

RIB Yang Model (Clarifications)

Lixing (Viviene) Wang

Amit Dass, Hariharan

Ananthakrishnan

Comparison of draft-i2rs-rib-yang-model and draft--ietf-netmod-model

1. High level differences between the drafts
2. Unique features for I2RS RIB
3. Differences draft-i2rs-rib-yang-model and draft-i2rs-ietf-netmod-rtg-config-16
 - . Routing instance (3.1)
 - . Route (3.2)
 - . NextHops (3.3)
 - . Notifications (3.4)
 - . Capabilities (3.5)
4. Summary of Proposed changes
 - . I2RS RIB IM/DM
 - . Netconf protocol

1. High level differences between the drafts

draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16

consists of three YANG modules:

- ietf-routing
- ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing
- ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing

draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model

The draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model is a Yang module of universal rib:

- IPv4/IPv6-unicast-routing
- IPv4/IPv6-multicast-routing
- MPLS
- L2/L3 VPN
- Tunnel based
- mac of route and nexthop

3. draft-wang-i2rs-data-model compared with draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16

1. I2RS RIB does not contain a default RIB – Should it?
2. I2RS Route contains match for IPv4, IPv6, MPLS, MAC and interface route – should draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16 be expanded to include these?
3. I2RS RIB DM contains a recursive next-hop structure based on adopted RIB IM?
 - . I2RS nexthop is a complex structure cannot be supported in netconf/restconf 1.1, but requires experimental draft (RFC 6095)
 - . Draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16 nexthop contains an IP address,
4. Notifications for route change and nexthop change
 - . draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16 does not have notification
 - . Pub-sub (draft-voit-i2rs-pub-sub-requirements) is just being proposed in netconf.
 - . Do we allow pub-sub or notification or both?
5. Capability notification
 1. Negotiation of client-agent capabilities allows client-agent to know what simple-complex features are being used by the I2RS client-agent exchange

3.1 Comparisons: routing-instance

The routing-instances defined in these two draft is similar excepting the default-ribs.

- “ Default RIBs were not included in the I2RS Info Model
- “ Should Default RIBs changes be included in the I2RS Info model?
- “ Default RIBS are also need in the Policy Based Routing RIB

routing-instance top YANG in draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model:

```
+--rw routing-instance-list* [instance-name]
  +--rw instance-name string
  +--rw interface-list* [name]
    | +--rw name if:interface-ref
  +--rw-id? Yang:dotted-quad
  +--rw rib-list* [rib-name]
```

routing-instance YANG in draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16

```
+--rw routing
  +--rw routing-instance* [name]
    | +--rw name string
    | +--rw type? identityref
    | +--rw enabled? boolean
    | +--rw router-id? yang:dotted-quad
    | +--rw description? string
    | +--rw default-ribs {multiple-ribs}?
    | | +--rw default-rib* [address-family]
    | | +--rw address-family identityref
    | | +--rw rib-name string
    | +--rw interfaces
    | | +--rw interface* [name]
    | | +--rw name if:interface-ref
    | +--rw routing-protocols
  +--rw ribs
  +--rw route-filters
    +--rw route-filter* [name]
```

3.2 Comparisons: route

Route:

- In draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16 prefix match: IPv4 and IPv6 destination prefix
- In **draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model** – prefix match IPv4, MPLS, MAC, and Interface prefixes with destination only or destination-source address .

route top YANG in draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model

```
+--rw route-list* [route-index]
  +--rw route-index          uint64
  +--rw route-type           route-type-def
  +--rw (rib-route-type)?
  | +--:(ipv4)
  | +--:(ipv6)
  | +--:(mpls-route)
  | +--:(mac-route)
  | +--:(interface-route)
  +--rw nexthop-list* [nexthop-list-index]
  ...
+--ro route-state?          route-state-def
  +--ro route-installed-state? route-installed-state-def
  +--ro route-reason?       route-reason-def
  +--rw route-preference     uint32
  +--rw local-only           boolean
  +--rw address-family-route-attributes
```

route top YANG in draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16

```
+--rw route* [destination-prefix]
  +--rw destination-prefix  inet:ipv4-prefix
  +--rw description?       string
  +--rw next-hop
  +--rw (simple-or-list)?
  +--:(multipath-entry)
  ...
  +--:(simple-next-hop)
  +--rw (next-hop-options)
  +--:(simple-next-hop)
  | +--rw outgoing-interface? leafref
  +--:(special-next-hop)
  | +--rw special-next-hop?  enumeration
  +--:(next-hop-address)
  +--rw next-hop-address?   inet:ipv4-address
```

3.3 Comparisons: nexthop

“ Draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model includes the following types of nexthops

- . unicast nexthops,
- . tunnel nexthops
- . replication list,
- . Weighted lists,
- . protection lists,
- . nexthop chains,
- . lists of lists,
- . indirect nexthops.

“ All types of nexthops

- . Defined in one module with case to support:
- . ipv4 ,ipv6 , mac ,mpls, l2vpn, l3vpn, tunnel .

“ The Draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16 includes:

- . outgoing-interface or indirect nexthop
- . nexthop is defined in different IPV4 and IPV6 address family module.

3.3 Comparisons: nexthop

nexthop top YANG in draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model

```

+--:(normal-nexthop)
+--rw (nexthop-member-or-list-of-list)?
  +--:(one-nexthop-list-member)
  | +--rw (nexthop-chain-or-identifier)?
  | | +--:(nexthop-chain)
  | | | +--rw nexthop-chain
  | | | +--rw nexthop-chain-identifier
  | | | +--rw nexthop* [nexthop-index]
  | | | +--rw nexthop-index uint32
  | | | +--rw (next-hop-options)?
  | | | +--:(nexthop-identifier-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(egress-interface-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(ipv4-address-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(ipv6-address-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(egress-interface-ipv4-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(egress-interface-ipv6-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(egress-interface-mac-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(logical-tunnel-next-hop)
  | | | +--:(tunnel-encap-next-hop)
  | | | +--rw tunnel-encap
  | | | +--rw (nexthop-second-encap-or-not)?
  | | | | +--:(nexthop-second-encap)
  | | | | +--rw (nexthop-third-encap-or-not)?
  | +--rw nexthop-chain-identifier
  | +--ro nexthop-state          nexthop-state-def
  | +--rw priority?            enumeration
  | +--rw weight?              uint8
+--:(nexthop-list-of-list)

```

Recursive
Complex
structure

nexthop top YANG in draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16

```

+--ro next-hop-lists
  | +--ro next-hop-list* [id]
  | | +--ro id          uint64
  | | +--ro address-family identityref
  | | +--ro next-hop*
  | | | +--ro (next-hop-options)
  | | | | +--:(next-hop-list)
  | | | | | +--ro next-hop-list?  next-hop-list-ref
  | | | | | +--:(use-rib)
  | | | | | | +--ro use-rib?      rib-state-ref
  | | | | | +--:(simple-next-hop)
  | | | | | | +--ro outgoing-interface? leafref
  | | | | | +--:(special-next-hop)
  | | | | | | +--ro special-next-hop? enumeration
  | | | +--ro priority?          enumeration
  | | | +--ro weight?            uint8

```

Simpler
Structure

3.4 Comparisons: Notifications

- “ **draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model**
 - . RIB IM mode and RIB Data Model include asynchronous notifications which are sent by the I2RS agent to an I2RS client when some event triggers on the network device.
 - . MUST support two types of asynchronous notifications: route change and nexthop change

- “ **draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16** doesn't include notification
 - . Pub-sub: Draft-voit-i2rs-pub-sub-requirements-00 presented in netconf (12/15/2014)
 - . Notification not shown

- “ **Suggestion by design team:**
 - . Use groupings like the OSPF/ISIS augment `"/igp:igp-link-event" {`
 - uses `isis-topology-type`;
 - uses `isis-link-attributes`; }
}

notifications top YANG in draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model

```
+---n nexthop-resolution-status-change
| +--ro nexthop-chain-identifier
| | +--ro (nexthop-identifier-type)?
| | | +--:(nexthop-name)
| | | | +--ro nexthop-name string
| | | +--:(nexthop-id)
| | | | +--ro nexthop-id uint32
| +--ro nexthop* [nexthop-index]
| | +--ro nexthop-index uint32
| | +--ro (next-hop-options)?
| | | +--:(nexthop-identifier-next-hop)
| | | +--:(egress-interface-next-hop)
| | | | +--ro outgoing-interface string
| | | +--:(ipv4-address-next-hop)
| | | | +--ro next-hop-ipv4-address inet:ipv4-address
| | | | +--ro ipv4-rib-name? string
| | | +--:(ipv6-address-next-hop)
| | | | +--ro next-hop-ipv6-address inet:ipv6-address
| | | | +--ro ipv6-rib-name? string
| | | +--:(egress-interface-ipv4-next-hop)
| | | | +--ro next-hop-egress-interface-ipv4-address
| | | | | +--ro outgoing-interface string
| | | | | +--ro next-hop-egress-ipv4-address inet:ipv4-address
| | | +--:(egress-interface-ipv6-next-hop)
| | | | +--ro next-hop-egress-interface-ipv6-address
| | | | | +--ro outgoing-interface string
| | | | | +--ro next-hop-egress-ipv6-address inet:ipv4-address
| | | +--:(egress-interface-mac-next-hop)
| | | | +--ro next-hop-egress-interface-mac-address
| | | | | +--ro outgoing-interface string
| | | | | +--ro ieee-mac-address uint32
| | +--:(logical-tunnel-next-hop)
```

3.5 Comparisons: Capabilities

“ Draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model include RIB capability negotiation

- . Nexthop capacities
- . Nexthop tunnel encap capacity.

“ **Capability negotiation has been used successfully with BGP**

- . BGP defaults to simple (IPv4 with simple next hop), and uses capabilities to negotiate more
- . I2RS client-agent can use to go from simple to complex with each model
- . Default capability could be IPv4 and IPv6 and nexthop found in draft-ietf-netmod-routing-cfg-16

the next-hop-capacity and the nexthop-tunnel-encap-capacity top YANG in draft-wang-i2rs-rib-data-model

```
+--rw nexthop-capacity
| +--rw support-tunnel?    boolean
| +--rw support-chains?    boolean
| +--rw support-list-of-list? boolean
| +--rw support-replication? boolean
| +--rw support-weighted?  boolean
| +--rw support-protection? boolean
| +--rw lookup-limit?      uint8
+--rw nexthop-tunnel-encap-capacity
| +--rw support-ipv4?    boolean
| +--rw support-ipv6?    boolean
| +--rw support-mpls?    boolean
| +--rw support-gre?     boolean
| +--rw support-vxlan?   boolean
| +--rw support-nvgre?   boolean
```

Suggested changes

“ RIB I2RS IM/DM

- . Capabilities specify capabilities beyond very simple set
- . Determine if IPv4, IPv6, MPLS, Ethernet and Interface are simple or complex matches

“ Netconf needs

- . RPC from agent to client for the route change,
- . Support notification and pub/sub features

“ netmod-routing-cfg

- . Add via augment more state for route and nexthop state
- . Add statistics via augment for route and nexthop state or changes
- . Support both notification and pub/sub features
- . Determine common group typing that can be used for notifications

Q & A