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Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 8179.

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 8179 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
• Please state your name clearly before speaking at the microphone
• Audio streams and jabber
  – xmpp:rtgarea@jabber.ietf.org
• Routing Area mailing list
  – routing-discussion@ietf.org
• Routing Area wiki
  – http://trac.tools.ietf.org/area/rtg/trac/wiki/WikiStart
• Routing Directorate
  – http://trac.tools.ietf.org/area/rtg/trac/wiki/RtgDir
• Blue Sheets
  – Are now scanned and published
Document Review Request

Document quality relies on reviews, please make an effort to review documents in your working group and at least one other document from another working group.

If you’d like documents you care about reviewed, put the effort in to review other documents.

Please!
Feedback to ADs

• How are we doing?
• How can we do things better?
• What's broken with the area?
• What's working with the area?
Agenda

• Administrivia
• Working Group and BoF
• Open Discussion / Any other business
Area Status

• WG Status Changes
  – Closed: 0
  – Re-chartered: 0
  – New: 0

• IDentity Enabled Networks (ideas) BoF
  WEDNESDAY
  1330-1500 Afternoon Session I
  Congress Hall II

• New Chairs
  – No changes.
WG Distribution

Alia
- babel
- bier
- i2rs
- isis
- nvo3
- ospf
- rtgwg
- sfc
- trill

Alvaro
Guidelines for YANG module authors (NMDA)

The Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA) addresses the so-called "OpState problem" that has been the subject of much discussion in the IETF. NMDA is still in development, and there will be a transition period before NMDA solutions are universally available.

The NETMOD Datastore Design Team and the Routing Yang Architecture Design Team have worked with Alia and Benoit to create initial guidelines for how the NMDA, as defined in draft-ietf-netmod-revised-datastores, impacts Yang models. The draft-dsdt-nmda-guidelines individual draft was foundational in helping creating those guidelines.

It is our strong recommendation, as ADs with agreement from the NETMOD WG Chairs, that models SHOULD move as quickly as possible to the NMDA. The specific approach to be taken for models being developed now and during the NMDA transition period should be based on both the expected usage and the maturity of the data model.

1. New models and models that are not concerned with the operational state of configuration information SHOULD immediately be structured to be NMDA-compatible.

2. Models that require immediate support for "in use" and "system created" information SHOULD be structured for NMDA. Then derived versions of these models SHOULD be created, either by hand or with suitable tools, that follow the current modeling strategies. In some cases, the non-NMDA model may be an existing model and not derived from the NMDA model. In all cases, the NMDA and non-NMDA modules SHOULD be published in the same document, with NMDA modules in the document main body and the non-NMDA modules in an Appendix. The use of the non-NMDA model will allow temporary bridging of the time period until NMDA implementations are available. The non-NMDA module names should include ' -state' appended.
WG/BOF/RTGDIR REPORTS
OPEN DISCUSSION