What do we want?

A connection ends when either endpoint decides to stop.

Ideally, both endpoints agree that a connection has ended...

... at more or less the same time.

What signaling do we need to support this?
Enter the path signals problem

What do we say to a path?
Just like with Stateless Reset, we can hide any signals, or
... allow signals to leak
Explicit signals could use separate mechanisms
Taxonomy

Sudden loss of connectivity (no remaining viable paths)

Endpoint crash (see Stateless Reset discussion)

Fatal errors

Idle timeout

Graceful shutdown
Total Loss of Connectivity

Can’t signal anything by definition

HTTP talks about this scenario in some depth

Might include advice on

  ... detecting the condition (tricky, could involve timers)

  ... what to do with application state if this happens

Might be some discussion of spoofing detection

  ... e.g., the implications of ICMP unreachable
Endpoint Crash

This is a little like loss of connectivity for the surviving peer

No signal possible

Recovery is most relevant

  Stateless Reset (see previous) covers server recovery
  
  Client is unlikely to see packets once it recovers

Some assumptions here; improvements welcome
Fatal Errors

One or other peer can’t continue for reasons

This might be protocol violations by a peer, or DoS

The terminating endpoint needs a signal

That signal should be reliable

Currently, CONNECTION_CLOSE

... suggestion open to use Stateless Reset (see earlier)

... alternatively to restrict this to errors only, read on...
Graceful Shutdown
Proposal Overview

Application protocol manages its own shutdown
Application tells the transport to close
Transport goes straight to a TIME_WAIT analogue
No explicit transport-level signal
Idle timeouts catch everything else
Idle Timeout

X seconds pass without sending or receiving a packet

Note: streams could still be open

The connection is gone

Allows indecisiveness in connection management

  Can’t decide if you might need this again, no worries!

  Saves radio resources

Failsafe method for detecting loss of connectivity
Why Force Applications to Manage Shutdown?

The transport doesn’t know the application

  e.g., PUSH_PROMISE “uses” stream IDs before opening the associated streams

Applications have different requirements for shutdown

  e.g., HTTP cares about what can be retried safely

  e.g., RTP cares about how many participants are present
How HTTP Might Shut Down

GOAWAY (last request stream = 87)

GOAWAY (last request stream = 83)

Connection is **gone**

**WARNING! STRAWMAN**

HTTP

- Wait for client streams up to 83 to close; also wait for any pushes that were promised

QUIC

- Wait for redundant retransmissions
Alternative: Generalized Design

Application decides on the last frame number
Application tells QUIC, QUIC sends a GOAWAY frame
QUIC waits for all streams up to that number to close

To deal with applications that don’t know the last stream ID

... like HTTP, with server push

allow multiple GOAWAY frames
start at STREAM_MAX and reduce when you know better