Feedback

• Good feedback following last WG meeting
• Several comments opened as issues on github
Issues

• Mostly Dave Dolson’s comments on list
• Scope varies
• Focus on more contentious issues here
Issue #9: Define message size

- Signal channel message size unspecified
- GEN-004: “Sub-MTU”
- Compare with message size discussion in draft-reddy-dots-signal-channel
- Proposed: client SHOULD attempt to discover path MTU, fall back to 500 byte limit
Issue #12: Request status

• OP-004 requires mitigation request status feedback

• Asynchronous vs. Request/Response?
Issue #13: Mitigation termination

• OP-004 requires server to cease mitigation when client asks
• Mitigation provider may want to continue mitigation regardless
• Clarify responsibilities of each agent when terminating mitigation
Issue #15: Required scope types

• OP-006 uses example scope types
• Establish required and optional scope types
• Proposed: IPv4/IPv6 CIDRs required
• Optional types TBD
Issue #16: Overlapping requests

- OP-008 treats overlapping requests as error
- Counterpoint: multiple clients detecting same attack
- Server: “Act for the overall good”? 
Remaining work?

- WGLC?
- Address issues
- Focus on mandatory functionality
Thank you

https://github.com/dotswg/dots-requirements