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A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Agenda

• 17:40 - 17:45, Preliminaries, Chairs (5 minutes)
  • Note Takers/Jabber scribe, Agenda bashing

• 17:45 - 17:50, WG Document Status, Chairs (5 minutes)

• 17:50 - 18:35 Individual draft discussions (45 minutes)
  • Diameter Policy Groups and Sets, Lyle (20min)
    • Slides: Predicted Units and Policy Groups
    • https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-bertz-dime-predictunits-02
  • Diameter Specification Recommendations, Lyle (25min)
    • Slides: Diameter Specification Recommendations
    • https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-bertz-dime-diamimpr-00

• 18:35 - 18:40 Wrap-up and Next, Chairs/AD (5 minutes)
  • WG Goals/Milestones status, Next steps/Action Points, Future of the WG
## WG Status Update (1/2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Editor</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Next Step(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RFC Published</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFC Published</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IESG Review</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Load Information Conveyance</td>
<td>Jouni</td>
<td>RFC Editor</td>
<td>RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Agent Overload and the Peer Overload report</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>RFC Editor</td>
<td>RFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In progress WG items (active)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IETF LC?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Group Signaling</td>
<td>Marco</td>
<td>Version -08. Few review</td>
<td>IETF LC?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update of Diameter Credit-Control Application (RFC4006bis)</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>Multiple reviews</td>
<td>IETF LC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update of Diameter Credit-Control Application (RFC4006bis)</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>New version (-06)</td>
<td>IETF LC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Overload Rate Control</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>In WG Last Call</td>
<td>IETF LC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple reviews</td>
<td>IETF LC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## WG Status Update (2/2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Editor</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Next Step(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals for Chartered Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter AVP Level Security</td>
<td>Jouni</td>
<td>Expired</td>
<td>• WG document?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Domain and Arbitrary Mask Filters</td>
<td>Lyle</td>
<td>Expired</td>
<td>• WG document?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Per-Flow Max Bitrates</td>
<td>Lyle</td>
<td>Expired</td>
<td>• WG document?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Policy Groups and Sets</td>
<td>Lyle</td>
<td>Version 04</td>
<td>• WG document?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Predicted Units</td>
<td>Lyle</td>
<td>Version 02.</td>
<td>• WG document?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter Specification Recommendations</td>
<td>Lyle</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>• WG document?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Milestones

Done - Submit 'problem statement and requirements for Diameter end-to-end security framework' to the IESG for consideration as an Informational RFC

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Overload Control' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Aug 2013 - Submit a document on 'Protocol extension for bulk and group signaling' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Congestion and Filter Attributes' to the IESG for considerations as a Proposed Standard

Done - Submit a document on 'Diameter Agent Overload' as a working group item

Done - Submit a document on 'Diameter Overload Control Rate Abatement Algorithm' as a working group item

Done - Submit a document on 'Diameter Load Information' as a working group item

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Routing Message Priority' to the IESG for considerations as a Proposed Standard

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Agent Overload' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Jan 2016 - Submit I-D 'Diameter Overload Control Rate Abatement Algorithm' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Done - Submit I-D 'Diameter Load Information' to the IESG for consideration as a Proposed Standard

Jul 2016 - Submit I-D 'Diameter End-to-End Security Level' as a working group document. To be Standards Track RFC
Future of the WG

- 3 remaining active documents
- Low attendance level
- Very low activity on the mailing list
- Chairs not so active and about to leave the chair position

So, what should be the next step?
- close the group?
- What to do for E2E security?