


RADEXT WG


IETF 99

Agenda
Jul 19, 2017
1330-1500 (Karlin III)



Please join the Jabber room:
radext@jabber.ietf.org

Note Well



This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

The brief summary:

- ❖ **By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.**
- ❖ **If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.**
- ❖ **You understand that meetings might be recorded, broadcast, and publicly archived.**


For further information, talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review the following:

BCP 9 (on the Internet Standards Process)

BCP 25 (on the Working Group processes)


BCP 78 (on the IETF Trust)

BCP 79 (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF)




Agenda (1 of 2)

- Preliminaries (5 min)
 - Minute-taking (please via etherpad)
 - Jabber scribe
 - Agenda Bashing
- Document Status (5 min)
- Active WG draft discussion
 - Dynamic Authorization Proxying in Remote Authorization Dial-In User Service Protocol (RADIUS) [draft-ietf-radext-coa-proxy]



Agenda (2 of 2)


- Individual draft discussion
 - 256 packets in flight – discussion on various approaches 15 min
[draft-chen-radext-identifier-attr]
[draft-dekok-radext-request-authenticator]
 - Proxy Considerations 5 min
(Alan DeKok)
(no I-D)
- Open mic dicussion: The future of radext
- Wrap-Up



RADEXT Drafts (1 of 2)

*Changes since IETF97 noted in red


Document	Editor	Status	Next Step(s)
<i>RFC Published</i>			
RADIUS Extensions for IP Port Configuration and Reporting		RFC8045	
Data Types in RADIUS		RFC8044	
<i>In RFC Editor Queue</i>			
none			
<i>In IETF Last Call</i>			
none			
<i>IESG Processing</i>			
none			
<i>Awaiting Shepherd Write-up</i>			
Dynamic Authorization Proxying in Remote Authorization Dial-In User Service Protocol (RADIUS) draft-ietf-radext-coa-proxy-01	A. DeKok J. Korhonen	shepherd assigned, reviewed, new revision required	authors to produce -02
<i>In WGLC (at least once)</i>			
none			



RADEXT Drafts (2 of 2)


*Changes since IETF97 noted in red

Document	Editor	Status	Next Step(s)
<i>In progress WG items (active)</i>			
Considerations regarding the correct use of EAP-Response/Identity draft-ietf-radext-populating-eapidentity-01	S. Winter	-01 submitted 2016-07-08 stalled	drop?
<i>Proposals for New Work</i>			
RADIUS/TLS-bis (no draft yet)	J. Schaad	no draft, conceptual discussion stalled	drop or produce I-D?
RADIUS Extended Identifier Attribute draft-chen-radext-identifier-attr-01	E. Chen N. Shen	-01 submitted 2017-04-25	discuss today
Correlating requests and replies in the Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS) Protocol via the Request Authenticator draft-dekok-radext-request-authenticator-02	A. Dekok	-02 submitted 2017-06-16	discuss today
Proxy Considerations	A. Dekok	no I-D	Discuss today
<i>I-Ds outside charter</i>			
none			



Active WG draft discussion

- Dynamic Authorization Proxying in Remote Authorization Dial-In User Service Protocol (RADIUS) [draft-ietf-radext-coa-proxy]
 - Document completed WGLC, S. Winter started Write-Up
 - Content indeed mostly okay
 - But it must have escaped the WGLC scrutiny that there was an entire section with the sole content “Stuff”.
 - Authors to produce another rev. Can we rely on WG scrutiny? With so few active participants, processes are hard to keep up.




Individual draft discussion

- 256 packets in flight – discussion on various approaches
[draft-chen-radext-identifier-attr]
[draft-dekok-radext-request-authenticator]

15 min

- Proxy Considerations
(Alan DeKok)
(no I-D)

5 min



The future of radext

- Very few participants
- Very limited amounts of new work
- Significant protocol changes proposed

- Is this the time to close the WG?
- (Data point: dime just decided to close down, too)
- Would still love to get RADIUS/TLS out of Experimental

Feedback?

