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Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Logistics

• Note taking

• Jabber

• Please say your name at the mike

• Please include "-tcpm-" in draft names
Agenda

• WG status: Chairs (10 min)
• Working group items
  – draft-ietf-tcpm-alternativebackoff-ecn: Naeem Khademi (10 min)
  – draft-ietf-tcpm-accurate-ecn: Bob Briscoe or Mirja Kuehlewind (15 min)
  – draft-bagnulo-tcpm-generalized-ecn: Marcelo Bagnulo or Bob Briscoe (15 min)
• Individual documents
  – draft-wang-tcpm-low-latency-opt: Wei Wang (20 min)
  – draft-touch-tcpm-2140bis: Safiqul Islam (15 min)
Status of Documents
Recent RFCs

• None

• draft-ietf-tcpm-dctcp
  – Approved by IESG
  – Authors work on comments

• draft-ietf-tcpm-cubic
  – Recent update after WGLC
  – Document shepherd: Yoshifumi
WG Documents (Active)

• draft-ietf-tcpm-alternativebackoff-ecn  (agenda item)
  – Recent update

• draft-ietf-tcpm-accurate-ecn  (agenda item)
  – Recent update

• draft-bagnulo-tcpm-generalized-ecn  (agenda item)
  – New WG document, draft-ietf to be submitted

• draft-ietf-tcpm-cubic
  – See previous slide
WG Documents (Active)

- **draft-ietf-tcpm-rack**
  - Ongoing experimentation

- **draft-ietf-tcpm-rfc793bis**
  - Update planned during IETF 99 week

- **draft-ietf-tcpm-rto-consider**
  - Feedback from last meeting to be taken into account

- **draft-ietf-tcpm-tcp-edo**
  - Ongoing experimentation
Appendix
TCP header bit 7 assignment

- TSVWG un-assignment by ecn-experimentation
- Re-assignment process options
  1) TCPM: accurate-ecn assigns flag to itself
     a) IESG needs to agree to process exception for assignment
     b) Document heads to PS instead of EXP
     c) TCPM PS to change the registration procedure to “IETF review”
        A. For registry covering all 6 bits (ECE, CWR, “NS”, and 3 reserved)
        B. Only for “NS” bit – would require change of IANA registry
  2) TSVWG: ecn-experimentation assigns flag to itself “Reserved for experiments” and says initially AccECN exp will use it exclusively
  3) TSVWG: ecn-experimentation assigns flag exclusively to AccECN
  4) Other?
     a) E.g. PS document assigns flag to experiments negotiation its use
     b) …