

# SACM

## Virtual Interim

October 13, 2016

Adam Montville

Karen O'Donoghue

# Note Well

This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

## The brief summary:

- ❖ **By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.**
- ❖ **If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.**
- ❖ **You understand that meetings might be recorded, broadcast, and publicly archived.**

For further information, talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review the following:

BCP 9 (on the Internet Standards Process)

BCP 25 (on the Working Group processes)

BCP 78 (on the IETF Trust)

BCP 79 (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF)

# Administrative Tasks

- Note takers
- Meeting will be recorded

# Agenda

1. WG Status – chairs – 10m
2. SWID M&A Draft Open Issues – Charles – 30m
3. Information Model Open Issues – Danny – 30m
4. COSWID open issues – Henk – 20m
5. I-D Roadmap re-visitation – Danny – 10m
6. Way Forward – Remaining Time

# Status

- Requirements **draft update** (DM-001) and **progression**
- **Vulnerability scenario progression**
- IM Review with **issues raised between now and next interim**--open issues discussed at next interim
- Begin, as IM review progresses, **focusing upon data model identification/development**
- Read and **provide feedback on -02 SWID M&A** in support of reviewing open issues at next interim
- Review and **discuss proposed I-D roadmap on the list**, let's settle on something by the next interim
- **Hash out the meaning of a data model on the list**
- If possible, COSWID review

# Way Forward

- TBD, but...
- Let's button up the IM draft as much as possible
- Let's button up SW M&A as much as possible
- Chairs need to progress Requirements + Vulnerability Scenario
- Do we need a refresher overview of what it is we're trying to accomplish? What is standing in our way regarding on-list discussions?