Fraudulent Names (1/2) НИЩО ЗА ДЕКЛАРИРАНЕ NOTHING TO DECLARE

christian.tschudin@unibas.ch ICNRG 2017-09-29, Berlin

/local/...
/_printers/...
/std/fct/average
/icmp/ping
/trace/my/path/switched/name

These names are fraudulent (one could also call them "trapdoor names"):

- they pretend to be ordinary names
- yet they do not declare that they expect special treatment

Fraudulent Names (2/2)

christian.tschudin@unibas.ch ICNRG 2017-09-29, Berlin

These names belong to different name spaces (with their special treatment), fraudulent attempt to fold this into the global data namespace

/local/... /_printers/... /std/fct/average /icmp/ping /trace/my/path/name restrict to one hop only talk to printers execute on the subsequent components ICMPtype=8, ICMPcode=0 – (NDN has no ports?) some decoration in the name has path label set

What honest net citizens do: DECLARE YOUR STUFF:

ndn2013.fwd(ndn-encoded-interest)
ccnx2015.fwd(ccnx-encoded-interest)
icmp(PING, all-neighbors)
nfn(sexpr-encoded-syntax-tree)

How to make it generic: API offers one local method getLocalFctDict()

The too narrow view of Request-Reply

In CCNx/NDN, there is an outer RPC not having been declared:

```
RPC-start ---> (association with neighbor)
interest --->
data <---
...
RPC-ends ---> (disassociate)
```

See the gRPC protobuf language for "streamed parameters", also PIT (state in the net) fits well with chaining of RPC calls, or in some NFN flavour, routing is fwd*(name), or fwd²(name), etc