

# YANG Library

draft-nmdsdt-netconf-rfc7895bis-01

NETCONF WG  
IETF 99 (Prague)

# Motivation

- Existing RFCs don't provide ability to express all that is needed...
- RFC 7950 & RFC 8040 say that all NETCONF and RESTCONF servers MUST support RFC 7895 (YANG Library)
  - Regardless if they support NMDA or not.
  - We want to leverage this requirement
- RFC 7895 (YANG Library) says:
  - There is a mandatory to implement 'modules-state' tree that a server uses to advertise all the modules it supports.
    - But this assumes all modules are in all datastores...
    - Which is not that case with NMDA...
      - some modules MAY only appear in <operational> (e.g., ietf- network-topo)
      - some modules MAY only appear in dynamic datastore (e.g., i2rs- ephemeral-rib).
      - some modules MAY only appear in <running>, when a server hasn't yet coded support for returning the opstate yet.
      - there may be variations in features/deviations between datastores

# Summary of Changes from RFC 7895

- Renames document title:
  - OLD: YANG Module Library
  - NEW: YANG Library
- Deprecates the modules-state tree
  - because it assumes all modules are defined in all datastores.
- Adds new top-level "yang-library" container.
  - new top-level container doesn't break legacy clients
  - decouples the modules a server supports from which datastores they're supported in

# Updates RFC 7950 & RFC 8040

This draft **updates** RFC 7950 and RFC 8040

- both in the same way...

## Update to RFC 7950

- Modifies Section 5.6.4 to say that  
    /yang-library/modules/module  
is preferred over  
    /modules-state/module

## Update to RFC 8040

- Modifies Section 10.1 to say that  
    /yang-library/modules/module  
is preferred over  
    /modules-state/module

# Proposed Tree Diagram

```
+++ro yang-library
|   +++ro modules
|   |   +---ro module* [id]
|   |   |   +---ro id                string
|   |   |   +---ro name?             yang:yang-identifier
|   |   |   +---ro revision?        union
|   |   |   +---ro schema?          inet:uri
|   |   |   +---ro namespace        inet:uri
|   |   |   +---ro feature*         yang:yang-identifier
|   |   |   +---ro deviation* [name revision]
|   |   |   |   +---ro name          yang:yang-identifier
|   |   |   |   +---ro revision     union
|   |   |   +---ro conformance-type enumeration
|   |   |   +---ro submodule* [name revision]
|   |   |   |   +---ro name          yang:yang-identifier
|   |   |   |   +---ro revision     union
|   |   |   |   +---ro schema?     inet:uri
|   |   +---ro module-sets
|   |   |   +---ro module-set*
|   |   |   |   +---ro id?          string
|   |   |   |   +---ro module*     -> /yang-library/modules/module/id
|   |   +---ro datastores
|   |   |   +---ro datastore* [name]
|   |   |   |   +---ro name          identityref
|   |   |   |   +---ro properties
|   |   |   |   |   +---ro property* identityref
|   |   |   |   +---ro module-set? -> /yang-library/module-sets/module-set/id
|   +---ro modules-state
|   |   +--- <deprecated tree not shown>
```

# Flexibility Provided

- Servers can state how they support modules per datastore.
- NMDA-implementations can phase-in <operational> support on a module-by-module basis
  - NMDA-compatible servers may not support the <operational> view for a specific module on Day-1
    - Note: config false nodes don't count.
- NMDA-implementations may only support <operational> view for a specific module:
  - e.g. server only supports providing topology underlays (no overlays)

# More Flexibility

- Enables deviations & features per datastore
  - These MAY vary by datastore...
- Of course, all conventional datastores would point to the same 'module-set'
  - Hence there would no inconsistency between them...

Questions, comments, concerns?