

# OCF Alignment and Collaboration

IRTF T2TRG Meeting, San Jose, CA, USA, 2016

Matthias Kovatsch ([kovatsch@inf.ethz.ch](mailto:kovatsch@inf.ethz.ch))

# Chances

- oneloTa: RAML
  - Vocabulary for IoT resources
  - JSON schema for representation formats
  - Textual descriptions that have to be implemented by a human  
→ hard to adapt to change
- Domain-specific vocabulary for W3C WoT TD
  - Widely-accepted lexicon + compositional semantics  
(position paper by Ravi Subramaniam)
- CRUDN and protocol bindings
  - XMPP request/response definitions
  - BLE binding

# Problems

- Sync with IETF drafting work
  - An OIC Device shall use “**Alternative L3**” as defined in IETF draft-tschofenig-core-coap-tcp-tls-04
  - Why not follow current draft version?
  - Why not participate in IETF WGs?
- Details, e.g., wrong usage of response codes (e.g., ping)
  - Client: PUT /oic/ping {interval: 2}
  - Server: **2.03 valid** → **2.04 Changed**
  - “**Mime Types**” → Internet Media Types

# Questions

- TCP KeepAlive only guarantees that a connection is alive at the network layer, but not at the application layer → ?
- oic scheme in URI
- fr From The URI of the message originator. → bindings? oic URIs?
- ri Request Identifier The identifier that uniquely identifies the message in the originator and the recipient. → Token?