

RTC Chair summary slides

Susan Hares

[This is a place holder slide]

RTC Drafts

1) draft-ietf-rtc-no-rtc (WG LC 5/22 to 6/5 in progress)

Type of Draft: Administrative

Original Route Targets: VRFs configured with RTs,

- UPDATES of certain AFI/SAFIs (“VPN address families”) Always carry RTs distributes
- Distributed to “all” PEs, Receiving PE uses RT to map updates to VRFs

RTC – Announce what you are interested in

Problem: MDT-SAFI did not carry RTs (RT’s added later), MCAST MVPNs do not need RTs

Summary:

- • Compromise solution: establish default behaviors
- • For MDT-SAFI and MCAST-VPN: No RTs, No RTC filters
- • Everything else: original behavior (Send RT with VRF, RTC filters)
- • New AFI/SAFIs: get original behavior unless specified otherwise

Next steps: Adopt unless someone has a better idea

RTC Drafts

2) draft-litkowski-idr-rtc-interas (WG adoption call 5/31 to 6/14)

<http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-litkowski-idr-rtc-interas/>

Type of Draft: Proposed Standard

Summary:

Next Step: Adopt as WG draft

RTC Drafts

3)draft-ietf-idr-legacy-rtc-05 (WG draft)

<http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-idr-legacy-rtc/>

Type of Draft: Proposed Standard

Summary:

Next step:

RTC Drafts

4) draft-ietf-idr-rtc-hierarchical-rr-00 (WG draft)

<http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-idr-rtc-hierarchical-rr/>

Summary:

Next Steps: