



BULK RR for Numeric-Based DNS Record Generation

John Woodworth, John.Woodworth@CenturyLink.com
Dean Ballew, Dean.Ballew@CenturyLink.com
Shashwath Raghavan, shashwath.bindinganaveliraghavan@hughes.com
David Lawrence, tale@akamai.com

Proposed BULK Record



Simple transformation of numbers in query name into data for response <u>draft-woodworth-bulk-rr</u>

Compact representation that can be shared between primary and secondaries

\$ORIGIN example.com @ IN BULK A pool-[0-255]-[0-255] 10.2.\${1}.{\$2}

;; QUESTION pool-42-102.example.com IN A

;; ANSWER pool-42-102.example.com IN A 10.2.42.102

Changes from -05 to -06



Keyword: Simplification

- More straight-forward language
- Page count dropped by 50%
- Clarify focus is just numeric patterns, not regexes
- Removed "hidden" wildcard feature
 - Had been driven by concern for some filter policies
- Removed octet value restrictions
 - Names or data not US-ASCII constrained
- Limited maximum references
- Many other clarifications

Planned for -07 (or dnsop-00)



More Simplification

- Remove pattern substitution options
 - Had been based on BIND's \$GENERATE
 - Maybe \$GENERATE is too flexible itself
- Pursue NPN (Numeric Pattern Normalization) separately
 - Significant DNSSEC roll-out issues
- Address new RR roll-out issues for secondaries?
 - Not a myth, at least when server semantics affected

Please adopt as working group document