IETF-99 (Prague)  
DHC WG Meeting  

Wednesday, 19 July 2017  
13:30-15:00 (CEST)  
Athens/Barcelona
Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 8179.

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 8179 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Before we begin ...

- Hope you noted the Note Well
- Blue sheets
- Need Jabber scribe(s)!
- Need etherpad note takers

- WG co-chairs:  
  – Tomek Mrugalski
  – Bernie Volz

- WG Secretary:  
  – Vacant
## Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Draft(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Administrativa</td>
<td>Chairs</td>
<td>10m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>DHCPv6 deployment at Comcast</td>
<td>John Brzozowski</td>
<td>15m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Secure DHCPv6 - yet another reboot needed?</td>
<td>Chairs</td>
<td>20m</td>
<td>draft-ietf-dhc-sedhcpv6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>DHCP/DHCPv6 options for LWM2M bootstrapping,</td>
<td>Srinivasa Rao Nallurim (remote)</td>
<td>10m</td>
<td>draft-nalluri-dhc-dhcpv6-lwm2m-bootstrap-options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>OnDemand Extensions to DHCPv6 for IP Session Continuity Requests</td>
<td>Danny Moses</td>
<td>15m</td>
<td>draft-moses-dmm-dhcp-ondemand-mobility, draft-ietf-dmm-ondemand-mobility, draft-feng-dmm-ra-prefixtype</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WG Document Status

• Two RFCs published:
  – RFC 8156 – DHCPv6 Failover Protocol
  – RFC 8168 – DHCPv6 Prefix-Length Hint Issues
• One RFC in Editor Queue (Security of Messages Exchanged Between Servers and Relay Agents)
• 6 WG drafts currently active
  – For details, see Datatracker
• WGLC late summer 2016, generated ~270 issues
• 07 published 12 March 2017, addressed most issues
• 08 published 08 May 2017 addressed remaining issues
• WGLC initiated on 08 and received reviews with about 30 mostly minor issues (from 4 reviewers)
• 09 published 28 June 2017 which addressed these issues
• Ralph Droms confirmed WG consensus on document (12 Jul 2017 email)
Likely to be a -10 before sending on
  – Do we leave seDHCPv6 draft reference given work’s current status?
  – Update Appendix A to include clarification regarding lifetimes of delegated prefixes (issue raised by Jinmei) as missed in 09
  – Update based on feedback on 09 (none yet)

Please review -09

Plan to publish -10 in early August and send that on to AD/IESG

Thanks to Ralph for being document shepherd and doing WGLC consensus determination!