DTLS 1.3

draft-ietf-tls-dtls13-01

Eric RescorlaHannes TschofenigNagendra ModaduguMozillaARMGoogle

Reminder: ACKs

- DTLS historically used an implicit ACK
 - Receiving the start of the next flight means the flight was received
- Simple (but also simpleminded)
 - Slightly tricky to implement
 - Gives limited congestion feedback
 - Handles single-packet loss badly
- Interacts badly with some TLS 1.3 features (like NST)
- Solution: introduce an explicit ACK

Current proposal: SACK

- ACKs contain the sequence numbers of received records
 - From the current flight only
 - Senders need to maintain a map from *records* to *handshake messages*
 - Senders SHOULD NOT retransmit ACKed data and MUST
 NOT retransmit ACKed flights
- Separate record type, not a handshake record
 - MUST be sent with epoch >= than what's being ACKed
 - Sent with the current sending key
- Receiving the next flight is an implicit ACK

When should receivers ACK

- When receiving messages that don't have in-handshake responses
- When it looks like messages might have gotten lost
 - When you get an out-of-order record
 - When you get a partial record and don't get the rest "immediately"
- Not for non-handshake messages

Reduced Headers

- What can we remove?
 - Nonce
 - Content type and version (hopefully)
- Proposal (thanks to MT):

```
struct {
    uint16 epoch_sequence // format = 001eesss ssssssss
    uint16 length;
    opaque encrypted_record[length];
```

} DtlsHeader;

Connection IDs

- Lack of Connection IDs clearly a problem for NATs/IoT, etc.
- Connection IDs are also a clear privacy problem
 - Lots of proposals for how to do privacy preserving Conn IDs
 - ... but they're complicated and none of them seem totally baked
 - This seems like less of a privacy problem than with browsers (QUIC)
- Proposal: use a fixed connection ID for now
 - In an extension
 - We can always replace it later

Concrete proposal

```
struct {
   opaque connection_id<0..255>;
} ConnectionId;
struct {
   uint16 epoch_sequence // format = 001eesss ssssssss
   opaque connection_id[connection_id_length];
   uint16 length;
   opaque encrypted_record[length];
```

- } DtlsHeader;
- IDs are used if client offers and server answers
 - On all (non-0RTT)? encrypted records
- Each side *sends* with the other's ID
 - Because IDs are unframed, 0-length IDs are just omitted

Other issues?