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## DSCP for Lower Effort (LE)

RFC4594 specified LE using CS1 : 001000 Priority inversion with respect to BE (default)

Need a DSCP with 000 XXX
tsvwg-le-phb suggests DSCP 2 : 000010

Will this actually work in today's network?

## PATHscope Measurements

- Tool created packets with increasing TTL
- Captured ICMP type 11 messages
- Measurements from 3 Digital Ocean vantage points to 300 targets randomly chosen from Alexa Top 1 Million list,
 using both TCP \& UDP


## DSCP 2 may not be a good choice

Bleaching of DiffServ upper 3 bits (ToS bleaching)

| AF11, AF21, |
| :--- |
| AF31, AF41 |
| AF12, AF22, |
| AF32, AF42, VA |
| AF13, AF23, |
| AF33, AF43, EF |


| XXX 010 | $\rightarrow$ | 000010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X X X 100$ | $\rightarrow$ | 000100 |
| $X X X 110$ | $\rightarrow$ | 000110 |


| DSCP 2 |
| :---: |
| DSCP 4 |
| DSCP 6 |

View from Digital Ocean data (900 unique source-destination pairs) 17\% of paths modified the DSCP $10 \%$ reset upper 3 bits (ToS bleach)

Many common classes would be mapped to LE!

## View from access networks



Edgetrace 177 paths from different access networks: 16\% ToS Bleaching

Pathscope tests for 9202 unique source-destination pairs in European mobile networks (MONROE):
Router pathologies of 705 routers:
4.7\% ToS bleach

## Q \& A

Acknowledgments
PATHscope

## EU H2020 MONROE

(http̣s://www.monroe-projeect.eu/)
Edgetrace
(https://github.com/uoaerg/edgetrace)
EU H2020 NEAT
(https://www.neat-project.org/)

## Example

Suppose flow chooses AF2X PHB group and BE:
AF21, AF22, AF23, BE

In a local DS network, AF2X are mapped to > default After ToS bleaching:

AF21 becomes mapped to LE (DSCP2) $B E, A F 23, A F 24$ is mapped to default PHB?

This is not good: "i.e., when resources become scarce, best-effort traffic has precedence over LE traffic and may preempt it" - priority inversion

