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Agenda - Monday Afternoon Session III

- **Administrativa**
  - Note Well, Blue Sheets, Jabber Scribes, Agenda Bashing
  - TSV Overview and status - TSV ADs

- **BoFs and PRGs**
  - Path-aware networking Proposed Research group (PANPRG) - Brian (5 minutes)

- **PASTE: Network Stacks Must Integrate with NVMM Abstractions**
  - Michio Honda (20 minutes + 10 minutes questions)

- **Open mic (10 minutes)**
Thank you for serving, and for providing reviews (# in parens) since IETF 97

Adrian Farrel
Bernard Aboba
Bob Briscoe
Brian Trammell
Colin Perkins
David Black (1)
Fernando Gont
Jana Iyengar

Joe Touch (1)
Jörg Ott
Lixia Zang
Lou Berger
Magnus Westerlund (2)
Michael Scharf
Michael Tüxen
Nishida Yoshifumi (1)

Thanks!

Triage team - Allison Mankin, Wes Eddy (1), and Martin Stiemerling (2)
TSV Working Groups

See [https://datatracker.ietf.org/group/all-status/](https://datatracker.ietf.org/group/all-status/) for status information!

- **ALTO**
- **AQM** (All docs approved; will be closed soon… really)
- **DTN** (Engaged in a fairly productive Custody battle - this is AD humor)
- **IPPM** (Recharter discussions that would cover adoption of “In-situ OAM”)
- **MPTCP** (Discussion on proxy work)
- **NFSv4** (Recharter discussions after a really productive couple of IETF cycles)
- **QUIC** (First Implementation Draft at IETF 99 Hackathon. AD comment is “wow” …)
- **RMCAT** (Several docs are about to conclude)
- **TAPS** (Last two WG drafts past WGLC, moving deep into implementation/API space)
- **TCPINC** (All main docs done/publication requested)
- **TCPM**
- **TRAM** (Finishing up final deliverables - STUNbis and TURNbis)
- **TSVWG** (14 active WG drafts + 17 active related drafts. AD comment is “wow” …)
TSV Documents since IETF-98

Approved

draft-ietf-nfsv4-umask-03
draft-ietf-tcpm-dctcp-08
draft-ietf-aqm-codel-07

RFC Editor Queue

draft-ietf-alto-multi-cost-10
draft-ietf-ippm-6man-pdm-option-13

RFCs Published

RFC 8154 (was draft-ietf-nfsv4-scsi-layout)
RFC 8155 (was draft-ietf-tram-turn-server-discovery)
RFC 8166 (was draft-ietf-nfsv4-rfc5666bis)
RFC 8167 (was draft-ietf-nfsv4-rpocrinma-bidirection)
RFC 8178 (was draft-ietf-nfsv4-versioning)
RFC 8186 (was draft-ietf-ippm-twamp-time-format)
Request for Feedback

The ADs were asked to announce that the authors of these drafts are looking for feedback from TSVAREA on these drafts.

They’re intended to address issues with Media Delivery Index (MDI, RFC 4445).

https://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ding-tcp-emdi-00.txt

https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-zheng-emdi-udp/

They’ve asked on the TSV-AREA area list, with subject “Proposal for revising RFC4445 or make RFC4445bis”/ Please reply in that thread, if you have something to add
PASTE: Network Stacks Must Integrate with NVMM Abstractions
Michio Honda (20 minutes + 10 minutes questions)
Open Mike

What does TSV need to know?