

Constrained RESTful Environments WG (core)

Chairs:

Cullen Jennings <fluffy@cisco.com>

Carsten Bormann <cabo@tzi.org>

Mailing List:

core@ietf.org

Jabber:

core@jabber.ietf.org

- **We assume people have read the drafts**
- **Meetings serve to advance difficult issues by making good use of face-to-face communications**
- **Be aware of the IPR principles, according to RFC 3979 and its updates**

- ✓ Blue sheets
- ✓ Scribe(s)

Milestones (from WG charter page)

<http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/core/charter/>

Document submissions to IESG:

- **Apr 2010** Select WG doc for basis of CoAP protocol
- **Dec 2010** 1 CoAP spec with mapping to HTTP REST submitted to IESG as PS
- **Dec 2010** 2 Constrained security bootstrapping spec submitted to IESG as PS
- **Jan 2011** Recharter to add things reduced out of initial scope

Draft name

Drafts

Rev.

Dated

Status

Comments, Issues

<http://tools.ietf.org/wg/core/>

Active:

[draft-ietf-core-coap](#)

[-01](#)

2010-07-08

[Active](#)

 8/23

Related Active Documents (not working group documents):

(To see all core-related documents, go to [core-related drafts in the ID-archive](#))

[draft-bormann-coap-misc](#)

[-06](#)

new

2010-08-24

[draft-bormann-core-coap-block](#)

[-00](#)

new

2010-08-24

[draft-braun-core-compressed-ipfix](#)

[-01](#)

2010-03-07

[draft-eggert-core-congestion-control](#)

[-00](#)

2010-06-23

[draft-hartke-coap-observe](#)

[-02](#)

new

2010-08-24

[draft-martocci-6lowapp-building-applications](#)

[-01](#)

2010-07-08

[draft-moritz-6lowapp-dpws-enhancements](#)

[-01](#)

2010-06-16

[draft-oflynn-core-bootstrapping](#)

[-01](#)

2010-07-12

[draft-rahman-core-sleeping](#)

[-00](#)

2010-06-29

[draft-shelby-core-coap](#)

[-01](#)

2010-05-10

replaced by [draft-ietf-core-coap](#)

[draft-shelby-core-coap-req](#)

[-01](#)

2010-04-20

[draft-tolle-core-ebhttp](#)

[-00](#)

2010-03-23

[draft-vanderstok-core-bc](#)

[-01](#)

2010-07-11

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)


Moving to coap-02

Z. Shelby

Tickets for -02

| Ticket | Title | Type | Priority | Status |
|---------------|--|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| #6 | Date option text needs update | defect | trivial | Drop? |
| #18 | Error on critical option clarification | enhancement | trivial | OK |
| #19 | Clarification about PUT | enhancement | trivial | OK |
| #20 | Proxying clarification | enhancement | major | OK |
| #21 | Resource discovery changes | enhancement | critical | OK, new draft to be written |
| #22 | Security Section | enhancement | major | Need work! |
| #23 | Virtual server capability? | defect | minor | Discuss |
| #24 | Clarification about repeated options | defect | minor | Discuss |

CoAP document relations



core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

draft-hartke-coap-observe-02


- **Consolidated, adapted to coap-01**
- **Some additional recommendations on when to use NON and when to use CON notifications**
- **Removed excessive rationale**
 - **(which remains in the archives)**
- **Ready for adoption as a WG document**

- **(Rest of slides are backup for potential discussion)**

Observing Resources in CoAP


Klaus Hartke

Resources



The state of a resource can change over time. We want to observe this!

Subject/Observer Design Pattern



We can model resources as subjects!
Observers are notified whenever the state of the resource changes.

Implementing the Design Pattern in CoAP

Model resources as subjects

Observers are notified whenever the state of the resource changes

RESTful:

Observable resources are identified by URIs

Observers are notified by exchange of resource state representations

Messages are self-describing

Hypermedia as the engine of application state:

A server premediates application state transitions by providing links in resources


UDP-based:

Subscription and notifications are implemented by the exchange of messages

These messages arrive out of order, appear duplicated, or go missing without notice

coap-01 introduces transaction layer (CON/NON/ACK/RST)

Implementing the Design Pattern in CoAP



(confirmable)
 GET
 Uri-Path: /sensors/temperature
 Subscription-Lifetime: 60s


(acknowledgement)
 200 OK
 Content-Type: text/xml
 Subscription-Lifetime: 60s
 <temperature value="22.0 °C" />

(non-confirmable)
 200 OK
 Uri-Path: /sensors/temperature
 Content-Type: text/xml
 Subscription-Lifetime: 30s
 <temperature value="22.1 °C" />

(confirmable)
 200 OK
 Uri-Path: /sensors/temperature
 Content-Type: text/xml
 Subscription-Lifetime: 10s
 <temperature value="21.9 °C" />

(acknowledgement)
 Ø

Caching



(confirmable)
 GET
 Uri-Path: /sensors/temperature
 Subscription-Lifetime: 60s

(confirmable)
 GET
 Uri-Path: /sensors/temperature
 Subscription-Lifetime: 60s
 → Etag: 0xdb21ada4

(acknowledgement)
 200 OK
 Content-Type: text/xml
 Subscription-Lifetime: 60s
 Etag: 0xdb21ada4

(acknowledgement)
 200 OK
 Content-Type: text/xml
 Subscription-Lifetime: 60s
 Etag: 0x22bd01c4


(confirmable)
 304 Not Modified
 Uri-Path: /sensors/temperature
 Etag: 0xdb21ada4
 Subscription-Lifetime: 10s

<temperature value="22.1 °C" />

<temperature value="21.9 °C" />

(acknowledgement)
 ∅


Proxying



Multiple observers


option 1

simply subscribe multiple observers to a resource



option 3


subscribe an IPv6 multicast group to a resource



(confirmable)
GET
Uri-Path: /sensors/temperature
Subscription-Lifetime: indefinite
Reply-To: [ffxx::xxxx]:61616

option 2

subscribe multiple observers to an intermediary node that maintains a single subscription to a resource



Summary

RESTful sub/not mechanism based on well-known design pattern

Observing resources is fun!

Once you start looking for observable things, you see them everywhere!

All prerequisites already in coap-01

Concrete proposal that works well with caching, proxying and many observers

Running code

2 servers & 3 client implementations

Next steps

Nail down exact semantics of Subscription-Lifetime option

Check interactions with other CoAP features

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

The block option

- Some resource representations are > MTU bytes
- Transfer in blocks

```

0
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|blocknr|M| szx |
+---+---+---+---+---+

```

M: More Blocks

szx: \log_2 Blocksize - 4

```

0                               1
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           block nr           |M| szx |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

```

0                               1                               2
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           block nr           |M| szx |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

Decisions:

- Block size is power of 2
- $16 \leq \text{Block size} \leq 2048$

The block option vs. methods

- **GET: trivial**
 - Receiver: watch Etag to obtain parts of same resource repr.
 - Also works for asynchronous responses (subscriptions)
 - initiative is with responder, then!
- **PUT, POST: trigger actual update on M=0**
 - manage parallel operations based on token option
- **Block is CRITICAL**

draft-bormann-core-coap-block-00

- **Extracted from coap-misc**
- **Adapted to coap-01**

- **Ready for adoption as a WG document**

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |


All times are UTC

Token

- **Provide a way to relate a response to a request**
 - **beyond single-transaction TID**
- **Token is CRITICAL**

Duration Data Type (1)

- Many Options need a Duration (length of timespan)
- Resolution mostly 1 second
- can use variable-length integer
- often, there is no need for this complexity



Duration Data Type (2)

- **Extremely easy to decode**
- `#define DECODE_8_4(r) (r < HIBIT ? r : (r & MMASK) << (r & EMASK))`
- **Reasonably easy to encode**
 - two directions of rounding
- **Range: 0..127 s exact, 128 s .. 84d 22:53:52 s (-12.5 %)**
 - Do we need more than 12 weeks?
- **Reserve 0xFF for “indefinite”**

Uri-Authority-Binary

- **IPv4, IPv6 IID, or IPv6 address (default: dest. address)**
- **optional port number (default: dest. port)**
- **detect which it is by length**
 - **2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 16, 18**

Payload Length

- **CoAP assumes known datagram length**
 - no need to explicitly give payload length
- **How to aggregate multiple messages in one packet?**
 - do explicitly give payload length
- **Payload-Length is CRITICAL**

Accept Option

- **What media type would I want to get?**
- **Cf. Accept: in HTTP**
- **Option value: sequence of bytes, each byte is a Content-Type**

- **Accept is ELECTIVE**

- **Alternative: repeatable Content-Type**
- **Alternative: just one Content-Type**
- **Alternative: just take what the server has**

TeRIs

- **URI encoding schemes not very useful (25 % gain)**
- **Better: Provide shorter, temporary RIs**
 - e.g., in a block transfer: provide TeRI with block 0
- **TeRI: 1 byte duration (lifetime), n bytes identifier**
- **TeRI is ELECTIVE**
 - **Oops**

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

Sleeping and Multicast Considerations for CoAP

Akbar Rahman
Juan Carlos Zúñiga
Guang Lu

IETF 78, July 2010

<http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-rahman-core-sleeping-00>



Introduction



- We further analyze the following CoAP requirements related to “sleeping nodes” and “multicast”:
 - REQ 3: The ability to deal with sleeping nodes. Devices may be powered off at any point in time but periodically “wake up” for brief periods of time.
 - REQ 4: Protocol must support the caching of recent resource requests, along with caching subscriptions to sleeping nodes.
 - REQ 9: CoAP will support a non-reliable IP multicast message to be sent to a group of Devices to manipulate a resource on all the Devices simultaneously. The use of multicast to query and advertise descriptions must be supported, along with the support of unicast responses.



Figure 1- Use Case of Originating CoAP Transaction and Sleeping Node







Figure 2- Use Case of Terminating CoAP Transaction and Sleeping Node




Further considerations for Sleeping Nodes



- What format should the sleeping schedule be in? And how do the nodes synchronize?
- Wireless technologies typically support procedures for the above:
 - For example, the proposed 802.15.4e draft supports detailed PHY/MAC layer procedures for synchronization
 - So, one approach for CoAP could be to leverage and extend upon the PHY/MAC layer synchronization (e.g. for the CoAP layer in the Proxy to have an API to these lower layers to retrieve the required information)

Figure 3- Multicast Problem Scenario



Further considerations for Multicast



- What would the URI look like that the client uses on the proxy?
 - “Draft-vanderstok-core-bc” provides some good insights
- How would the proxy relay back the multitude of responses?
- How would overall congestion control work?
- What happens if some of the CoAP nodes are sleeping?

Conclusions (1/2)

- For CoAP to handle sleeping nodes:
 - If the proxy node has an updated schedule for each sleep node
 - Then the proxy node can more optimally buffer responses destined for sleeping nodes as well as service incoming requests on behalf of sleeping nodes via intercept caching

Conclusions (2/2)

- For CoAP to handle multicast:
 - HTTP runs on TCP in the general Internet
 - And IP multicast does not support TCP
 - The proxy node in the constrained network needs to have functionality to support interworking between multicast (in the constrained network) and unicast (in the Internet)

Next Steps

- If the WG agrees, then we can update our draft to move beyond the problem statement stage and move into the detailed solutions for both sleeping node and multicast support for CoAP
 - E.G. For the scenarios of CoAP-HTTP inter-working

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

What is CORE chartered to do?

- Security, particularly **keying of new Devices**, is very challenging [...]. The WG will work to select approaches to **security bootstrapping** which are realistic [...]. To ensure that **any two nodes can join** together, all nodes must implement at least one **universal bootstrapping method**.
- Security can be achieved using either **session security or object security**. For both object and session security, the WG will work with the security area to select appropriate security framework and protocol as well as selecting a minimal required to implement cipher suite. CoAP will **initially** look at **CMS** (RFC 5652), **TLS/DTLS**, and **EAP**.

Bootstrapping

Colin O'flynn

Behcet Sarikaya (presenter)

Robert Cragie

Overview

- Definition of Bootstrapping
- Problems Faced
- Existing Solutions
- Proposed Framework
- Fitting In with CoAP

Bootstrapping – What is it?

- The magic that takes a network from a box of nodes to a fully functioning network

Bootstrapping – What is it not?

- Does not replace service or resource discovery
 - Bootstrapping is finished when normal network operation can begin, at which point service or resource discovery can occur

Bootstrapping – Problems

- Merging Networks
 - If a node is already on a network, and the user wishes this node to join another network, what happens?
- Node Mobility
- Resource Constraints
 - Computational, Power, Size, and Price
- User Interface
 - Wide range of nodes: from full graphical LCD to no user interface
- Security

Existing Solutions

- Examples of solutions to these problems exist in several standards, such as :
 - WiFi Protected Setup (WPS)
 - Bluetooth
 - Wireless USB
- Typically defined for too narrow an application-space for CoRE though. As CoRE nodes span the range from:
 - Tiny parasitic power devices to wall-powered nodes
 - 8-bit microcontrollers to 32-bit processors
 - Low to High security requirements (ie: light switch vs. smart meter)

Proposed Architecture

- **Communications Channel:** Used during normal network operation (e.g.: 802.15.4)
 - **Control Channel:** Used for bootstrapping only
- **Supported Channels:** IEEE 802.15.4, Power-line Communications, IRDA, RFID, Simple physical link, cellular, Ethernet, IPv6
- **User Interface:** Defines what the user controls the node with (e.g.: pushbutton, keyboard)
- **Bootstrap Profile:** Defines information exchanged during bootstrapping (e.g.: channel settings, encryption keys)

Proposed Architecture

- **Security Method:** Defines supported security methods for bootstrapping
- **Available Methods:**
 - None
 - EAP Methods, e.g. EAP TLS v1.2, etc.
 - Asymmetric with User Authentication, Followed by Symmetric
 - Asymmetric with Certificate Authority, Followed by Symmetric
 - Cryptographically Generated Address Based Address Ownership Verification

Proposed Architecture

- **Bootstrap Protocol:** Actual messages exchanged for bootstrapping
- The protocol is likely a wrapper on existing authentication functions, e.g. EAP
- Bootstrap protocol will negotiate allowable standards between nodes
 - When a TV is joining a remote control, the protocol must understand that the remote control has very limited resources even though TV may have a complex interface available

Fitting in with CoAP

- Bootstrapping requires input from other layers to work!
 - User needs to select networks/nodes to join
 - Node may automatically join networks based on available services
 - Bootstrapping should NOT duplicate service discovery, but work with the proper layers / standards
- Bootstrapping difficult to implement “cleanly”

Next Steps

- Feedback from requirements of different users, e.g. Zigbee IPSTACK group
- Decide on standards which bootstrapping will use
- Fit bootstrapping and CoAP together
- Finish the documentation as an architecture document
- Bootstrapping solution document in the next stage

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

CoAP Utilization for Building Control

draft-vanderstok-core-bc-01

Peter van der Stok
Kerry Lynn

July 28, 2010



Motivating questions

- Grouping of nodes
- Service/Resource discovery
- Handling of legacy
- Size of uri
- Battery-less devices
- Multicast specification



Grouping of nodes

Logical groups coincide with hierarchical building structure

- Lights in a room (activated by PIR)
- Convectors at a floor (controlled by floor temperature)
- On/Off switching in building (controlled by clock)

Example authorities

- //all.bldg6
- //all.west.bldg6
- //all.floor1.west.bldg6
- //all.bu036.floor1.west.bldg6

Service/resource discovery

Nodes are grouped. Not resources

- all resources on a node belong to groups to which node belongs

One coap service assumed per node

Use DNS-SD to discover the coap service

Use DNS-SD to discover to coap service groups

A node returns its resources according to coap resource discovery

Equivalent with BACnet Who-is and Who has.

Groups/names are building/owner specific

Resource naming requires standardization for interoperability

Handling of legacy

Silos use their individual networking standards:
DALI, BACnet, LONtalk, KNX, Zigbee Device Objects (ZDO), etc.

Assumed phased introduction of CoAP to building control:

1. Phase 1: CoAP transports legacy standard
2. Phase 2: CoAP transports building control naming standard

Phase 1 example

| | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| DALI command: Switch on | CoAP message Confirmable PUT method Mime type: /application/DALI DALI Switch on | Unpack message DALI invoked Light switched on |
|----------------------------|--|---|

Size of uri

Authority of URI is resolved to single a unicast or multicast address, plus port.

Path specifies resource: standard dependent (e.g. single 16 bit value)

Battery-less device




Battery less node sends at (ir)regular intervals, and sleeps



actuator node is always on and receives




controller node, is always on, receives and redistributes



Multicast scope

From battery-less:
Non confirmable
Put



Multicast specification

Scope defined by group (hierarchical building structure)

Specification:

- Validity
- Integrity
- Agreement
- Timeliness
- Ordering?

core WG call 2010-08-25: Agenda

| | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|
| 15:00 | Webex wrangling, Agenda, Status | Chairs (10) |
| 15:10 | 1 – core CoAP | ZS (10) |
| 15:20 | 1 – Subscription option (-observe) | KH (5) |
| 15:25 | 1 – Block option (-block) | CB (10) |
| 15:35 | 1 – coap-misc | CB (20) |
| 15:55 | 1 – Sleeping Nodes | AR (15) |
| 16:10 | 2 – Bootstrap approach | BS (15) |
| 16:25 | 1/2 – CoAP Usage | PV (15) |
| 16:40 | Planning | Chairs (5) |
| 17:00 | End of call | |

All times are UTC

Do we want to do this again?

- **Proposal: Last Wednesday of a month**
 - (Exception: December 15)