

Where Are We?



- IETF submitted our transition proposal in January 2015
- Other components for the transition became ready this summer
- The coordination group (ICG) composed a combined plan, and sent it for public review (to end Sept. 8th)
- It is important to make comments on the public review process (even if you just say you support it)

Purpose of Discussion in the IANAPLAN WG



- We of course stand by our proposal, which is unchanged by the ICG
- The ICG proposal highlights some areas of interaction between the three proposals - anything missed, or anything to comment on those?
- Current thinking is that we are supportive of the proposal and the parts relevant for us work for us
- The IAB and IANA program will be submitting feedback as part of the public comment period, any input from the WG to those?

Non-Goals



- Discuss specifics of the other proposals, outside areas of interaction with our work
 - The public comment period is the right place to make comments on those
- Discuss implementation details of the plan
 - There will be time for this later

Ongoing and Future Implementation Steps



1. Updated IANA SLA between IETF and ICANN
 - On hold until transition approved
 - IETF does not expect any new negotiation around this — we are adding the IANAPLAN requirements to the SLA, it is just that final signing has been postponed
 - Current SLA applies in the meantime

Ongoing and Future Implementation Steps



2. IPR arrangements (trademark, domain)

- IETF plan decided to not have any requirements on these
- CRISP plan had a requirement on an independent entity (e.g., IETF Trust) to hold them
- CWG seems to be accepting to work under that requirement as well
- Details to be worked out — IETF Trust and RIRs have had discussions about possible forms of this, as has CWG. Will take months, the community will see draft ideas when we have something reasonable to present.

Back to the Purpose of This Discussion



But those are future implementation steps — the current issue is the combined proposal in front of us:

- The ICG proposal highlights some areas of interaction between the three proposals - anything missed, or anything to comment on those?
 - IPR issue is implementation, as long as all can accept an independent entity to hold the IPR
 - Post-Transition-IANA (PTI) is a new structure. Current thinking is that IETF and RIRs will continue to contract with ICANN, nor do we at this time plan to provide people to participate various internal roles at PTI; we believe our existing interaction and accountability mechanisms are sufficient.
- Current thinking is that we are supportive of the proposal and the parts relevant for us work for us
- The IAB and IANA program will be submitting feedback as part of the public comment period, any input from the WG to those?