

TSVAREA @ IETF-99

Mirja Kühlewind and Spencer Dawkins

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Agenda - Monday Afternoon Session III

- Administrativa
 - Note Well, Blue Sheets, Jabber Scribes, Agenda Bashing
 - TSV Overview and status - TSV ADs
- BoFs and PRGs
 - Path-aware networking Proposed Research group (PANPRG) - Brian (5 minutes)
- PASTE: Network Stacks Must Integrate with NVMM Abstractions
 - Michio Honda (20 minutes + 10 minutes questions)
- Open mic (10 minutes)

TSV Area Review Team (TSVART)

Thank you for serving, and for providing reviews (*# in parens*) since IETF 97

Adrian Farrel

Bernard Aboba

Bob Briscoe

Brian Trammell

Colin Perkins

David Black (1)

Fernando Gont

Jana Iyengar

Joe Touch (1)

Jörg Ott

Lixia Zang

Lou Berger

Magnus Westerlund (2)

Michael Scharf

Michael Tüxen

Nishida Yoshifumi (1)

Thanks!

Triage team - Allison Mankin, **Wes Eddy (1)**, and **Martin Stiemerling (2)**

TSV Working Groups

See <https://datatracker.ietf.org/group/all-status/> for status information!

- **ALTO**
- **AQM** (All docs approved; will be closed soon... really)
- **DTN** (Engaged in a fairly productive Custody battle - this is AD humor)
- **IPPM** (Recharter discussions that would cover adoption of “In-situ OAM”)
- **MPTCP** (Discussion on proxy work)
- **NFSv4** (Recharter discussions after a really productive couple of IETF cycles)
- **QUIC** (First Implementation Draft at IETF 99 Hackathon. AD comment is “wow” ...)
- **RMCAT** (Several docs are about to conclude)
- **TAPS** (Last two WG drafts past WGLC, moving deep into implementation/API space)
- **TCPINC** (All main docs done/publication requested)
- **TCPM**
- **TRAM** (Finishing up final deliverables - STUNbis and TURNbis)
- **TSVWG** (14 active WG drafts + 17 active related drafts. AD comment is “wow” ...)

TSV Documents since IETF-98

Approved

[draft-ietf-nfsv4-umask-03](#)

[draft-ietf-tcpm-dctcp-08](#)

[draft-ietf-aqm-code1-07](#)

RFC Editor Queue

[draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost-10](#)

[draft-ietf-ippm-6man-pdm-option-13](#)

RFCs Published

[RFC 8154](#) (was *draft-ietf-nfsv4-scsi-layout*)

[RFC 8155](#) (was *draft-ietf-tram-turn-server-discovery*)

[RFC 8166](#) (was *draft-ietf-nfsv4-rfc5666bis*)

[RFC 8167](#) (was *draft-ietf-nfsv4-rpcrdma-bidirection*)

[RFC 8178](#) (was *draft-ietf-nfsv4-versioning*)

[RFC 8186](#) (was *draft-ietf-ippm-twamp-time-format*)

Request for Feedback

The ADs were asked to announce that the authors of these drafts are looking for feedback from TSVAREA on these drafts.

They're intended to address issues with Media Delivery Index (MDI, RFC 4445).

<https://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ding-tcp-emdi-00.txt>

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-zheng-emdi-udp/>

They've asked on the TSV-AREA area list, with subject "Proposal for revising RFC4445 or make RFC4445bis"/ Please reply in that thread, if you have something to add

PASTE: Network Stacks Must Integrate with NVMM Abstractions

Michio Honda (20 minutes + 10 minutes questions)

Open Mike

What does TSV need to know?