Benchmarking in OPNFV

### Standard "Paragraph" (intro/security)

Benchmarking activities as described in this memo are limited to technology characterization using controlled stimuli in a laboratory environment, with dedicated address space and the constraints specified in the sections above.

The benchmarking network topology will be an independent test setup and MUST NOT be connected to devices that may forward the test traffic into a production network, or misroute traffic to the test management network.

Further, benchmarking is performed on a "black-box" basis, relying solely on measurements observable external to the DUT/SUT.

Special capabilities SHOULD NOT exist in the DUT/SUT specifically for benchmarking purposes. Any implications for network security arising from the DUT/SUT SHOULD be identical in the lab and in production networks.

### Work Proposal Summary Matrix

		<b>_</b>					
Work Area > Criteria \/	Perf Mon Benchmk	EVPN & PBB EVPN	VNF (was VBaaS)	Virtualized Platforms	SFC	Virtualiz. Network (return)	
Proposal	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
In Scope of Charter? (acm)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Draft(s)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Sig. Support at meetings		Y		IETF-98, many comments	Revised draft	Issues at many sessions	
Sig. Support on List	some	Y					
Dependencie s/Notes	Liaison ITU-T "Don't"	Reviewers & charter	Dead?			Issues raised on list	8

# **Re-Charting Discussion**

- Finish existing work (SDN Controller)
- Consider PBB/EVPN and other drafts
  - SFC Reliability? Virt. DC Platforms?
  - Based on today's discussion, ...
- Additional Proposals
  - ?
  - Allow time for expansion/winnowing
- Discuss revised text on the list
- Target IETF-100 to reach consensus