# SACM Terminology

Henk Birkholz (<a href="https://henk.birkholz@fraunhofer.de">henk.birkholz@fraunhofer.de</a>),

Jarrett Lu (<a href="mailto:jarrett.lu@oracle.com">jarrett.lu@oracle.com</a>),

John Strassner (<a href="mailto:John.sc.Strassner@huawei.com">John.sc.Strassner@huawei.com</a>),

Nancy Cam-Winget (<a href="mailto:ncamwing@cisco.com">ncamwing@cisco.com</a>),

IETF 97, July 20th, Prague

## Housekeeping

- Continuing alignment with I2NSF Terminology
  - Capability, Role, Policy, etc.
- Better alignment with RFC4949
  - Subject is an issue!
  - Target Endpoint (Profile) was improved
  - The current remediation is clumsy, please chime in
- Endpoint Characteristics vs. Endpoint attributes
  - TOE definition in RFC4949 vs Initial SACM lingo
- Virtual Component was introduced on request

#### **Current Activities**

- A lot of new issues
- All quite relevant again, please chime in ©
- Example to be discussed in this session
  - Evaluation vs. Assessment
- Other issues to be discussed here right now?
- Attestation and corresponding terms were just spliced of into a separate document
  - I.D-birkholz-attestation-terminology

### Next Steps

- Splicing of Event Terminology
- Addressing new wave of issues (good!)
- Improving and generalizing terms due to lessons learned via the Hackathon
  - And incoming solution drafts
- Continuing to eliminate last nits in regard to RFC4949 to make it 100% commentary and an addition to the existing terms in regard to Security Automation for all domains

## Questions?