

# SACM Vulnerability Assessment Scenario

SACM Virtual Interim Meeting

06/15/2016

# Agenda

- Status
- Summary of resolved issues
- Open issues
- Next steps

# Status

- Discussed various open issues<sup>1</sup> based on mailing list feedback<sup>23</sup>
- Continued the discussion on the mailing list
- Posted a new draft on 6/8/16<sup>4</sup>

1. <https://www.ietf.org/proceedings/interim/slides/slides-interim-2016-sacm-3-1.pdf>
2. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/pull/3>
3. <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-sacm-vuln-scenario/>
4. <https://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/sacm/current/msg03958.html>

# Summary of resolved issues

- Issue #2 – Clarifying vulnerability detection data<sup>1</sup>
- Issue #3 – Defining targeted collection<sup>2</sup>
- Issue #4 – Processing vulnerability description information<sup>3</sup>
- Issue #6 – Storage of collected data<sup>4</sup>
- Issue #7 – Where do vulnerability assessment attributes belong<sup>5</sup>

1. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/issues/13>

2. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/issues/14>

3. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/issues/15>

4. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/issues/16>

5. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/issues/17>

# What to do with this I-D?

- What is the end goal for this I-D?
  - Do we want to park it as a WG I-D?
  - Do we want to send it through WGLC and get it published as an RFC?
- Will other WGs benefit from us publishing this I-D as its primary purpose is to focus the work in SACM?
- Are there concerns around publishing the I-D and then having the terms in the Terminology I-D<sup>1</sup> evolve out from under us?

1. <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-sacm-terminology/>

# Issue #1 – Managing terminology<sup>1</sup>

- Consensus at the 5/17 VIM was to leave the terms in the draft and reassess their promotion (move) to the Terminology I-D at a later date
- It was suggested that some terms may be ready for promotion
  - Targeted (now supplemental) collection, endpoint management capability, vulnerability management capability, and vulnerability assessment
  - A proposal for moving these terms was sent to the list and there is ongoing discussion<sup>2</sup>

1. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/issues/9>

2. <https://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/sacm/current/msg04117.html>

# Supplemental collection

- **Definition:** *“The task of collecting specific endpoint information from the target endpoint, that is not available from the endpoint management capability, in order to make a determination about that endpoint (vulnerability status, identification, etc.). in response to an observed need during an assessment that cannot be met by existing information from the endpoint management capability.”\**
- **Proposal:** Revise this term and promote it to the Terminology I-D

\*This is a slightly different definition than what is in the current Vulnerability Assessment Scenario I-D. Charles proposed this off list and it seems clearer and more concise.

# Vulnerability assessment

- **Definition:** *“The process of determining whether a set of endpoints is vulnerable according to the information contained in the vulnerability description information pertaining to the existence of a flaw or flaws in software, hardware, and/or firmware on an endpoint.”*
- **Proposal:** Remove reference to “vulnerability description information” and promote this term to the Terminology I-D

# Endpoint and vulnerability management capabilities

- **Definition:** *“An enterprise IT capability managing endpoint identity, endpoint information, and associated metadata on an ongoing basis.”*
- **Definition:** *“An enterprise IT capability managing endpoint vulnerabilities and associated metadata on an ongoing basis by ingesting ~~vulnerability description information and vulnerability detection data~~, guidance and endpoint information and performing a vulnerability assessment.”*
- **New definition:** *“An enterprise IT capability responsible for managing specific information about an endpoint in support of continuous monitoring processes which may or may not be driven by guidance.”*
- **Proposal:** Introduce a definition for “management capability”, remove references to “vulnerability description information” and “vulnerability detection data” in “vulnerability management capability”, pick a different word other than “capability”\*, and promote the terms to the Terminology I-D

\*Henk reminded me that “capability” is already used describe what functionality/data a SACM Component can provide. As result, we probably shouldn’t overload the term.

# Issue #5 – Change detection with an endpoint management capability<sup>1</sup>

- There was a question as to whether supplemental collection information could be pushed by an endpoint management capability to a vulnerability management capability
- Based on discussion, there were cases where an endpoint management capability could learn over time and push additional information to a vulnerability management capability to support future assessments
- **Proposal:** Re-word to state that the endpoint management capability may be re-configured, over time, to push information (previously supplemental information) based on the evolving needs of the enterprise

1. <https://github.com/sacmwg/vulnerability-scenario/issues/12>

# Next steps

- Close out the last two open issues and submit an updated draft
- Continue to develop solution I-Ds that satisfy the steps of the Vulnerability Assessment Scenario