Acks and Recovery Ian Swett, IETF Seattle Interim

QUIC Ack Principles

- 1. Once a packet is acknowledged, it can't be un-acked (aka: it's irrevocable)
- 2. Frames are never retransmitted, but the information within them is
 - a. ACKs ESPECIALLY!
- 3. QUIC favors forward progress over perfect feedback
 - a. ie: It's better to ack a new packet than include an old packet that's already been acked
 - b. Even given 50% loss, the odds of losing 255 acks in a row is LOW
 - c. If an acknowledgement is missed, the worst thing that happens is a spurious retransmission.
- 4. MUST NOT acknowledge packets that have not been received, decrypted and processed
- 5. ACK frames MUST be included in packets that have equal or greater packet protection than the packets they acknowledge

ACK_FRAME: When to send them

- 1. You MUST NOT send an ACK in response to an ACK only packet
- 2. You SHOULD send an ACK less frequently than every incoming packet
- 3. You SHOULD send an ACK sooner (possibly immediately) when it exposes a new gap
- 4. You SHOULD NOT send an ACK for every incoming packet unless there is a recently received gap.
- 5. Sending ACKs less frequently than TCP's 'every two packets' can have real benefits in high bandwidth use cases.

Example: If you receive packet 10 before receiving 9, but 10 is an ACK only packet, DO NOT send an ack (see Rule#1)

Acknowledgements: When to stop sending old ones

Transport Draft advises waiting until an acknowledgement has been received before you stop sending it.

- This is very conservative
- Minimizes spurious retransmits
- Requires a small amount of state to track acks of acks

Other alternatives may make more sense in different environments

- Send each ACK block for an RTT
- Fixed number of blocks (ie: QUIC in hardware)

Recovery Principles

- 1. QUIC avoids declaring packets lost until a larger packet has been acked
- 2. QUIC does not retransmit packets, or even typically frames.
- 3. Information in lost packets may be re-sent in any order...
 - a. Or not at all in the case of stream data
- 4. The sender decides what to send
 - a. The receiver can provide useful information via the transport(ie: STOP_SENDING) or application(ie: Priorities)

WARNING: Issues ahead

Timestamps and ECN (#774, #804, #698)

Growing consensus these should be negotiated extensions to the ack frame

Both exist to improve congestion control

Placing them in the same frame is nice because it:

- Reduces framing overhead
- Simplifies congestion control
- Avoids complex issues of separate frames
 - ie: What if I get ECN marks, but no new acknowledgments?

Timestamps and ECN (#774, #804, #698)

Proposal:

- Only use basic ack in the handshake and 0RTT
 - Timestamps already prohibited
- Negotiate use of other ack formats or basic format
- All acks post-handshake are expected to have the negotiated format

Basic ACK: Ack blocks

ACK + TS: Status quo, timestamp users should propose improvements

ACK + ECN: ECN users should propose a format